SUMMONS	
(CITACION JUDICI	AL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

DEBORAH J. JOHNSON, DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): JOSEPH C. STYGER

	_SUM-100
FOR COURT USE ONLY	

(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una liamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seifhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratultos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Servicés, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales,

CASE NUMBER (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 266-18-477322 Superior Court of California 400 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): CSB #98245 650-877-8253 Marc Seidenfeld, Esq. 24 Linden Avenue, South San Francisco, CA 94080 P. NATT

Gordon Park-L! Clerk, by . JUL 1 0 2008 (Fecha) (Secretario) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

as an individual defendant.

by personal delivery on (date):

	SEAL COURT OF CALL
Silbs	
/	OF SAN TRANS

DATE:

The name and address of the court is:

		•	•	
3.	on beha	alf of (specify):		
	under:	CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify):		CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Page 1 of 1

as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ba	or number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
Attorney at Law		<u></u>	
24 Linden Avenue		FILED	
South San Francisco, CA 94080 TELEPHONE NO.: 650-877-8253			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Joseph C. S	FAX NO.:	San Francisco County Superior Count	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALLSCOOMS COMMENTS	tyger		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street	an Francisco	JUL 1 0 2008	
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 IVICA IIISTET STEE	et		
1	24100	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA	94102	BY Parau Watt	
BRANCH NAME:  CASE NAME:		Deputy Clerk	
,			
Styger v. Johnson, et al.			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:	
Unlimited Limited	l <del></del>	CGC-88-477322	
(Amount (Amount	Counter Dinder		
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000 \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defe	ndant JUDGE:	
	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3 40)	)) DEPT:	
Items 1-6 be	low must be completed (see instruction	s on page 2).	
In onecy one pay below for the case type the	at best describes this case:		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation	
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)	
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)	
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)	
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)	
Product liability (24)	Real Property		
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case	
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)	
Business tort/unfair business practice (07		Enforcement of Judgment	
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer		
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Enforcement of judgment (20)	
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint	
Intellectual property (19)		RICO (27)	
Professional negligence (25)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)	
	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)	
·	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)	
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Salar perment (not specimed above) (43)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	_	
2. This case is vising the is not comp	lex under rule 3.400 of the California R	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the	
	joniche	and all occording to the mark the	
a. Large number of separately repres	ented parties d. 🔲 Large numbe	r of witnesses	
b. Extensive motion practice raising of	difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more courts	
issues that will be time-consuming	to resolve ID Other Collin	ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court	
c. Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f. Substantial n	ostjudgment judicial supervision	
Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[     Number of causes of action (specifie): One	✓ monetary b nonmonetary; a	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive	
The contract of codeses of action (specify). One	•		
5. This case is is is not a class	action suit.		
5. If there are any known related cases, file ar	nd serve a notice of related case. (You i	may use form CM-015 )	
Date: 7/9/08			
Marc Seidenfeld	<b>▶</b> /\∧	2 · ( 1 d	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		Service Berlingel	
Pit 1 state		IGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNE FOR PARTY)	
Plaintill must file this cover sheet with the first paper fleet in the past in the past.			
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.			
<ul> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cover</li> </ul>	choot required by least and a		
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so ther parties to the action or proceeding.	en of the California Pulse of Court rule.		
other parties to the action or proceeding.	-a. a. a.a camornia rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all	
Unless this is a collections case under rule :	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	et will be used for statistical numbers	
	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	osed for statistical purposes only.	

28

MARC SEIDENFELD CSB #98245 Attorney at Law 24 Linden Avenue South San Francisco, CA 94080

Telephone: (650) 877-8253

Attorney for Plaintiff

SUMMONS ISSUED FILED San Francisco County Superior Count

JUL 1 0 2008

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

P NATT

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JOSEPH C. STYGER,

NO.

GGC-88-477 322

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

FOR LIBEL

vs.

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SET

DEBORAH J. JOHNSON, DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

DEC 1 2 2008 -9 MAM

DEPARTMENT 212

## Plaintiff alleges:

- 1. Defendant Deborah J. Johnson is and at all times mentioned herein was a resident of San Francisco County, California.
- 2. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately

caused by their conduct.

- 3. Defendants Johnson and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, at all times mentioned herein were the agents and employees of each other and in doing the things hereinafter alleged were acting within the course and scope of such agency and with the permission and consent of each other.
- 4. At all times mentioned herein, plaintiff was and is now a dentist and has been practicing dentistry since 1981 and was and is doing business as such in the City and County of San Francisco, and has been doing so in San Francisco since 1995.
- 5. At all times, plaintiff has enjoyed a good reputation both generally and in his occupation.
- 6. Defendants published a review of plaintiff's work as a dentist on a website known as Yelp.com, which stated: "He absolutely ruined my beautiful teeth. I asked if there was anything I could do to whiten them and the next thing I knew, he was giving me veneers without explaining anything. The veneers he put on don't even cover my teeth and you can see the stained teeth underneath. He did not even offer to fix them. Styger is the most unethical dentist I have ever met in my life. He recommended several treatments that I didn't need and that were costly. Be very, very wary."
- 7. The entire statement of defendants is false as it pertains to plaintiff.
- 8. This statement is libelous on its face. It clearly exposes plaintiff to hatred, contempt, ridicule and obloquy because it states that plaintiff is unethical and that he is incompetent in his work as a dentist.

- 9. This statement was seen and read by numerous persons who reside in and around San Francisco, California.
- 10. As a proximate result of the above described publication, plaintiff has suffered loss of his reputation, shame, mortification and hurt feelings, all to his general damage.
- 11. As a further proximate result of the above described publication, plaintiff has suffered special damages in that he has been injured in his profession and business, as the publication states that plaintiff is unethical and is an incompetent dentist. There has been a sharp decline in the number of new patients and in the amount of income normally to be expected by plaintiff as a direct result of defendants' publication. Plaintiff does not at this time know the exact amount of pecuniary loss resulting from the foregoing, but is informed and believes and therefore alleges that said loss is a continuing one and that the amount of said loss will be in the amount of \$100,000.00 lost income or more, and plaintiff will amend this allegation to insert the true amount of said loss when the same becomes known to him.
- 12. The above described publication was published by defendants with malice and oppression to accuse plaintiff of malpractice and of being unethical. Defendants previously sued plaintiff for malpractice and lost that case. The court in that case ruled that there was no malpractice and ruled for plaintiff. Plaintiff therefore seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays judgment against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. For general damages according to proof;

For special damages according to proof;

3. For punitive and exemplary damages;

4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and

5. For such other and further relief as the court may deem

proper.

DATED: 1/9/08

Marc Seidenfeld

Attorney for Plaintiff

## VERIFICATION

I, Joseph C. Styger, am the plaintiff in the above entitled action. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters that are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: 19/08

Joseph C. Styger