APPENDIX N

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA				
HEALTH SOLUTIONS NETWORK, L. RALPH PENTON V.	CIVIL ACTION NO.			
JERRY M. BAKER	TO: (NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEFENDANT) JERRY M. BAKER 346 LEMON AVENVE APT. 4 MON ROVIA, CA 91016			
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon				
Plaintiff's Attorney (Name and Address) LOVIS J. PETRIELLO PETRIELLO + ROYAL LLC S26 TOUNSHIP LINE ROAD—SUITE 200 BLUE BELL, PA. 19422				
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
Michael E. Kunz, Clerk of Court	Date:			
(By) Deputy Clerk				

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE	MANAGEMENT TRACK DEST	GNATION FORM	
HEALTH SOLUTIONS RALPH PENTON TERRY M. BAKER	NETWORK, LLC:	CIVIL ACTION	
TERRY M. BAKER	: : :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and se side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other which that defendant believed.	Evil Justice Expense and Delay Recase Management Track Designation of the Event action of the Event Copy on all defendants. (See See event that a defendant does not not shall, with its first appearance, suparties, a case management track deves the case should be assigned.	on Form in all civil cases at the ti 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re agree with the plaintiff regarding bmit to the clerk of court and ser esignation form specifying the tra	me of everse g said eve on
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cas	es brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241	through §2255.	()
	es requesting review of a decision denying plaintiff Social Security Be		()
(c) Arbitration – Cases re-	quired to be designated for arbitrati	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal injury or	property damage from	()
commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into tracks (as complex and that need special or e side of this form for a detailed exp	r intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	*
1/26/07	Louis T. PETRIEUO Attorney-at-law 215-646-9669	PLAINTIFFS	
Date!	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
215-646-9/19	215-646-9669	LPETRIELLO at AL	ok. Cl

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

Telephone

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS HEALTH SOLUTION NETWORK, LCC RALPH PENTON			JERRY M. BAKER					
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. P	d Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES VATION CASES, U	•		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name PETRIELLO \$26 TOUGH BLUE BEL	e, Address, and Telephone Number) LOUIS J. PET, HIP WAR KIND-SVITE 1,9422	RIFU 201	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Boy		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question(U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF	,	DEF	Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi	rincipal Place	PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citize	en of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and of Business In		O 5	□ 5
			en or Subject of a Creign Country	3 3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUITE CONTRACT	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	FOD	THE THE PROPERTY AND THE	- Daniel Dank	KRUPTCY		STATUT	TO COMMON TO SERVICE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 1371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud S55 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal S55 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal S55 Prison Condition S55 Otivil Rights 555 Prison Condition		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	423 With 28 US PROPE 820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Trade 862 Black 863 DIW 863 SSI (FEDERA	RTY RIGHTS rights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) a Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) LTAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	400 State E 410 Antim 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Depor 470 Racke Corrupi 480 Consu 490 Cable/ 310 Selecti 520 Securi 520 Securi	teapportion teappo	and
Ol Original O2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	J 4 Reins Reop	stated or anoth ened (spec Do not cite jurisdiction		6 Multidistr Litigation unless diversity):	rict 7	Appeal to Judge from Magistrat Judgment	m :e
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause: OF AMTION	And	1367(a) D TRAPOM	ark o	NFR IN 6	Phon	7	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IE TUIS IS A CY ASS A COLON	EXCEV	EMANDS SOF 150,1	C	HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in		nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 1/26/	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	RECORD	_				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM IS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

APPENDIX G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HEALTH SOLUTIONS NETWORK, LLC

AND

RALPH DEALTON

Civil Action

No:

JERRY M. BAKER

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM

Please che	eck one box:
×	The nongovernmental corporate party, HEALTH SOLUTION & NETWORITH the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.
<u> //2</u>	The nongovernmental corporate party,
/ Da	Counsel for: If EALTH SOLUTIONS
	Counsel for: 1/EALTHSOCUTIONS NETWORK, LLC + RALPH PENTON

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) WHO MUST FILE: NONGOVERNMENTAL CORPORATE PARTY. A nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation.
 - (b) TIME FOR FILING; SUPPLEMENTAL FILING. A party must:
 - (1) file the Rule 7.1(a) statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court, and
 - (2) promptly file a supplemental statement upon any change in the information that the statement requires.

Case 2:07-cv-00369-TON Document 1 Filed 01/29/2007 Page 6 of 19 APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 656 East Swedesford Road, Ste 214, Wayne PA 19087 & 10823 206th St., Snohomish WA Address of Defendant: 346 Lemon Ave. Apt 4, Monrovia, CA 91016 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Wayne, PA, Snohomish, WA, Monrovia, CA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes No No (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Ves 🗆 No Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions; 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ NoX 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4.

Marine Personal Injury 5. D Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. D Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Trademark ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Louis J. Petriello counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: January 26, 2007 PA 40913 Louis J. Petriello Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above DATE: January 26, 2007 Louis J. Petriello PA 40913 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# CIV. 609 (4/03)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HEALTH SOLUTIONS NETWORK, LLC

656 East Swedesford Road

Suite 214

Wayne, PA 19087

And

RALPH PENTON 10823 206th Street, SE Snohomish, WA 98296

Plaintiffs,

v.

JERRY M. BAKER 346 Lemon Avenue Apt. 4 Monrovia, CA 91016

Defendant.

C.A. NO.

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Health Solutions Network, LL ("HSN"), is a Delaware limited liability company with an administrative office located at 656 Swedesford Road, Suite 214, Wayne, Pennsylvania, 19087.
- 2. Plaintiff, Ralph Penton ("Penton"), is an adult individual who resides at an address of 10823 206th Street, SE, Snohomish, Washington, 98296.

3. Defendant, Jerry M. Baker is an adult individual who resides at an address of 346 West Lemon Avenue, Apartment 4, Monrovia, California, 91016.

JURISDICTION

4. HSN brings this action pursuant to the Lanham Act 15 U.S.C. §1051, et seq. trademark infringement and Trademark dilution. This Court has original jurisdiction over both plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Plaintiffs also invoke the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) for their State law claims of for defamation and tortious interference with contracts. There is complete Diversity of citizenship between Plaintiffs and Defendant pursuant the U.S.C.

NATURE OF ACTION

- 5. HSN operates an "On-Line Pharmacy" whereby perspective patients are put in contact with a licensed physician via the HSN Web Cite and the Internet. Said perspective patient communicates with a licensed physician by completing a questionnaire issued through the HSN Web Cite and the Internet. After reviewing the questionnaire, the physician will either issue a prescription to the patient or deny the patient the prescription. If the prescription is approved, HSN will notify a licensed pharmacy located in the United States which will fill the prescription and ship it directly to the patient. Ralph Penton is president of HSN.
- 6. Defendant, Jerry M. Baker, ("BAKER") caused economic damage to HSN and damage to Ralph Penton's reputation when he targeted both Plaintiffs and wrote a BLOG called "Miscellaneous Debris" (attached hereto as Exhibit A) (the "BLOG") and posted it on the Internet. Said BLOG contains numerous instances of false and misleading information about HSN and Penton, including but not limited to:

Page 9 of 19

"TRACKING DOWN A SPAMMER"

- "I am constantly receiving image spam fro an online pharmacy called RXCart.org. This is the story of how I tracked down the man responsible and called him at home.
- 1. When you place an order at RXCart.org you are directed to hsnsecure.com. It so happens that this is an SSL-enabled site complete with Versign Certificate.
- 2. Using Firefox I examined the SSL certificate for the site and find that its issued to a A "Heath Solutions Network, LLC" with an address in Washington.
- 3. I got to Washington Secretary of state's Web Site and look up this corporation. I see it is registered to a Ralph R. Penton of Snohomish, WA (he's probably one of the the pricks threatening the boy who was almost killed by the cannon too.)
- 4. I take this information over to ZAbasearch.com and find that Mr. Penton's home Phone number is (425)-489-9776.
- 5. I called the prick up and tell him that I am receiving a lot of spam advertising his Web site and he hangs up.

HSN is not a Spammer. RXCart.org is not and has never been affiliated with HSN in any way. Plaintiff, HSN has lost business and has had its name and reputation damaged as a result of the above false and defamatory statements. Plaintiff, Ralph Penton has received hundreds of harassing and threatening phone calls as a direct result of the above false and defamatory statements and has had his name and reputation damaged as a result of the above false and defamatory statements.

VENUE

Document 1

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that the cause of action arose in Wayne, Pennsylvania, and, at all relevant times, HSN has a permanent place of business within and has done business within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

COUNT I

RESTRAINT OF TRADE

15 U.S.C. §§ 1 AND 15

- 8. HSN and Ralph Penton incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 as if fully set forth at length, verbatim.
- 9. Defendant's attempt to label HSN as a "Spammer" constitutes an illegal conspiracy in restraint of trade or commerce in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1. This conduct by Defendant had a substantial likelihood of causing noncompetitive effects, including the reduction of provider choices, increased prescription costs, and reduction of the quality prescriptions available in the defined market.
- 10. As a result of the anticompetitive conduct of Defendant, HSN has suffered harm to his reputation, humiliation, loss of past and future income, attorneys fees, expert witness fees, and other economic loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HSN, requests relief as follows:

- A declaration of rights declaring that Defendant's conduct as alleged in a. this Compliant violates such statutes;
 - b. Compensatory damages;

- c. An award of three times the damages, plus costs and reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.A. § 15; and,
 - d. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper.

COUNT II

DEFAMATION

- 11. HSN and Ralph Penton incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 10 as if fully set forth at length, verbatim.
- 12. Defendant's publication, misrepresentation and disclosure of false and misleading information concerning HSN and Ralph Penton to any person with access to the Internet adversely reflected upon HSN as an On Line Pharmacy and Ralph Penton as HSN's President.
- 13. The publication of a statement that HSN and Ralph Penton are "Spammer[s]", is false and defamatory "per se."
- 14. Defendant's false publication that RXCart.org is an affiliate of HSN is false and defamatory.
- 15. As a result of the defamation by defendant, Ralph Penton and HSN have suffered harm to their reputation, humiliation, loss of income, attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, and other economic loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, HSN and Ralph Penton, request relief as follows:

- a. Compensatory damages;
- b. An appropriate award of punitive damages sufficient to punish Defendants and deter them from engaging in the conduct alleged above;
 - c. An award of costs, including reasonable attorneys fees; and

d. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper.

COUNT III

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND TRADEMARK DILUTION

- 16. HSN incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 as if set forth at length herein.
- 17. HSN has spent substantial sums of money to protect its proprietary name "Health Solutions Network":
- 18. As a result Defendant's false and defamatory statements against HSN, the tradename "Health Solutions Network" has been damaged. HSN has suffered harm to its trade name, lost income, attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, and other economic loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HSN, requests relief as follows:

- Compensatory damages; a.
- An award of costs, including reasonable attorneys fees; and, b.
- c. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper.

COUNT IV

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH EXISTING AND POTENTIAL CONTRACTS

- 19. HSN incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 18 are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 20. The aforementioned conduct of defendants in disseminating false information about HSN constitutes an unlawful interference with HSN's existing and potential contracts with its current and future customers.

21. As a result of defendant's interference with existing and potential contracts, HSN has suffered harm to its reputation, humiliation, lost income, attorneys fees, expert witness fees, and other economic loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HSN, requests relief as follows:

- Compensatory damages; a.
- b. An appropriate award of punitive damages sufficient to punish Defendant and deter him and others from engaging in the conduct alleged above;
 - c. An award of costs, including reasonable attorneys fees; and,
 - Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper. d.

COUNT V

FRAUD

PLAINTIFFS HSN AND RALPH PENTON AGAINST DEFENDANT, JERRY BAKER

- 22. HSN and Ralph Penton incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 23. Plaintiffs allege that defendant intentionally printed and published on the Internet false and misleading information in the BLOG for the purpose of defrauding HSN and Ralph Penton.
- 24. As a result of defendant's fraudulent statements and actions intended to induce third parties HSN and Ralph Penton have suffered harm to their reputation, humiliation, lost income, attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, and other economic loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HSN, requests relief as follows:

a. Compensatory damages;

- b. An appropriate award of punitive damages sufficient to punish Defendant and deter him and others from engaging in the conduct alleged above;
 - An award of costs, including reasonable attorneys fees; and, c.
 - 25. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper

COUNT VI

HARASSMENT BY PHONE

PLAINTIFF RALPH PENTON AGAINST DEFENDANT, JERRY BAKER

- 26. HSN and Ralph Penton incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 27. Plaintiff, Ralph Penton's alleges that Defendant intentionally printed and published on the Internet Ralph Penton's home telephone number for the purpose of harassing Ralph Penton.
- 28. Ralph Penton has received numerous harassing phone calls at his home as a direct result of the BLOG published by Defendant.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's harassment, Ralph Penton has suffered harm to his reputation, humiliation, lost income, attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, and other economic loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Ralph Penton requests relief as follows:

- a. Compensatory damages;
- An appropriate award of punitive damages sufficient to punish Defendant and deter him and others from engaging in the conduct alleged above;

- Document 1
- An award of costs, including reasonable attorneys fees; and, c.
- Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper 30.

PETRIELLO & ROYAL, LLC

LOUIS J. PETRIELLO, ESQUIRE 526 Township Line Road, Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422 (215) 646-9119

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,

Date:



Blog This!

GET YOUR OWN BLOG FLAST

MISCELLANEOUS DEBRIS

THURSDAY, DÈCEMBER 21, 2006

Tracking Down a Spammer

From time to time a spammer will annoy me enough to track them down and call them at home to let them know how I feel about their spam. Today's story is about tracking down a spammer at home.

I am constantly receiving image spam for an online pharmacy called RXCart.org. This is the story of how I tracked down the man responsible and called him at home.

- 1. When you place an order at RXCart.org you are directed to hsnsecure.com. It so happens that this is an SSL-enabled site complete with Versign certificate.
- 2. Using Firefox I examined the SSL certificate for the site and find that it's issued to a "Health Solutions Network, LLC" with an address in Washington state.
- 3. I got to the Washington Secretary of State's Web site and look up this corporation. I see who it is registered to. Turns out he's in Snohomish, WA (he's probably one of the pricks threatening the boy who was almost killed by the cannon too).
- 4. I take this information over to zabasearch.com and find his home address and phone number.

So, you see, it can be very easy to track a spammer down if you know which tools to use.

EDIT: I did change this post. I have to protect myself legally, but you can find out the information by following the steps I list here.

POSTED BY DEPUTY BILL AT 9:50 PM

PREVIOUS POSTS

Perceptions



5 COMMENTS:

Carl said...

This RXcart dude is using one of my domains as his fake return address, why me I don't know, but I'm getting all the "mail returned" from the bastard. Glad you called him, I wish I could blow up his freaking computer.

11:59 AM

HealthSolNet said...

Ralph Penton is not part of the RXCart organization. He is receiving harassing and threatening phone calls at his home. This has been reported to Washington state law enforcement who are working to track the individual down. We ask that you remove the phone number from your post until a resolution has been reached.

10:28 AM

HealthSolNet said...

I would also like to bring your attention to a much easier way of handling a spam complaint against any website that links to our order form.

http://www.hsnsecure.com/Report-Spam.php

This is our spam reporting page and lists the number of the phone that sits on my desk. I will take your complaints directly.

10:52 AM

Jerry said...

I cannot comment on whether Mr. Penton is or is not part of the RXCart organization. What I do know is that he is is the owner of Health Solutions Network. This is the company that processes orders for RXCart. You don't have to take my word for it, you can look at the SSL certificate for yourself. If the SSL certificate has been issued through fraud of some sort, Mr. Penton should contact Verisign, not me.

7:50 PM

Deputy Bill said...

Now it appears that Health Solutions Network no longer processes the orders for RxCart.org.

8:48 AM

POST A COMMENT

<< Home

VERIFICATION

I, RALPH PENTON verify and affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing COMPLAINT are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. The undersigned understands that the statements therein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

RALPH PENTON

January 19, 2007