USDC SCAN INDEX SHEET

















JOEH 2/18/04 10:10

3:01-CV-01752 BOSLEY MEDICAL V. KREMER

91

AMDM.

FOLEY & LARDNER ATTORNEYS AT LAW 11250 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92130 TELEPHONE: (858) 847-6700 ՕԱ FEB 2 FACSIMILE: (858) 847-6773 3 G. PETER ALBERT, BAR NO. SBN 211248 4 HEIDI L. BELONGIA (PRO HAC VICE) 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE, INC. 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE, INC., a Case No.: 01-CV-1752 WQH (JMA) 10 Delaware corporation, 11 **BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE'S** Plaintiff, AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND 12 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON TRADEMARK DILUTION CLAIMS 13 14 MICHAEL STEVEN KREMER, an individual,) DATE: April 2, 2004 TIME: 3:00 P.M. 15 Defendant. JUDGE: HON. WILLIAM Q. HAYES 16 17 18 19 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 2, 2004, at 3:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as 21 counsel may be heard in the above-entitled court, located at 940 Front Street, San Diego, California)RIGINA 92101, plaintiff BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE ("Bosley Medical") will, and hereby does, move the Court for summary judgment on its trademark dilution claims on the ground that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact related to that adjudication and that the moving party is therefore entitled to 26 judgment as a matter of law for the reason that defendant MICHAEL STEVEN KREMER ("Kremer") 27 28 Plf's Not. Of Mot. For Summary Judgment on Trademark Dilution Claims 01-CV-1752 WQH (JMA) .552185

has failed to demonstrate any triable issue with respect to actual dilution of the famous mark BOSLEY MEDICAL.

This Motion is based upon this Amended Notice of Motion and Motion, the Second Amended Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed herewith, the Amended Declaration of Heidi L. Belongia in Support of Bosley Medical's Cross-Motions for Summary Adjudication on Trademark Infringement and Dilution Claims filed on February 5, 2004 and the exhibits thereto filed therewith, all pleadings and papers on file in this action, and upon such other matters as may be presented to the court at the time of hearing. Please note that the Second Amended Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed herewith differs from the Amended Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed on February 5, 2004 only in that the Second Amended Memorandum includes page 3, which was: i) inadvertently omitted from the version previously filed; ii) previously provided to all parties.

Pursuant to the schedule established by order of this Court, opposition to this motion is due to be served and filed on or before February 25, 2004, and any reply brief must be served and filed

on or before March 12, 2004.

Dated: February 12, 2004

G. Peter Albert, Jr. FOLEY & LARDNER

11250 El Camino Real, Suite 200

San Diego, California 92138

Tel: (858) 847-6700 Fax: (858) 792-6773

Attorneys for Plaintiff BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE, INC.

FOLEY & LARDNER
G. PETER ALBERT, JR., BAR NO. 211248
HEIDI L. BELONGIA, (pro hac vice)
11250 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278
TELEPHONE: (858) 847-6700
FACSIMILE: (858) 792-6773
TELEPHONE: 619.234.6655
FACSIMILE: 619.234.3510 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE, INC., a Delaware corporation Plaintiff, v. MICHAEL STEVEN KREMER, an individual, Defendant. **JRIGINAL** 017.232754.1

Case No. 01-CV-1752 WQH (JMA)

PROOF OF SERVICE

PROOF OF SERVICE CASE NO. 01-CV-1752 WQH (JMA)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action; my current business address is 402 w. Broadway, Suite 2300, San Diego, CA 92101.

On February 12, 2004, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

- 1. BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE'S AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON TRADEMARK DILUTION CLAIMS; AND
- 2. SECOND AMENDED MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF BOSLEY MEDICAL'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF TRADEMARK DILUTION

on the interested parties in this action as follows:

X BY THE FOLLOWING MEANS:

I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

12 Via FedEx

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

<u>Via US Mail</u>

Paul Alan Levy Public Citizen Litigation Group 1600-20th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009-1001

Charles A. Bird Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP 600 W. Broadway, Suite 2600 San Diego, CA 92101-3391

. 15

X BY MAIL

I placed the envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail, at San Diego, California.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; the firm deposits the collected correspondence with the United States Postal Service that same day, in the ordinary course of business, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at San Diego, California. I placed the envelope(s) for collection and mailing on the above date following ordinary business practices.

22 | | ///

23 | | ///

24 | ///

25 ///

26 || ///

27 | | ///

28 | ///

1

X BY EXPRESS SERVICE CARRIER (Via Overnight Courier Service) X I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for delivery by FEDERAL EXPRESS: collected packages are picked up by an express carrier representative on the same day, with the Airbill listing the account number for billing to sender, at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I placed the envelope(s) in an envelope or package designated by the express service carrier for collection and processing for express service delivery on the above date following ordinary business practices. Executed on February 12, 2004, at San Diego, California. <u>X</u> I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at X whose direction the service was made. Sonia Moreno