p.1

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):
MARVIN L. STEWART an individual; DEBORAH ANN
PETERSON, a.k.a. DEBORAH ANN COURTNEY, an individual;
BARBARA COE, an individual; PAUL SIELSKI, an
individual; BROOK YOUNG, an individual; CHELENE
NIGHTENGALE, an individual; and DOES 1 - 100,
inclusive,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

JIM GILCHRIST, an individual

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SQLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

FILED

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF GRANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

APR 1 6 2008

ALAN SLATER Clerk of the Court

SY: D. SOUTHARD DEPUTY

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Canter (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y pepeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carte o una llemade telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seifhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida el secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpia con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanoi/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locates.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT UNLIMITED JURISDICTION - CIVIL 700 CIVIC CENTER DR. WEST P. O. BOX 838 CASE NUMBER: (Número del Cale) 0 1 0 5 4 3 1

JUDGE FRANZ E. MILLER DEPT. C62

SANTA ANA, CA 92702-0838 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Mark S. Brown, Esq. SBN: 225511 (949) 443-1900 BROWN LAW FIRM 32072 Camino Capistrano San Juan Capistrano, California 92675 D. SOUTHARD Deputy Clerk, by DATE: ALAN SLATER Ark 1 6 2008 (Secretario) (Adjunto) (Fecha) not of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010))

(Para prueba de el	intrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	
(SEAL)	as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
	3. on behalf of (specify):	
	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 41	6.60 (minor) 6.70 (conservatee) 6.90 (authorized person)
	4. by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]



,	MARK STEVEN BROWN, ESQ., SBN 2255	511 FNFO
l	BROWN LAW FIRM 32072 Camino Capistrano, 2 nd Flr	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
2	San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675	
3	Telephone: (949) 443-9100	APR 1 6 2008
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff, JIM GILCHRIST	ALAN SLATER, Clark of the Court
5		BY D SOUTHARD DEPUTY
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF TE	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	COUNTY	OF ORANGE
•	CENTRA	L BRANCH
8		30-2008
9	JIM GILCHRIST, an individual,	CASE NO. 0 0 1 0 5 4 3 1
10	Plaintiffs,	00105431
11	riaments,	COMPLAINT FOR
12	vs.)	
13	MARVIN L. STEWART an individual; DEBORAH ANN PETERSON, a.k.a.	1. DEFAMATION
14	DEBORAH ANN COURTNEY, an individual; BARBARA COE, an individual; PAUL SIELSKI, an	2. INVASION OF PRIVACY
15	individual; BROOK YOUNG, an) individual; CHELENE)	3. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
16	NIGHTENGALE, an individual; and) DOES 1 - 100, inclusive,)	
17 18	Defendants.	DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL
19	}	JUDGE FRANZ E. MILLER
		DEPT. C62
20	}	
21)	
22	ý	
23	GENERAL A	LLEGATIONS
24		eclaratory and injunctive relief by Plaintiff JIM
25		i to as "Plaintiff" or "Gilchrist") for defamation
26	and related actions against Defendants	
27	-	
28		
		1

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	.1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

Apr 16 08 03:20p

- 2. Plaintiff Jim Gilchrist is an individual and the founder of the Minuteman Project, Inc., and at all times alleged herein resided and resides in Orange County, California.
- 3. Defendant Marvin L. Stewart (hereinafter "Stewart") is an individual who formerly was a volunteer for the Minuteman Project, Inc. and claimed to be a member of the corporation's board of directors. At all times alleged herein defendant Stewart resided in Los Angeles County, California.
- 4. Defendant Deborah Ann Peterson a.k.a. Deborah Ann Courtney (hereinafter "Peterson") is an individual who formerly was a volunteer for Minuteman Project, Inc. and claimed to be a member of the corporation's board of directors. At all times alleged herein defendant Peterson resided in Orange County, California.
- 5. Defendant Barbara Coe (hereinafter "Coe") is an individual who formerly was a volunteer for of Minuteman Project, Inc. and who claimed to have been at various times a member of the corporation's board of directors. At all times alleged herein defendant Coe resided in Orange County, California.
- 6. Defendant Paul Sielski (hereinafter "Sielski") is an individual and at all times alleged herein resided and resides in Orange County, California.
- 7. Defendant Brook Young (hereinafter "Young") is an individual and at all times alleged herein resided and resides in Los Angeles County, California.
- 8. Defendant CHELENE NIGHTENGALE (hereinafter "Nightengale") is an individual and at all times alleged herein resided and resides in Orange County, California.
- 9. The true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time and are therefore sued by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 100 when Plaintiff knows them. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each of the DOE defendants is in some manner legally responsible for the actions alleged herein.
- 10. On information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that each defendant, including those sued herein as DOES 1-100, was acting as an agent, representative, aider-and-abettor, co-

27

714-510-3394

2		
3		
4		

Apr 16 08 03:21p

 conspirator, and/or the alter ego of every other defendant and/or other persons in doing the acts described in this Complaint, and at all relevant times was acting within the course and scope of such agency, representation, aiding-and-abetting, conspiracy, and/or alter ego capacity in doing the acts described herein.

- 11. Since its founding, Minuteman has been organized and spearheaded primarily by its original founder and public face, Plaintiff Gilchrist. Plaintiff Jim Gilchrist has served as the sole member of the board of directors since on or about September 1, 2005. Sometime thereafter Minuteman created an advisory board. Defendants Stewart, Peterson, Coe, and Plaintiffs Jim Gilchrist and Eichler served with two others: Sandy Gilchrist and Time Bueler as directors of the advisory board.
- 12. Within the last year, Defendants Stewart, Peterson, Coe, Paul Sielski, Brook Young, Chelene Nightengale and DOES 1-100, with knowledge of falsity and with malice and intent to harm Plaintiff Gilchrist made false statements of fact to third parties about Plaintiffs, and each of them, to the effect that corporate funds were being misused, embezzled, and that theft and fraud was being committed by the Plaintiffs, Gilchrist, and Eichler. Defendants, and each of them, made these statements with malice and intent to harm Plaintiff.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DEFAMATION

(BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 13. Plaintiffs incorporate each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 12 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. Within the last year Defendants, and each of them, published statements to third parties about Plaintiff, to the effect that corporate funds were being misused, embezzled, and that theft and fraud had and was being committed by Plaintiff, Gilchrist, that he had lied and been professionally dishonest, committed perjury and had committed crimes. Also within the last year, Defendants, and each of them, published statements to third parties about Plaintiff,

1	to the effect that he had been paid compensation for the endorsement of U.S. Presidential
2	candidate Mike Huckabee. Also within the last year, Defendants, and each of them,
3	published photographs of Plaintiff with the word "FRAUD" emblazoned across his face.
4	15. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name throughout, were made of and
5	concerning Plaintiff, and were so understood by those who heard them.
6	16. The entire statements are false as they pertain to Plaintiff.
7	17. The statements were not privileged in any manner.
8	18. The statements are defamatory on their face. They clearly expose Plaintiffs to
9	hatred, contempt, ridicule and obloquy as they charge Plaintiffs with having committed crimes.
10	19. The statements were widely disseminated, being published in website mass e-
11	mailings, and the like, in addition to having been expressed orally.
12	20. As a proximate result of the above-described publication, Plaintiff has suffered loss
13	of reputation, shame, mortification, and injury to feelings, all to his damage in an amoun
14	according to proof at trial.
15	22. Plaintiff has suffered special damages including but not limited to loss of earning
16	capacity.
17	23. The above-described publication was not privileged because it was published by
18	Defendants with malice, hatred and ill will toward Plaintiff and the desire to injure him, in that
19	Defendants had expressed a desire to harm the individual Plaintiffs in part because of personal
20	animus for refusing to follow Defendants' will regarding the operation of the corporation and/or
21	to illicitly seize control of the corporation for their personal benefit. Because of Defendants
22	malice in publishing, plaintiff seeks punitive damages an amount to be established by proof a
23	trial.
24	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
25	INVASION OF PRIVACY
26	(BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)
27	
28	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

714-510-3394

24. Plaintiffs incorporate each and e	very allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through
23 above, as though fully set forth herein.	

- 25. Within the last year, Defendants and each of them published on the internet Plaintiff's residence address and a photograph of his California driver's license accompanied with defamatory and inflammatory matter about Plaintiff. At times such disclosure of information (Plaintiff's residence address, driver's license and photograph) was accompanied by incitement to violence against Plaintiff.
- 26. By doing the afformentioned acts, Defendants, and each of them, intentionally made a public disclosure of private facts and intruded upon the privacy of Plaintiff.
 - 27. Plaintiff's personal address and driver's license were not newsworthy matter.
- 28. Such acts would be highly offensive to a reasonable person, including but not limited to, because they incite hatred against Plaintiff, identify his whereabouts and provide a photograph of him, causing potential physical harm to Plaintiff and fear in Plaintiff of potential physical harm.
- 29. As a proximate result of the above-described publication, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, all to his damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 30. Plaintiff has suffered special damages including but not limited to loss of earning capacity.
- 31. The above-described publication was not privileged because it was published by Defendants with malice, hatred and ill will toward Plaintiff and the desire to injure him, in that Defendants had expressed a desire to harm the individual Plaintiffs in part because of personal animus for refusing to follow Defendants' will regarding the operation of the corporation and/or to illicitly seize control of the corporation for their personal benefit. Because of Defendants' malice in publishing, plaintiff seeks punitive damages an amount to be established by proof at trial.

Apr 16 08 03:24p

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

714-510-3394

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (Against All Defendants)

- 32. Plaintiff refers to paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, and by this reference incorporate said paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them as hereinabove alleged, was outrageous and beyond the bounds of decency such that no reasonable person could be expected to endure it.
- 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the actions of Defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, were intentional, extreme, and outrageous. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that such actions were done with the intent to cause serious emotional distress or with reckless disregard of the probability of causing Plaintiff serious emotional distress.
- 35. As a direct, legal and proximate result of the acts of Defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, Plaintiff, sustained serious injuries to his person, all to his damage in an amount to be shown according to proof and within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 36. As a direct, legal and proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered special damages including a loss earning capacity according to proof.
- 37. Plaintiff is informed and believe that the aforesaid acts directed towards the Plaintiff were carried out with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's right to be free from such tortious behavior, such as to constitute oppression, fraud or malice pursuant to California Civil Code Section 3294, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish and set an example of Defendants.

1			
2	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
3	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFFS PRAY AS FOLLOWS:		
4	General damages in excess of in an amount according to proof at trial;		
5	2. Special damages according to proof at trial;		
6	3. Punitive damages;		
7	4. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief;		
8	5. Reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action; and		
9	6. Such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.		
10			
11	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
12	Plaintiffs hereby demands trial by jury in this action of all issues properly triable by		
13	DATED: April 16, 2007 BROWN LAW FIRM		
14			
15	By: Mark S. Brown, Esq.		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
17	Jim Gilchrist		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	· ·		
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
27			
28	•		

,		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, &	ar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
, +	25511	
BROWN LAW FIRM	•	
32072 Camino Capistrano 2nd Floor	*	
San Juan Capistrano, Calif	ornia 92675	
TELEPHONE NO.: (949) 443-1900		SUBERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	(500)	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF O	range	CENTRAL
street ADDRESS: 700 Civic Cente	r Dřive West	APR 1 6 2008
MAILING ADDRESS:	2702	
city and zip code: Santa Ana, CA 9. Branch Name: Central Branch	2702	ALAN SLATER, Clerk of the Coun
CASE NAME: Gilchrist v. Ster	vart, et al	
CAGETAINE. GETCHTEGE V. BCC		BY: D. SOUTHARD DEPUTY
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	20-2000
(Amount (Amount demanded demanded demanded demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	ant JUDGE; 30-2008
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	PEPT: OO 4 OE / 7 4
. Items 1-6 i	below must be completed (see instruction at best describes this case:	s on page 2), UU U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U
1. Check one box below for the case type th	at best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Lingstion
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3,400-3,403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical maipractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-Pl/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (0	7) Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Uniawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
X Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
	Drugs (38)	
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)		Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-Pi/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	<u>,`</u>
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. This case is X is not com	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional Judiclai mans a Large number of separately repn	agement: esented parties = d. [] Large number	of witnesses
 a Large number of separately repn b Extensive motion practice raising 		vith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumir		ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document		estjudgment judiciai supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		declaratory or injunctive relief c. x punitive
• •		
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		
	ass action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You n	ney uşe form CM-015.)
Date: April 16, 2008	in a	LIB
Mark S. Brown, Esq. SBN: 225 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	9511 (Side	ONATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Vites so com i souls)	NOTICE ,	The second secon
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the		g (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.		,
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cov 		
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et other parties to the action or proceeding. 	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Aniel baines to me action of bloceeoing.	0.740	