

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SUSAN O'MALLEY

Plaintiff,

-against-

SHARAD KARKHANIS, "JOHN DOE" and
"JANE DOE"

Defendant.
-----X

Index No.: 11360/2007

**VERIFIED
COMPLAINT**

**TRIAL BY JURY
DEMANDED**

The plaintiff, SUSAN O'MALLEY, by her attorney JOSEPH MARTIN CARASSO, ESQ.,
complaining of the defendants, herein alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY was and is a resident of the City of New York, was and is a professor of English at Kingsborough Community College (hereinafter "KCC"), which is part of the City University of New York (hereinafter "CUNY"), and was and is a Professor of Liberal Studies at the CUNY Graduate School.
2. SUSAN O'MALLEY is a distinguished scholar and teacher. The author of many publications on early modern pamphlet literature and drama, Shakespeare, higher education, disabled women and civil rights, she has presented papers at conferences and lectures sponsored by the Shakespeare Association of America, the Society for the Study of Renaissance Women, the Modern Language Association, the Renaissance Society of America, the English Forum at the CUNY Graduate School, and Princeton University, and is a recipient of NEH, Mellon, Fulbright, Huntington Library, Folger Library and CUNY grants.
3. SUSAN O'MALLEY has served with distinction in various CUNY university and governance roles, including as the ex-officio Faculty Trustee of the CUNY Board of Trustees, and Chair of the CUNY University Faculty Senate (hereinafter "UFS"), the official governing body for the faculty of CUNY.
4. SUSAN O'MALLEY has been a member of the Professional Staff Congress (hereinafter "PSC"), the union for faculty and staff at CUNY, for many years, and was elected to numerous positions in the PSC including as a University-wide Officer, a Community College Officer, and KCC Chapter Chair.
5. During her long service to KCC and CUNY and as Chair of the UFS, SUSAN

O'MALLEY has acquired and retained a high standing and reputation among the CUNY academic community for her advocacy of high academic standards, accessibility of higher education, and her humanity and intelligence.

6. In May 2006, SUSAN O'MALLEY was the recipient of a resolution (hereinafter "Resolution") of the Executive Committee of the UFS presented and ratified by the entire Senate of the UFS, honoring her long career dedicated to governance both at KCC and in the wider CUNY community, honoring her advocacy for combining high academic standards with accessibility to higher education, her diligent work, her great humanity and her intelligence, and acclaiming SUSAN O'MALLEY as a worthy and eminent leader.

7. A true and complete copy of the Resolution is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. In October 24, 2006, members of the UFS presented a framed copy of the Resolution to SUSAN O'MALLEY and gave her a standing ovation for her past work and dedication.

9. At all times hereinafter mentioned the defendant, SHARAD KARKHANIS ("KARKHANIS"), was and is an individual residing in the City and State of New York.

10. KARKHANIS is currently a retired librarian of KCC.

11. KARKHANIS was and is at all times relevant and hereinafter mentioned, the owner and publisher of a website and email newsletter known as "The Patriot Returns," located at IP address www.patriotreturns.com (hereinafter "Newsletter"), which was and is circulated extensively via email to the faculty, staff, and chancellor of CUNY, the New York City Council and members of the State Legislature, and upon information and belief to thirteen thousand (13,000) recipients.

12. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant and hereinafter mentioned, KARKHANIS was and is the editor-in-chief of the Newsletter.

13. Upon information and belief, since the first publication on February 26, 1992, KARKHANIS has served as editor-in-chief and was and is a primary contributor to the Newsletter.

14. Upon information and belief, and at all times hereinafter mentioned JOHN DOE was and is also a contributor to the Newsletter and specifically was a contributor to Volume 35, Numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4; Volume 36, Numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4; Volume 37, Numbers 1, 2 and 3; and Volume 38, Numbers 1 and 2 of said Newsletter.

15. Upon information and belief, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, JANE DOE was and is also a contributor to the Newsletter and specifically was a contributor to Volume 35, Numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4; Volume 36, Numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4; Volume 37, Numbers 1, 2 and 3; and Volume

38, Numbers 1 and 2 of said Newsletter.

MATTERS PERTAINING TO ALL CLAIMS

16. On or about the dates and as set forth in the paragraphs below, statements or utterances published (hereinafter the "Utterances") by the defendants KARKHANIS, JOHN DOE and JANE DOE concerning the plaintiff were and are both false and defamatory.

17. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth below, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained false statements of facts, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the Utterances, and published the Utterances with reckless disregard of whether they were true or not.

18. By letter (hereinafter the "Letter") dated April 18, 2007, sent by certified mail, to Karkhanis, and attached hereto as Exhibit B, plaintiff by her attorney advised KARKHANIS that portions of the Newsletter, specifically Volume 35, Number 4, published on or about March 12, 2007, contained "false, damaging and defamatory" Utterances.

19. In addition, the Letter stated that prior publications of the Newsletter contained defamatory Utterances.

20. The Letter requested that KARKHANIS retract the defamatory Utterances and refrain from making any other defamatory Utterances.

21. KARKHANIS never responded to the Letter.

22. KARKHANIS continued to publish false and defamatory Utterances about SUSAN O'MALLEY after receipt of the Letter.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

23. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

24. Commencing on or about February 6, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in the Newsletter, Volume 35, Number 2, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"TERRORISTS TO TEACH AT KCC?
SAY NO TO THE QUEEN OF RELEASED TIME!
The Patriot believes that the current actions of the Queen of Released Time, Susan

O'Malley, may be rooted in her childhood.

Susan hails from a wealthy family, so money was never an issue. She decided that it should not be an issue for anyone. So advocating for a union that champions criminals and terrorists, while cutting ordinary folks' salaries, was just perfect."

and

"By intimidation and by joining radical groups, she became the leader of the University Faculty Senate, solely for the purpose of enjoying the good life, without having to dirty her hands with chalk.

In the year 2004, Susan O'Malley found out that Susan Rosenberg was asked to leave her adjunct position at John Jay College of Criminal Justice. It was the perfect time for O'Malley to come to help a convicted criminal."

and

"Was Susan trying to open an opportunity for Yousry in KCC's English Department? Was she thinking that as a Very Important Person in the University she would pressure the Chair and members of the English Department's P & B to hire Mr. Yousry? She probably thought that with all that experience transmitting written screeds and instructions from the Sheik to his terrorist minions, Yousry should be able to teach our KCC students with ease. If Mr. Yousry ever lands at KCC, be assured it is courtesy of the Queen of Released Time.

Susan believes in those who preach and practice revolutionary violence, like Susan Rosenberg. She supports the terrorist Yousry who aided fellow terrorists and murderers in a most substantive way, by conveying instructions for murderous activities, directed against us all."

and

"LYNN STEWART AT THE PSC?

In October this year, campus cafeterias and hallways were filled with hush hush rumors: ... Lynn Stewart... will herald her joining the staff of the PSC. This would be, of course, courtesy of the Queen of Released Time for her idol in distress."

and

O'Malley will have no trouble pushing Lynn's appointment as a PSC attorney with a sizable salary, to assist the PSC's current convicted-felon attorney, Nathaniel Charney."

25. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of vicious, disreputable, criminal and "terrorist" character, who supports "terrorist" activities at the present time in the United States, who actively goes out of her way to assist convicted criminals without any regard for the CUNY academic community, who acts purely for her own selfish reasons, and not for the best interest of the academic community, who believes in those who preach and practice terrorism or violence and murder and has the ability and influence to secure jobs for criminals and "terrorists" instead of and at the expense of decent upstanding citizens and law-abiding Americans.

26. Hired at KCC in 1974, SUSAN O'MALLEY has taught English for many years, has been

a full Professor of English since 1991, and has often “dirtied her hands with chalk”.

27. Over the course of 28 years (1974-2002), Susan O’Malley received some reassigned time for scholarly research awarded through her winning grants, some reassigned time for co-directing the College Now English Program and teaching in two high schools for the American Social History Project, some reassigned time for being elected to the Executive Committee of the UFS and as the elected KCC Union Chapter Chair for three years.

28. SUSAN O’MALLEY’s life has been dedicated to teaching, scholarly research, and governance at KCC and CUNY.

29. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, SUSAN O’MALLEY worked hard for her achievements, and did not hail from a wealthy family such that money was never an issue for her or her family.

30. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, SUSAN O’MALLEY attended public schools, and raised two children on her own as a single parent.

31. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterance, SUSAN O’MALLEY believes in and has always practiced peaceful and lawful resolution of disputes.

32. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, SUSAN O’MALLEY does not believe in terrorism or violence.

33. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, SUSAN O’MALLEY believes that the decision to hire faculty should be decided by faculty and not by other groups outside of the faculty departmental committees.

34. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, SUSAN O’MALLEY never met Susan Rosenberg or talked to her on the telephone.

35. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, the faculty at John Jay College of Criminal Law (hereinafter “John Jay”) were concerned with the removal of Susan Rosenberg, and expressed outrage that they were not consulted when she was not allowed to continue teaching at John Jay because of the objections of a group outside of John Jay.

36. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, it was SUSAN O’MALLEY’s duty as UFS Chair to represent the views of the faculty at John Jay.

37. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, Mohammed Yousry (hereinafter “Yousry”) had taught part time at York College for 7 terms and had received favorable reviews. The faculty at York College wanted him to continue teaching at York College and did not understand why he was removed from the classroom in the middle of the term and forbidden to teach there again.

38. Upon information and belief, if Yousry had been a full-time faculty member, he could and or would not have been removed without consultation and due process.
39. Contrary to the defendants' utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY represented the faculty at York College, including Yousry, which was one of her duties as UFS Chair.
40. Contrary to the defendants' implications, SUSAN O'MALLEY met Yousry only once at a conference, did not communicate with him at any other time, and does not support him in his alleged "terrorist" activities.
41. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY supports the right of adjunct faculty, including Yousry, to receive due process and not to be removed from the classroom without consulting the head of the department that hired him.
42. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY never emailed or spoke to Lynne Stewart on the telephone, and she never invited her to join the staff of the PSC and never requested that she join the staff of the PSC.
43. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY is not now and has never been in the position to hire or fire faculty, except for the six years that she was elected to the Personnel and Budget Committee (hereinafter "P & B") for the English Department of KCC in the late 1980's and early 1990's.
44. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY has never pressured anyone within the University to hire any faculty at KCC or CUNY.
45. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY was elected to the Chair of the UFS by a democratic vote of the faculty of CUNY, and was not elected by "intimidation."
46. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY found the position of UFS Chair to be a high stress, demanding, nerve-wracking position, requiring her to be in constant communication with the faculty and the Chancellory.
47. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.
48. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.
49. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect

of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

50. As a result of the publication and the acts of the defendants, plaintiff fears that people who know her only through the defendants' false Utterances that she associates with "terrorists" and advocates violence will harm her.

51. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

52. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

53. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

54. Commencing on or about March 7, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 35, Number 3, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"O'MALLEY-QUEDA TRAINING CAMP: FINDING JOBS FOR TERRORISTS
A KCC EXCLUSIVE!

We believe that the newly formed "Never-Any-Action Caucus" is the creation of Susan O'Malley (aka Queen of Released Time). Her major goal is to establish a Training Camp to recruit and train, at Kingsborough, people like herself -- misguided, misdirected, misinformed.

O'Malley seeks to find jobs at KCC and other CUNY colleges for people like Mohammed Yousry."

and

"O'Malley doesn't care about us -- her only concern is that Yousry should teach at CUNY.

O'Malley has also been job-searching for Susan Rosenberg,...

and

O'Malley, though, doesn't care about us -- her only concern is that Rosenberg should teach at CUNY.

and

"We believe that the above mentioned KCC individuals [Susan Farrell, Robert Singer, Jack Arnov, Robert Putz, Patrick Lloyd] were selected for the O'Malley-Queda Recruitment Camp because she thinks that 1) they all are naive and

gullible, and 2) through them, she can infiltrate the Departmental and College wide P. & B.s at KCC and at other CUNY colleges to push her PERSONAL AGENDA of finding jobs for Yousry, Rosenberg and other terrorists. Meanwhile, remember: the Queen of Released Time is a devious, dangerous, and untrustworthy person. It may be wise to steer clear. More to come on the Queen . . .”

55. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of vicious, disreputable, criminal and “terrorist” character, who supports “terrorist” activities at the present time in the United States, who actively goes out of her way to assist convicted criminals without any regard for the CUNY academic community, who acts purely for her own selfish reasons, and not for the best interest of the academic community, who believes in those who preach and practice terrorism or violence and murder and has the ability and influence to secure jobs for criminals and “terrorists” instead of and at the expense of decent upstanding citizens and law-abiding Americans.

56. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, there is no “O’Malley-Queda Training Camp.”

57. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, the only entity with the authority to hire faculty is the College President upon the recommendation of the Personnel and Budget Committees for the various departments of the colleges of CUNY.

58. SUSAN O’MALLEY served as a member of the KCC English Department P&B for 6 years in the late 1980s and early 1990s, and during her service on the P&B no “terrorists” were hired.

59. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, that except for announcing at a UFS meeting that she heard that Yousry was looking for a teaching job, SUSAN O’MALLEY has never undertaken any action to obtain a position for Yousry, or given any type of support to Yousry in any way.

60. The defendants’ Utterances imply that SUSAN O’MALLEY gave Yousry or Susan Rosenberg emotional and or financial support, and or that SUSAN O’MALLEY somehow conspired with Yousry or Rosenberg to conduct criminal or “terrorist” activities at KCC or CUNY or elsewhere, and or that SUSAN O’MALLEY has a goal or “personal agenda” to find positions at KCC or CUNY for criminals or “terrorists”, and or that SUSAN O’MALLEY has criminal and “terrorist” friends and associates, any and all of which is false and published with the intent to malign SUSAN O’MALLEY’s good name and character.

61. Yousry, a faculty member, called the UFS, which represents all CUNY faculty, and asked if anyone had a teaching position and left his phone number at the office, and not with SUSAN O’MALLEY personally.

62. Upon information and belief, the UFS office personnel had access to Yousry's phone number.

63. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

64. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

65. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

66. As a result of the publication and the acts of the defendants, plaintiff fears that people who know her only through the defendants' false Utterances that she associates with "terrorists" and advocates violence will harm her.

67. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

68. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

69. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

70. Commencing on or about March 12, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 35, Number 4, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"MOHAMMED ON HER MIND!

O'MALLEY'S OBSESSION WITH FINDING JOBS FOR TERRORISTS

"Queen O'Malley was so obsessed with finding a job for Mohammed Yousry,...

and

Yes, yes, Mohammed was on her mind and she was not going to rest until she got this convicted terrorist a job."

and

Our questions are:

1) Has Queen O'Malley ever made a "Job Wanted" announcement like this for a non-convicted, non-violent, peace loving American educator for a job in CUNY? There are hundreds of qualified people looking for teaching jobs. Why does she prefer convicted terrorists who are bent on harming our people and our nation, over peace-loving Americans?

and

We believe that O'Malley was so obsessed with putting Mohammed back on the CUNY payroll, that it pretty much confirms what we said in our earlier Patriot Returns (Issue 35.3), i.e., that she is recruiting naïve, innocent members of the KCC faculty into her Queda-Camp, to infiltrate college and departmental Personnel and Budget Committees in her mission --- to recruit terrorists in CUNY. Given the opportunity, she will bring in all her indicted, convicted, and freed-on-bail, terrorist-friends.

Many of us know peace loving, law abiding, never-even-convicted-for-littering citizens who need work. How many law-abiding adjunct faculty have to worry about getting their two courses in order to hold onto medical benefits!? She does not worry about the "ordinary" adjunct -- but she is worried about convicted terrorists! She will take these precious courses away and give them to terrorists and terrorist sympathizers!

We at the Patriot take the liberty of asking you, our readers, a question: How many of you know, or have friends who know, a convicted terrorist and his or her home telephone number?

We sure don't and believe that you don't either. But, watch out -- Queen O'Malley does!"

71. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of vicious, disreputable, criminal and "terrorist" character, who supports "terrorist" activities at the present time in the United States, who actively goes out of her way to assist convicted criminals without any regard for the CUNY academic community, who acts purely for her own selfish reasons, and not for the best interest of the academic community, who believes in those who preach and practice terrorism or violence and murder and has the ability and influence to secure jobs for criminals and "terrorists" instead of and at the expense of decent upstanding citizens and law-abiding Americans.

72. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances and implications, UFS Chair SUSAN O'MALLEY's duties included representing all faculty of CUNY, (including Yousry, who was then a member of the faculty) each of whom she believes are entitled to due process.

73. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY was not "obsessed" with Yousry, or with finding him a job.

74. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, Yousry called the PSC, and did not call or speak with SUSAN O'MALLEY individually.

75. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

76. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

77. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

78. As a result of the publication and the acts of the defendants, plaintiff fears that people who know her only through the defendants' false Utterances that she associates with "terrorists" and advocates violence will harm her.

79. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

80. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR AN FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

81. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52", and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

82. Commencing on or about April 17, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 36, Number 4, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"O'MALLEY TO SEEK CUNY JOB OPPORTUNITIES FOR LYNN STEWART'S DAUGHTER ACCUSED OF FAKING SICK LEAVE

It has been rumored in CUNY circles that Susan O'Malley, Community College officer on the PSC Executive Committee was in contact with Brenna Stewart, 45 year old daughter of Lynn Stewart,"

and

“... O’Malley has obtained Brenna’s resume and made copies for distribution at the next Delegate Assembly. Similar to the appeal she made for terrorists Mohammed Yousry and Susan Rosenberg (The Patriot Returns Vol. 35, no. 3 and The Patriot Returns Vol.35 no. 4,) she plans again to use her PSC position to make a personal appeal to the DA to alert members to her wish for a job for Brenna in one of the CUNY units.”

and

“O’Malley intends to find a lucrative job for Brenna at CUNY. College Presidents, Department Chairs, be on the lookout. Brenna will be on your campus this summer, courtesy of the Queen of Released time, and you may be singled out as O’Malley’s patsy.”

83. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of vicious, disreputable, criminal and “terrorist” character, who supports “terrorist” activities at the present time in the United States, who actively goes out of her way to assist convicted criminals without any regard for the CUNY academic community, who acts purely for her own selfish reasons, and not for the best interest of the academic community, who believes in those who preach and practice terrorism or violence and murder and has the ability and influence to secure jobs for criminals and “terrorists” instead of and at the expense of decent upstanding citizens and law-abiding Americans.

84. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances, plaintiff SUSAN O’MALLEY has never met or talked to Brenna Stewart, never saw her resume, and therefore never circulated her resume and never intended to or discussed looking for a position for Brenna Stewart.

85. Contrary to the defendants’ Utterances and the implications contained therein, plaintiff SUSAN O’MALLEY believes in peaceful resolution of disputes and the rule of law, does not believe in “terrorism” or violence, and does not support “terrorist” activities.

86. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

87. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O’MALLEY.

88. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

89. As a result of the publication and the acts of the defendants, plaintiff fears that people who know her only through the defendants’ false Utterances that she associates with “terrorists” and advocates violence will harm her.

90. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

91. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

92. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" and "82" through "91" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

93. Commencing on or about September 11, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 37, Number 1, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"It is now OFFICIAL. The Queen is ABSENT from KCC AGAIN this year."
and

"The arrogance of being away for four years and immediately requesting a sabbatical at 80% of the top Full Professor salary! But now, after only one year's stint, still with many hours of released time, she is again away at 80% of salary! Plus, most likely, money from the PSC (that's our dues money). How conniving you can be when you are Queen! Obviously, the Queen doesn't like associating with those lowly peasants and serfs, otherwise known as working faculty. Just take the final step already, O'Malley: leave for good!"

94. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a thief, a person of dishonest and disreputable character, who works for her own selfish interests and against the best interests of the CUNY academic community, who improperly takes monies and or dues from the PSC and uses them for her own benefit, and further a person who dislikes and looks down on her academic colleagues and staff.

95. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY has always represented the faculty at KCC and CUNY in an honest manner and has never taken money or received money from the PSC.

96. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY has many friends and associates among her fellow faculty and would never call them "lowly peasants and serfs," which term implies that she is superior to them and which implication is false and defamatory.

97. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

98. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

99. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

100. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

101. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

102. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" and "82" through "91" and "93" through "101" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

103. Commencing on or about March 29, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 36, Number 2, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"She talks about her 'scholarly merit' but does not bring along her supposed publications. It makes one wonder just how 'scholarly' she really is."

104. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being unscholarly, lacking scholarly excellence, and characterizing her academic achievements dishonestly.

105. Contrary to the defendants' implication that SUSAN O'MALLEY's research was not "scholarly," SUSAN O'MALLEY has written and edited books and articles for publication, including by the University of Illinois Press, the University of Delaware Press, Oxford University Press, and SUNY Press, all of which prior to publication were peer reviewed to assure their scholarly merit.

106. Contrary to the defendants' implication, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY has presented papers, given lectures and participated in seminars at conferences sponsored by the Shakespeare Association of America, the Group for Early Modern Cultural Studies, the Modern Language Association, Attending to Early Modern Women, the Society for the Study of Women in the Renaissance, the English Forum at the CUNY Graduate School, Princeton University, and the International Conference on Higher Education in Ankara, Turkey, Columbia University, and the CUNY Graduate School.

107. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY was appointed to the Liberal Studies Program at the CUNY Graduate Center, which is an indication of CUNY's respect for SUSAN O'MALLEY's scholarship and teaching .

108. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

109. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

110. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

111. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

112. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

113. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" and "82" through "91" and "93" through "101" and "103" through "112" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

114. Commencing on or about October 6, 2006, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 35, Number 1, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"CUNY's Queen Abdicates!

The University Faculty Senate breathes
A SIGH OF RELIEF!!

Professor Susan O'Malley, longtime member of the English Department at Kingsborough Community College and a former editor of/contributor to the Radical Teacher, has returned, kicking and screaming, to her teaching position after four years as chair of the University Faculty Senate. Many considered her service there to be embarrassing, unproductive and harmful to the university. Long-suffering members of the UFS' staff were so delighted that--according to some reports--they threw a party in celebration of her departure at an undisclosed location in Chelsea. Numerous senators were so overjoyed that they, too, gathered at a watering hole in the Garment District and celebrated with a toast, or so rumor has it."

and

"THE RETURN OF THE QUEEN?

Prior to her elevation to the Senate, the Queen was seldom seen on her home campus. She was far too busy politicking for more released time."

and

"She considered demonstrating in front of Chancellor Goldstein's residence or conducting a candlelight vigil at KCC President Regina Peruggi's house."

115. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of lazy and disreputable character and who was working for her own selfish interests and against the best interests of the academic community for KCC and CUNY, that she dislikes teaching, mistrusts her staff and colleagues, and is in all respects a person who has failed to contribute anything of value to her students, CUNY governance and the CUNY academic community.

116. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY had a distinguished career as a scholar and teacher, and has made outstanding contributions to university governance and to the Faculty Staff Union.

117. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, during her term as Chair of the Faculty Senate, SUSAN O'MALLEY has acquired and retained a high standing and reputation among the CUNY academic community for her advocacy of high academic standards and accessibility of higher education, her humanity and intelligence.

118. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY has always conducted her professional duties with dignity and grace, and her service as UFS Chair has been distinguished and productive.

119. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY was honored with the Resolution of the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate honoring her service and diligent work, her humanity and intelligence and acclaiming her as a worthy and eminent leader emerita.

120. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, the term of the UFS Chair is limited to four years, SUSAN O'MALLEY served her full term as UFS Chair, and she did not "abdicate" her position.

121. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY likes and enjoys teaching and in fact, defines herself as a teacher as well as a scholar. During her four years as UFS Chair, she missed her students, and the classes she taught upon her return to teaching at KCC were rewarding and valued.

122. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, the only party was held by SUSAN O'MALLEY and the UFS Senators and staff to celebrate her four years as Chair of the UFS.

123. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, during her term as UFS Chair SUSAN O'MALLEY's primary workplace was at the CUNY Central Office, located on E. 80th St., New York, but in addition, she attended various administrative functions at KCC.

124. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, during her term as UFS Chair SUSAN O'MALLEY worked a minimum of 5 days per week, 8 hours per day, and often worked into the evening, on weekends and during the summer.

125. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, the UFS Chair is automatically reassigned from teaching to working full-time at the UFS Office in CUNY's Central Office, and such reassignment is approved by the Chancellor.

126. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY never politicked to be reassigned from her teaching duties.

127. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY has never demonstrated or conducted a candlelight vigil at the KCC's President Regina Peruggi's home.

128. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY has never discussed demonstrating or conducting a candlelight vigil at the KCC President Regina Peruggi's home.

129. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

130. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

131. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

132. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

133. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

134. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" and "82" through "91" and "93" through "101" and "103" through "112" and "114" through "133" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

135. Commencing on or about March 20, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 36, Number 1, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"IF YOU VOTE FOR THE "NO/ACTION" SLATE THIS IS WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT"

You will be required:...To participate in a candlelight vigil in front of President Peruggi's home. (After all, the New Caucus' Bowen/London did it in front of Chancellor Goldstein's home. Why not Lloyd/O'Malley in front of Peruggi's home?)"

136. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of disreputable character, who participated in a demonstration in front of Chancellor Goldstein's home and who advocated demonstrations of no value or merit for her own interest and against the best interest of the CUNY academic community.

137. At the time the Utterance was published, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY did not know the location of President Peruggi's home.

138. Plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY has never discussed demonstrating in front of President Peruggi's home.

139. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

140. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the

defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

141. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

142. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

143. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

144. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" and "82" through "91" and "93" through "101" and "103" through "112" and "114" through "133" and "135" through "143" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

145. Commencing on or about April 9, 2007, and continuing to the present day, the defendants published and circulated the following defamatory Utterances of and concerning the plaintiff in their Newsletter, Volume 36, Number 3, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, which contains the following matter, to wit:

"...of course, she's been AWOL [from KCC] for years!"

and

Know that the Queen of Released Time is running for this election not to serve you and the needs of KCC faculty, but for her own selfish interest."

and

"...[Susan O'Malley attended] a demonstration in front of Senator Joe Lieberman's Connecticut home last Easter Sunday, to oppose his support for fighting terrorists"

and

"ON YOUR DUES MONEY."

146. By this publication, the defendant falsely and maliciously charged and was understood by those people reading the Newsletter to charge the plaintiff with being a person of vicious, disreputable character, who works for her own selfish interests, and against the best interest of the CUNY academic community, who is of lazy, selfish and disreputable character, who supports the activities of "terrorists", and opposes those who fight "terrorists", that she is a thief and is only interested in avoiding teaching.

147. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances that SUSAN O'MALLEY has been AWOL from the KCC for years, SUSAN O'MALLEY was elected and served from 2002-2006 as the Chair of the UFS (the first Community College Professor to do so), attended College Counsel meetings at KCC, served as the representative for KCC and attended numerous committee meetings at KCC, all in service for and at KCC.

148. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, SUSAN O'MALLEY never attended a demonstration in front of Senator Joe Lieberman's Connecticut home to oppose his support for fighting "terrorists" or for any other reason.

149. Contrary to the defendants' Utterances, plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY has never received reassigned time from the current PSC leadership.

150. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants knew that the Utterances contained both false statements of facts and false implied statements, or recklessly failed to take the proper steps to ascertain the accuracy of the material.

151. At the time the defendants published the defamatory Utterances set forth above, the defendants acted willfully and with actual malice toward the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

152. As the result of the publication and the acts of the defendants in connection therewith, the plaintiff has been held up to public contempt, ridiculed, disgraced and prejudiced and has been irreparably injured in her good name and business reputation and has lost the esteem and respect of her colleagues and members of the KCC and CUNY Community.

153. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.

154. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

155. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "22" and "24" through "52" and "54" through "68" and "70" through "80" and "82" through "91" and "93" through "101" and "103" through "112" and "114" through "133" and "135 through "143" and "145" through "154" inclusive, of this complaint, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.

156. As a result of the defendants' false and hurtful Utterances in the Newsletter, on or about the dates set forth above, plaintiff has sustained severe mental and emotional anguish and distress.

157. The defendants outrageously intended to cause or recklessly caused plaintiff mental or emotional distress, mental anguish, and fear for her personal safety.

158. Defendants in inflicting severe mental and emotional distress upon SUSAN O'MALLEY, at all times acted willfully and with actual malice toward plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY.

160. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has suffered actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of action.


161. The amount of damages sought in this action exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, SUSAN O'MALLEY, demands judgment against the defendants as follows:

- A. On the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth and Tenth Causes of Action, awarding plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY actual and punitive damages in an amount to be determined upon the trial of this action;
- B. For an Order permanently enjoining the defendants from making any oral or written communication to any person, other than their attorneys, that suggest the plaintiff has committed unlawful acts or crimes, or that could foreseeably impugn the plaintiff's character, integrity or reputation in the opinion of an ordinary person;
- C. For an Order that all pleadings, papers, exhibits and other matter filed with the Court in this action be sealed;
- D. For an Order directing the defendants to publically apologize for their defamatory Utterances and to publish retractions of same;
- E. Awarding plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY her costs, and disbursements in this action; and
- F. Granting the plaintiff SUSAN O'MALLEY such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
December 21, 2007

JOSEPH MARTIN CARASSO, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
SUSAN O'MALLEY
305 Broadway, Suite 1204
New York, NY 10007
(212) 732-0500



By: Joseph Martin Carasso

To:

THE SILBER LAW FIRM, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
SHARAD KARKHANIS
30 Vesey Street, 2nd Floor
New York, New York 10007

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SUSAN O'MALLEY

Plaintiff,

Index No.:
11360/2007

-against-

VERIFICATION

SHARAD KARKHANIS, "JOHN DOE" and
"JANE DOE"

Defendant.

-----X
STATE OF NEW YORK)
COUNTY OF NEW YORK) SS.:

Joseph Martin Carasso, an attorney admitted to practice in
the Courts of New York State, shows:

I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff, SUSAN O'MALLEY,
in the within action, and as such am fully familiar with all the
facts and circumstances therein.


That the foregoing COMPLAINT is true to the knowledge of
deponent, except as to those matters therein stated to be alleged
upon information and belief, and that as to those matters
deponent believes it to be true.

Deponent further states that the reason this Complaint is
Verified made by deponent and not by Plaintiff, SUSAN O'MALLEY,
is that the Plaintiff reside outside the County of New York,
where deponent maintains his office.

Deponent further states that the sources of his knowledge
and information are reports of investigations, conversations,
writings, memoranda and other data concerning the subject matter
of the litigation.

The undersigned attorney affirms that the foregoing
statements are true, under the penalties of perjury and pursuant
to Rule 2106 CPLR.

Dated: New York, New York
December 20, 2007



Joseph Martin Carasso

EXHIBIT A

The Resolution states as follows:

Whereas, Susan O'Malley has had a long career dedicated to governance both at Kingsborough and at the University-wide level, having been the elected Chair of the University Faculty Senate since 2002, and

Whereas, She is one of CUNY's foremost advocates for combining high academic standards with accessibility to higher education for all CUNY students, and

Whereas, For decades she has not just talked about these goals but has worked diligently and efficaciously towards accomplishing them, and

Whereas, She has exemplified great humanity and intelligence in negotiating the political maelstrom that often challenges the attainment of both goals, and

Whereas, She has continued to publish and to maintain the life of the mind even during her time as Chair, and

Whereas, She has ably represented the Senate before the Board of Trustees on important issues such as academic freedom, the integrity of degree programs, and the prerogatives of faculty to determine academic policy, and

Whereas, She has seen through to completion such important initiatives as the Faculty Experience Survey, and has organized splendid conferences,

Therefore, Be It Resolved, That the University Faculty Senate expresses its heartfelt appreciation to Susan O'Malley for putting her many talents at its disposal for four years, and

Be It Further Resolved, That the University Faculty Senate looks forward to her future contributions, and

Be It Finally Resolved, That the University Faculty Senate acclaims Susan O'Malley as its worthy and eminent leader emerita.

EXHIBIT B

JOSEPH MARTIN CARASSO
Attorney at Law
305 Broadway, Suite 1204
New York, New York 10007
212-732-0500

April 18, 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL

Sharad Karkhanis
2138 Brigham Street
Brooklyn, NY 11229

Re: Professor Susan O'Malley
Defamation claim -- "The Patriot Returns"

Dear Mr. Karkhanis:

Please be advised that this office represents Professor Susan O'Malley with respect to the above matter.

We have reviewed the publication entitled "The Patriot Returns," Vol. 35, No. 4, March 12, 2007, which has been sent via email to members of the faculty, staff, and administration of Kingsborough Community College; members of the CUNY faculty and staff throughout the University; the Chancellery; and the Board of Trustees.

The publication contains several false, damaging, and defamatory statements regarding Professor O'Malley including the following:

1. That she is obsessed with finding jobs for terrorists and, in particular, for Mohammed Yousry
2. **"...she is recruiting naive, innocent members of the KCC faculty into her Queda-Camp, to infiltrate college and departmental Personnel and Budget Committees in her mission --- to recruit terrorists in CUNY."**
3. "Given the opportunity she will bring in all her indicted, convicted and freed-on-bail terrorist friends"
4. "She does not worry about the "ordinary" adjunct -- but she *is* worried about convicted terrorists!"

years

Mail or Personal mail.
Certified

sted to provide and affix postage
receive a fee Certified Mail

to the at
k the mailpi

please press
x on the C
age and m:

making a:


5. "She will take these precious courses away and give them to terrorists and terrorists sympathizers"

In addition, prior publications of the "The Patriot Returns" contain similar defamatory statements, including allegations that Professor O'Malley "is running a training camp for Al-Queda," and that she is a "devious, dangerous and untrustworthy person."

The statements are made with actual malice, that is knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard of whether they are true. They are intended to inflict harm through their falsehood. The statements were made to injure Professor O'Malley's reputation and to lower the opinion of her in the CUNY community.

You are hereby requested to retract the above defamatory statements immediately and to refrain from making any other defamatory statements. Please be advised that pursuant to New York law, a verdict in a defamation case may include recovery of general damages, special damages and punitive damages. Unless you issue a full retraction of these defaming statements and send it to the email-list to which the March 12 "The Patriot Returned" was sent, and cease making any further statements of a defamatory nature, we will seek all legal remedies against you, including punitive damages.

Very truly yours,


Joseph Martin Carasso

JMC/gl

Cc. Professor Susan O'Malley
President Regina Peruggi
Kingsborough Community College

JOSEPH MARTIN CARASSO
 Attorney at Law
 305 Broadway, Suite 1204
 New York, New York 10007
 212-732-0500

April 18, 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL

Sharad Karkhanis
 2138 Bringham Street
 Brooklyn, NY 11229

Re: Professor Susan O'Malley
 Defamation claim - "Th

Dear Mr. Karkhanis:

Please be advised that this o
 above matter.

We have reviewed the public
 12, 2007, which has been se
 administration of Kingsboro
 staff throughout the Univers.

The publication contains sev
 Professor O'Malley includin

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee	
1. Article Addressed to:		B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery
Sharad Karkhanis 2138 Bringham Street Brooklyn, NY 11229		D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)		3. Service Type:	
7006 2150 0004 7259 7610		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
PS Form 3811, February 2004		4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Domestic Return Receipt		102595-02-M-15	

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$ 0.39	Postmark Here
Certified Fee	2.40	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	1.85	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 4.64	

Sent To: SHARAD KARKHANIS
 Street, Apt. No. or PO Box No. 2138 BRIGHAM STREET
 City, State, ZIP+4: BROOKLYN NY 11229

sed with finding jobs for terrorists and, in
 hammed Yousry
 ing naive, innocent members of the KCC faculty
 Camp, to infiltrate college and departmental
 budget Committees in her mission --- to recruit
 NY."
 tunity she will bring in all her indicted, convicted
 l terrorist friends"
 orry about the "ordinary" adjunct -- but she is
 nvicted terrorists!"

EXHIBIT C

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS

Vol. 35, No.2

February 06, 2007

TERRORIST TO TEACH AT KCC?



*SAY NO TO THE QUEEN OF
RELEASED TIME!*



The Patriot believes that the current actions of the Queen of Released Time, Susan O'Malley, may be rooted in her childhood.

Once upon a time, when Susan O'Malley was a little girl, her grandma told her a fairy tale at bed time. There was an imaginary Queen who led a comfortable life doing absolutely nothing, pretending that she was busy. The Queen, however, was busy recruiting pirates, robbers and crooks to overthrow the King, and rule the Empire.

Susan began to dream of a comfortable life like the Queen in the Fairy Tale.



She would be a professor in an urban environment, where she will search for terrorists and criminals with whom she will make friends, terrorizing the establishment who will give her whatever released time she desires.

Susan hails from a wealthy family, so money was never an issue. She decided that it should not be an issue for anyone. So advocating for a union that champions criminals and terrorists, while cutting ordinary folks' salaries, was just *perfect*.

Susan grew up in comfortable America with the safety of life, liberty and property. After graduation, she joined an urban university to teach English. By intimidation and by joining radical groups, she became the leader of the University Faculty Senate, solely for the purpose of enjoying the good life, without having to dirty her hands with chalk.

In the year 2004, Susan O'Malley found out that Susan Rosenberg was asked to leave her adjunct position at John Jay College of Criminal Justice. It was the perfect time for O'Malley to come to help a convicted criminal. As will be remembered, Rosenberg had been released from prison after serving 16 years of a 58-year sentence (Clinton pardon) for the possession of more than 700 pounds of explosives and a large stockpile of illegal weapons. She was

also a member of a radical terrorist outfit called "The Family". Rosenberg was also a suspect in a 1981 robbery that left three people dead in Nyack, New York.

O'Malley wrote a letter to the Daily News and posted it on the Senate Forum on December 17, 2004. Admitting Rosenberg's "felony conviction," O'Malley pronounced that decisions as to who should be teaching at a college should not be based on the wishes of groups external to the college. She signed the letter with her affiliations as Chair of the Faculty Senate and Trustee ex-officio, as though members of these groups had endorsed the ideas expressed in the letter. Does Susan think that Colleges and Universities make decisions without any consideration and regard for the safety, security and morality of society outside their physical parameters? Does Susan want to bring Rosenberg to teach at KCC?

Date: Fri, 17 Dec 2004 12:06:48 -0500

Reply-To: SENATE-FORUM@LISTS****.****.EDU

Sender: CUNY UFS Announcement-only list <UFS-NEWS@L*****.CU**.EDU >

From: Susan.O'Malley@****.cuny.edu

Subject: Rosenberg case

To: UFS-NEWS@*****.CUNY.EDU

Precedence: list

This is the letter that I sent to the Daily News last night. I do not know if it will be published.

I have always been proud that one of the goals of the U.S. criminal justice system is rehabilitation. A person who has served time for a crime is supposed to be integrated back into society after being released from jail. Unfortunately this has not been the case for Professor Susan Rosenberg. A writer herself, Rosenberg has team taught a course on prison writing at John Jay College of Criminal Justice/CUNY over the last four years. She has never hidden the fact of her felony conviction. Because of complaints to Jeremy Travis, John Jay's impressive new president, by the Police Emerald Society, which objects to Rosenberg's association with people convicted for the 1981 Rockland County Brinks robbery - she herself was never tried for that crime - Rosenberg is not being allowed to teach again at John Jay College. Rosenberg did, in fact, serve 18 years for the possession of a large quantity of explosives and guns in her apartment discovered 23 years ago. She has been evaluated satisfactorily by her department which had planned for her to teach next fall. This action by the John Jay president is particularly ironic as President Travis is a renowned expert on the re-entry of prisoners into society. Decisions as to who should be teaching at a college should not be based on the wishes of groups external to the college.

Dr. Susan O'Malley

*Chair, CUNY Faculty Senate
Trustee ex-officio*

But this was not enough.

Mohamed Yousry was an interpreter and translator appointed by the Court to assist in the trial of Sheikh Omar Abdul Rahman, the blind Egyptian cleric who was convicted in 1996 of plotting terrorist attacks against various sites in the New York City area, including the World Trade Center. On February 10, 2005, Yousry was tried and convicted in Federal court, along with attorney Lynne Stewart, of conspiring to provide, and providing, material support to terrorism, and conspiring to defraud the United States government. YOUSRY WAS, IN OTHER WORDS, PUTTING PLANS INTO THE HANDS OF THOSE WHO ARE PLOTTING TO KILL US - YOU AND I - AND OUR LOVED ONES.

Let us now look at Susan O'Malley's October 19, 2006 posting on the Senate Forum, just three days after Mohammed Yousry was sentenced to prison. Is she worried about the safety of innocents? Is she worried about OUR safety? Of course not! She wrote, "I wonder if Mr. Yousry would like to find adjunct work now. The NY Times article said he was living in Connecticut and was having trouble finding work."

Date: Thu, 19 Oct 2006 07:18:07 EDT

Reply-To: CUNY UFS Discussion Forum <SENATE-FORUM@LIST*****.C***.EDU>

Sender: CUNY UFS Discussion Forum <SENATE-FORUM@LIST****.CU**.EDU >

From: Susan O'Malley <Email address removed for privacy@***.COM >

Subject: Re: Yousry Gets The Last Laugh

To: SENATE-FORUM@LIST****.CU**.EDU

List-Help: <http://listserv.cuny.edu/Scripts/wa.exe?LIST=SENATE-FORUM > ,

<mailto:LIST*****@LIST****.CUNY.EDU?body=INFO SENATE-FORUM >

List-Unsubscribe:<mailto:SENATE-FORUM-unsubscribe-request@LIST***.CUNY.EDU>

List-Subscribe: <mailto:SENATE-FORUM-subscribe-request@LIST***.CUNY.EDU >

List-Owner: <mailto:SENATE-FORUM-request@LIST****.CUNY.EDU >

List-Archive: <http://listserv.cuny.edu/Scripts/wa.exe?LIST=SENATE-FORUM >

I wonder if it Mr. Yousry would like to find adjunct work now. The NY Times article said he was living in Connecticut and was having trouble finding work.

Susan O'Malley

*CUNY Faculty Senate <http://www.cuny.edu/ufs>
535 E. 80th St . New York , NY 10021
212 794-5538*

Was Susan trying to open an opportunity for Yousry in KCC's English Department? Was she thinking that as a Very Important Person in the University she would pressure the Chair and members of the English Department's P & B to hire Mr. Yousry? She probably thought that with all that experience transmitting written screeds and instructions from the Sheik to his terrorist minions, Yousry should be able to teach our KCC students with ease. If Mr. Yousry ever lands at KCC, be assured it is courtesy of the Queen of Released Time.

Susan believes in those who preach and practice revolutionary violence, like Susan Rosenberg. She supports the terrorist Yousry who aided fellow terrorists and murderers in a most substantive way, by conveying instructions for murderous activities, directed against us all.

The Patriot will start a petition to give Susan O'Malley as much released time as she wants. Just keep her out of KCC and CUNY.

You've reached retirement age, Susan. Retirement means full released time!

THE RUMOR COLUMN

LYNN STEWART AT THE PSC?

In October this year, campus cafeterias and hallways were filled with hush hush rumors: that the slap-on-the-wrist October sentencing of Lynn Stewart to 28 months in jail will herald her joining the staff of the PSC. This would be, of course, courtesy of the Queen of Released Time for her idol in distress. Stewart was convicted, along with Yousry, of conspiring to provide, and providing, material support to terrorism and for conspiring to defraud the United States government. With her connections, Stewart could easily manage the paperwork and messages from the group with links to Bin Laden, which involved the overthrow of Mubarak's Egypt and violence in the U.S. O'Malley will have no trouble pushing Lynn's appointment as a PSC

attorney with a sizable salary, to assist the PSC's current convicted-felon attorney, Nathaniel Charney.

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS

Vol. 35, No.3

March 07, 2007

O'MALLEY-QUEDA TRAINING
CAMP:
FINDING JOBS FOR TERRORISTS
A KCC EXCLUSIVE!

We believe that the newly formed "Never-Any-Action Caucus" is the creation of Susan O'Malley (aka Queen of Released Time). Her major goal is to establish a Training Camp to recruit and train, at Kingsborough, people like herself -- misguided, misdirected, misinformed.

O'Malley seeks to find jobs at KCC and other CUNY colleges for people like Mohammed Yousry. [As we've previously mentioned] Yousry was an interpreter appointed by the Court to assist in the trial of Sheikh Omar Abdul Rahman, the blind Egyptian cleric who was convicted in 1996 of plotting terrorist attacks in New York City, including the World Trade Center. On February 10, 2005, Yousry was convicted in Federal court of conspiring to provide, and providing, material support to terrorism, and conspiring to defraud the United States government. *Yousry was, in other words, putting plans into the hands of those who, even today, are plotting to kill us -- you and me -- and our loved ones.* O'Malley doesn't care about us -- her only concern is that Yousry should teach at CUNY.

O'Malley has also been job-searching for Susan Rosenberg, who was released from prison after serving 16 years of a 58-year sentence (Clinton pardon) for the possession of more than 700 pounds of explosives and a large stockpile of illegal weapons. Rosenberg was also a member of a radical terrorist outfit called "The Family", and was a suspect in a 1981 robbery that left three people dead in Nyack, New York. O'Malley, though, doesn't care about us -- her only concern is that Rosenberg should teach at CUNY.

Let's meet some "O'Malley-ites": signatories on the
"Never any action" slate.

Some questions (and answers)

Susan Farrell

How many years has she been at KCC?

- A. One
- B. Four
- C. Fifteen
- D. Seventy
- E. Two

CORRECT ANSWER: (C) Fifteen

In all those years, how many Kingsborough union meetings has she attended?

- A. None
- B. None
- C. None
- D. None

E. All of the above

CORRECT ANSWER: (E) All of the above

Susan Farrell's interest in the KCC chapter has been nonexistent. She's had no involvement or commitment to campus union activity nor has she ever been part of the KCC family's interest in pension, prescription drugs or other work related issues. She never attended meetings and never contributed in any way. Although we are mesmerized by this sudden burst of energy and involvement, we think she is a Training Camp recruit, a pawn of the Queen . . .

Robert Singer

How many years has he been at Kingsborough?

A. One

B. Four

C. Sixteen

D. Seventy

E. Two

CORRECT ANSWER: (C) Sixteen

In all those years, how many Kingsborough union meetings has he attended?

A. None

B. None

C. None

D. None

E. All of the above

CORRECT ANSWER: (E) All of the above

Why would a fellow like Robert Singer get involved with Susan O'Malley and her "never-any-action" caucus? He has been *inactive* for so long that "*it fits.*" We think that he, too, is a Training Camp recruit and a pawn of the Queen . . .

The Threesome: Arnov/Putz and Arnov's wife (Eleanor Bader)

Jack Arnov and Robert Putz have in their many years at KCC done nothing to advance themselves. Appointed as Assistant Professor when a doctorate was not required, Arnov has done nothing since. Bob Putz was appointed Assistant Professor, turned Lecturer.

Now, a question: IF THESE GUYS WOULDN'T DO ANYTHING FOR THEMSELVES, WHAT DO YOU THINK THEY WILL DO TO ADVANCE YOUR CAUSE? ABSOLUTELY ZERO. THEY WILL SIT ON THEIR BUTTS AND DO NOTHING. More recruits, pawns of the self-anointed Queen O'Malley . . .

And Patrick Lloyd?

The latest recruit to the O'Malley-Queda camp is entirely devoid of experience and seriously misguided. Does anyone think that this adolescent is going to represent your interests and career? Give me a break!

We believe that the above mentioned KCC individuals were selected for the O'Malley-Queda Recruitment Camp because she thinks that 1) they all are naive and gullible, and 2) through them, she can infiltrate the Departmental and College wide P. & B.s at KCC and at other CUNY colleges to push her PERSONAL AGENDA of finding jobs for Yousry, Rosenberg and other terrorists.

Meanwhile, remember: the Queen of Released Time is a devious, dangerous, and untrustworthy person. It may be wise to steer clear.

More to come on the Queen . . .

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS

Vol. 35, No.4

March 12, 2007

MOHAMMED ON HER MIND!
O'MALLEY'S OBSESSION WITH
FINDING JOBS FOR TERRORISTS

It's almost unbelievable, but sending e-mails to the Senate Forum was not enough. Queen O'Malley was so obsessed with finding a job for Mohammed Yousry, the convicted co-conspirator of Lynn Stewart in the blind Sheik Abdul Rahman's role in the 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center, that she went to the **University Faculty Senate's October 24, 2006 Plenary Session** ([Click for the minutes of the meeting. Scroll down to about a third of the page](#)) and pleaded with assembled delegates to find him a job. This is what she said:

Professor O'Malley - Do you think CUNY could hire Mohammed Yousry? What do you think? I have his phone number. I could find out if he wants to be hired and if anyone would like to try to hire him. I'm just throwing it out; I don't know. I know that it's on appeal but it's becoming increasingly clear that he really did just about nothing.

To which Professor Beaky of LaGuardia responded "I don't think I have

an answer to that question. Others may. As you may or may not know he's been sentenced to 20 months and that is under appeal at the present time."

Thereupon UFS Chair Philip politely cut-off the discussion by saying, "Thank you, Lenore, for your very interesting report. The next item on our agenda is..."

Yes, yes, Mohammed was on her mind and she was not going to rest until she got this convicted terrorist a job. We do not know how many people she buttonholed, or telephoned, or e-mailed for him. But we sure do know that she used her position as a former Chair of the UFS to be recognized at the plenary and sneak in this "Job Wanted for the terrorist" ad. She even made sure to notify the delegates that she had his telephone number and could contact him.

Our questions are:

1) Has Queen O'Malley ever made a "Job Wanted" announcement like this for a non-convicted, non-violent, peace loving American educator for a job in CUNY? There are hundreds of qualified people looking for teaching jobs. Why does she prefer convicted terrorists who are bent on harming our people and our nation, over peace-loving Americans?

2) During her six year tenure as the Chair of the UFS, did she ever give UFS delegates an opportunity to make announcements of this nature? If not, why not?

3) Being on the PSC's Executive Committee, she knew that Yousry, fired from his adjunct position at York in April 2002, was provided with all legal and contractual protection - from "step one", all the way up to arbitration (AAA Case no. 13-390-00960-03. Arbitrator: Alan A. Symonette. Decision date Jan. 16, 2004.), which cost thousand of dollars, money which came from dues paying members. She knew that in Arbitration, Yousry lost big. In the process, the PSC lost a longstanding timely-notification privilege that had been enjoyed by thousands of adjuncts for many, many years. One had to be really stupid to think that the CUNY administration was going to roll out a welcome mat in CUNY for this terrorist and put him back on the payroll after his conviction in Federal Court and after CUNY prevailed in arbitration.

We believe that O'Malley was so obsessed with putting Mohammed back on the CUNY payroll, that it pretty much confirms what we said in our earlier *Patriot Returns* (Issue 35.3), i.e., that *she is recruiting naive, innocent members of the KCC faculty into her Queda-Camp, to infiltrate college and departmental Personnel and Budget Committees in her mission --- to recruit terrorists in CUNY.* Given the opportunity, she will bring in all her indicted, convicted, and freed-on-bail, terrorist-friends.

Many of us know peace loving, law abiding, never-even-convicted-for-littering citizens who need work. How many law-abiding adjunct faculty have to worry about getting their two courses in order to hold onto medical benefits!? She does not worry about the "ordinary" adjunct -- but she *is* worried about convicted terrorists! She will take these precious courses away and give them to terrorists and terrorist sympathizers!

We at the Patriot take the liberty of asking you, our readers, a question:

How many of you know, or have friends who know, a convicted terrorist and his or her home telephone number?

We sure don't and believe that you don't either. But, watch out --

Queen O'Malley does!

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.


If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
 RETURNS

Vol. 36, No.4

April 17, 2007

*BARBARA BOWEN CAN'T PRINT
 SIMPLE BALLOTS CORRECTLY,*



*CAN SHE BE TRUSTED TO COUNT
 THE BALLOTS CORRECTLY?*

The chapter elections currently in progress have been conducted by the PSC in a totally incompetent fashion. Or in a deliberately dishonest fashion. You decide.

There are 3 contested chapter elections this year, and there have been serious errors made on printed ballots sent to members' homes in each of those elections. Curiously, the New-Caucus controlled elections committee made these "mistakes" only on ballot information for slates opposing Bowen's New Caucus group.

C:\Program Files\Internet Explorer\IEXPLORE.EXE

At BMCC, the slate name of BMCC FACULTY SLATE was incorrect on the ballot (appearing instead as "BMCC Caucus Slate", designed to confuse the voters?)

For the HEOs, the HEOS NOW SLATE appears on the ballot as the NOW SLATE (also designed to confuse).

At KCC, a member of the non-New Caucus YOUR FACULTY SLATE was omitted from the ballot, and another person's name repeated instead.

How hard is it to copy a short list of words and names, anyway!? The PSC has been conducting Chapter and General elections every year since its inception - for over thirty years! By this time, they should know the mechanics of conducting an election by heart! The PSC gives the final copies of slate and candidate names to the American Arbitration Association for printing. We believe that before printing, the AAA must send final copy back to the PSC for proof reading for accuracy. Unless the PSC submits wrong information to the AAA, these types of errors do not occur.

How could this happen? Shouldn't the blame rightly be placed on the doorsteps of Bowen and London? Are these not Bowen's dirty tricks? Is this happening because several chapters have candidates opposing Bowen's New Caucus candidates?

Of course, Bowen and London might blame it all on Election Committee Chair Frank Deale of CUNY Law School. But it's more likely they'll blame the "staff" or a secretary. The staff members depend on Bowen for their jobs, so they'll be forced - like hostages in Iran - to say that they are guilty. But THREE MAJOR ERRORS - and NONE for New Caucus slates!???? Come on! Those guys truly believe that they can pull one over the CUNY Faculty, but we of the instructional Staff weren't born yesterday!

WHY NO SIGNATURE?

We also wonder about the absence of signature requirements on the Voting Instructions sent with the ballots. By not requiring members to sign their names on the outer envelopes, a practice used in earlier years as a guarantee against stuffing the ballots by the Union leadership, Barbara can now print more ballots, have them marked for candidates she favors, and

mail them herself. One need not be a rocket scientist to figure out what Barbara is up to when you see the absence of Signature requirement on the outer envelopes in the balloting instructions.

Again we ask: WHY NO SIGNATURE? WHY THIS CHANGE FROM PAST PRACTICE?

MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD

We recommend that all members send letters or emails expressing outrage at this corruption and at the disgraceful treatment of PSC members in the elections process by the Bowen-London team.

Write to:

New York State United Teachers (NYSUT)
President Richard C. Iannuzzi,
800 Troy-Schenectady Road
Latham , NY 12110-2455
Tel. No. 518-213-6000
Email: nyteach@nysutmail.org

And to:

American Federation of Teachers (AFT)
President Edward J. McElroy
555 New Jersey Ave. N.W.
Washington , DC 20001

For email, go to www.aft.org, click on "Contact Us" & address your letter to Edward McElroy, President

THE RUMOR COLUMN

O'MALLEY TO SEEK CUNY JOB OPPORTUNITIES
FOR LYNN STEWART'S DAUGHTER ACCUSED OF
FAKING SICK LEAVE

12/20/2007 2:05 PM

It has been rumored in CUNY circles that Susan O'Malley, Community College officer on the PSC Executive Committee was in contact with Brenna Stewart, 45 year old daughter of Lynn Stewart, long before the news hit the papers on April 12th that Brenna has been accused of faking sick days and is about to be fired from her job as a gym teacher in a Bronx high school. According to the *Daily News*, from October 2005 to January 2007, Brenna submitted "false notes" for eight absences "including one in which she altered the date on her aunt's death certificate so that she could take a bereavement day three years after the woman actually passed away." Unconfirmed reports indicate that O'Malley has obtained Brenna's resume and made copies for distribution at the next Delegate Assembly. Similar to the appeal she made for terrorists Mohammed Yousry and Susan Rosenberg (*The Patriot Returns Vol. 35, no. 3* and *The Patriot Returns Vol.35 no. 4*), she plans again to use her PSC position to make a personal appeal to the DA to alert members to her wish for a job for Brenna in one of the CUNY units. Richard Condon, the school's investigator, has called Brenna's alleged crime "fraud and larceny" and has recommended that she be fired. But according to our sources, O'Malley intends to find a lucrative job for Brenna at CUNY. College Presidents, Department Chairs, be on the lookout. Brenna will be on your campus this summer, courtesy of the Queen of Released time, and you may be singled out as O'Malley's patsy.

DUES INCREASES?

In the inner circle of the Bowen/London camp at PSC offices, there are hush hush, but serious discussions about the appropriate timing and the amount of soon-to-be-increased dues. Although the PSC in its Demand #29 asked for 250 additional released time hours for themselves (equivalent to 9 to 12 full-paid positions!), and although the cost of these positions comes out of members' potential salary increases, they think even this is not enough. Increasing membership dues so they can buy even more released time is easier. They can get it approved by a spineless, rubber-stamp Delegate Assembly faster than through negotiations.

WHAT BARBARA REALLY WANTS THE HIDDEN DEMANDS!

Demand No. 11A) Reassigned Time: All members of the instructional staff shall receive substantial time to engage in discussion pertaining to world issues, especially polygamy, monogamy, boycott of chocolate and asparagus, etc. organized by Jim Perlstein, Chair of the Peace and "My-Idea-Of-" Justice Committee. The cost of this time will be deducted from instructional staff salaries.

Demand No. 21A) Pet Medical Leave: Employees will be allowed 15 days a year to take care of their domestic pets' illnesses, and other emergencies. The cost of funding these leave days will be deducted from projected instructional staff salaries.

Demand No. 21B) Pet Care: While dogs and cats are generally already cared for, this provision will require colleges to establish, on each campus, a licensed Pet Care Center for the use of heretofore unrecognized pets, including sparrows, pigeons, snakes and rodents. Colleges will provide 10 minutes of "Petting Break" every three hours for faculty with pets in the Pet Care Center. The cost of maintaining these facilities will be deducted from already-pitiful projected instructional staff salaries.

Demand No. 31A) Yet More Reassigned Time for Union Officers. This time will be exclusively designated for protests, marches and vigils at the residences of the Chancellor and College Presidents, and for marches in support of such venerated celebrities as Hugo Chavez and Osama Bin Laden. The cost of this time will be deducted from already-pitiful instructional staff salaries.

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com>
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS

Vol. 37, No.1

September 11, 2007

SHAME! SHAME! SHAME!
COMMONER DEFEATS THE QUEEN!




Never in her history of more than two decades at CUNY did Susan O'Malley, Queen of Released Time, suffer such a devastating defeat as she did in last Spring's PSC/CUNY Chapter election at Kingsborough Community College. Most painful is that her opponent was an ordinary commoner, a quiet, mild mannered professor who takes pride in his biological research and experiments in the college labs. A man of the common people, loved by his students and respected by his colleagues, Ted Markus of YOUR FACULTY SLATE received 239 votes for the office of Vice Chairperson as compared to a mere 106 votes for the Queen. This monumental victory, Ted's almost 70% over the Queen's meager 30%, is an indication that the Queen is DONE. This humiliating defeat was

shocking for the Queen, for she was so sure of her victory. Coming from a large department, she was trounced by a Commoner from a small department.

How could this have happened? Can it be that members of the KCC faculty were upset about Queen O'Malley's *Radical Teacher* article, in which she attacks the late President Goldstein for an innocent comment? And that she attacked a man after his death when he could not defend himself? ([Click Here](#)) This defeat has sealed the Queen's fate in the University and the PSC for any elective office.

SWAMI PREDICTIONS CORRECT!

O'Malley Sabbatical Now in Effect
Secret Connivance Confirmed



World renowned Swami Vivekananda Upanideshi, adviser to Prime Minister Indira Gandhi of India, Margaret Thatcher of Great Britain and Bill Clinton of the United States, told us eight months ago that the planetary positions were such that he saw the Queen of Released Time on the European continent this past Summer and during this Fall semester. ([The Swami's Prediction](#))

It is now OFFICIAL. The Queen is ABSENT from KCC AGAIN this year.

We are flabbergasted! The Queen was *secretly conniving and planning for a Fall sabbatical while running for chapter Vice Chairperson in the Kingsborough election!* How dishonest it was that she never ever told the faculty that she was planning to rule by remote control. She thought that she could run as Vice Chair, win and leave the adolescent who ran for

Chair, afloat in a sea of childish inexperience!

Instructional staff may recall that when O'Malley reappeared at KCC last year after four long years of absence (and many prior years of near-absence) she immediately applied for a sabbatical. She was correctly denied this: the arrogance of being away for four years and immediately requesting a sabbatical at 80% of the top Full Professor salary! But now, after only one year's stint, still with many hours of released time, she is again away at 80% of salary! Plus, most likely, money from the PSC (that's our dues money). How conniving you can be when you are Queen!

Obviously, the Queen doesn't like associating with those lowly peasants and serfs, otherwise known as working faculty. Just take the final step already, O'Malley: leave for good!

Prayer for the Queen's Abstinence

Her 8th Deadly Sin: Lust for Released Time

Oh Ethereal Spirit, have mercy on the Queen of Released Time even though she suffered a staggering defeat by a Commoner in last Spring's election.

Oh Ethereal Spirit, show her a way to retirement so that she may leave for the land of that real Queen in England where a Commoner dares not challenge the Queen.

Oh Ethereal Spirit, help the Queen of Released Time settle down in Kentucky, a land of horse lovers. Teach her to bet on the horses with the Commoners.

Oh Ethereal Spirit, help the Queen of Released time free herself from intimate friendship with Battlin' Barb Bowen.

Oh Ethereal Sprit, help the Queen come down to Earth. Make her teach remedial courses for once in her life, like the Commoners in her department.

GROW UP, PATRICK!

Well, well, well. KCC faculty are now made to suffer emails from Patrick Lloyd - duplicates of those *already* sent by the Central PSC, or by KCC Chapter officers. He clutters KCC computers and wastes the valuable time of faculty, who delete them while cursing this annoyance.

News Flash, Patrick: you lost the election. You are neither a Chapter Chair, nor a member of the KCC Executive Committee. Accept it. You ran and lost BIG because you talked, walked and acted like an adolescent. Perhaps you are being paid off in released time from Barbara Bower for sending these e-mails (hmmmm..... are you?), but you again exhibit classic adolescent behavior. Would you please GROW UP. Behave like an ADULT. Stop wasting our time. Peace.

The Rumor Column

Charny Defects to the CIA!

Ever since the PSC decided to retain the services of Nathaniel Charny as Director of Legal Affairs, we've heard rumors that our Dear Leader was less than enamored with this choice. Not only was he a convicted felon who had played a role in a Teamster's fund raising scandal, but the union still had to shell out considerable sums of (your) dues monies to other attorneys to handle myriad legal matters. That the counselor's days were numbered at Barbara's new Broadway Bunker did not surprise us.

We were shocked, however, to learn that his new employer was none other than the CIA! How could this be, we wondered? A progressive, left-thinking labor lawyer at large among spies and spooks? Perhaps he would be doing pro-bono work for Mohammed Yousry or the boys in Gitmo. Or had he been a government plant in the ranks of the PSC, reporting on New Caucus radicals to the likes of Alberto Gonzales?

Our readers can imagine our relief when we learned that he was not heading for Langley, VA, but simply being sent up the river to Hyde Park, NY and the Culinary Institute of America. There he'll be an assistant professor of business management. How extensive his professional

http://www.patriotreturns.com/editions/vol37n01.htm

experience in matters culinary is not known to us. But we do hope that while at the PSC he had the chance to learn a thing or two from Barbara and Steve about cooking the books.

Contract Dictions

Now that the PSC has aggressively entered the information age, the membership is being favored with virtual pronouncements and proclamations from the Dear Leader, and with regular e-updates via This Week at the PSC. To the degree that these are moves towards transparency, we welcome them. But given from where they emanate, we'll still opt for caution rather than celebration.

A case in point is the ongoing negotiation for the soon to expire contract. We've learned that the university's proposed financial package is scarcely a generous one, and that there are no across the board pay increases on the table. This, indeed, is troubling, and we hope that the "Oddest Couple" of Barbara and Matt will not be presenting us with yet another de facto pay cut as they did in May 2006.

We've also learned that the PSC bargaining team is particularly incensed by 80th Street's proposal for a marked reduction in the number of hours of reassigned time that Barbara will be able to dole out to her favorites. Imagine that? Instead of being paid not to teach, New Caucus cadres might have to carry 21 or 27 hour loads like the rest of us. Heaven forefend!

Nor have we read much about the new "improved" academic calendar--the one that had most in the classroom on the week of August 27th. We have heard rumors, tho', the PSC is willing to consider sending us back to work even earlier, if the above-mentioned reassigned time for Barbara's mignons is protected. Such a move might strike you as unfair, but not to worry. Given her remarkable track record of providing us with ever-lower salaries, the money that we won't be making--or will be kicking back to "Foggy" London at the Welfare Fund--can't be spent on such "bourgeois" proclivities as summer vacations, back-to-school clothes, etc. What we'll get instead is more polarization, politicization and penury. That's "Solidarity," #61 Broadway style. They don't call her "Barbara Bupkis" for nothing.

12/29/2007 2:05 PM

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com>
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS

Vol. 36, No.2

March 29, 2007

O'MALLEY ATTACKS DECEASED
COLLEGE PRESIDENT

The following paragraph, authored by Queen of Released Time Susan O'Malley, appeared in "Single Parenting Maneuvers In Academia" in the periodical *Radical Teacher*, vol. 57, copyright date Fall 1999, page 31. The paragraph refers to her maneuvering to get tenure at Kingsborough Community College, and what she did to impress President Goldstein. The Queen writes:

Next the union arranged a meeting for me with President Goldstein. I debated long and hard about my next step, which my feminist sister said was *disgusting*, but I *decided to go ahead. I was desperate. I got dressed up to look as attractive and feminine as possible* and arrived at President Goldstein's office on the appointed hour *holding the hands of my two small, beautiful, tow-headed children who looked rather waif-like*, as they were dressed to go to the beach. Their friend Susan, whose father knew President Goldstein, also came along. When he opened the door, I smiled graciously and said, "There has never been a question about my scholarly merit, but I wanted to make sure that *you knew I am sole support of these children.*" Much to President Goldstein's credit, he decided instantaneously to work a deal with the union for my tenure, but not before he said, "I want to tell you that no matter what happens about tenure, *you are a very lovely woman,*" and I graciously said, "*Thank you so much, but I do want tenure,*" instead of "Up yours! What does my appearance have to do with this" It is ironic that . . . it was the fact of the children and my being a single mother . . . , that caused

President Goldstein to relent. And so I was granted tenure . . . (*emphasis supplied*)

The above paragraph of necessity leads one to make the following observations.

- 1) That Susan O'Malley will put on different faces to different people to achieve her goals. Can we ever take her words for what they are?
- 2) She dresses up nicely and brings her kids to gain *pity*. Well, who can blame President Goldstein for trying to be nice and give her an innocent compliment?
- 3) She has no qualms about using her children as pawns to advance her claim for a job that she may or may not have been qualified to have. (We are reluctant to reference anyone's family member especially children here at the Patriot, however, in this instance, we have no choice.)
- 4) She talks about her "scholarly merit" but does not bring along her supposed publications. It makes one wonder just how "scholarly" she really is.

One must conclude that the Queen of Released Time is nothing but a hypocrite; a double talking, self-serving, opportunist who will bite the hands of those who feed her, *sell out her own values just to get ahead*. It was she who dressed up to look attractive, it was she who brought her two children with her to get sympathy, and when the president (presumably and innocently) made an offhand complimentary remark, she attacked him.

But - what *really* characterizes her - is that she wrote this after President Goldstein passed on, and so, President Goldstein was unable to respond to her charges (We knew him to have better taste). (*President Goldstein passed away on January 8, 1999, and this article appeared in an issue published Fall 1999, almost 9 months later.*) O'Malley was too cowardly to bring this up when Goldstein was alive and could respond. To us, the Queen is in all likelihood, being dishonest in this article (there were no witnesses for Goldstein's side). This is her side of the story. It is so sad that President Goldstein is not here to tell his.

O'Malley took from Goldstein, used him, and then hurt him. Goldstein was kind to her and gave her a life-long career. She waited until after he passed

away, then trashed him in public, with no evidence except her word when he was gone and powerless to respond. This is cowardly and ungrateful.

ELECT THE "NO ACTION" CAUCUS WITH SUSAN, AND YOU WILL BE REQUIRED TO RECITE THE FOLLOWING AT THE BEGINNING AND END OF EVERY CLASS.

The Classroom Quasi-Prayer:

Oh, Ethereal Spirit, Bless our Great Leaders Battling Barb,
Foggy London and the Queen of Released Time

And save them from the savage attacks on their Homesty by
the *Patriot Returns*

Provide them even more Released Time

So that they can urge us, indeed command us, to conduct
non-stop demonstrations, parades and candle light vigils
against the CUNY Administration and Every Perceived
Injustice in the World

BUT CAN WE SEE THE FUTURE?

Consultations with our astrologer Swami Vivekananda Upanideshi in Bhavaneshwara, India, provides the following roadmap of Queen of Released Time activities to come in the near future:

- 1) Sept. 2007 - February 2008: Sabbatical touring the English countryside researching the houses where Shakespeare slept.
- 2) March 2008 - February 2009: on Sick Leave but enjoying Brooklyn's culinary specialties in trendy restaurants, trips to museums, libraries, and historic houses.
- 3) February 2009 - File for retirement, and collect hefty pension and social security. (Knows to get out in time - has inside information that Welfare

www.patriotreturns.com/editions/vol36n02.htm

Fund will tank in March 2009. Steve & Barb have absconded with the goods.)

THE WELFARE OF THE PATRIOT

Many of you have sent us e-mails asking about the well-being of the *Patriot*. Thank you so very much. We are pleased to inform you that the *Patriot* could not be happier these days. the *Patriot* has been riding around in a new, bright red 2007 Hummer H2 with bullet-proof glass donated by Patriotic Americans for Integrity in Academia, wearing a bullet-proof vest, courtesy of the Irish/Indian Police Union, and living in a lavish luxurious palace and casino at an undisclosed location in the Nevada desert, donated by the Lovelock Paiute Indian Tribe of Nevada. We'd also like to thank our loyal fans who have gone so far as to run out to the KCC parking lot to greet us. We truly feel blessed. We could not have done this without you.

Best wishes to all our good friends.

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS

Vol. 35, No.1

October 06, 2006

CUNY's Queen Abdicates!



The University Faculty Senate breathes
A SIGH OF RELIEF!!

Professor Susan O'Malley, longtime member of the English Department at Kingsborough Community College and a former editor of/contributor to the *Radical Teacher*, has returned, kicking and screaming, to her teaching position after four years as chair of the University Faculty Senate. Many considered her service there to be embarrassing, unproductive and harmful to the university. Long-suffering members of the UFS' staff were so delighted that--according to some reports--they threw a party in celebration of her departure at an undisclosed location in Chelsea. Numerous

www.patriotreturns.com/editions/vol35n01.htm

senators were so overjoyed that they, too, gathered at a watering hole in the Garment District and celebrated with a toast, or so rumor has it. Over the years, Smilin' Susan embroiled the Senate in issues of no import nor interest, save to her and her ideological buddies. Both staff and senators realize that the end of Susan's reign of errors marks the beginning of era in which the UFS may again make positive, substantive contributions toward improving CUNY.

As a non-voting member of the Board of Trustees, the Queen of Released time was a regular presence at plenary and committee meetings. But between peddling whacky ideas and raising needless objections to issues pending before those bodies, Susan soon became a laughing stock. Members of the BoT avoided her, and ignored the insights that she brought to the table. While collecting a full professor's salary without teaching, and enjoying the perks of an office at 80th Street and staff to order around, the sum of Professor O'Malley's contribution to the University's educational mission was--in our judgment--a big ZERO. Perhaps she'll consider reimbursing New York's taxpayers for the time and money that she has squandered.

THE RETURN OF THE QUEEN?

Prior to her elevation to the Senate, the Queen was seldom seen on her home campus. She was far too busy politicking for more released time. But with the end of her senatorial perquisites, Smilin' Susan faced the grim prospect of teaching English to undergraduates. Again she began angling for ways to avoid work. Though an opportunity arose to become Department Chair, she had scant support among her colleagues. Susan needed to think fast!

Now sabbaticals are a good way to get away from the quotidian tasks of teaching. So, Susan applied research leave, with a rumored project on "Assessing My Experience as an Academic Leader." She was quite confident that no one at the college would dare deny sabbatical leave to a VIFSC (Very Important Former Senate Chair).

Susan's delusions of grandeur began to dissipate, however when Kingsborough's P&B Committee turned down the sabbatical request.

© 2007 Patriot Returns, Inc. All rights reserved. This document is the property of Patriot Returns, Inc. and is to be used for personal use only. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Patriot Returns, Inc.

What a disappointment for our Queen! On April 8th she fired out an angry interrogatory to the Senate Forum: *"Have sabbaticals been denied on your campus for next year? ...My two spring sabbatical at half pay or possibly 80 percent pay was [sic] denied..."*

Sadly, only a few of her former subjects deigned to reply. Susam gave some thought filing a grievance, but soon discovered that a sabbatical denial was not grievable. She considered demonstrating in front of Chancellor Goldstein's residence or conducting a candlelight vigil at KCC President Regina Peruggi's house. But we've heard that even Barbara Bowen, her favorite protestor-in-arms, would not join in such a piqued picketing.

EXERCISING SENATORIAL PREROGATIVE

But not to worry. Even at public universities power has its privileges. The UFS, to whom the University grants reassigned time for presumably important work, has in the past rewarded outgoing chairs with released time. It seems that Susan's designated herself 6 to 8 hours of annual released time for the next few years. In addition, the Dear Leader Barbara Bowen may be doling out additional released time in return for harassing the Chancellor and BOF Chairman Schmidt. This should enable her to earn a full salary without carrying a full, 27-hour teaching load for yet another few years! Tho' she won't be chair, nor on sabbatical, she won't be covered in chalk dust, either.

BUT DOWN AT KCC, LIFE'S A BEACH

Yet, how do we know that she will not shortchange KCC's students? In an effort to protect their right to a good education, we politely recommend that the college's administrators take the following steps:

- In an effort to prevent Professor O'Malley from getting lost or missing class, a knowledgeable security officer should be assigned to reacquaint her with the campus. Special care should be taken around access points to the Atlantic Ocean.
- A junior member of the English Department should be assigned

to mentor her, and make her feel comfortable in her new surroundings. Special attention should be devoted to how to deliver lectures, write exams, and relate to students.

- o The chair should regularly send observers to Susan's classrooms, to provide remediation in blackboard manners and question and answer techniques. Follow-up meetings with the chair should be scheduled, at which teaching-related traumas can be dealt with.

AVE ATQUE VALIE?

Our advice to the Queen, however, is more succinct: it is time to go! Most members of KCC's militant sisterhood, such as "Lady Chatterly" **Chase** and Eva (the Duchess of Park Slope) Richter, have left the lecture halls in favor of senior centers. You should, too. After all, you're just about entitled to Social Security. A monthly check, added to a CUNY pension, will yield a tidy sum. Medicare, which will permit you to choose competent physicians, may be able to compensate for the benefits that your friends at the Welfare Fund have whittled away. The federal Prescription Drug Benefit sure beats the heck out of the PSC's deal with **MEDCO**. Thanks to the union's recent give-back **contract**--one you endorsed--taking retirement will be more lucrative than teaching. And remember, your majesty, being paid to stay at home is the ultimate mode of released time.

Sharad Karkhanis, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.

http://www.patriotreturns.com/editions/vol35n01.htm

If you have difficulty reading the newsletter, please go to www.patriotreturns.com to see the latest release.

*THE
PATRIOT
RETURNS*

Vol. 36, No.1



March 20, 2007

*IF YOU VOTE FOR THE
"NO/ACTION" SLATE
THIS IS WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT*

You will be required:

- To attend at least three out of four performances each week of the movie "Bankrupting the Welfare Fund: the PSC Story", featuring Barbara Bowen and Steven London, directed by Stanley Aranowitz. Commentary by Susan Farrell on the effect of loss of medical benefits on women's health, and how this should be borne with love for the "common good". Cello music by Her Majesty, the Queen of Released Time Susan O'Malley.
- To participate in a candlelight vigil in front of President Peruggi's home. (After all, the New Caucus' Bowen/London did it in front of Chancellor Goldstein's home. Why not Lloyd/O'Malley in front of Peruggi's home?)
- To mass protest in front of Marty Markowitz's Office in Borough Hall for bringing an immediate cessation of the war in Iraq (Our own

www.patriotreturns.com/editions/vol36n01.htm



to replace current NYPD looking uniforms on the campus. Jack Arnow, a Yoga buff and a member of the Peace and Justice Committee will fight for this unique and important privilege at KCC.

- To stop traffic on Coney Island Avenue during a few evening hours in protest of hit-and-run drivers *worldwide* who kill stray rodents and donkeys.
- To make four ten-mile marches on Flatbush Avenue, from Marine Park to the Brooklyn Bridge, for PEACE, LOVE AND JUSTICE. Patrick Lloyd, a member of the Peace and Justice Committee* thinks that, here at Kingsborough, there is not enough authoritarian reverence, nor is there Amazon Jungle kind of "Peace and Justice" that he prefers. So prepare yourself for a nonstop marathon of festivities and groupthink courtesy of our local chapter at Kingsborough.

IF HISTORY IS ANY GUIDE FOR HOW YOUR DUES MONEY HAS BEEN WASTED BY THE CURRENT PSC'S NEW CAUCUS, DON'T BE SURPRISED BY THE ABOVE "NO-ACTION" ACTIVITY AGENDA FOR KCC. THEY TOO WOULD WASTE YOUR TIME AND DUES MONEY ON RIDICULOUS, NONSENSICAL THEATRICS, ON THINGS IRRELEVANT

TO YOUR NEEDS, AND FILL YOUR MAILBOXES WITH A
CONSTANT BARRAGE OF LETTERS, FLYERS AND PHONE
CALLS TO DEMONSTRATE, PROTEST AND SIT-IN FOR
EVERY WORLD-WIDE CAUSE, WHILE IGNORING YOUR
NEEDS!

DO YOU WANT THIS IDIOCY?

IT'S TIME TO RUN THESE "NO-ACTION"
CLOWNS OUT THE FRONT GATES OF
KINGSBOROUGH.

* The New Vision for KCC: KCC Action Caucus/New Caucus flyer.

BALLOT ALERT

We respect the American Arbitration Association's long-standing reputation for accuracy in mailing ballots, honesty in vote counting and staying impartial in contested elections. We also firmly believe that they will not be influenced a bit by the fact that they are getting thousands of dollars of business from Barbara Bowen of the PSC. However, just to be on the safe side, we advise members of the KCC/PSC Chapter to make copies of their completed ballots before placing them in the envelopes for mailing. Keep the copy in your safe deposit box or mail it to the Patriot Office at P.O. Box 290713, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11229 and we will keep it in our safe deposit box. You never know when and how it will come in handy.

Sharad Karikhanis, Ph.D.

12/20/2007 2:03 PM

Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.

Caucus gang. O'Malley was excoriated and told that she has not done her homework at KCC (of course, she's been AWOL for years!) As a punishment, she was demoted from University-Wide Officer to Community College Officer, a much lower rank in the union hierarchy. Now, we believe, she has an assignment: "Take over the KCC campus leadership or you are out of the PSC's Executive Committee," a loss of a chunk of Susan's released time. If Susan wins, she can keep her released time from the central PSC and also con young Patrick into sharing some of his released time with her. Know that the Queen of Released Time is running for this election not to serve you and the needs of KCC faculty, but for her own selfish interest.

WILL SHE BE AT KCC IN THE FALL?

World renowned Swami Vivekananda Upanideshi, adviser to such world leaders as Mrs. Indira Gandhi of India, Margaret Thatcher of Great Britain, and Bill Clinton of the USA has previously provided us with the roadmap for O'Malley's plans for near future (*The Patriot Returns Vol 36. No.2*). In our recent contact, he has confirmed that he sees O'Malley wandering around the English countryside in the Fall searching for something (Houses where Shakespeare slept) because the Moon is placed in Aquarius sixth house of O'Malley's yearly chart with planetary positions indicating her position on the European continent.

Members of KCC faculty need not wait too long to verify these predictions. Come September or even before, the news will be out that O'Malley has received a leave or Sabbatical for next year (hmmm... away for 4 years, back 1 year teaching only 6 credits, then a leave or Sabbatical... why doesn't she just retire???)

PATRICK LLOYD, ADOLESCENT

BOWEN REJECTS LLOYD'S UNION EXPERIENCE?

If recent rude messages on the KCC listserve and his recent crude efforts

12/20/2007 2:08 PM

to make himself known on the KCC campus are indicators, one may conclude that young assistant professor Patrick Lloyd writes like an angry adolescent and talks like a teenager. He needs to grow up and take lessons in anger management before running for Chapter leadership, a position that requires real personal empathy.

* His brief bio in his flyer with his Ozzy Osbourne look-a-like picture,



raises more questions than answers. He claims that he was an adjunct at Stevens Institute of Technology before coming to KCC. Why didn't he get a full time job at Stevens instead of coming to KCC? Stevens has a reputation for being a good engineering school offering Masters and Doctoral degrees. Are you a Stevens reject? What happened there?

* He claims that he was an activist in the Student Union in California and a member of the bargaining team. We do not know if this union existed, how large it was, and whether it even survived. He claims that he was "elected to the bargaining team, which attained the first contract for our (his) union." We know that he was one of eight members of that bargaining team, and as we all know it is not the members who negotiate the contract but the head honchos and hired lawyers. For all we know, this adolescent may have been sitting at a far distant corner of the negotiating table. Furthermore, no one knows if this contract was good or bad for the membership. What did the membership say about this contract? If his experience were anything to talk about, he would have been on Barbara Bowen's negotiating team. The fact that he was NOT, indicates that even his buddy Barbara found his experience wanting.

* The Peace and Justice committee Lloyd speaks of consists of eating early morning hefty breakfasts at the PSC, large hero sandwiches (paid for by your dues money) before the dancing, clowning, and blocking traffic for all the ills of the World, but NOT your needs, your prescription drugs, or your salary. From what we have seen, the convention experience generally consists of going with your spouse or partner to another location, say Hawaii or Las Vegas, for a short vacation. Check into the big, expensive

hotel room reserved for you by PSC (courtesy of your union dues), go for an expensive lunch with cocktails, pick up a grand tour of the city, go to dinner and theater in the evening. Continue with this schedule for three to eight days. The only obligation you have is to be present at one meeting where they take attendance to make sure that you were there, so that you will be reimbursed for all your expenses for the trip. Patrick must be kidding, putting this down as his "experience" to become Chapter Chair. But then, what can you expect from an adolescent?

THE WELFARE FUND CANDIDATE

The Caucus which talks so much about diversity got only one minority member to run with them, not a member of the faculty, but an HEO, Wayne Harewood. We are puzzled as to why he is on this ticket in the first place. Is it because the HEO Chapter of the New Caucus rejected him? He talks about his experience on the KCC HEO Labor management Committee, but fails to tell us exactly what he did. We were astonished that our cursory inquiries showed that that committee never met with the KCC president. Then what, exactly, have they done? Answer: NOTHING! Some characterize Harewood as "do-nothing" bragger, and opportunist." Some did say that he is a nice guy, but not for this job. He may be good for Financial Aid but that does not make him good for the Welfare Fund.

As for Jack Arnow, his wife Eleanor Arnow (Bader) and Bob Putz, we would like to wish them good luck in their leisurely life style of Yoga, NYSUT and AFT conventions (courtesy gifts from Barbara Bower - free vacations) and attendance at PSC anti-war rallies. But please. Don't worry. You will not have to deal with the faculty. After all, you have not done anything for yourselves for all these years. So why should you be troubled to do anything for others in the future?

As for the other candidates, we believe they are silent partners who did not know what they were getting into, and whom they were associating with. We believe that they wish this was over and that they will never have to deal with people like O'Malley and Lloyd again.

O'Malley & Co. Official Logo



BALLOT ALERT

We respect the American Arbitration Association's long-standing reputation for accuracy in mailing ballots, honesty in vote counting and staying impartial in contested elections. We also firmly believe that they will not be influenced a bit by the fact that they are getting thousands of dollars of business from Barbara Bowen of the PSC. However, just to be on the safe side, we advise members of the KCC/PSC Chapter to make copies of their completed ballots before placing them in envelopes for mailing. Keep your copy in your safe deposit box or mail it to the Patriot Office at P.O. Box 290713, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11229 and we will keep it in our safe deposit box. You never know when and how it will come in handy.

THE RUMOR COLUMN

YOUR DUES AT WORK A DAY OUT WITH COMRADES

According to unconfirmed reports, PSC President Battling Barb Bowen, Vice President Foggy London, Community College Queen of Released Time, and other officers gave up their afternoon naps, necessitated by a tiring contract negotiation session with "nasty" CUNY management, to attend a demonstration in front of Senator Joe Lieberman's Connecticut home last Easter Sunday, to oppose his support for fighting terrorists. In an effort to avoid pollution, they hired 300 pedicabs peddled by Serfs, and

Vertical text on the right edge of the page, likely a scanning artifact or a page number.

began their journey from Manhattan to Connecticut at 7 a.m. to be on time for the noon start of the event. They stocked each pedicab with bottled water as symbol of strength and solidarity of the proletariat (we guess Serfs are not proletariat) against Pepsi, Coca Cola, and other unhealthy drinks made by the bourgeoisie. On their return trip, they had a wonderful picnic in Pehlman Park under the open sky with Donkey sandwiches and nonalcoholic fruit juices. *A WONDERFUL DAY OUT WITH OUR DEAR COMRADES, ON YOUR DUES MONEY.*

Sharad Karikamits, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus

Forthcoming issues of *The Patriot* may be accessed at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/>.
Archived editions are available at <http://www.patriotreturns.com/archive.htm>.