	***								
1 (* PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself []) JONATHAN LEWANDOWSKI,				DEFENDANTS MARMARIO I AVANDEIRA dba, PEREZ-HILTON COM, dba, PEREZ HILTON,					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)			ng Attorney:	s (If Known)	<u></u> -		<u> </u>		<del></del> -
Timothy McGonigle (CA 233 Witshire Boulevard, Santa Monica, CA 90401	Suite 700						<b>\$</b> \$77 人	de W	
						<del></del>	_	7 8.7 N	
	ON (Place an X in one box only.)	III. CIT (Pla	IZENSHIP OF ce an X in one b	PRINCIPAL PAR ox for plaintiff and	TIES - one for d	For Diversity Case lefendant.)	es Only	_	
D I U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Part		f This State	<b>PT</b> □ 1	P DEF	Incorporated or of Business in t	Principal Place		DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendar	of Parties in Item III)		Another State	<b>d</b> 2	_		d Principal Place	: □5	□ 5
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only )	Citizen o	Subject of a Fo	reign Country 🗆 3	123	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
Original 2 Remove State C	red from   3 Remanded from Court Appellate Court	Reopened		rred from another di		Dist	rict Jud	peal to D ge from gistrate J	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND: M	Yes 🗆 No (Checi	k 'Yes' only if d	emanded in compla	int.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.O			MONEY	DEMANDED IN C	OMPL	AINT: \$ 75,000 (	excess amount	)	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)	te the U.S. Civil Statute under wh	ich you are filing a	nd write a brief s	statement of cause.	Do not c	ite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless div	ersity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	ce an X in one box only.)					<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del>
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	S F GOLDEN ALLES	rawa a aza a gara	to delivery of August 1990, 1990			<del></del>		
□ 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL IN		TORIS		PRISONER	A A		
□ 410 Antitrust	□ 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane		PERSONAL PROPERTY		PETITIONS  Motions to	□710 Fair La	bor Stan	dards
☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane l	Product □ 370	Other Fraud	17,210	Vacate Sentence	Act [3] 720 Labor/]	Money	
Rates/etc.	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability  320 Assault, L	_ □ 37:	Truth in Lending		Habeas Corpus	Relatio		
□ 460 Deportation	☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &	Slander	,tbei & □ 380	Other Personal	□ 530	General	☐ 730 Labor/)		
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	LJ 330 Fed. Empl	ioyers' 🖂 304	Property Damage	□ 535	Death Penalty	Reporti	ing &	
and Corrupt	Judgment	Liability		Property Damage Product Liability	540	Mandamus/ Other	Disclos	ure Act	
Organizations  D 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Pr	Die	ANKAKUPTCY	550	Civil Rights	<ul> <li>□ 740 Railway</li> <li>□ 790 Other L</li> </ul>	y Labor /	Act
□ 490 Cable/Sat TV	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl.	Liability	90001   122	Appeal 28 USC	□ 555	Prison Condition	Litigation		
☐ 810 Selective Service	Veterans)	□ 350 Motor Vel	hicle in son	158	l FC	rvejture/	□ 791 Empl. R	let. Inc.	
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	□ 153 Recovery of	☐ 355 Motor Vel		Withdrawal 28 USC 157	<b>金宝族</b>	PENALTY	Security	/ Act	
Exchange  875 Customer Challenge 12	Overpayment of	Product Li	ability	IVIL RIGHTS	1D 620	Agriculture Other Food &	PROPERTY	REGER	S
USC 3410	Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits	lnjury	1441	Voting	1-020	Drug	☐ 820 Cepyrig ☐ 830 Patent	thts	
	190 Other Contract	□ 362 Personal J	njury.   🗀 442	Employment	□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840 Tradema	ark	
□ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product	Med Maip □ 365 Personal Ir	lactice   443	Housing/Acco- immodations		Seizure of	SOCIALSE	CHRIT	Y
■ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	Liability	Product Li	ability 🔲 444	Welfare	ł	Property 21 USC 881	□ 861 HIA (13	95ff)	
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 368 Asbestos P	ersonal 🗆 445	American with		Liquor Laws	<ul> <li>■ 862 Black L</li> <li>■ 863 DIWC/C</li> </ul>	ung (923	()
	REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Injury Proc	luct	Disabilities -	□ 640	R.R. & Truck	(405(g))		
■ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	Liability IMMIGRATI	ONICO	Employment	(⊇ 650	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Ti	tle XVI	
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Cl 462 Naturalizat	ion 440	American with Disabilities -		Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40;	5(g))	
	240 Torts to Land	Application	n ]	Other	□ 690	Safety /Health Other	FERENCE	ex ser	5.
□ 950 Constitutionality of	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 463 Habeas Co Alien Deta		Other Civil		CARCI	□ 870 Taxes (L or Defen	J.S. Plair ident\	ntiff
State Statutes	230 711 Oniol Iceal Floperty	☐ 468 Other Imm Actions	igration	Rights			☐ 871 IRS-Thir USC 760	rd Party 2	26
					<u> </u>		- 22 700		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:	NA A A	0 5 1 0	. ^		···			
	OMPLETING THE FRONT SI	DE OF FORM CV	UDLL 71, COMPLE	E THE INFORM	ATION	DECHIDETER =:	PY ON		
N 71 (06/08)				· - and with OR(I)		MEQUESTED B	LEUW,		

CV-71 (05/08)

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s).	s this action been pr	eviously filed in	this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ■No ☐ Yes	
VHI(b). RELATED CASES: Have lf yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pre	eviously filed in t	his court tha	t are related to the present case? MNG Ves	
□ C □ p.	Arise from the sam Call for determinati For other reasons w Involve the same p	e or closely relate ion of the same of rould entail substatent, trademark of	ed transaction r substantial) antial duplic or copyright,	ty related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUE: (When completing the  (a) List the County in this District;  Check here if the government is	California County of	outside of this Di	strict; State i	f other than California: or Foreign Country in which EACH named plaintiff regides	
County in this District:*	is agencies or emplo	oyees is a namen	plaintiff. It	this box is checked, go to item (b).  California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country	
				Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Georgia, County of Fulton.	
Check here if the government, i	California County of its agencies or emple	outside of this Di- oyees is a named	strict; State i defendant. 1	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Defendant Mario Armondo Lav County, California	andeira, Jr. is a re	sident of Los A	ungeles	·.	
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation c	California County o	outside of this Dis on of the tract of	strict; State i fland involv	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose, yed.	
County in this District;*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Los Angeles County, California					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note. In land condemnation cases, us	rdino, Riverside, V se the location of the	entura, Santa B	arbara, or S olved	San Luis Obispo Courtles	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER):	7m	MA	Date June 28, 2009	
but is used by the Clerk of the C	ourt for the purpose	of statistics, venu	and the informal Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relating to Sc	•				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive S	tatement of	Cause of Action	
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))			

	f .					
1	Brian Spitz (Ohio SBN 0068816)	•				
2	John Heffernan (Ohio SBN 0067354)					
3	THE SPITZ LAW FIRM, LLC 4568 Mayfield Rd, Suite 102					
4	Cleveland, OH 44121	_				
5	Telephone: 216.291.8711 Facsimile: 216.291.5744	BY CEE				
6	1 acsimile: 210.231.3744	ZOO9 JUL				
7	Timothy McGonigle (CA Bar 115979)					
8 I	233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 700 Santa Monica, CA 90401	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F				
	Tel: 310-478-7110					
9	Fax: 310-260-9700	OUR S				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	BYFAX				
11	Jonathan Lewandowski					
12						
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	CENTRAL DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15		CV09-05100 BD? (JEM)				
16	JONATHAN LEWANDOWSKI,	Case No.				
17	bonarda de Wando Wight,	THE A PARTICULAR CONTRACTOR AND A VALUE				
18	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT				
19	1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
20	v.					
21	MARIO LAVANDEIRA dba,					
22	PEREZ.HILTON.COM, dba, PEREZ HILTON,					
23	TERCETHETON,					
24	Defendants.					
25		<u>.</u>				
26		•				
27	For his Complaint, Plaintiff, by an	d through counsel, states the				
28	following:					
1 1	<del>-</del>					

Plaintiff's Complaint

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Jonathan Lewandowski is an individual and is now, and at all relevant times was, a resident and citizen of the State of Georgia.
- Defendant is a resident and citizen of Los Angeles County,
   State of California doing business as perezhilton.com.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mario Lavandeira, Jr. writes a blog under the pseudonym Perez Hilton. A blog (an abridgment of the term web log) is a website with regular entries of commentary, descriptions of events, or other material such as graphics or video. Entries are commonly displayed in reverse chronological order. "Blog" can also be used as a verb, meaning to maintain or add content to a blog.
- 4. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this Complaint, defendants were the agents and employees of their codefendants, and in doing the things alleged in this Complaint were acting within the course and scope of that agency and employment.

#### JURISDICTION

5. This United States District Court has original jurisdiction of this case under 28 U.S.C. §1332(a) as amended. Section 1332(a) provides, in pertinent part:

- 12. As part of perezhilton.com, Lavandeira posts gossip items about musicians, actors and celebrities.
- 13. As part of perezhilton.com, Lavandeira posts tabloid photographs over which he has added his own captions or "doodles."
- 14. As part of perezhilton.com, Lavandeira often "outs" closeted, homosexual celebrities by publically disclosing otherwise private information. Outing refers to "taking someone out of the closet" that is, publicizing that someone is a homosexual. The term usually refers to any publicizing of a person's homosexuality without their consent, or to situations where those doing the outing support gay rights and object to what they see as the target's hypocrisy, i.e., the target's desire to keep their homosexuality private.
- 15. On or about April 8, 2008, Lavandeira filed a lawsuit against Lewandowski in the Superior Court of California, entitled *Lavandeira v. Lewandowski*, Los Angeles Superior Court case no. BC388760
- 16. On or about May 9, 2008, Lewandowski removed the lawsuit to the United States District Court for the Central District of California; the case then captioned *Lavandeira v. Lewandowski*, case no. CV-08-3074 GHK, pending before Judge George H. King.

- 17. Shortly after the case was removed to Federal Court, the parties engaged in settlement discussions and, on or about, August 19, 2008, agreed to settle the lawsuit pending the drafting and execution of a formal, written settlement agreement. (See the August 19, 2008, Notice of Settlement, attached as Exhibit A)
- 18. On or about September 18, 2008, the parties entered into a written Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the "Agreement"). (See the September 18, 2008, Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release attached as Exhibit B)
- the Parties shall cease and desist any verbal or written mention of each other's legal names, stage names, nicknames, trade names, fictitious business names, or any other alias, either by direct reference or by innuendo, including, without limitation ... The Parties shall also refrain from generating, publishing, communicating, or disseminating to any individual or entity any verbal or written reference, comment, remark, or discussion which relates in any way to each other. This section applies to all private and public forums and all forms of media, including, without limitation, television, radio, newspapers, magazines, books, CDs, DVDs, and Internet postings and blogs. (Exhibit B, p. 1, ¶1)(emphasis added)

27

28

20. As part of the Agreement, the parties also agreed to liquidated damages for any breach of the foregoing provision:

Liquidated Damages. The Parties acknowledge and agree that each breach of Section 1 or Section 2 would cause irreparable damage, including, without limitation, reputational damage, to the non-breaching party, but that it could be impracticable and difficult to quantify actual damages that such party would sustain .... Accordingly, the Parties stipulate and agree that ... for each instance of breach of this Agreement by Lavandeira, Lavandeira shall pay to ... [Lewandowski] liquidated damages in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00). The Parties waive their right to contest this liquidated damages provision on the basis that it is an unenforceable penalty or illegal or conscionable in any manner. The Parties acknowledge and agree that this Agreement has been entered. into in consideration for and of this liquidated damages provision. (Exhibit B, p. 2, ¶3)(emphasis added) Indeed, it was Lavandeira that insisted on this liquidated damages provision.

21. This absolute ban against the parties' mentioning each other was to ensure that they had "bought their peace." Indeed, the fact that all mentions of each other, whether benign or injurious, were barred was meant to avoid the parties' having to debate the seriousness or impact of any

individual mention and having to debate whether not such mention warranted taking action. In other words the parties agreed to a "bright line" test: any mention was a violation of the parties' settlement agreement.

- 22. On or about February 3, 2009, Lewandowski became aware of a blog entry on perezhilton.com, mentioning Lewandowski. (See the screen shot of the blog entry attached as Exhibit C).
- 23. On or about February 13, 2009, Lewandowski's counsel wrote Lavandeira's counsel regarding this violation of the Agreement and requesting that Lavandeira remove the blog entry from his website. (See the February 13, 2009, Letter from Lewandowski's Counsel to Lavandeira's Counsel attached as Exhibit D)
- 24. On or about March 13, 2009, and after numerous attempts to contact Lavandeira's counsel by phone, Lewandowski's counsel again wrote Lavandeira's counsel regarding violation of the Agreement and requesting that Lavandeira remove the blog entry from his website. (See the March 13, 2009, Letter from Lewandowski's Counsel to Lavandeira's Counsel attached as Exhibit E).
- 25. On or about April 3, 2009, Lavandeira's counsel finally responded to Lewandowski's correspondence. (See the April 3, 2009, Letter

from Lavandeira's Counsel to Lewandowski's Counsel attached as Exhibit

F). Unfortunately, rather than engage in a reasonable discussion of the
matter, Lavandeira refused to remove the blog entry and even threatened to
file an anti-SLAPP lawsuit.

- 26. On April 6 and May 15, 2009, Lewandowski's counsel again wrote Lavandeira's counsel in separate attempts to resolve this matter short of litigation. (See the April 6 and May 15, 2009, Letters attached as Exhibits G and H, respectively). Again, however, Lavandeira refused to engage in any meaningful settlement discussion.
- 27. As of the date of filing of this Complaint, defendants have refused to remove the blog entry from perezhilton.com.

#### COUNT I.

# (Breach of Contract Against All Defendants)

- 28. Lewandowski reasserts and realleges by reference all the prior allegations contained in his Complaint as if herein fully set forth.
- 29. On or about September 18, 2008, the parties entered into the Agreement.

- 36. In fact, Lewandowski reasonably expected, and did, in fact expect, Defendants to refrain from making mention of him on perezhilton.com.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts and omissions as set forth above, Plaintiff have sustained serious injuries and damages in excess of \$75,000.

#### COUNT III.

### (Fraud Against All Defendants)

- 38. Lewandowski reasserts and realleges by reference all the prior allegations contained in his Complaint as if herein fully set forth.
- 39. At all times relevant to this matter, Defendants intended that Lewandowski would rely on Defendants' promise that they would "cease and desist any verbal or written mention" of Lewandowski "by direct reference or by innuendo" and would "also refrain from generating, publishing, communicating, or disseminating to any individual or entity any verbal or written reference, comment, remark, or discussion which relates in any way" to Lewandowski.
  - 40. Indeed, Defendants insisted on this provision in the Agreement.

- 47. Defendants breached their duties and contractual obligations to Lewandowski by mentioning Lewandowski in a February 3, 2009, blog entry on perezhilton.com.
- 48. Defendants have refused repeated requests by Lewandowski to remove the blog entry from perezhilton.com.
- 49. There is a substantial likelihood that Lewandowski will prevail on the merits of his claims as set forth in the Complaint.
- 50. Lewandowski has continued, and will continue, to suffer irreparable injury if a preliminary injunction is not granted and Defendants are permitted to continue running the blog entry on perezhilton.com.
- 51. Third parties will not be unjustifiably harmed if the injunction is granted.
- 52. The public interest will be served by granting a preliminary injunction.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts and omissions as set forth above, Lewandowski should be granted a preliminary

injunction enjoining Defendants from mentioning Lewandowski on perezhilton.com.

WHEREFORE, Lewandowski demands judgment against Defendants, and each of them, for the following:

- a. A liquidated damages award of \$100,000.00 for each violation
   of Paragraph 3 of the Parties' Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, of \$100,000;
- b. An award of compensatory damages in an amount in excess of the Court's jurisdictional amount for all personal and economic harms suffered by Lewandowski as a result of Defendants' conduct;
- c. An award of exemplary and punitive damages as permitted by law;
- d. An award of attorneys' fees and costs of the suit incurred herein as permitted by law;
  - e. A preliminary injunction as set forth in this Complaint; and
- f. An award of such other and further relief as the court may deem proper and just.

1	
2	
3	Dated: June 28, 2009
4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5	
6	
7	
8	f   
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	1
25	]
26	<u> </u>
27	
28	İ

Respectfully submitted,

Ву: \_

BRIAN SPITZ (0068816)

JOHN M. HEFFERNAN (0067354)

THE SPITZ LAW FIRM 4568 Mayfield Rd., Suite 102

Cleveland, OH 44121 Phone: 216.291.8744

Fax: 216.291.5744

brian.spitz@spitzlawfirm.com john.heffernan@spitzlawfirm.com

TIMOTHY MCGONIGLE 233 Wilshire Boulevard

Suite 700

Santa Monica, CA 90401

Tel: 310-478-7110 Fax: 310-478-7440

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable of right by a jury.

Dated: June 28, 2009

By: / / / /
Timothy D. McGonigle
Attorney for Plaintiff