STEINHART & FALCONER LLP j JOSHUA KOLTUN (SBN: 173040) 2 HENRY M. BURGOYNE, III (SBN: 203748) 333 Market Street, Thirty-Second Floor 3 San Francisco, CA 94105-2150 Telephone: (415) 777-3999 NOV 2 2 2002 4 Facsimile: (415) 442-0856 GORDON PARK-L 5 Attorneys for Doe Defendant 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 8 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 9 VIROLOGIC, INC., a Delaware corporation, 10 Case No.-EGC-02-407068 11 Plaintiff, [PROPUSED] ORDER GRANTING SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE, 12 DENYING MOTION FOR ORDER PERMITTING VIROLOGIC'S 13 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, OUTSIDE COUSNEL TO DISCLOSE TO OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES OF 14 Defendants. VIROLOGIC THE INFORMATION DISCOVERED IN THE DEPOSITION 15 OF DEFENDANT DOE, AND DENYING AS MOOT DEFENDANTS' 16 DEMURIER AND MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA AND DENY ALL 17 DISCOVERY 18 SEPTEMBER 10, 2002 Date: TIME: 9:30 A.M. 19 DEPT: 320 JUDGE: HON, JAMES ROBERTSON, II 20 COMPLAINT FILED: APRIL 23, 2002 TRIAL DATE: NOT SET 21 Defendant's special motion to strike and plaintiff's motion for order permitting 22 Virologie's outside counsel to disclose to officers and employees of Virologic the information 23 discovered in the deposition of Defendant Doe, came on for hearing on the above date. Although 24 not on calendar, at the request of Defendant, the Court also ruled on Defendant's Demurrer to 25 Plaintiff ViroLogic, Inc.'s First Amended Complaint, and on Defendant's Motion to Quash 26 ViroLogic's Subpoena to Yahoo!, Inc. and to Stay all Discovery. Matthew Brown of Cooley 2728 [PROPOSED] URDER GRANTING SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE.

Case No. CGC-02-407068

DENYING MOT. TO DISCLOSE, DEMURRER, MOTION TO QUASH

139135v1

Godward LLP appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, and Joshua Koltun of Steinhart & Falconer LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant. The Court has considered all of the papers filed in support of and in opposition to each motion, the arguments of counsel, and, as appropriate, the papers on file in this case. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- Defendant's special motion to strike ViroLogic's First Amended Complaint under Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16 is hereby GRANTED, on the following grounds:
- a. The causes of action in this suit arise from acts of Defendant in furtherance of defendant's right of petition or free speech in connection with public issues, specifically, Defendant's "written or oral statement[s] or writing[s] made in a place open to the public or a public forum in connections with ... issue[s] of public interest," and "conduct in furtherance of the constitutional right of petition or the constitutional right of free speech in connection with ... public issue[s] or ... issue[s] of public interest." C.C.P. § 425.16(e)(3).(4).
- b. Plaintiff has failed to show a probability of prevailing on its claim. C.C.P. § 425.16(b)(1).
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for order permitting Virologic's outside counsel to disclose to officers and employees of Virologic the information discovered in the deposition of Defendant Doe is DENIED, on the grounds that good cause therefor has not been shown, C.C.P. § 425.16(g).
 - 3. Defendant's demorrer is DENIED on the grounds that it is moot.
- Defendant's motion to quash the subpoens and stay all discovery is DENIED on the grounds that it is moot.
- 5. Pursuant to C.C.P. § 425.16(c), Defendant is entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys fees and costs; the amount thereof shall be determined upon the filing of a motion for attorneys' fees and/or a memorandum of costs.

1	Accordingly, plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is hereby DISMISSED WITH
2	PREJUDICE, and judgment shall be entered in favor of Defendant and for reasonable attorneys'
3	fees in an amount to be determined in a subsequent proceeding.
4	
5	Dated: November 2,0002
6	Thu Mileto II
7	James Robertson, II Judge of the Superior Court
8	# 407068 Indge of the Superior Court
9	
10	
13	Approved as to form:
12	Approved as to forth
13	COOLEY GODWARD LLP
14	Watten Ro
15	Matthew Brown
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff ViroLogic, Inc.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	
26	
27	
20	-3-