<u>No. 348-235791</u>	-09	
Mark and Rhonda Lesher,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiffs,	§	
v.	§ §	
Charlie Doescher,	§	
Pat Doescher,	§	OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
Apache Iron Metal & Auto Salvage, Inc. d/b/a Apache	§	
Truck & Van Parts,	8	
Gerald Coyel d/b/a Apache Truck & Van Parts,	8	
James Coyel d/b/a Apache Truck & Van Parts,	§	
John and/or Jane Does 1-175, and	8	348 th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Shannon Coyel,	8	348 JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiffs Mark Lesher (last three numbers of social security number: 575; last three numbers of Texas driver's license number: 007) and Rhonda Lesher (last three numbers of social security number: 229; last three numbers of Texas driver's license number: 064)

["Plaintiff/Plaintiffs"] individually and collectively bring this action against John and Jane Does
1-178 for defamation under the laws of Texas.

Discovery Control Plan

1. Plaintiffs affirmatively plead that 1) the damages resulting from the cause of action brought forth herein are within the jurisdictional limits of the District Court and 2) discovery should be conducted in accordance with a discovery control plan under Civil Procedure Rule 190.4 (Level 2).

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff, Mark Lesher, an individual, is a resident of Clarksville, Texas.
- 3. Plaintiff, Rhonda Lesher, an individual, is a resident of Clarksville, Texas.
- 4. Defendant, Charlie Doescher, an individual, is a resident of Fort Worth, Texas. He may be served at his address at 417 Thousand Trails Dr. #C, Whitney, TX 76692-3071. The relevant counts of this pleading to this defendant can be found on (*inter alia*) pages 144-163.
- 5. Defendant, Pat Doescher, an individual, is a resident of Fort Worth, Texas. She may be served at her address at 417 Thousand Trails Dr. #C, Whitney, TX 76692-3071. The relevant counts of this pleading to this defendant can be found on (*inter alia*) pages 144-163.

- 6. Defendant, Apache Iron Metal & Auto Salvage, Inc. d/b/a Apache Truck & Van Parts, a company, is located in Fort Worth, Texas. It may be served at its address at 5500 Mansfield Highway, Fort Worth, TX 76140. The relevant counts of this pleading to this defendant can be found on (*inter alia*) pages 144-163.
- 7. Defendant, Gerald Coyel d/b/a Apache Truck & Van Parts, an individual, is a resident of Clarksville, Texas. He may be served at his address at 19035 Farm Road 1159, Clarksville, TX 75426. The relevant counts of this pleading to this defendant can be found on (*inter alia*) pages 144-163.
- 8. Defendant, James Coyel d/b/a Apache Truck & Van Parts, an individual, is a resident of Clarksville, Texas. He may be served at J&J Cattle Company, North of Clarksville, Red River County, Texas. The relevant counts of this pleading to this defendant can be found on (*inter alia*) pages 144-163.
- 9. Defendant, Shannon Coyel, an individual, is a resident of Clarksville, Texas. Se may be served at her address at 19035 Farm Road 1159, Clarksville, TX 75426. The relevant counts of this pleading to this defendant can be found on (*inter alia*) pages 581-732.
- 10. The real names and residences of Defendants John and/or Jane Does 1-175 are currently unknown.

Venue

11. Venue is permissive in Tarrant County under Texas Civil Practices & Remedies Code section 15.017 because this suit involves libel and is filed in the county where at least one defendant is located.

Facts

- 12. Plaintiffs Mark and Rhonda Lesher are the victims of a vicious cyber-defamation campaign that was waged on www.topix.com. Each of the Defendants has chosen to hide behind pseudonymous user names and most have yet to be identified. A significant number of these posts originate in Tarrant County, Texas. Plaintiffs seek to discover the identities of the John and Jane Doe Defendants and hold them accountable for their actions.
- 13. Plaintiffs Mark and Rhonda Lesher have been upstanding members of the Clarksville, Texas community for over 20 years. As of July 2007, the population of Clarksville was approximately 3,508.
- 14. Each Plaintiff operates a successful business within the community. Mr. Lesher is an attorney of good-standing and Mrs. Lesher operates a beauty salon. Each business is located primarily in the town of Clarksville, Texas, in Red River County. Mr. Lesher also has a law office located in Texarkana, Texas.
- 15. In April 2008, Mr. and Mrs. Lesher were wrongfully accused of sexually assaulting Shannon Coyle, a former client of Mr. Lesher.

- 16. Charges were brought to a grand jury by the County Attorney, Val Varley. The Leshers were indicted and subsequently released on bond.
- 17. Almost immediately following Shannon Coyel's false allegations of sexual assault, defamatory comments against the Plaintiffs began to appear on Topix.com. Since then, over 25,000 comments have been posted to message boards concerning the charges levied against the Plaintiffs. Most of these comments can be found on one or more of over 70 individual threads located in the "Clarksville, TX", "McKinney, TX", and/or "Avery, TX" forums. Defamatory comments concerning the Plaintiffs are also located on Topix message-boards of nearby geographic communities, such as "Texarkana, TX" and/or "Garvin, OK."
- 18. Neither one of the Leshers had any presence on Topix.com before these postings.
- 19. To date, Plaintiffs have generated a comprehensive spreadsheet detailing over 1700 defamatory statements directed at the Plaintiffs. The following comments are exemplars of the postings Plaintiffs find actionable:
 - i. "...She actually contracted HSV-2, around her eyes, after a visit to U.T. [n.b. Unique Touch] where Rhonda did her hair..." (posted 5/8/08, in "leshers go to jail" by ilbedipt);
 - ii. "...Mark Lesher...wants to grow weed, sells weed, sells dope, sex orgies at his bar and spreads herpies, drug and rape women while Ronda gives oral sex while they watch, ...gets kick backs on projects like mail street, ...buys Rhonda strippers to have oral sex with and spread diseases...'ANTICHRIST'." (posted 5/14/08, in "leshers go to jail" by Smiley);
 - iii. "I Charlie do here by state that MARK LESHER,RHONDA LESHER are without a doubt,CRIMINALS...They steal from people. They are selling drugs. They are molesting helpless people. They are completely untrustworthy. BEWARE...It IS A FACT. NOW YOU CAN SUE ME." (posted 7/18/08, in "Criminal Trio" by ilbedipt);
 - iv. "...lesher was the one that had her on drugs with the help of McCarver selling them to her for Mark Lesher... TRIO OF TRASH' raped her and Rhonda[Long]Lesher tried to suck her guts out she got scared a n off drugs... 'SCUMBS'...Robert Lynn McCarver has 'CONFESSED' and outher evidence raised their charges ti AggSexual assault. You Lesher perverted 'SCUMB' can lie and twist 'FACTS' but this 'TRASH' will go to Jail!!!!!!!!" (posted 9/15/08, in "Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges," by oh my);
 - v. "I think that Buddy fellow must go to the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' [properly named] and Rhonda gave him her 'FAMOUS' [to perverts] 'BUTT TONGUE' job... Wonder if Mark Lesher watched and played with his balls? (posted 11/29/08, in "Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges", by lou);
 - vi. "HOLY MOLY the Leshers are BAD, Rapeing the COWS and Three BULLS. yikes BUT it figures" (posted 12/03/08, in "Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges", by Awareness); and
 - vii. "...that's where the bite marks came from... hell Lesher been biting my DONKEY!!!!!... All them bite marks are all around my poor ol donkies

SCHLONG area.... That Lesher better be careful.. my donkey knows some real mean mules that drive white truck." (posted 12/06/08, in "Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges", by Awareness)

- 20. Topix is, and at all times was, an interactive internet news bulletin board. Topix.com claims to be "the largest news community of the web", and has as its headline, "Your town. Your news. Your take." The company states that its goal is to make passive news interactive both by 1) posting automatically through "bots" and 2) allowing users to post news articles from their respective communities. Topix then invites back-and-forth commentary from users in the same community using a "message-board" or "blog" format where users control the content. Furthermore, upon opening Topix's homepage, users are directed to a community website portal. This portal is automatically selected for the user, presumably by their IP address and/or cookie data placed on the user's computer by Topix.
- 21. Individuals who wish to post comments on any thread in the Topix.com forums are not required to give their real names. To post, Topix only requires that the commenter provide a user name of their choice, content, and a randomly generated alpha-numeric code (presumably to limit the amount of spam comments to the site).
- 22. In September 2008, the prosecutor in the alleged sexual assault case and the Leshers agreed to a change of venue due (at least in part) to the inability of the Plaintiffs to acquire a fair trial in Red River County. The criminal trial was then moved to McKinney, TX (Collin County). The defamatory posts in question continued on both the Topix.com forum for Clarksville and a new one in McKinney.
- 23. These threads and defamatory comments can easily be found using a search on the internet search engine Google. By entering a person's name into the search engine, the engine will list various threads in which that name appears as a result. As of Friday, January 23rd, 2009, the top two results for both "Mark Lesher" and "Rhonda Lesher" were threads and comments that had been posted on Topix.com relating to the criminal charges.
- 24. Although countless comments on Topix's webpage 1) are defamatory per quod, 2) intrude on the seclusion of the Plaintiffs, and/or 3) constitute disparagement of one or both of the Plaintiffs' businesses, Plaintiffs have limited this pleading to those statements which are defamatory per se under Texas law.
- 25. The criminal trial lasted from January 12th-16th, 2009. Mrs. Coyel testified that the Plaintiffs held her against her will at their home for several days during which the assault allegedly occurred. Upon cross examination, it was proven by the Plaintiffs' learned counsel that the Defendant had fabricated the entire story through, *inter alia*, proof that she had purchased items from department stores on the days she claimed to be unable to leave the Plaintiffs' property. This withering cross-examination revealed numerous instances of perjury as well as several ulterior motives for lying about the assault (including retaliation for testimony given in a child custody hearing in Cause No. CV-01534 in October of 2007 by Mrs. Lesher).

- 26. A jury in Collin County found the Plaintiffs not guilty on all counts of sexual assault on January 15, 2009.
- 27. Defendants maliciously and systematically attacked the Plaintiffs on the Topix.com message boards over the course of almost a year. This has affected their reputations in the community as businesspersons and has damaged their respective businesses. It has created psychological, emotional, and financial trauma for both Plaintiffs.
- 28. For the period of time relevant to this petition, an IP address provided by Topix to Plaintiffs was connected by Birch Communications to Apache Truck and Van Parts, located at 1121 W. Mansfield Highway, Fort Worth, Texas 76140. The primary contacts for this account are listed as "Charlie or Pat Doescher", each of whom is listed as a defendant in this action.
- 29. Apache Truck and Van Parts is, upon information and belief, owned by Apache Iron, Metal, and Auto Salvage Inc., located at 5500 Mansfield Highway, Fort Worth, Texas 76140.
- 30. The owners of Apache Iron, Metal, and Auto Salvage Inc. are, upon information and belief, Gerald and James Coyel, brothers, each of whom is set out in his own individual capacity as a defendant in this action. Upon information and belief, this corporation serves as an alter ego to these individuals and therefore is not a true corporate entity under the laws of the Great State of Texas for reasons that include, *inter alia*, commingling of personal and corporate funds in the form of paying personal bills and debts with corporate monies.
- 31. Furthermore, Plaintiffs allege that each post accusing the Plaintiffs of sexually assaulting Shannon Coyel would not have been published but for Defendant Shannon Coyel's false accusations.
- 32. Defendant Shannon Coyel's knowingly false and malicious accusation against Plaintiffs laid the foundation for a vicious online campaign to smear the Plaintiffs' respective reputations; but for Defendant's knowingly false and malicious accusations, this concerted effort could not have occurred.
- 33. For the ease of the court and the Defendants individually, the entire text of each defamatory comment is included in each count. Plaintiffs have attempted to include italicized information within brackets where necessary in an attempt to clarify potentially ambiguous postings.
- 34. Plaintiffs have made every effort to organize this extensive pleading in a logical and reasonable manner. To that end, the following counts are listed alphabetically by pseudonymous author. More specifically, each initial count of defamation lists the pseudonym of the respective defendant underneath it; the immediately subsequent libel per se and defamation per se counts expressly refer back to the preceding defamation count (and the pseudonym listed).
- 35. Unfortunately, listing each count individually will exceed 1,500 pages.

Count 1 - Defamation African American Day

- 1. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "African American Day, Hhalf price! Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Hah "Residents of rrcounty waiting to see Mark and Rhonda, at the "UNIQUE TOUCH"!"
- 2. The statement involved a private matter
- 3. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 4. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 5. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 6. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 7. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 8. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 9. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 10. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.

- 11. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 12. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 13. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 14. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 15. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 16. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 17. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 18. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 19. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 20. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 21. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 23. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 24. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of

exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2 – Libel Per Se

- 25. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 26. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 3 - Defamation per se

- 27. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 28. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 29. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 30. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 31. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 32. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 4-5 - Defamation Anonoymus

- 33. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Judged: 2 1 1 Lesher Scumb wrote: Leshers History!! [1] Frivolous Law Suits cousting the County Thousands of Dollars! [2] Trying to force "DUMPS" on citizens of RR County! [3] Trying to get Clarksville "WET" so he could open "BARS" and sell "LIQUOR"! [4] "DRUGS" Makes illegal "DRUGS", grows illegal "DRUGS"! Sells illegal "DRUGS"! [5] Hand wrote and typed a letter, "LYING" about Judge Jim Dick Lovett and sent it to the Judicial board in Austin

Texas, saying Judge Lovett had sex with Jerry Coyels ex Wife and was a morless Judge. Just because Lesher could not corrupt him. [6] "RAPE" Drugged and "RAPED" a woman! [7] Tried to get D.J. Coyel to lie to a Judge that his step father Jerry was molesting his sister, and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. D.J. Coyel testified that Mark Lesher made this story up and wanted him to lie, but he would not. Citizens of RR County you all know the history of Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robery McCarver and Mark Lesherm I could list many more things about this scumb! Rhonda Lesher has lied under oath, bashed the Grand Juries, D.A. Val Varley all that know the truth. These "PERVERTS" think they are above the law! The F.B.I., and State Police have tried to convict Mark Lesher for years, but could not get a break. Robert Lynn McCarver has a family history of child Molesters, "DRUGS", "THIEF" ""CRIMINAL" acts. McCarver was caught with 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" Mark Lesher got for him. Leshers let McCarver live with them, bonds him out, gives him money, cell phone, car. "WHY" Look at the "FACTS". Ricky Long, Rhondas brother was caught with a load of Mark Leshers Drugs. Just reed the Clarksville Times, don't believe me, look at the "FACTS"!"

- b. "Judged: 2 2 1 truth wrote: <quoted text> "BRILLIANT"!!! What you have posted is the whole truth, and an investigation was ongoing by the F.B.I. and State Police and D.A. office. The F.B.I. want Mark Lesher for several outher crimes, I know people they have Questioned including Jerry Coyel, and Linda Velvin before she died. Mark Lesher gave Jerry Coyel drugs when he was working out at race for life before his back surgery, Human Groth Hormone, Testitrone, Pills, Viagra, deca, trying to sell Jerry these drugs at a discount. Jerry was taking groth hormone, testirone, and deca but nothing else. Jerry said he knew these were prescription drugs and need a prescription to get them. Jerry wanted to be sure there were from a doctor and safe and legal. Jerry saved these drugs for years mark lesher gave him as a sample of what he could get him. "GUESS WHAT"? When the F.B.I. Questioned Jerry and Shannon about what drugs lesher gave Shannon before and after they raped Shannon. Jerry Coyel gave the F.B.I. these ileagal drugs mark had gave him to sample, and they have serial numbers as to where they came from.. The dots are being connected and the serial numbers lead to guess who "MARK LESHER"! I have waited for months for the F.B.I. to finally take Lesher down, but they don't get in a hurry. This is just a sample of what Lesher is facing. The recounty Sheriff Office has these records."
- 34. The statement involved a private matter.
- 35. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 36. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 37. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 38. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 39. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 40. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 41. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 42. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 43. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 44. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 6-7 – Libel per se

- 45. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 4-5 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 46. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 4-5 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 47. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 8-9 - Defamation per se

48. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 4-5 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

49. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 10-57 – Defamation Awareness

- 50. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Aslo said it was two BLACK men and they left in a WHITE pu.....no other description given..... RIGHT..... JC would not ask any BLACK men to do his work for him AND we all KNOW that RHONDA and Mark think BLACK people are not human or worthwhile.... Their own words."
- b. "When ever we post anything that shows GUILT for the TRIO. YOU followers jump in with some B.S. about the victim or the victims friends and family. Like the family or friends had anything to do with it???? The only ones that had anything to do with the ACTUAL assault were the TRIO, the Victim and Mike Rice(after the fact). But you like to BRING UP ANYTHING AND EVERYTHING that had nothing to do with the ASSAULT. STRANGE how that all upsets you."
- "Now u seem to be on to something,,, sort of,,,. It will be shown that Mark and Robert actually planned the deal...assault... Mark was after the victim to have sex with Rhonda and she was not going for it...SOOOO the plan was hatched to knock her out so they could do their deed. AND DID. Now, all along we have admitted the use of drugs by the victim and even by her own words she was using SPEED.... duh.... Which does not KNOCK one out...just the opposite... Mark ,being a PHARMACIST knew what to do... As ROBERT will attest to, it was a HARMLESS deal that they believed would not be such a big deal. After all, the Leshers were doing for her and all. How could she go to the LAW with this simple act of sex.???? Was their thinking... so says ROBERT. Robert and Mark and MIKE Rice talked (the next day) about what would happen if they did let her leave, after she threw a fit about what happened. They decided she would not go to the Law and would surely go to her mothers in Paris and all this would die down. They all thought she would be too affraid to go to JC with this deal. But she did go home after she went to her mothers and found that JC was willing to have her come home all along. She wanted to get away from the drugs and all... so she came clean on all of what transpired.. JC told her to do what ever she needed to do he would stand by her no matter what she decided to do as long as she would stay off the drugs. Now that is more than many of you deserve to know, for now. But it is fact. There will be lots of shit spresd by the followers but it will all fly back in their faces when the whole story is played out in court."
- d. "TURN out the LIGHTS the party 's over. The polygraph is out and the Confession is in."
- e. "Lets call this theoretical. There will be another witness, to be called to repeat what Rhonda told her about the Incident, the day after it occured. This person is a FRIEND of The

Leshers and thought she would help. She will testify that her friend Rhonda, recounted the sexual engagement of the Victim and what a great affair it was. This friend thought she could help Rhonda with this information... Because she was told it was a consentual affair. Now ,that is the account Rhonda gave this person.....And the friend is on record ,now. The problem here is ,NOW we have three people cooborating the fact that Rhonda did perform sex on the victim. Here the thing is to figure ut whether it was actually consentual,in this tale. Now the other problem would be that RHONDA and the other two said it did not happen and that the victim was not there at all during that particular time. Yet,two of them have a already testified in another court that she was. Could it be that this thing did happen...???"

- f. "Hey JOE, Looky here,, go to the U.T. In Clarksville and just listen long enough and you will hear Rhonda Lesher tell of some exploits of hers and Marks. She is quick to point out that she enjoys threesomes with Mark and Another man and they both will perform oral sex on her and EACH OTHER and thatit is a real turn on for her to watch tem do it. These are not my words... these are stories that have come from U.T....well known for such stories all about the Leshers sexual exploits."
- g. "Mark LESHER is a coward that hide behind women ,when he is not drugging and RAPING them. The only reason he hasn't TAKEN care of Rhonda is because he is scared of her and besides that she is PERVERTED like him and he likes to get his jollies off watching her with other women and men and joins in on most occasions. His other wives or Common laws or what ever they were wouldn't be so accomodating, and we all know what happened there. He is just a low life PINKY boy with no class and no GUTS. NOW take CARE of that MR.(i use that term loosely)LESHER. You YELLOW BELLY ,scum sucking PIG. TAHT'S the nice part."
- h. "I also heard that Rhonda Lesher likes to have sex with WOMEN and MEN,,,,but mostly women....that is true also. Everyone in town knows that . Even Rhonda herself will tell that to be true."
- i. "We put all our FACTS here... for your enjoyment... I can't wait to see what you followers will say when the Pervert scum go tom PRISON for Aggravated Sexual Assault..." oh what an injusice" "they put our criminals in jail" "Corruption" " that woman needed to be RAPED" " "why don't the D.A. mond his ouwn Bus. and leave the CRIMINLAS alone" Yeah, well, they will still be in PRISON."
- j. "I think it all got started with the Mark Lesher and his GREEDY attempt to cash in on an unfortinate event in the victims life. Then the Assault occured because of the Leshers perverted lifestyle choices and self gratification needs with a vulnerable person they thought they could control. The assault was a matter of opportunity."
- k. "Well, we have a little ptoblem there, Helldog. You see we do not have dialog with Varley. And I am sure ,if we did, he would not listen to us. But he might Listen to her. A D.A> will always listen to pleas. Might not accept them but will listen. The other problem here is that McCarver beat her to the punch. And She [n.b Rhonda Lesher] was the instigator of the Assault. The BOYS saw her having fun and just decided they ,too should indulge."

- l. "Now I know that ever you are not that STUPID,,,well nevermind,,, here let me set it out for you again. The attack happened the night before and then the Leshers went off to work or somewhere, who knows, they left and when the Victim came to enough to realize what actually happened to her ,the next morning ,she freaked out. Now you got it.... hell you know how it went down. you just want to play with words and confuse yourself. Just take you time and read and think about the whole statement then re read and rethink iy over and it will slowly form a picture of the Cruel and horrible happening. maybe ,just maybe, once you realize what really went on in that den of self gratifing eniquity, you too can have some compassion for a distraught helpless woman."
- m. "Well, the WORD on the streets is that they have been involved in the drug trade for some time. It is not unheard of that NICE people turn to drug trade for \$\$\$\$."
- n. "It is not hard to understand the DEVIANT actions of the Leshers in RED RIVER Co. whether you want ot know about it or NOT. Rhonda Lesher has not been real secretive about her and PINKY's exploits in deviant sexual actions with WHOMEVER. She made sure everyone knew, by telling all in her shop. For as she once said to advetise by word of mouth is best way to attract others that wnat to indulge in same. And I guess it was working very well for her."
- o. "Linda Velvins son was ahving many problems because of drug use. Lida and Marks " marriage" went south because Linda found out Mark was supplying most of drugs to him. When Mark threw her out and she got in trouble, the boy was very angry at Mark and was going to do all he could to get Mark put in Prison. His big mistake was to let MARK know it. The son and Linda are ,now , both dead. I am not saying Mark Lesher did anything, I am just telling the FACTS."
- p. "Lets do a theoretical scene here. Lets say they are all in court and the conversation of McCarver and the Sheriff's officer was allowed. Now the Leshers will have to EITHER take the Stand or NOT. If they do elect to testify, they will be subject to some hard questions about all that was told during that CONVERSATION. From that conversation will come the evidence of drug supplying and dealing for PROFIT. And all of which will be ILLEGAL and other charges could and would be filed for another case. If they do not take the stand. The other charges could still be filed. And they would SURELY be found guilty of the SEX charge ,too. They are in a real PICKLE ,if you ask me. At least MARK LESHER is.... and of course his little new BEST friend McCarver. I can see why he hired BOTSFORD. His only hope is to have these things delayed and appealed to ETERNITY. REMEMBER at the beginning of this ordeal we stated THIS is just the TIP of the ICEBERG."
- q. "OL'PINKY got trapped by RHONDA and demanded to be moved in to the COMPOUND... She is so, sweet to go after another womans HUSBAND,,,as everyone thaought Linda and Mark were married. CLASSY lady that RHONDA LONG LESBIAN LESHER LEECH."
- r. "There will be no O.J deal here. The Law has the TAPES of Roberts confession and the tapes of him bragging and telling of details about the incident. Before he was pulled out of jail by MARK LESHER. The people of the Jury and the JUDGE can easily see through this latest ruse."

- s. "Here is a little more TRIVIA for you. Mark lesher has had TWO previous wives that have Died after they split up. Just this week... ONE of rhonda's ex's died... that makes two of her PAST husband to go.after they split. the latest for Rhondas victims... ooops I mean ex husbands was Chris Lee...or ANTHONY LEE. What is up with that....?????? If I was one of the other TWO of Rhonda's ex's I would be really worried. I hear one is not in the best shape anyway. Bless Chris's heart, may he rest in peace... Wanted Mark Lesher to rot in hell on earth and then burn in HELL below. These two cancers on society ,MARK and RHONDA, must be pure evil to anyone that is aroud them, especially the EX's. Maybe thay pass on some time diseases or some thing else bad to those real close anyway. All their sex partners should be worried ,I would think."
- t. "OH, poop, that's where the bite marks came from.... I though it was worms.... hell Lesher been biting my DONKEY!!!!!! Now I'm mad All them bite marks are all around my poor ol donkies SCHLONG area....That Lesher better be careful.. my donkey knows some real mean mules that drive white truck."
- u. "ONE more time I will expain it to you again ,SLOW BRAIN. The confession was McCarvers.... the one he gave to Investigators... Neve did we say it was MARK's..... it was telling of MARKS involvement and other info. about MARK LESHERS misdeeds. Second... She reported it soon after it happened... like two or three weeks... It was taken to GJ several months later... that was a D.A. choice. Third--- The first grand JURY returned an INDICTMENT of SEXUAL ASSAULT... that was when the LESHERS were arressted and hauled to jail. The SECOND GRAND JURY returned an indictment UPGRADED to AGGRAVATED SEXUAL ASSAULT. It is obvious you have no clue as to what is or has happened... so how can anyone think about your statements being CREDIBLE in any form?????? You are truely a DUNCE."
- v. "When LESHER COACHED the Coyel boy before the trial, he was actually obstructing justice, also. He was not the boys lawyer, nor was he SC's lawyer at that time. In custody cases and child endangerment cases an avocate, Lawyer, is appointed by the Judge and no one is supposed to council that child unless the ADVOCATE is present. It has already been shown in court record, that Mark tried to get the boy to lie to the court."
- w. "YOU will also notice in the earlier posts that MARK LESHER also had SC sign a WILL FORM while at the compound. What would that have to do with a DIVORCE case that he was not handling???? and WHY?? HOW about the POWER of ATTY. he had her sign ,all while under the influence of drugs????? Also there may be a couple of other interesting documents that were involved. But those we will ,also,leave for their surprise. Well maybe not to MARK LESHER."
- x. "We are not here for the RICHARDSON case.... This is about the Leshers RAPE of a Lady. And you and the rest of the followers do nothing but try to make it about everyone, everything and anything to try and divert attention from The Horrible crime The Trio committed... this thread was started way back in march and April to expose the PIGS for what they are."

14

- y. "Well, I think she knew she could always go back home because her husband told her she could... and she knew it... However the drugs that she was under was distorting her thinking as it does to anyone that abuse such. I was referring to the reasons she left, which you know nothing of. nor shall I tell you. But none of you followers have come close. She was not aware that she was being drugged to the point of being incapacitated for A sexfest for the Lesher Trio. You get it and you can understand it,but ,you want admit it for it would make to much since and would cast the blame back on your LEADERS. But I can tell you this... If the ALL MIGHTLY LAWYERS the trio is using needs this forum to gather their case.....well... they too are as useful as condom is at the LESHERS."
- z. "Wonder if the Herpies Queen knows of this."
- "Joe, Thank you for the Apology. Accepted. I have never .intentionally tried to pass aa. myself off as an expert on anything. I stated I have had vast experience with polygraphs..... Maybe the word vast was the ignition point to your Presuming I was excerting Expertice.... Any way... I am not an expert in the polygraph are.... Like I said they are very usefull in the right hands. They have in fact brought about many CONFESSIONS from their uUSE by a competent examiner. But the point I was trying to extoll, is that they are VERY rarely allowed in a criminal case, either for the defendants or against them. You take your profession very seriously and granted you should. It was demonstrated how serious and compassionate you are about your duties, as it shouldbe. As I mentioned here in response, this is a very heart touching and nerve bearing subject we are all involved with ,in this Assault case. Much of the beginning statements have been taken off earlier because of many factors. But the Heart of the matter is that a WOMAN was SEXUALLY ASSAULTED while incapacitated to the extent to not be able to give consent or NOT give consent to sexual contact by the defendants. The parties involved are no angels ,any of them, including the VICTIM. But no matter what. A person does not have the right to have sexual contact of anysort with another person unless there is consent. That is the law. TWO different Grand Juries have found enough evidence to warrant a detailed look at the Total eveidence by a Criminal Court and Jury. Ever since the indictment, the Defendants have attacked the Family of the VICTIM, children, mothers, fathers, brothers, sisters and all. They have attacked the hisband of the victim and his intire family, calling his sone QUEER and claiming all forts of criminla arrestss and conviction and so on and so on. Some of us, Victims friends, have been over zealous in our expressions of outrage and matbe gone the wrong way of expressing it. But we all fel very strongly about the Trio's guilt. The husband of the victim was Threatened by these dogs ,that's when we got involved. After doing much research and investigating and info. gathering... we are confident of the outcome to this assault and the Victim will have Justice served by these animals goig to prison. Keep up your good work and God Bless you."
- bb. "The most important of all is the FACT that ROBERT McCarver has sunk the Lehser boat and Mark knows it but cannot afford to let him do more harm. So he will let the SCUM BAG suck (no pun intended)him dry. Red has him by the Balls until the trial.. But one way or the other the money pit will dry up and then WATCH OUT. PINKY may still have a small reach while in PRISON. Some of the drug runners he uses may be LOYAL."

15

- cc. "Another DEVELOPMENT in this deal is ,that, BOTSFORD and at least one of the other ATTY.s for these creeps are seriously concidering withdrawing from the case, due to the DEATH threats coming from their clients towards JC,Bridges and Val. It seems as though He They do not want to be associated with anything or anyone that could do such things."
- dd. "HOLY MOLY the Leshers are BAD, Rapeing the COWS and Three BULLS. yikes BUT it figures"
- ee. "You can tell the pressure is building up. Even the followers know that the closer it gets to trial...the worse it gets...the time is short for the TRIO to be free. Those Prison doors are becoming a reality to them all. They know of the Trio's guilt and cannot find a way to escape the inevitable. But that is nothing compared to the PRESSURE ol'PINKY and his herpes Queen are feeling... If any of you are able or want to, get close to Mark. Watch his actions... he is a man with many DEMONS in his mind and they are tearing his head up.... seriously, watch him"
- ff. "The FOLLOWERS and their Leaders want all to believe what we say is all lies. But the fact is that 99% of everything we have said is truth and the other 1% is speculation as to what will be happening ,based on facts and proof that will come out in court. NONE of the things the FOLLOWERS and CRIMINALS have said is truth or have happened.....nor will happen.... Even their VIOLENT threats are HOT AIR, but we will stand ready if they get CRAZY and desperate."
- gg. "YOU KNOW????? I can undertand, that some may LOVE the McLESHERWOODS bunch for the weird and self gratifying sexual exploits.... But to stand for the things they do to children and the hurt they cause them and their families is completely unfathomable. So hold your HEAD UP HIGH for trying to protect the DANGERS to children and Women. It says VOLUMNS for your VALUES, also..."
- hh. "See anonymous, you still have not facts.... The report of the offence was made around 3 weeks after the attack... You and your FRIENDS(2) have always got that wrong and keep telling the same lie. CREDIBILITY...swiiissshh... out and over. It is real easy to find out.... get off your lying butt and see for yourself and then print it. AHHH, but that would not fit the cause... ehh? The complete time line and totally accurate story of what happened will be outlined and cooberrated by the victim, Robert's statements and other witnesses. And do you think we would be foolish enough to give complete details on here. All we do is give a few facts and outlines of the happening. So GET THE LAWYERS and have them read this over and over again. They will scratch their little heads and say ,so what. The testimony in court will be what is damning for the TRIO. Nothing said here will be even mentioned in court. FOR or AGAINST either side. But if it makes you happy.... keep it up.... I will. BUT when it is all over and the FAT LADY sings, the Lesher Trio of SEXUAL PREDATORS will be OFF to PRISON."
- ii. "Mark lesher expense; \$10,000.00 x 2 x 2=\$40,000.00 Mark and Rhonda bonds. MCCarver covered expense; \$20,000.00 (out of pocket bond fee)+ Letter of guarantee for covering default of bond,\$200,000.00.+ Henry's ,out of pocket fees,+ Eric J. HOLDEN's fees,+ free home and board,+ living expenses,+ cell phone and bill for such,+ vehicle for TRAVEL.+ Rhonda's atty. fees.+ the fees of 2 investigators to ruin the COYELS + the LOAN to the

WOODS for custody legal fees. There is more but I'll have to wait for confermation on these bribes. we have heard of."

- jj. "I do not see any TANGLED WEB.... ANY of their problems, I am sure they will handle... The point here is that none of that has a THING to do with the Sexual assault of SC by Mark and Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver.... NOW DOES IT.????? I can hear the ATTYs. for the TRIO now. YOUR honor, this VICTIM has not renewed her teachers license and her husband is a baaaaad man. She was picked up on warrants in COLO. and she was taken to the police station in OKLA. for having a gun and PARVO medicine. IT is clear that the DEFENDANTS had every right to have sex with her while she was incoherent and unable to give them permission... I am sur she would have had she been Coherent, but she wasn't able to withstand the drugs we gave her to take. So I move that you dismiss the case . Further more, yourhonor, they have been investigated by CPS. EVEN though they were cleared and retained custody of children, we know that had the CPS workers and supervisors NOT gone for a ride in that CORVETTE or went hunting on their property, they would have been found to be unwothy of caring fo children... The courts and CPS ar working for the victim and her husband. So I again ask for dismissal upon these grounds."
- kk. "I see that Mark moved old Robert back to the compound and fixed up the trailer for him. Even hooked up the electricity and water and sewer for him. His previous Lawyer Tonya didn't like it and they had major confrontations over this moove and the bonding Robert out thing. Do any of you really believe that Botsford was his FIRST choice as a Lawyer and that Botsford turned it down because it was in Red River co.??? That was the funniest lie so far. Even marks Wifey is still fuming over this deal. But Mark KNOWS why he is doing all this for Robert and he can't let RIGHT thinking get in his wasy... It is the only chance to keep the RAT McCarver from doing any more damage. What a fine PICKLE ol'pinky has gotten himself into."
- II. "If you hust got on to this topic I can forgive you. But if you have been following this topic and all of the threads you would know that MARK LESHER had MIKE RICE and ROBERT McCARVER take her from the MAIN house, to the Trailer after she became distraught and panicked over the Assault and wanted to leave, but Mark Lesher instructed the two BOYS to put her in the reailer and Robert was to remain with her so she could not leave until Mark and Rhonda returned from THEIR JOBS, and then they would handle it. They Hid her KEYS from her and tried to get her to take more DOPE. She was trapped out there at the compound and had no way of leaving. She had TWO thugs making sure she did not leave as instrycted by Mark Lesher... It is real simple to see she was being HELD AGAINST her will. Now you want to lay some games with words ,go ahead. If you cannot follow that , then you will have to wait for the trial and the tapped interview and confession detailing it for you. From one of the conspiritors of the CRIME ,himself.. His version ,matches the VICTIMs very precisely and refutes and destroys tthe other twos stories,,, all of them. So play with words."

mm. "We leave the Paper ads to the LESHERS, as they have done in past.... That way we have their lies in print."

nn. "If they had not been involved ,there would be no case. If he Mark has clean hands there will be no more trials. But we all know that is not so."

- oo. "If in fact they prove to have AIDS and KNEW it, they can be tried for attempted MURDER."
- pp. "Does that mean you do not find MARK LESHER the same?????Rhonda has told of many times where she and Mark and another man have the three way deal and all perform on each other. She even admitted the TURN ON it brings her to watch the TWO men together.NOT MY WORDS------IT IS RHONDA"S WORDS at the U.T...ASK around,,,,find out for yourself.If you do not already know????????."
- qq. "If the Leshers did not believe in or practice the perverted sexual pleasures they do, they would not be in the situation they now are in. To ask for forgiveness is one thing. To repent and not refrain from bad actions is another. If one repents, yet, does not completely believe it is a sin and continues to practice such sins the forgiveness is not there. Shall er continue on the MORAL issues????"
- rr. "Uou always ask the question when we say they are NOT INNOCENT..... So I ask t when you say THEY ARE. However I have talked to SOME of the people that have told about ROBERT McCarver's boast about the deal when it happened. He told them how funny it was when she was freaking out abiut it. I understand there is a tape of him ,in jail, doing the same. There is , also a aped ,audio and video, of him giving derails to the LAW. I have ,yet to run into anyone that can give any sort of actual evidence to the contrary. So there is evidence that it did happen, from one of those that were THERE, as wel as the VICTIM. Now that is fairly well CONCLUSIVE and COMPELLING evidence to be presented to the JURY. The are FACTS apon FACTS, not hearsay or made up ILLUSIONS like the FOLLOWERS present."
- ss. "LISTEN..... jingle jingle jingle. That ain't bells.... It's the chains and shackles get prepared for the TRIO."
- tt. "Because I do not follow the BROS. case that close.... AND could really not care less about it.... My focus is on the LESHER TRIO and their CRIME against the VICTIM and the THREATS against her HUSBAND and family"
- uu. "The only interest I have in this PARTICULAR case is the CROOKEED LAWYER MARK (pinky)LESHER. The rest is strictly opinions on my part as I see it. The last time I looked in my 5th grade Books it said we all have the right to state opinions."
- vv. "REMEMBER it is RHONDA that has already committed perjury in one court. The state is waiting out come of this one to show more proof of it.. Then GUESS what???? Yes PERJURY is a bad thing and will make the TRIOS sentences longer and even more charges filed...JUST WATCH WHAT I SAY happen....soon...... AND THE BEAT GOES ON"
- 51. The statements involved a private matter.
- 52. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.

- 53. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 54. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 55. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 56. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 57. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 58. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 59. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 60. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 61. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 62. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 63. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 64. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 65. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 66. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 67. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.

- 68. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 69. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 70. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 71. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 72. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 73. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 58-105 – Defamation per se

- 74. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 10-57 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 75. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 76. Further, Defendant's statement(s) were also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 77. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 78. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

79. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 106-153 - Libel per se

- 80. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 10-57 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 81. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 13-73 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 82. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 83. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 154 - Defamation Awwwwww

84. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply>> lReport Abuse lJudge it!l#1583 19 hrs ago ilbedipt wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed.

As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view,' Reed said. 'The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence.' Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. 'At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case,' Reed said. Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother Ricky Long is busted with Mark Leshers "DRUGS". How many more lived will be destroyed by Mark Lesher? Ricky is a really good guy hooked by Mark Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION" "DRUGS"?! "FACTS""

- 85. The statement involved a private matter.
- 86. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 87. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 88. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 89. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 90. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 91. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 92. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 93. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 94. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 95. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 155 – Libel Per Se

- 96. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 154 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 97. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 156 - Defamation per se

- 98. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 154 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 99. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 157 – Defamation baba Lou

- 100. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Illegaly obtained evidence? I think Henry ment the confession by Robert McCarver as to their guilt. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A., that was taped and videoed confessing their crime! 'NOW TWIST THAT'!!!!!!"
- b. "I ment Mark Lesher has a problem with the truth, and don't wan't McCarver talking to the Sheriff about the truth. McCarver has already confessed, so it's to late! I would have loved to have seen Mark and Rhonda Leshers face when they saw and heard the confession of McCarver!"
- 101. The statement involved a private matter.
- 102. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 103. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.

- 104. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 105. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 106. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 107. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 108. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 109. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 110. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 111. The statement was false because Plaintiff has not participated in the conduct alleged by the Defendant.
- 112. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 113. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 114. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 115. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 158 - Defamation per se

- 116. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 157 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 117. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 157 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 118. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 119. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 159 – Libel Per Se

- 120. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 157 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 121. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 160-164 – Defamation Beth

- 122. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Look, I did go to the UT several times, until I got disgusted, over what I heard and had seen. If you don't like my post, pass them by. Who made you the moderateor?"
- b. "I have seen, and heard about Rhondas toys. 'DISGUSTING'?"
- c. "What happened to the lady that had Attorney Dan Meehan sue Rhonda Lesher, and the UT, for catching a disease from Rhonda fixing her hair?"
- d. "Look, I read in earlier post, a lady caught herpies from Rhonda, and she hired Att. Meehan. I still wonder what happened. Get a life!"
- e. "This puzzles me? Did Mark Lesher and Rhonda Long Lesher, think the victim Shannon Coyel would not tell her husband. Both know Jerry Coyel very well, they mooched off him for years. Did they think they could Rape Shannon, get her an attorney to sue Jerry while they had

her on drugs, and Jerry would do nothing. Help her child molesting father get their kids, which backfired. Do they think this will be over after the trial? "NOT"!!!!!!"

- 123. The statement involved a private matter.
- 124. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 125. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 126. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 127. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 128. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 129. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 130. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 131. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 132. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 133. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 134. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 135. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 136. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 137. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 138. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 139. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 140. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 141. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 165-169 - Defamation per se

- 142. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 160-164were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 143. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 144. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 145. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 146. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 170-174 – Libel Per Se

147. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 160-164 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

148. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 175-178 – Defamation Betty

- 149. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Yes Robert Lynn McCarver has just sunk Mark Leshers ship now where the scum live? I think he's uesd everybody he knows. If he aint careful Mark will get rid of him like he did Linda and her son!!!!"
- b. "Everyone who screws Mark Lesher ends up his fall gauy/gal or done in. Which will Robert McCarver be??????? Mark's ship is just about to the bottom of the ocean lol"
- c. "So how in the heck do u know the Leshers will be cleared where u a fly on the wall when they raped and drugged this lady or r they just gonna let the McCarver guy take the fall u know Mark always has a fall guy. Wonder if McCarver knows they plan to try this seperate as so to put all the blame on Robert since Robert has so many felonies. Read the papers they want it tried seperate because of Roberts previous record like one stated above."
- d. "I wish I could have seen the look on Mark's face when he was told in curt today that his 20,000 dollar guy Robert had ratted on Mark when Robert was in jail. Now what will Mark do? Robert has already ratted so will Mark and Rhonda throw him out or just let him stay with them so what do you think? I bet Mark is hot that Robert told the sheriff that Mark has been selling drugs to Ray for a very long time. Would of loved to of seen Mark's face. Good Job Robert what else can you tell us. Tell us about what really happened the night yall raped and drugged this lady? I'm sure you will get a even better deal and some time off your own sentence."
- 150. The statement involved a private matter.
- 151. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 152. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 153. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 154. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 155. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 156. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 157. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 158. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 159. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 160. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 161. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 162. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 163. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 164. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 179-182 – Libel Per Se

- 165. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 175-178 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 166. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 183-186 – Defamation per se

- 167. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 175-178 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 168. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 169. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 170. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 187 – Defamation Bill Sharla Woods

- 171. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Look at them saggy Boobs! Pictured are registered "CHILD MOLESTERS"! The Woods family of Larned Kansas! Bill and Sharla, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher enjoy 'ORGIES' at the bar above the 'UNIQUE TOUCH'!"
- 172. The statement involved a private matter.
- 173. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 174. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 175. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 176. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 177. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 178. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 179. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 180. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 181. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 182. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 188 – Libel Per Se

- 183. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 187 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 184. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 189 - Defamation per se

- 185. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 187 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 186. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 190 – Defamation Bob

187. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "I still ask this question: Why did Mark Lesher pay \$20.000.00 to bond out Robert McCarver? Take

McCarver hime with him, give him money, car, cell phone? Why was Robert McCarver living with the Leshers when they raped Shannon?"

- 188. The statement involved a private matter.
- 189. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 190. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 191. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 192. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 193. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 194. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 195. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 196. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 197. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 198. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 191 – Libel Per Se

- 199. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 190 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 200. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 192 - Defamation per se

- 201. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 190 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 202. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 193 – Defamation Broke

- 203. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, ""YES IT IS", louis whites town. lol lol lol all them Lesher McCarver "PERVERTS" don't wont the truth posted."
- 204. The statement involved a private matter.
- 205. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 206. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 207. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 208. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 209. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 210. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.

- 211. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 212. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 213. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 214. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 194 – Libel per se

- 215. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 193 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 216. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 238 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 217. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 195 - Defamation per se

- 218. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 193 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 219. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 196-199 – Defamation BUDWEISER

- 220. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Lesher I know Jerry and he don't lie and all that knows him know that. I ain't suppos to say nothing and Jerry ain't got nothing to do with what i say and will probably get mad but.I personally talked to D.J. An 11 year old boy and he tole me about the dope you gave his mother and the perverted stuff you wanted him to say.If you have checked you by know I ben out and in prizon most of my life and Jerry would always send me money and took care of my family.I hate child molestors and people that harm women and I don't lie. I grew up with Jerry he went the right way and i made a lot of mistakes. You maylie your way out of this but I promuse you no matter where you hide or run I will find you. This is not a threat and I don't like talking on this dam computer but out of loyalty I will for now .I guive you my word you will not get by with what you have done. GOD have mercy on your sole because I won't."
- b. "Think you need to ask leshers that, all they did was mooch off Jerry. I believe Leshers drugged shannon and had her sign papers trying to get Jerrys money But when they Raped her it backfired .Lesher is all show and blow no money just a leech who files frivolous law suits. What Lesher don't know is Jerry won't let up, he should not have molested wife and kids. Leshers think it's now, I'm here to tell you it cann and i hope will get a lot hotter."
- c. "Mr Lesher Gut offered you a deal I hope you don't take cause you are so smart. We havent lid on here yet, and I want to have a drink at your house out back bar. Lesher you said Jerry was a queer so i guess I am to, pleasse rember that statement you made. When the gloves come off you will be the first to know. Dying to meet you, have nice trips from texarcana. Don't worry about jail if i have any thing to do with it you wont have to go. hate to talk on this computer like you coward but for now have to. Remember everything we said has come true, just facts. .Me and Pick got a present for you that will lite up your perverted life. Tell mike rice hi and stop burning so much electricity will make you offer you cant refuse. You said robert marcarver would never be caught, I beg to differ, rember the word beg, you gonna get a free BUDWIESET sooner or later.."
- d. "You are a LIAR Jerrys daughter stayed with Jerry and is still with him. If the Leshers took a polygraph test why? not any good in court, who are you lesher trash trying to fool? Mike Rice, Rhonda and Mark Lesher and Rices wife twice his size all tied up in a knot with your 'HERPIES' dildos running? You lying bastard there is no court to file anything to. You will be punished you can bank on that. I swear I will make you a deal you can not refuse.MY BUDA for you. Keep those lights burning and what a pretty gate, that three wheler that says sue the bastards not bad."
- 221. The statement involved a private matter.
- 222. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.

- 223. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 224. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 225. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 226. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 227. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 228. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 229. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 230. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 231. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 232. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 233. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 234. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 235. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 200-203 – Defamation per se

- 236. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 196-199 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 237. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 238. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 239. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 204-207 – Libel per se

- 240. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 196-199 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 241. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 196-199 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 242. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 208-209 - Defamation Budweiser

- 243. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^^^^ ________McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher

paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out! [Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- b. "Two different Grand Juries, 24 rrcounty citizens indicted this 'TRIO OF TRASH' you hang with, Robert McCarver has confessed and you still lie and call Clarksville TX a podunk town. When the good citizens of McKinney find this scumb "GUILTY" and they get life what will you call them."
- 244. The statement involved a private matter.
- 245. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 246. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 247. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 248. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 249. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 250. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 251. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 252. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 253. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 254. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a).

More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 210-211 – Libel Per Se

- 255. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 208-209 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 256. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 212-213 – Defamation per se

- 257. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 208-209 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 258. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 214-216 – Defamation Bugs

- 259. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I understand why you support the Leshers, where would you get DRUGS", "ORGIES", "VALTREX"? You better go to Att Mehann and file with thouther Black Men that are infected by Rhonda!"
- b. "Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large

"VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & Extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD" Gift Certificates Available haha"

""TRIO OF TRASH" face "LIFE SENTENCE"! New indictments in Lesher, McCarver c. case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, might engage McCarver in relation to other @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahahalolololo[Quote] With no dog in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy"

- 260. The statement involved a private matter.
- 261. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 262. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 263. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 264. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 265. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 266. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 267. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 268. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 269. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 270. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 271. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 272. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.

- 273. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 274. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 275. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 276. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 277. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 278. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 279. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 280. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 281. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 282. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 217-219 - Defamation per se

283. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 214-216 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 284. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 285. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 286. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 287. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 288. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 220-222 – Libel Per Se

- 289. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 214-216 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 290. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 223 – Defamation Buzzard Puke

- 291. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Ya'll know, Jerry Coyel was born in a barrel of butcher knives, And Been shot in the ass with two colt .45's, Hes been slaped by a bear, Bit by an eel, Jerry Chews up rail road iron, And shits out steel, He Handcuffed lighting, And threw thunders ass in jail! Jerry even kicked Jacks ass and took his hoe Jill, Coyel was making money before Washington was put on a bill!! What you Leashers don't realize, JERRY COYEL is the WALL of STEEL!!! You wont get passed, So Leashers go scratch the crabs around yo' ass!!!"
- 292. The statement involved a private matter.
- 293. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 294. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 295. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.

- 296. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 297. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 298. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 299. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 300. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 301. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 302. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 224 – Libel Per Se

- 303. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 223 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 304. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 225 - Defamation per se

- 305. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 223 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 306. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 226-227 – Defamation challenge

- 307. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "When they [n.b. The Leshers and McCarver] got to jail there will be three less people in the GROUP sex community"
- "WHAT A DEAL???? I find it really funny that people STAND on their high and mighty and Bring God into this thing in reference to the Leshers. The bible toters and scripture spouters want to act as thought they are so GOOD and CHRISTIANY saying GODLY things... WOW. Even the bible says one should not have sex with the same sex.. AND the Leshers do it all the time.. Rhonda is well known for her preferrence for sex with another woman. And Mark is well known for watching and participating in three somes with her and her male and female lovers. Thous shall not covet thy neighbors wife...eh? You want to Quote the bible and condemn the Lesher bashers, yet you condone the unGodly actions of the Leshers.. As this posting Posting goes, so does the Godly commandments... You think Godly things are just for others and not yourselves.... You are immune to the ways of God...Just others are to adhere to the commandments..What a bunch of hypocrites, the lot of you. You want to stand up for KNOWN perverts that have same sex relations and say, "what goes on in their private lives is none of our business and it's ok." A woman stands up for her rights and you call her every thing in the book and then some. I'll bet that if it were your sister, mother aor daughter it happened to, The Leshers would not receive your GODLY praise...Hypocrites and Cowards..... But then again the Devil always tries to disguise itself as a Godly thing. You selfrighteous cowards."
- 308. The statement involved a private matter.
- 309. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 310. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 311. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 312. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 313. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 314. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 315. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 316. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 317. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 318. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 228 -229 – Defamatio per se

- 319. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 226-227 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 320. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 230-231 – Libel Per Se

321. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 226-227 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

322. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 232-233 – Defamation Cinco 2

- 323. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Was Studying my every move! The only reason anyone would "STUDY LESHERS EVERY MOVE" is to make sure the "CHICKEN SH*T" could not run or hide! Lesher thinks he is "JAMES BOND" and Rhonda is "GOLDFINGER"! These "PERVERTS" have to be on "DRUGS"! Read all this news article again, it is the "IGNORANTEST" lie I ever heard, seen! The "VILLANS" were after me, studying my every move. Knowing I am "BOND", James BOND! They know how "LETHAL" and "BRAVE" i am! hahhahahahah, "HERPIES" and "DRUGS" have eaten away his extremely small brain, like his Pinky! hahhahha LOL"
- b. ""BOTH"! "OH NO"! Now these Lesher, 'ROBERT MCCARVER' 'PERVERTS' will be trying to find these Cows and 'TONGUE' their 'BUTTS'!"
- 324. The statement involved a private matter.
- 325. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 326. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 327. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 328. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 329. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 330. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 331. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 332. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 333. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 334. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 335. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 336. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 337. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 338. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 234-235 - Libel Per Se

- 339. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 232-233 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 340. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 236-237 – Defamation per se

- 341. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 232-233 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 342. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct

- 343. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 344. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 238-239 – Defamation Collin County slaughter

- 345. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "These Lesher, "MCCARVER" supporters, get "DRUGS" from them, "PARTY" with them [they posted this] at their "ORGIE BAR" above the "DISEASE" infested "UNIQUE TOUCH". They are "DISEASE" infested and this must affect their brain, if they ever had one! When this "TRIO OF TRASH" go to Jail, where will they get "DRUGS", have "ORGIES" who will run the "VIBRATOR" when Rhonda is gone?"
- "1 min ago Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts,"
- 346. The statement involved a private matter.
- 347. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 348. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 349. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 350. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 351. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 352. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 353. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 354. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 355. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 356. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 357. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 358. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 359. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 360. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 361. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 362. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 363. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 364. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 365. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 366. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 367. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 368. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 240-241 – Defamation per se

- 369. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 238-239 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 370. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 371. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 372. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 373. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 374. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 242-243 – Libel Per Se

375. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 238-239 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s)

injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

376. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 244-245 – Defamation copy this

- 377. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "If you will recall (which I am sure the followers won't") way back in april, Mark a. Lesher posted as SIMPLY PAADISE and called Jerry Coyel a loud mouth and Drug dealing bastard. Who had all the POWER and OFFICIALS of red river co. in his pocket. That was way before any of this ASSAULT stuff came to light(it was being investigaated but very few knew of t and it was not discussed on here then). No SCREAMED "TOS--RULES" then.. What is up with that.. It is ok for the Lesher/McCarver lovers to say what they want about who they want and name names, but, IT IS BREAKING THE RULES WHEN anyone else does it. Yes JC was in fact threatened by Mike Rice. MR said he would GUT SHOOT Coyel if he saw him in public. Coyel saw him in public and confronted him in a crowded building with many winesses and the coward M.RICE almost crippled himself by running away and stumbling over a lady sitting in the fron row... To this day Mike Rice will shake if he hears Jerry is near and quickly run away and hide. Mark Lesher told a group of people that Jerry was a child molester and when Jerry went to his office to confront him, Lesher hid in his office and called the sheriff to have him arrested for attemted murder.. These Lesher followers and the Leshers are nothing but Cowards and Women abusers.. They never stand up to a man except to hand over drugs. Now you can CONTACT THE_TOPIX OFFICIALS AND cry like the little whinning babies you are. WHen you worms talk and cuss at people it is ok and RIGHTOUS in you book, but that is usually the way with COWARDS .."
- b. "Good morning all. This is the real ilbedipt and I am not lou and never have been. I just try to gather the best info we can gather. I do have the same agenda as lou ,however. I want to see that the Leshers and McCarver get what us deserved in this case. I want to see them in prison for their crime against a helpless woman that ,thought she was being helped as a friend not used as a pawn or sex toy. I have no connection to the victim owher than being a long time friend of her husbands. But even if I was not a friend I would still be totally oposed to the actions of the Trio of criminals that wrecked havoc upon this lady. Than try to convince the community that she was the bad person, here. It may be hard for some of you to believe the Leshers could do this crime... but that is exactly what they hoped for, yhat is is exactly why they feel they can get away with the things they do. because they feel no one would believe they would do these things.. It has been their way for a long, long time...it has just come to light because the victim has come forward an been brave enough to stand up against them. I commend her for the fortitude it took and takes to stand her groud and fight the powerfl and (self proclaimed) MIGHTY Robert McCarvers confession is proof that it happened and has in fact condemned the Leshers. Even if the confession s not allowed in court, for some technical reason, it still shows

the FACT of the crime taking place. But so far the confession will be introduced.. yet, there will be those that live th lifestyle of the leshers and those that are family that will stand by them.. that is ok. But the community will be a safer place, even if they get off. (which I do not see happening) At least the community, ow is aware of the treacherous ways of the Leshers. Thank GOD. (and the victim) ..."

- 378. The statement involved a private matter.
- 379. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 380. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 381. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 382. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 383. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 384. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 385. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 386. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 387. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 388. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 389. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 390. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 391. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

392. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 246-247 – Libel Per Se

- 393. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 244-245 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 394. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 248-249 – Defamation per se

- 395. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 244-245 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 396. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 397. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 398. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 250-263 – Defamation Courthouse Mouse

- 399. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Rhonda[Long]Lesher was giving 'HEAD' to a Lesher, McCarver supporter when she sucked a big scab off his 'HERPIES' infested prick, the 'SLUT' dam neat chocked to

death!!!!Mark Lesher gave Rhonda mouth to mouth to help her breath, then a big scab came off Rhondas 'HERPIES' infested mouth then he dam near chocked to death!!!!!!!!"

- b. "Then her daughter should not be trash! I call like it is, why do you think the F.B.I., State Police and outhers want this scumb. These Leshers are 'PERVERTED' 'SLIMY' 'HERPIES' 'AIDS' infested pieces of shit!!!!!!!!! I guess the victim deserved and the 11 year old boy and Judge Jim Lovett deserved what this trash did to them."
- c. "Why is it when Mark Lesher was behind rrpoliticks with Earnie, all you 'QUEERS' loved to bash the D.A., all Law and Judges, Sheriff Robert Bridges, Larry Spangler even after his death with lies. But when the truth is told on Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark{QUEER}Lesher you seem to not like it! Are you 'PERVERTS' that hung up on this scumb' Is it his 'DRUGS', 'ORGIES' 'PERVISION'? All this White trash has give you is 'HERPIES', 'AIDS' Please tell me why you would defend 'CHILD MOLESTERS' 'WOMEN RAPIST' people as sorry as this 'SCUMB'!!!!!!!! 'YOU QUEERS STILL THINK YOUR FUNNY'?"
- "Lou wrote: [quoted text] Have you read evidence from the news papers" Ricky Long d. Rhonda [Long] Leshers brother, a fine man was caught with a load of all type drugs of Mark Leshers because of Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION". Rhonda[Long]Lesher after Mark drugged the victon sucked and bit her vagina, them Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her. Two different Grang Juries indicted this scumb after hearing evidence from several people. Mark Lesher tried to get an 11 year old boy to say he saw his step father have sex with his sister and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. This was testified to in court by D.J. Coyel.Lesher hand wrote and typed a letter saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher sent the letter to Austin to the Judisial Board. This was a lie and shit will hit the fan soon. Leshers handwriting was idientified. Lesher wanted Judge Lovett out because he could not corrupt him. Ask Att. Dan Mehan or outhers all have a copy of the letter. There is a lot more I cain't tell but will come out soon. This piece of shit Lesher will go to Jail and be disbared. All we have posted are Facts! Lesher is involved in "DRUGS", "RAPE", "Child Molesting", and more! F.B.I. have a book on this pervert. These Lesher, McCarver perverted pupporters are the same from rrpoliticks. They are scumb Just like Lesher and McCarver. Talk with Lucy Lollar, David Barnett, Alan Hale, Tommy Welch, James Welch, any intelligent person about this Lesher McCarver Perverted Child Molesting SCUMB'!!!!!!.Logan, IL Reply>> Must report wrote: You must report to "ME" It appears "lou" who is now going by "Courthouse Mouse" has completely taken over the Clarksville Forum. You have ato report the exact post which is offending. Think he is going by a different name now because they must have gotten on to him or something? Also tell "ME" I will get im!######### "WHY" are you Lesher, McCarver Perverted scumb complaning? You didn't complaine on rr politicks when you "TRASHED" the D.A., Sheriff Office, Sheriff Robert Bridges. You slimy "BASTARDS" even trashed the dead. Larry Spangler and his family, just because Lesher "QUEER" could not corrupt him. Yall wanted Leshers "TRASH" HAMILTON, and ABBOTT in office buy you "QUEERS" "PERVERTED" "TRASH" lost!!!!!!!! "LOSERS" so kiss my "ASS"!!!!!!!!!!!! #####################WHY WEREN'T YOU BLEEDING HEARTS, REPORTING RRPOLITICKS WHEN MARK LESHER WAS BEHIND IT/."

- e. "How many more people will this 'SCUMB' infect before they go to Jail?????????"
- f. "Mark Lesher did not pay me and until he does i will tell the truth about his sorry no paying lying ass. Also i have seen the evidence and the light. Nobody, I mean nobody should get by with drugging a woman, raping her and doing what he did to an 11 year old kid. Forget about the adult if you want, but not the kid. Jerry must really have control or know Leshers and McCarver will suffer more in jail. Why put this scumb out of their mysery?"
- g. "Shannon Coyel don't need help. The taped confession, witness statements about drugs and many things on the Leshers will put this slime away. Give the 'GRAND JURORS' credit! They are why this scumb will go to Jail! Rhonda said I'm a Long and Ricky Long is my brother caught with the 'HEROS' dope, him and Mark wanted to help the needy. Pure 'WHITE TRASH'!!! Ricky a fine man is now ruined by this 'PERVERTED SCUMB'!!"
- h. "YOU' are a lying piece of shit! I guess Val clears his schedule with you. Leshers Judge was not their you dumb 'BASTARD'!!!YOU Lesher McCarver Perverted scumb hate it we tell 'FACTS' and you lie and suck."
- i. "If I wanted to see a 'QUEER' and a 'SLUT' i could go to Leshers!"
- j. "How could one let one with 'HERPIES' mouth, and disease infested touch them??????'UNIQUE TOUCH' Thats for sure!!!!!!!"
- k. "It's bleeding heart wanta bees like you is the reason 'PERVERTS' like these Leshers and McCarvers do these vile things. You would support this trash no matter what because you are just like them. What about the victim here? Do you think these animals should have drugged and 'RAPED' her, and Rhonda[Long] Lesher sucked and bit her vigina while she was out. What about the 11 year old boy D.J.? Two GJ indictments 24 peole and trash like you still support this scumb. Lesher bonded out McCarver \$20,000,00 because Lesher is a good guy, and takes him home. You are a perverted piece of shit!!!!!!!"
- 1. "MARK LESHERS IN THE HOSPITAL, HIS BUTT HOLE IS TORE UP, AND EAT UP WITH 'HERPIES'!"
- m. "LOOK AT RHONDA[LONG]LESHER CLOSE, HER MOUTHIS ALL BROKE OUT IN SORES, AND LOOKS LIKE A BUTT HOLE. SHE LOOKS DEFORMED."
- n. "MY BROTHER GOT IT FROM THEM [n.b. The Leshers]!!!!!!!"
- 400. The statement involved a private matter.
- 401. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 402. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.

- 403. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 404. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 405. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 406. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 407. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 408. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 409. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 410. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 411. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 412. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 413. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 414. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 415. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 416. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 417. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 418. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 419. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 420. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 421. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 422. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 264-277 – Defamation per se

- 423. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 250-263 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 424. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 425. Further, Defendant's statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 426. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 427. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 428. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 278-291 – Libel per se

- 429. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 250-263 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 430. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 298-313 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 431. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 292-293 – Defamation Criminal Minds

- 432. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "who="The real Helldog"]Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to a. at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person o interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something

relevant!!!!!!!Quote] This is why i'm worried about Rhonda! [Quote] Collin County, "LIFE" for sure!"

- b. "Rhonda [Long] Lesher, 'ROBERT MCCARVER' and Mark Lesher should get life, without parole! Why would educated people 'DRUGG' and 'RAPE' a woman? Only for their 'PERVERTED' pleasure, and their insane sexual pleasure and their insane sexual pleasure. Crimes of this nature should carry the severest of penalties. How many outher 'VICTOMS' have not came forward? What else has this "TRIO" done? Look at their history, just in rrcounty. How many bonds does Lesher hold on McCarver, and all different crimes.\$20.000.00 bond for Robert McCarver on this, Agg. sexual assault crime. The F.B.I. and State Police are trying to put Mark Lesher away, but havn't yet! Look what happened to Linda Velvin and her son. Think what Lesher has tried to do to rrcounty! Look at RThonda[Long]Lesher past history! McCarver has "CONFESSED" so Lesher hires an appeal Lawyer, Why? Lets make sure Collin COunty residents know the "FACTS" about this "TRIO"!"
- 433. The statement involved a private matter.
- 434. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 435. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 436. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 437. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 438. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 439. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 440. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 441. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 442. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 443. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 444. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 445. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 446. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 447. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 294-295 – Libel per se

- 448. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 292-293 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 449. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 346-347 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 450. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 296-297 – Defamation per se

- 451. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 292-293 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 452. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct

- 453. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 454. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 298-302 – Defamation Debra

455. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

b.

a. "Agg. Sexual Assault, a first degree felony! They will all get Jail time, and lots of it. "THIS I PROMISE YOU!"

""ROBERT MCCARVER" Confessed! This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a

lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! Lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their

"THIS was originally posted April,4,2008. here are some facts about a major supporter of Abbott (you are a reflection of your friend and supporters) Mark Lescher and Rhonda (Long)Lescher held the wife of a citizen on Red Rover county captive through the use of drugs some legal sedatives and some illegal drugs. She had been convinced by Mark, that she could sue her husband for divorce and receive a large sum of money. The Leschers talked her into moving to thier property, put her and her 11 year old son in a trailer on the property. Started giving her large doses of drugs. After she was so out of it with the drugs Mark started having her sign documents required for the court, by the court, she was told. here is a list of the things she signed...1 - statements of abuse by husband---usual stuff 1- statements for support and divideing income---usual stuff 1- POWER of ATTORNEY to handle her affairs ---huh???? 1 - HER WILL----huh?????? Then there was the statement accusing and witnessing misconduct and criminal behavior by a Judge LOVETT (this statement was half handwritten and half typed and the lady said she never saw this statement not have any knowledgr of it's content, Did have her signature but the rest was not in her hand writing nor did she type it) this letter was sent to law enforcement agency by MARK'S office----very strange here. This lady was subjected to sexual confrontations by Mark and His wife Once she woke up from the over drugging and found Rhonda Lescher performing oral sex on her. Mark Lescher had the lady bring her son to the house one day and started to tell the 11 year old what to tell the judge when they got before the court..... Mark told him to tell the judge that the step father was molesting him and his sister (who was still with her step father) and had been for some time. Mark told him to tell the judge that he witnessed the parents having sex and was invited to watch Mark also told the boy to say that the sep father would remove the boys cloths and make the boy fondle himself whilr the step father watched. The little boy broke down and said he couldn't tell those lies ...it wasn't true. Mark insisted it had to be told that way. the lady finally realized what was really going on and tried to leave. Mark and Rhonda wouldn't give her her keys to her car nor her ID or anything. In fact they told her if she tried to leave or the could and would have her committed to a hospital for insanity and drug addiction. (for she had given Mark the power of atty.) However she insisted she would not tell anyone about any of theses things and they relented and let her leave. There is much more in the documents-THAT'S RIGHT—COURT DOCUMENTS TO BACK UP WHAT WAS JUST STATED.... It's your court house go see for yourself. It seems as though Mr. Lescher and his wife have gone too far, with the wrong person this time. Someone that has the money to take him down and that is not affraid of him....and is much smarter than him. This person is not about to pay a single cent to an extortionist... but will spend all he has to prove his innocence. This person is praying nothing happens to Mark or his wife BEFORE he can see them punished for the wrongs they have and are doing.... he may not want anything done but KARMA is a bitch. The above matter of testimony in a court......Watch the news it will be coming out real soon and other matters concerning MR. MARK LESCHER ATTORNEY"

- d. "Residents of Collin County, Robert Lynn McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher have had their trial moved to your county. This is costing you the tax payers "THOUSANDS" of dollars! The reason this "TRIO" have ask for change of venue, is because 24 residents of Clarksville Texas have indicted, two different Grand Juries. Resinents of rrcounty know this "TRIO" too well, 'DRUGS', 'PERVISION', 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS', 'RAPE', 'CHILD MOLESTING', etc.! These 'CRIMINALS belong behind bars, and residents of Clarksvill were ready to put them there, so knowing McKinney residents had no knowledge of their "CRIMINAL" actions they ask to be tried in McKinney Texas. Please check with Sheriff of Red River County, any office of the law of their behavior, Mark Lesher an attorney claims to be like "TEFLON JOHN GOTTY", nothing sticks, and he has been right so far. We of rrcounty have done all we can, now it's up to you!"
- "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1#4553 19 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 From Clarksville e. paper Red Rover officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value f \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view,' Reed said. 'The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence.' Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. 'At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case,' Reed said. ######### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!! how many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin? Rhonda["LONG"] Lesher, Ricky Long's sister "SUCKED" and "BIT" the victims "VIGINA" then Robert McCarver and Mark Lesher raped her. McCarver has confessed to D.A. and Sheriff. Mark Leshers Lawyers are trying to get the confession thrown out!"
- 456. The statement involved a private matter.
- 457. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 458. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.

- 459. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 460. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 461. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 462. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 463. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 464. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 465. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 466. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 467. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 468. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 469. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 470. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 471. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 472. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 473. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

474. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 303-307 – Defamation per se

- 475. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 298-302 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 476. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 477. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 478. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 479. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 308-312 – Libel per se

- 480. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 298-302 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 481. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 482. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 313-315 – Defamation devils advocate

- 483. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I know yall won't answer! The reason is to school him on what to say, and try and keep his mouth shut! Only after Lesher found out McCarver was talking he bonded him out. McCarver os a "MORON" and will help send them all to prison. Rhonda is also a "FOOL" she already has lied in court! Court dockments prove that. This "TRIO OF TRASH" will go to Jail and my kin cain't waite!"
- ""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! h. Hahhahaha ******* "TOAST" ******* New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of

"Joe 6-pack wrote: I "TONGUE BUTTS" with Rhonda! For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants] of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers

Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?[Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!"

- 484. The statement involved a private matter.
- 485. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 486. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 487. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 488. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 489. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 490. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 491. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 492. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 493. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 494. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 495. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 496. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 497. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 498. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 499. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 500. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 501. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 502. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 316-318 – Libel per se

- 503. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 313-315 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 504. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 505. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 319-321 – Defamation per se

- 506. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 313-315 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 507. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 508. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 509. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 510. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 322-323 – Defamation Disease

- 511. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "If you know anyone that was infected be Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher or Mark Lesher please call your local health department. These are incruable diseases. Call Att. Dan Mehan Clarksville Texas for legal advice!"
- b. "If you know anyone that was infected be Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher or Mark Lesher please call your local health department. These are incruable diseases. Call Att. Dan Mehan Clarksville Texas for legal advice!"
- 512. The statement involved a private matter.
- 513. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 514. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 515. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 516. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 517. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 518. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 519. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 520. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 521. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 522. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 324-325 – Defamation per se

- 523. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 322-323 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 524. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 326-327 – Libel Per Se

- 525. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 322-323 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 526. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 328 – Defamation Duh

527. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "folks they are telling the truth!...A lady that works at unique touch said rhonda mark lesher and mike

rice were taking turns watching topix comments.their sneaky idea is to confuse, threaten, lie, and disrupt all they can to scare posters off topix by posting gurbage. They tried this on rr politicks, there so stupid they still don't realize this will not keep them out of jail. Thats the real fact! They know exactly what their talking about because their the ones that committed the crimes. mike rice the one with the little man syndrome is probably on now. he is such a coward he can be tough on line and get away with it he is perfect example of what losers they are. they are scared to death people are calling val varley so keep posting how to get in touch with him."

- 528. The statement involved a private matter.
- 529. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 530. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 531. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 532. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 533. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 534. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 535. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 536. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 537. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 538. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 329 - Defamation per se

- 539. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 328 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 540. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 330 – Libel Per Se

- 541. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 328 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 542. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 331-333 – Defamation Engineer lou

- 543. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I want to make a personal appology to "RHONDA LONG"! I knew Shannon was telling the truth about the "RAPE" and D.J. COYEL 11 year old told me what Lesher had done, and saw Lesher give his mother "DRUGS"! I have seen the Lie Lesher wrote Austin about Judge Jim Lovett having sex with Jerrys wife Carol. What i did not know was Lesher is controlling Rhonda and should not be held responsible. Lesher and McCarver should Be. I hope Rhonda don't end up like Linda Velvin Leshers ex did and her brother."
- b. "I have heard from Rhonda Longs close friends, Mark Lesher gives Rhonda "DRUGS" and has her do "PERVERTED" Things. Rhonda is worried about losing her lifestyle and said sometimes Lehser Scares her. I believe Lesher gave not only Shannon "DRUGS" but also Drugged "RHONDA" so he and McCarver could watch and then double up on both, the best they could with their "PINKIES" that don't work. Rhonda Loves sex but I believe this was Leshers doings. Ricky Long also hates Lesher and think Lesher does this to his sister. I wish Rhonda wouls save herself, and let Lesher and McCarver take the fall. Rhonda don't belong in Jail acording to Jerry but the outher two SCUMBS" do."
- c. "Mark Leshers "LOVER"! Wonder if 'ROBERT MCCARVER' is Jealous?'NAW' They have a threesome and the 'AIDS' and 'HERPIES' fly!Eric J holden was fired from the Texas

Department Of Public Safety in 1999 because he is a "PERVERT" and is Leshers "LOVER" PURE WHITE PERVERTED TRASH!"

- 544. The statement involved a private matter.
- 545. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 546. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 547. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 548. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 549. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 550. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 551. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 552. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 553. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 554. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 555. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 556. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 557. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 558. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 559. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 560. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 561. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 562. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 334-336 – Libel per se

- 563. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 331-333 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 564. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 565. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 337-339 - Defamation per se

- 566. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 331-333 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 567. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 568. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 569. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 570. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 340-341 – Defamation ET tornado

- 571. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- b. "I am "SCARED"! Look ar Rhondas "TONGUE"! Can you imagine her "TONGUE" up your "BUTT"! "YUCK"! "GROSS"! "DISGUSTING"! I don't won't to walk like Lesher! "VIBRATORS", and a "TONGUE"! "ROBERT MCCARVER" "HERPIES" "AIDS""
- 572. The statement involved a private matter.
- 573. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 574. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 575. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 576. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 577. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 578. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.

- 579. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 580. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 581. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 582. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 583. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 584. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 585. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 586. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 587. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 588. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 589. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 590. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 342 - 343 – Defamation per se

- 591. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 340-341 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 592. Further, Defendant's statement(s) were also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 593. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 594. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 595. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 344-345 – Libel per se

- 596. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 340-341 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 597. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 340-341 were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 598. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts were libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 599. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 346 – Defamation Exactly

600. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Mark and Ronda Lesher filling bellies with 'SPERM Not from around hear but know them well. I have been a sperm donor before I went to the pen."

- 601. The statement involved a private matter.
- 602. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 603. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 604. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 605. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 606. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 607. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 608. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 609. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 610. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 611. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 347 – Libel Per Se

612. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 346 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

613. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 348 - Defamation per se

- 614. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 346 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 615. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 349 - Defamation fact

616. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply>> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#4153 23 min ago 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@@

Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ __ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- 617. The statement involved a private matter.
- 618. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 619. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 620. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 621. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 622. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 623. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 624. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 625. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 626. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 627. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 628. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 629. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 630. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 631. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 350 - Defamation per se

- 632. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 349 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 633. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 634. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 635. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 351 – Libel Per Se

- 636. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 349 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 637. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 352-355 – Defamation Facts

638. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

a. "Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said.############# Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"

- b. "Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ####### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"
- c. "MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the

divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay.I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Child Custodoy Hearing #cvo 1534 CPS"

- d. "mark and ronda lesher everyone knows are behind rrppoliticks with henslee they have spread their lies for years gaining nothing, their so stupid they keep lying and thrashing people to devert attention from themselves. people of rrcounty have cought on and now 12 people will hold their fate in their hands. anybody that knows jerry knows he dont have much company is a super friendly guy and I dont know anybody that dont like him except for the leshers what does that tell you. I postd on here over a mounth ago about arrests and it came true so let me tell you the rest of the story. At clarksville court house today F.B.I. And others met about lesher and soon he will be arrested again shortly. Stay tuned folks I havent lied yet!"
- 639. The statement involved a private matter.
- 640. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 641. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 642. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 643. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 644. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 645. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 646. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 647. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 648. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 649. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 650. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 651. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 652. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 653. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 356-359 – Defamation per se

- 654. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 352-355 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 655. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 656. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 657. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 360-363 – Libel Per Se

- 658. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 352-355 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 659. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 364-366 – Defamation facts

- 660. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "[Quote] who="Helldog"] Rememb er We, Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert McCarver and Mark Lesher and I have oral sex, we love the old dirt road, we have orgies at the bar above Uniqut Touch. We might have 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS' but that is nobodyies business, we are adults. If you have not tried it don't know it, you much one or three yourself.[Quote] You are a sick "PERVERT" like the ret of the Lesher "ROBERT MCCARVER" supporters!"
- "1 min ago Helldog wrote: I have oral sex with the Leshers! MONDAY SEPTEMBER b. 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed

and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

"Helldog wrote: I have oral se with the Leshers! We have "HERPIES" and "AIDS" ant it c. is nobodyies business! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you... Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^

McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@Wallet Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- 661. The statement involved a private matter.
- 662. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 663. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 664. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 665. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 666. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 667. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 668. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 669. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 670. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 671. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.

- 672. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 673. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 674. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 675. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 676. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 677. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 678. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 679. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 367-369 – Defamation per se

- 680. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 364-366 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 681. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 682. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 683. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

684. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 370-372 – Libel Per Se

- 685. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 364-366 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 686. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 373-376 – Defamation Fate

- 687. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I finally figured out what is wrong with these Lesher,McCarver 'PERVERTS'! I thought 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS' had made them 'BRAIN DEAD', but now I think all that 'SUCKING' and swallowing helped these 'PERVERTS' go crazy!"
- b. "1 min ago Packages from Rhondas 'UNIQUE TOUCH"! Free 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hold Massage, seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was vagina and Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, and Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2/ Hour butt massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One, "AROUND THE WORLD" Or "DOWN THE DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"
- c. "1 min ago Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large

"VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts,"

- "Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL d. DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 \(\frac{1}{2}\) Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"
- 688. The statement involved a private matter.
- 689. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 690. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 691. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 692. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 693. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 694. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 695. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 696. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 697. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 698. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 699. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 700. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 701. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 702. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 703. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 704. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 705. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 706. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 707. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 708. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 709. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 710. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 377-380 – Libel Per Se

- 711. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 373-376 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 712. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 381-384 – Defamation per se

- 713. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 373-376 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 714. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 715. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 716. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 385-390 – Defamation floyd

- 717. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "And big shot Lesher had to borrow the money.Guess he [Mark Lesher] spent all he stole off the main street project on 'VALTREX'."
- b. "Jerry has never been arrested or tried for anything, heard that in court they had his record talking about it when Rhonda Lesher got on the stand and lied...She [Rhonda Lesher] will be tried for purgery that is a known fact. Some will start lying but chech for yourself."
- c. "Give that man a cigar, you always tell it like it is !!!!!!!!Don't forget about the 'HERPIES'Even if she can suck a golf ball through a fifty foot water hose."
- d. "All with 'HERPIES"
- e. "Found out Wow and Rhonda tied in the event they had at unique touch, they both sucked a golf ball through a 100 foot water hose and swallowed it."
- f. "You and others like you are part of the problem! You think Leshers can rape, sell and grow drugs do whatever they wish and we all sit by and let them sue us the tax payers, put dumps, liquor whatever they want. Thers are more that disagree with you, the election proved that and this will go to trial so keep living with your doubts and buy a ticket beacuse Mr Val Varley won't back down from Justice and his day in court."
- 718. The statement involved a private matter.
- 719. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 720. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 721. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 722. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 723. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 724. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 725. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.

- 726. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 727. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 728. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 729. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 730. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 731. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 732. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 733. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 734. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 735. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 736. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 391-396 – Defamation per se

- 737. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 385-390 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 738. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 739. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 740. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 741. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 397-402 – Libel per se

- 742. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 385-390 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 743. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 744. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 403 – Defamation for losers

- 745. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "The truth is Leshers, McCarver and their followers are for real "QUEERS"! "CHILD MOLESTERS", "WHITE PERVED TRASH"!"
- 746. The statement involved a private matter.
- 747. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 748. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.

- 749. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 750. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 751. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 752. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 753. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 754. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 755. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 756. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 757. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 758. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 759. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 760. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 404 – Defamation per se

- 761. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 403 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 762. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 763. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 764. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 405 – Libel Per Se

- 765. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 403 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 766. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 406 – Defamation for Losers

Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "IL Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #6425 13 hrs ago Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #36 Yesterday Rely>> Report Abuse Judge it! #6161 Saturday Nov 1 Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her

"DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said.############# Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"

- 768. The statement involved a private matter.
- 769. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 770. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 771. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 772. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 773. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 774. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 775. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 776. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 777. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 778. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 779. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 780. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 781. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 782. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 407 – Libel Per Se

- 783. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 406 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 784. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 408 – Defamation per se

- 785. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 406 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 786. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 787. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 788. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 409-411 – Defamation for LOSERS

- 789. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "..IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6423 13 hrs ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge a. it!|#36 Yesterday Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6161 Saturday Nov 1 Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "OUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was

- b. "I know you Deer hunters are thinking, that tongue of Rhondas would keep my "BALLS" and "BUTT" warm! But don't forget about the "HERPIES" and "AIDS"! Wonder if the Deer would smell her "BUTT" "SH*T" breath?"
- "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#36 Yesterday Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6161 Saturday Nov 1 Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in

cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"!I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"

- 790. The statement involved a private matter.
- 791. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 792. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 793. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 794. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 795. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 796. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 797. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 798. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 799. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 800. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 801. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 802. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 803. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 804. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 412-414 – Defamation per se

- 805. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 409-411 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 806. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 807. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 808. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 415-417 – Libel Per Se

- 809. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 409-411 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 810. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 418 – Defamation forthefool

- 811. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha"
- 812. The statement involved a private matter.
- 813. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 814. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 815. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 816. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 817. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 818. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 819. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 820. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 821. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 822. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 823. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 824. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 825. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 826. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 827. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 828. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 829. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 830. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 419 – Defamation per se

831. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 418 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 832. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 833. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 834. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 835. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 420 – Libel Per Se

- 836. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 418 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 837. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 421 – Defamation Gale

838. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply >> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#915 Thursday Jul 24 Judged: 1 1 1 lou wrote: Judged: 1 lou wrote: Reply>> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#915 Thursday Jul 17 Anyone know if he was ever convicted? East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Well I see you are blaming The perverted piece of shit Lesher who I know is Queer! As to how can Mark Lesher not know what Linda Velvin was doing...The same way I know What Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher is

- 839. The statement involved a private matter.
- 840. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 841. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 842. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 843. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 844. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 845. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 846. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 847. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 848. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 849. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 850. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 851. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 852. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.

- 853. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 854. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 855. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 856. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 857. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 422 – Defamation per se

- 858. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 421 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 859. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 860. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 861. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 862. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 423 – Libel per se

863. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 421 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured

Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 864. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 865. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 424-425 – Defamation GaleWhite

866. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"Reply>> lReport Abuse lJudge it!l#916 Thursday Jul 24 Judged: 1 1 1 1 Logan, IL a. Reply>> lReport Abusel lJudge it!l#626 34 min ago [QUOTE who Paris News] Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ----- Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. [/QUOTE] ilbedipt Fort Worth, TX Reply>> lReport Abusel lJudge it!l#627 23 min ago Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand

juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals.------- Nothing about the landfill, frivolous law suits, just 'FACTS' from crime they comitted, 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'PERVISION'!!!!!!!Mo re charges to come!!!!!!!!# Rhonda Linda Velvin Lesher!!!!!!! Ole big mouth Rhonda[long]Lesher said I'm a Long, my brother and us were railroaded, 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS' is our business, the victom cain't say what we did to her we had her drugged, we are innocent!!!!!!!! 'HERE ARE THE REAL CRIMINALS AND THE TOPIC''

- b. "While visiting with lou,he ask me to read this topix post and respond if necessary. After reading I was shocked how ignorant of fact some are. What does Jerrys \$5.00 fine, years ago have to do with sexual assault. The victim, Shannon Coyel with an excellent history, until Leshers and McCarver gave her drugs, had never been in trouble. Two Grand Juries have seen and heard evidence, and now agg. Sexual assault, a first degree felony is charged. The CA Val Varley ding his job, is now Rhonda Leshers latest victim. The Grand Jury handed down the indictments, not the CA. Rhonda has ask what's in it for him, the CA? Posted earlier, Justice, comes to mind!"
- 867. The statement involved a private matter.
- 868. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 869. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 870. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 871. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 872. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 873. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 874. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 875. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 876. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.

- 877. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 878. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 879. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 880. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 881. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 426-427 – Libel Per Se

- 882. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 424-425 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 883. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 428-429 – Defamation per se

- 884. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 424-425 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 885. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 886. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

887. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 430 – Defamation Getitrite

- 888. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "So everyone keeps saying that this mcarver guy is scum and why would the lady run off with him and go back to her husband. Well if anyone has ever been on drugs (especially one that Lesher makes) well one word DRUGS DRUGS DRUGS DRUGS!!!!!!!! It was the drugs she left for not the piece of scum didn't matter who just what DRUGS!!!!!!! Anyone who knows the lady and mcarver knows something had to be off and her husband was a good as gold. Has to be a wonderful man if he took her back. Guess he knew it was not his wife it was the DRUGS MARK LESHER was giving her. Seems like her husband should get an award for saving her life. If she would of stayed with mcarver and lesher much longer she probably would of never made it home. Her husband is the real hero in this story. He saved her life!!!!!!!"
- 889. The statement involved a private matter.
- 890. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 891. The statement referred to Plaintiff name.
- 892. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 893. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 894. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 895. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 896. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 897. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 898. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

899. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 431 – Libel Per Se

- 900. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 430 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 901. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 432 – Defamation per se

- 902. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 430 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 903. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 433 – Defamation gober goblers

904. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply>> |Report Abuse| Judge it !# 8 11 min ago Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure,

Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha"

- 905. The statement involved a private matter.
- 906. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 907. The statement referred to Plaintiff name.
- 908. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 909. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 910. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 911. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 912. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 913. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 914. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 915. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 916. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 917. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 918. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 919. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 920. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 921. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 922. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 923. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 434 - Defamation per se

- 924. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 433 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 925. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 926. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 927. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 928. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 435 – Libel Per Se

- 929. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 433 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 930. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 436-443 – Defamation GUT WAGON

- 931. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "KARMA" "KARMA" "KARMA" front page news The CLARKSVILLE TIMES, local attorney "DRUG" dealer and "SLUT" bug infested, disease ridden wife Ronda Laser and Mark Lesher indicted os sexual assault charges and more to come. After reading hand written papers and numerous witness statements hand written documents written by Mark Lesherthe "Grand Jury "indicts" local attorney white perverted trash. More charges to come. Still think you are above the law Mr Lesher? Stilll laughing? I don't think so. Why dont you start sueing you piece of "SHIT"?"
- b. "There is a lot more charges coming 'You can bank on that" SUE SUE SUE Mark Lesher "PLEASE" You are going to get your sorry ass drug through court until you bled to death then and broke for fooling with women and kids then "KARMA" will do the rest. People don't believe their lies look for the facts to come out."
- c. "If I was mark lesher I would turn myself in and tell all, about Rape,making drugs, selling drugs, and weed lesher sold to ray price and others, also about mike rice growing dope for him, and robert mccarver growing dope for him, dynamite mccarver was cought and charged with, its hot in jail but it can get a lot hoter out here that I promuse you. I havent lied to you yet! should not have messed with wife and kids. Lesher when you go to jail dont worry I have a lot of friends there you will be well taken care of you can bank on that."
- d. "If Jerry is a coward like you to say why hide behind your computer go tell him to face I think you are the coward and this tracking device you'r talking about probably dont work so please keep posting. And if these made up charges against Jerry were true as you say why is he not in jail? Ronda slut Lesher you and fag Mark went to jail not Jerry Coyel. Are yall still laughing. I don't think so. Pople can tell you "LOOSERS" are just jelious and a bunch of perveted white trash. Some of us cant spell to good because we had to work, not carry a mastress strapped to our back like you did. People of rrcounty you see how this lasher trash attacks and talks about kids getting fondled and sick crap like this does that not make your skin crall. Its one thing trashing men but when it comes to kids that shows who and what they really are."

- e. "You just told the truth nearly ronda lesher, robert mccarver has and is staying at your house having sex with you and fag. mark while yall watch each other. I guess the outher corvetts and viper wer for what? Think your jest jelious of Shannon because you are and married to a looser. You are the ones that went to jail and are going back dummy you dont even make sense. you don't want mccarver found because you know he will rat on you and mark for drugs and rape. He will be found. "KARMA" is a bitch and in your case has just began, you should not have messed with wife and kids."
- f. "I was there [at Rotary Club] too how do you think I knew? Ronda is one with sexual problems she was arested not me. Oh this must be Ronda and Mark Lsher trash."
- g. "Lesher you pissed the wrong people off with your pervision, we were up all knight because of you and your trash. I just wish boss was not such law abiding citizen and was just a little piece of shit like you, but he isn't. Enjoy your barr at your house maybe I can join you for a drink or something. Out back bar. Tell Mr Rice hi."
- h. "Thought he was above the law, messed with the wrong guys wife and kids. Lesher says I will sue cause I cant get caught caught I am a pervert but you cant prove it so is ronda we got away with it for years ill sue. I hope he does I need the work."
- 932. The statement involved a private matter.
- 933. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 934. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 935. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 936. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 937. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 938. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 939. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 940. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 941. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.

- 942. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 943. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 944. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 945. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 946. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 947. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 948. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 949. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 950. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 444-451 – Libel Per Se

- 951. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 436-443 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 952. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 452-459 – Defamation per se

- 953. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 436-443 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 954. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 955. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 956. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 957. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 460 – Defamation haha

- 958. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "ROBERT MCCARVER' ain't doing me, he doing 'RHONDA{MCCARVER} LESHER' haw haw! He lives with her!"
- 959. The statement involved a private matter.
- 960. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 961. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 962. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 963. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 964. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 965. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 966. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 967. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 968. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 969. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 461 – Libel Per Se

- 970. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 460 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 971. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 462 – Defamation per se

- 972. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 460 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 973. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 463-464 – Defamation Hellcat

- 974. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "And the rest of the story: Everything changed after she [n.b. Shannon Coyel] was Unwillingly used for sex by the Leshers and their 'criminal and drug addict' partner, McCarver."

- b. "She's [n.b. Rhonda Lesher] a nice looking slut, for her age, going by her picture in the Gazette."
- 975. The statement involved a private matter.
- 976. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 977. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 978. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 979. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 980. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 981. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 982. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 983. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 984. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 985. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 986. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 987. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 988. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 989. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law.

Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 465-466 – Defamation per se

- 990. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 463-464 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 991. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 992. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 993. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 467-468 – Libel Per Se

- 994. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 463-464 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 995. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 469-476 – Defamation Helldog

- 996. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Reply>>lReport AbuselJudge it!l#146 Monday Sep 15 Judged: 1 1 1 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental

institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

b. "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%.

Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^^

McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- c. "You got to see her [n.b. Rhonda Lesher] in person, mouth sores, and ragged looking."
- d. "Just a thought, "FACT" "TRUTH"::: Rhonda[Long]Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher indicted by 24 residents of rrcounty! Only 12 Jurors to go in Collin County, where "CRIMINALS" get swift Justice. Pretty good track record for Shannon, "JAIL" for the "TRIO OF TRASH"! With McCarvers "CONFESSION" and outher testimony this will be a grand slam!"
- e. "Will reply one time! Quote: Do you believe I care what any of you "MORONS" believe, post, or say? The fact is the Evidence has been gathered. McCarver "CONFESSED", this "TRIO OF TRASH" will go to Jail! I just post for fun now! I don't like tv, and when I come home I sit down and reed Topix and laugh my butt off. "BUDWEISER" is with me and we drink a few Budweisers then go to bed. I hope Mark Lesher gets off, then you will have real news to talk about. Jerry is getting soft in his old age, not me!"

"..."FACTS" you can verify!. @@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4]

Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

"This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"!A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#2 5 min ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#45 Monday Dec 1 "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******* "TOAST" ****** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@@"FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooooo Hahhahahhah "LOSERS" hahhahhahahhaha

997. The statement involved a private matter.

- 998. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 999. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1000. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1001. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1002. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1003. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1004. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 1005. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1006. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1007. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1008. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1009. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1010. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1011. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1012. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1013. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.

- 1014. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1015. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1016. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1017. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1018. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1019. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 477-484 - Defamation per se

- 1020. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 469-476 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1021. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1022. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1023. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1024. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

1025. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 485-492 – Libel Per Se

1026. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 469-476 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1027. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 493 – Defamation Helldog – original

1028. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"I am Queer, and I "LOVE" the Leshers and McCarver "WERE LOVERS"! Packages from Rhondas 'UNIQUE TOUCH"! Free 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hold Massage, seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was vagina and Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, and Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2/ Hour butt massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One, "AROUND THE WORLD" Or "DOWN THE DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"

- 1029. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1030. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1031. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1032. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.

- 1033. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1034. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1035. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1036. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1037. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1038. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1039. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1040. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1041. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1042. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1043. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1044. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1045. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1046. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1047. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 494 – Defamation per se

- 1048. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 493 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1049. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1050. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1051. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1052. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 495 – Libel Per Se

- 1053. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 493 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1054. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 496 – Defamation HenLesCarver

1055. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'Then unable to move Mark Lesher

and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser ^^^^^^^ New indictments in Lesher. McCarver case by Bill Hankins _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 1056. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1057. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1058. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1059. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1060. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1061. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1062. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1063. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1064. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1065. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.

- 1066. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1067. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1068. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1069. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1070. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 497 – Libel Per Se

- 1071. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 496 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1072. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 498 – Defamation per se

- 1073. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 496 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1074. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1075. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

1076. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 499 – Defamation HillBilly

- 1077. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "yea us inbreeds ant bad people but when they back us in a corner we come out fighting with all we got yea those 3 perverts are going to get whats coming to them if not us inbreed backards country folks will take care of them".
- 1078. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1079. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1080. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 1081. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1082. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1083. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1084. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1085. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1086. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1087. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1088. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of

exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 500 – Libel Per Se

- 1089. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 499 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1090. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 501 – Defamation per se

- 1091. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 499 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1092. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 502 – Defamation Hit a nerve

- 1093. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Call it what you want, I call it exterminating "BUGS"! I learned the hard way you have to be careful, and at the right time and place! In my opiniol "ALL" "CHILD MOLESTERS, "RAPIST" "DRUG DEALERS" are "BUGS"!this includes Lesher and McCarver!"
- 1094. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1095. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1096. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1097. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1098. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 1099. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1100. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1101. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1102. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1103. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1104. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1105. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1106. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1107. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1108. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 503 – Libel Per Se

1109. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 502 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured

Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1110. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 504 – Defamation per se

- 1111. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 502 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1112. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1113. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1114. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 505 – Defamation Hogs

- 1115. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "What Church does Rhonda and her 'PERVERTED' followers go to? Wonder how many are infested with 'DISEASES' from this 'TRIO OF TRASH'?"
- 1116. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1117. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1118. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1119. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1120. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1121. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1122. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 1123. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1124. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1125. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1126. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1127. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1128. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1129. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1130. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 506 – Defamation per se

- 1131. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 505 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1132. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1133. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

1134. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 507 – Libel Per Se

- 1135. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 505 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1136. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 508-509 – Defamation ibedarned

- 1137. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "The lou bashers just have to pick on someone, they know the Leshers have no defense what so ever. The followers of the Trio ,must really be in bad shape to have todefend Women rapers and child molesters. They are quick to scream 'innocent be for the law' for them..."
- b. "I sure like having song written for and about me.. That takes a lot of intellingence. I must be really on their minds alot... One would think they would not want sick people in thier*community that would attack women and children.. but I guess there are those kinds of people in the world ,too. That think it's ok to do those things... It seems like the Leshers have gathered the support of all those kinds in your area... Did I tell you all about the recordings of Robert McCarver ,telling all about the CRIME and describing how the victim freaked out when she realized what was happening to her????? I am not supposd to tell it but I make have already.... Anyway, yep it is true. See that is why I know they will all go to prison......And that is another reason I know they are GUILTY... OK gotta go got now... see ya later. CHARLIE"
- 1138. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1139. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1140. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1141. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1142. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 1143. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1144. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1145. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1146. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1147. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1148. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1149. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1150. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1151. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1152. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 510-511 – Libel Per Se

1153. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 508-509 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1154. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 512-513 – Defamation per se

- 1155. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 508-509 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1156. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1157. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1158. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 514-601 – Defamation Charlie Doescher, Pat Doescher, and/or Arrow Truck and Van Parts (ilbedipt)

- 1159. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "Good morning all. This is the real ilbedipt and I am not lou and never have been. I just a. try to gather the best info we can gather. I do have the same agenda as lou ,however. I want to see that the Leshers and McCarver get what us deserved in this case. I want to see them in prison for their crime against a helpless woman that ,thought she was being helped as a friend not used as a pawn or sex toy. I have no connection to the victim owher than being a long time friend of her husbands. But even if I was not a friend I would still be totally opposed to the actions of the Trio of criminals that wrecked havoc upon this lady. Then try to convince the community that she was the bad person, here. It may be hard for some of you to believe the Leshers could do this crime... but that is exactly what they hoped for. Yhat is exactly why they feel they can get away with the things they do.. because they feel no one would believe they would do these things...It has been their way for a long ,long time... It has just come to light because the victim has come forward and been brave enough to stand up against then. I commend her for the mighty fortitude it took and takes to stand her ground and fight the powerful and (self proclaimed)MIGHTY. Robert McCarvers confession is proof that it happened and has in fact condemned the Leshers. Even if the confession s not allowed in court, for some technical reason, it still shows the FACT of the crime taking place. But so far the confession will be introduced... yet, there will be those that live th lifestyle of the leshers and those that are family that will stand by them... that is ok. But the community will be a safer place even if they get off. (which I do not see happening) At least the community ,now is aware of the treacherous ways of the Leshers. Thank GOD.(and the victim)"

- b. "THIS was originally posted April,4,2008. here are some facts about a major supporter of Abbott (you are a reflection of your friend and supporters) Mark Lescher and Rhonda (Long)Lescher held the wife of a citizen on Red Rover county captive through the use of drugs some legal sedatives and some illegal drugs. She had been convinced by Mark, that she could sue her husband for divorce and receive a large sum of money. The Leschers talked her into moving to thier property. put her and her 11 year old son in a trailer on the property. Started giving her large doses of drugs. After she was so out of it with the drugs Mark started having her sign documents required for the court, by the court, she was told. here is a list of the things she signed...1 - statements of abuse by husband---usual stuff 1- statements for support and divideing income---usual stuff 1- POWER of ATTORNEY to handle her affairs ---huh???? 1 - HER WILL----huh?????? Then there was the statement accusing and witnessing misconduct and criminal behavior by a Judge LOVETT (this statement was half handwritten and half typed and the lady said she never saw this statement not have any knowledgr of it's content, Did have her signature but the rest was not in her hand writing nor did she type it) this letter was sent to law enforcement agency by MARK'S office----very strange here. This lady was subjected to sexual confrontations by Mark and His wife Once she woke up from the over drugging and found Rhonda Lescher performing oral sex on her. Mark Lescher had the lady bring her son to the house one day and started to tell the 11 year old what to tell the judge when they got before the court..... Mark told him to tell the judge that the step father was molesting him and his sister (who was still with her step father) and had been for some time. Mark told him to tell the judge that he witnessed the parents having sex and was invited to watch Mark also told the boy to say that the sep father would remove the boys cloths and make the boy fondle himself whilr the step father watched. The little boy broke down and said he couldn't tell those lies ...it wasn't true. Mark insisted it had to be told that way. the lady finally realized what was really going on and tried to leave. Mark and Rhonda wouldn't give her her keys to her car nor her ID or anything. In fact they told her if she tried to leave or the could and would have her committed to a hospital for insanity and drug addiction. (for she had given Mark the power of atty.) However she insisted she would not tell anyone about any of theses things and they relented and let her leave. There is much more in the documents-THAT'S RIGHT—COURT DOCUMENTS TO BACK UP WHAT WAS JUST STATED.... It's your court house go see for yourself. It seems as though Mr. Lescher and his wife have gone too far, with the wrong person this time. Someone that has the money to take him down and that is not affraid of him....and is much smarter than him. This person is not about to pay a single cent to an extortionist... but will spend all he has to prove his innocence. This person is praying nothing happens to Mark or his wife BEFORE he can see them punished for the wrongs they have and are doing.... he may not want anything done but KARMA is a bitch. The above matter of testimony in a court..... Watch the news it will be coming out real soon and other matters concerning MR. MARK LESCHER ATTORNEY"
- c. "the facts are simple the Lesher trio of Mark Lesher ,his bi-sexual wife and ,drug runniner Robert McCarver rendered a lady incapacitated on drugs ,then sexually molested her for their own self gratifications. They then try to blame everyone else for their troubles. The law has a recorded statement from McCarver detailing the assault and the Leshers involvement and the involvement of Mark Lesher in illegal drug trafficking and names names and all. It will be played out in court and the whole world will finally know of the corruption of the Leshers and the imminent danger they pose on the community. Their followers will finally see them for what they really are and even then some will cling to the assertion that the Leshers are good people.

145

Time will tell, whether they do go to Prison and for how long....but for now evryone should be very wary of contact with these Harmful people."

- d. "If you gotta make this about Coyel, go ahead, show how little you know and how wrong you are for what you think you know about him. Keep up the off Topic remarks to show your support for PERVERTS, Lesbians, Herpies, Chi ld Molesters, Women Molesters, Thieves, Greed, Commun ity Rapers, Moral Morons, and Value Degenerates in general."
- e. "Rhonda and Markwould go on out of town trips where Mark would call ESCORT services to service Rhonda while he watched then he would prform oral on the ladies.Many times he would have other men service her and perform oral on her afterwards. This was told by a former employee that took part in some of the same stuff with them. Robert McCarver was arrested for same crime same time on same lady that Leshers wre accused of assaulting. He is known sexual molester and well know drug head, that is Lesher's client and Lesher bonded him out for the illegal possession of 50 some odd sticks of DYNAMITE. He was present at the house when the assault took place. And took part. Now lets say only half this is true...what does that tell you. there is a long line of people there in Clarksville and surrounding area that will be willing to state many stories of such deviant bhaviour. If only a part of these things are so ...what will that tell you. The rants of innocence from Rhonda is really becoming a circus act. The Unique Touch is quite the lair for deviant sexual expectations I would assume."
- f. "I am sure the Jury will find it hard to believe that a person with the reputation like Robert McCarver has, is real believable. Nor that the Leshers propensity for unususl sex would stop them from this act."
- g. "lou you know Mark goes STRAIGHT..... STRAIGHT FOR THE little boys and men"
- h. "You are right --- to a point...But it is a little strange that those listening to Mark and rhonds only hear how innocent they are and what Great people they are. Yet, the truth is they are sexual deviants that let their behaviour get out of control or they didn't have respect for others wishes. So, they drugged and assaulted an innocent woman to fulfill their own sadistic and lurid desires. THAT"S WHAT IT'S ABOUT, what people do with other CONSENTING ADULTS is their own business. BUT action such as theirs have affected the public.."
- i. "Rhonda doesn't know all that Mark has done or is doing ,MAYBE. But the attack on the lady while she was passed out on drugs,provided by her and Mark,is inexcuseabl. She was the one that was performing oral sex on the lady ,when the lady came to. The Long FAMILY for the most part ,would not accept this behaviour as part of thier Thinking are moral life style. But Rhonda has always Knowingly accepted and Practiced the Bisexual life style. SHE just crossed the line,here in this case from consensual sex to ASSAULT. All the other stuff will be played out in the near future,for her and all."
- j. "I do believe that Rhonda Lesher is in way over her head. She may not have anything to do with many or any of Marks illigitimate activities as such. She is just a HIGH maintainence person with strange and uncontrollable desires of the human flesh. That in itself is not a crime.

The crime she committed came about by greed and the self serving desire for sex with a non-consenting, at the time, adult. Again this this shows her contempt for her fellow citizens. People that are full of contempt for all never understand or admit the wrong they commit.."

- k. "This is the part you can't get. These people thought they had the RIGHT and took thier leave to have sex with this woman at thier discretion because of the condition she was in. It seems as though the Leshers have an insatiable appetite for wierd and unusual sex of various kinds. Which is not illegal, IF consensual. Here we have a victim that says IT was NOT consensual. There in lies the rub(no pun intended). How could it be consensual if she was not awake at the start? Not to be argumentitive but just a reasonable question."
- l. "Here is is for the umpeenth time::::No Jerry never molested her daughter. Yes, her father (bill woods) did in fact molest and beat her as a child as well as the other daughters. Yes she was molested by the Trio. Shannon has never stated that Jerry molested her daughter. Her father and Step mother, along with the advice of Mark Lesher filed the charges in OKLAHOMA against Jerry, NOT SHANNON."
- m. "Well, lets examine it. Did the TRIO have CONSENT to perform any sexual actions on the Victim??? That's the Main gist of this case. The testimony In this trial will examine and dissect the actions of ALL, including the Victim, leading up to, during and after the Incident. This testimony will be crucial to the outcome. This this testimony will also provide the possibility of other crimes and charges of criminal action that could be filed after this trial. The defendants cannot defend thier actions without TESTIFYING themselves. This is where they will hang themselves. It has already been shown to the Gran Jury that another crime was involved at the same time as the ASSAULT. That is why they upgraded the charges. Not only do they have to be worried, but, they ARE worried. Especially Mark Lesher. Robert McCarver is the only one that possibly isn't too worried, because he knows he is going to Prison anyway. The Leshers are very, very worried as they should be. They got caught up in one of thier sordid sexual activities and didn't think about anyones Rights but just thier own perverted pleasures. AFTER all they were HELPING her out. She OWED them. They would be very foolish not to be WORRIED."
- n. "SHE is not sueing over PINKY EYE...She actually contracted HSV-2, around her eyes, after a visit to U.T. where Rhonda did her hair.HSV-1--is like fever blisters- the mild kind of herpies HSV-2-- is GENITAL herpies. Either can be spread by Skin to skin contact only. AND yes Hsv-2 can be spread from Genitals to other parts of body of touch."
- o. "What Happened ... Rhonda was so hot to goo on Radio and Newspaper to cry the blues and praise her hero and compare herself as to a Cancer victim But she won't come out a state how she couldn't have done this thing. She will tell it is a vendetta because she testified against the woman. BUT SHE won't tell the whole story...hmmmm. if she is so INNOCENT why not tell it all . COULD IT BE she is affraid that the truth would snd her to PRISON for sure??? just curious..She can bet her Valtrex Ol'Cinco is not going to tell the same story... Because someONE if not, all IS PRISON BOUND. LOOK up, RHONDA, that's you under the bus."

- p. "When an ADULT of the court(any lawyer)mentally berates and coherses or encourages a child to lie to anyone about anything, especially a Judge ,it is concidered a form of mental molestation."
- "Ok ,I just got the whole deal, after looking over the records and statements and so on, I q. can try to put this in perspective. There was a Hair test done on the Victim. She had them done herself, after being advised to do so by Mark Lesher. Before she was brought to the compound. In fact she has 3 test done at different times, as instructed by Lesher. This was done in anticipation of the filing for DIVORCE case. Mark instructed her to get the HAIR test done and DO NOT do the urine tests. Well, after the attack was done and the Victim went back home and dropped the divorce case, the victims parents Bill and Sharla Woods filed to have the kids removed and grant them custody. Mark was thier advisor and co conspirator in the endeavor to discredit the victim and to extort money from her husband. ANY WAY----At the hearing on the custody case the Parents some how(lesher s finger prints) came up with this test information and brought in an EXPERT to testify about it. Unfortunately for them(why they did this is still a mystery to all, except Lesher) that dumb move proved to the judge that they were NUTS.(my words not judges) The Expert said that the tests results were negative for certain drugs. (see the finger prints of Lesher here.) So their own Lawyer hammered the Expert about the results and kept Having her say that the tests were in fact negative for the drugs tested for. That is when the Coyels Atty. got The expert ,in rebuttal, to admit that the tests were for METH., Cocain and marajuana. She further stated ,upon cross examination by the Coyel lawyer that the tests were not conducted to find other drugs such as ROHYPNOL(date rape drug) or other sedative type drugs. When asked why, she stated that the Person requesting the tests asked for three major drug tests to be given and did not indicate the need for other drugs to be detected. (now do we see the picture) First Lesher wants to use the TESTS to show the victim was drug free for the divorce. But with the POSSIBILITY of her making trouble for him (after the assault occured), he devised a plan to get the test into a court record to PROVE there was no drugs in her system that might lend credit to her story of being drugged incoherent and assaulted."
- r. "Ol'Cinco, alias PINKY, alias, MARK LESHER is seeking people to go to court to testify(lie under oath) that they were there at the time of the ,alleged, attack and state that it didn't happen and that the woman was not there at the time. Money will be awarded to those that are willing. (could this be real) The solicitation of this CRIME is being done by his good friend Mike Rice...so we hear. Obstruction of justice, Perjury and interfering with an on going investigation are very serious crime. I am sure these people realize that all the people associated with the Leshers are being watched METHODICALLY. SO beware if approached by them."
- s. "The only UDATE about GJ that may be coming is the NEWS that JURY tampering charges have been filed on MARK, the PINKY, Lesher. I am sure you of all people, with your vast amount of knowledge knew he was being invstgated for that. See he was able to get a lost of the GJ pool list. Then he started calling them. If ONE of them says he did in FACT talk to them about the CASE before they were interviewed or VOIR DIRE-------well that ain't a gonna be good for him."
- t. "now here is a little humor...should be already know but I thought we should have a light moment here.It seems as though ol Markyoy is not the best endowed person for making love.

'more like a pinky than a penis" as was quioted so mark invented a "Penile injector" to make the pinky perk up. Well that wasn't working too well, so, Linda had an operation to alter herself, to accomodate the pinky. I heard that didn't help much either... That's pretty funny...not for Linda but it is funny... That's sounds about right though... He can't get his diabetes injector to work either. He can't figure it out what to do

Lawyer, DR., Pharmacist, Inventor, Bartender, Rapist, Kidnapper, d rug dealer, OR KING. Maybe PRISONER wil fit him well"

- u. "OH yes, it does seem that Mark the 'pinky'man is up to his Blasting cap ,with the dynamite deal."
- v. "And as for GAIN..Remember it was Mark that had the lady sign over Power of Attourney to him, and it was Mark that had her sign a WILL form, while she was in a drugged state of mind. The lady has and will continue to be attacked for bringing this to ligt. she is and will continue to be humiliated by the Leshers and their groupies, It is the lady that PAID a high price for place her TRUST in a person of STATURE and officer of the court, to have it turned intoo a nightmare that she will have to endure for the rest of her life. Her friends or just coming to her aid and defence. LET ME SEE, NOW....YEP anher friends have a lot to GAIN."
- w. "SHE surly knows how to spell self-incriminating and perjury"
- x. "No wondr these people are in so much trouble . they had there followers don't know what Child Porn. Is. So what theydo TO children is ok. They have NO idea as to what is and what IS NOT against the law . So no wonder they can rape and abuse children and women with no remorse.. They think it's O.K"
- "Let us recap here: Mark and Rhonda Lesher along with Rober McCarver were indicted by a Red River Co. Grand Jury for The SEXUAL ASSAULT of Shannon Coyel. The Prosecution claims the defendants drugged and that she passed out. Upon awakening ,she found Rhonda Lesher performing oral sex on her, while both Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver were fondling her and Rhonda at the same time...more will be told in court. There will be testimony presented in the trial to show where the following is to have happened: Shannon was given stronger drugs than she thought by the leshers and McCarver. Mark Lesher had Shannon sign many papers he said were needed by the court for the divorce case that Shannon was expecting to face with her husband. Among these papers it turned out that there were #1 request for divorce and property settlement and financial info. requestes. (normal stuff it seemed for divorce) #2 A will--(what the ??? in a divorce case?????) #3 POWER OF ATTY. to Mark--(again????) #4 A letter to Judge Lovett--(turned out to be a letter ABOUT misconduct of Lovett-- sent by Leshers office to the Federal authorities asking for his dismissal as Judge) more details at trial. Shannon stated that she did not read all of the thing completely before signing, but was told to sign because it was all needed to get what They wanted in the divorce case. At one time Mark Lesher and Shannon bring her 11 year old son to the house where Mark began telling the boy he would have to tell the Judge certain things to help his mother. The lttle boy was confused and didn't understand what was happening. Mark told him he would have to tell the Judge that he had witnessed his mom and Step-dad have sex many times, andt that his step-dad had made him take his clothes off while the step-dad masterbated, and that it happened often. Mark continued with

this, even as the child protested and said he couldn't say those lies. Mark told him he had to. It was best for his mom. Shannon heard what was going on, Protested and asked to leave. The Leshers said they would not let her drive because she was to wasted on drugs. Shannon got really upset and mad. The leshers told her they would have her put in Jail for drug abuse, child abuse and even have her committed to a mental hospital because she had signed the POWER of ATTY. Some time afterward she was assaulted, after she was able to get her head straight somewhat, she was able to convince the Leshers she would not tell anything, so they gave her the keys to her car and allowed her to go see her sister. (that was the story) It will be more detailed in Court trial. Lots more. I may not ave gotten it all in order in this post, but all this, and more was testified to in court and was presented to Grand Jury along with court records. This is the kind of stuff the Leshers are capable of and for what?....\$\$\$\$

- z. "It is very interesting that Robert would take his child (shady mccarver) to Leshers home and office on many occasions. When he wouldn't take her anywhere else. He couldn't be bothered with a kid hanging around. At best, he used her for cover in drug delivery. AT worst ...well we can all figure that out. sorry to have bothered you but I do not know any of these people but do have an interest in the procedures. IF the leshers did as accused they should be hung by the BA--S AND WHAT EVER SHE HAS TO BE HUNG WITH, AGAIN SORRY BUT THANKS FOR THE INFO."
- aa. "The Lesher/McCarver connection to child abuse is too obvious to overlook. The Fact that mark Lesher's name comes up a lot in connection to illegal drug movement in Red River co is also unnerving. Being involved in so many Possible criminal activities is too much to be ignored. How come Lesher's name is always there? Why would Lesher hire an atty. for such a Person as Robert McCarver, when Rober has no means of paying him back or no means of support what so ever??? Especially when the Leshers are suppose to be in such Financial distress. Why would a Community minded couple, as the Leshers claim to be, have a Known or suspected child abuser living on their property rent free and furnish him with a cell phone and vehcle to move about. Why would he turn on a former client that he represented, an help clients wife in divorce case, yet try to hide that fact. Why would the Leshers then turn on the wife of the fomer client and go to court for the family (father and step-mother) of the wife they were trying to HELP wen she decided to go back home???? What could be the motives for all these inconsistentcies??? Does the Fact that MARK LESHER WAS CONSTANTLY looking for financial worth of the wifes husband give any clue????? Does the Questionable death of Marks (2) former wives raise any questions about his involvement with such????? Why, in, helping a lady in divorce would Lesher need a will to be signed or a power of att. when he is not even representing her in sch case, just helping a FRIEND?????.."
- bb. "Now to other things. The two fellows that ran(during the Ricky Long drug bust) will be in Jail very soon, if not already. It was a very clever way of the law to get this done. But I can tell you this. The whole thing was planned and executed very well. Except for the two running. That was dumb. Guess who's name will pop up on this deal???? O.K. I'll give you a clue.....M.L...Just a hunch there."
- cc. "YOU see, there will be a lot of things presented to back up the Victims story of events. She has never changed her story. The Leshers have told many different stories in public and on

record as to timing and events. There is a lot of eveidence to support her story and contradict the Lesher's story. There is a tape recording (can't give details of it, here for obvious reasons). There is phone records. There is eye witnesses to times and events leading up to and after the assault. That is why we Keep saying fact just keep getting in their way"

- dd. "The reason we came on here to start with was because of the criminal act forced on the wife of our friend and the mental abuse of his stop-son. June, 26,2007 is when this crime occured. You will hear from the PEPROUP that it was not reported for NINE months or alsmost a YEAR as the peroup likes to tell it. When in actuality it was reported Three weeks after the attack. The lady got away from the Leshers a couple of days, maybe three, she went to her sisters for a few days and then to her mothers, where she was convinced by family to call husband. He asked her to come home. if, she wanted to. She did just that and thn told him about the attack... She him to support her if she went to the Police with this crime. He said he would stand by her, whatever she did. So you see the Athorities had the case and investigated it for some time before the Grand Jury ever got the case. The Leshers want everyone to think that they were not contacted about the Attack. All of a sudden a case of child abuse was lodged against the Lady and her husband was Rhonda and Robert. Why not Mark??? Why did he not come fowrward in that case as a witness, too??? That answer will be brought out in the trial. as will the complete cort record of the case by the parents of the lady. So do not believe the Leshers when they say it took almost a year for the victim to come forward. It only took about three weeks. The sheriff's office has the proof."
- ee. "Just in case some of you Lesher followers want to come after . I would like to give them some ammo...I have stated my position all along clearly. Here is the ammo you can use...I Charlie do here by state that MARK LESHER,RHONDA LESHER are without a doubt,CRIMINALS. They are both total wastes of good air. Should anyone want to business with either of them it would be harmful to that person to do so, for they would not treat you as a human being or fair. They steal from people. They are selling drugs. They are molesting helpless people. They are completely untrustworthy. BEWARE...It IS A FACT. NOW YOU CAN SUE ME."
- ff. "WELL WELL WELL Check it out KARMA KARMA, IS A BITCH with a little help MR. and MRS. MARK LESHER are in the RR COUNTY JAIL as we speak.....I have mentioned the far reach of some people. That reach just Grabbed the Lshers. I tried to warn those that try to harm our friends....If the dear Leshers get out of Jail on this deal, they will likly be rearrested for other crimes.... I hope they don't do anything harmful to themselves before the FAT LADY SINGS. Watch TOMORROWS NEWS PAPER—We just helped KARMA fulfill it's RIGHT"
- gg. "Well, stinky let's put it this way...he was found and arrested wasn't he.I told you before it happened and I will tell you more as I can. AND to destiny, I will keep talking ...to the people that want to know here in this forum, and I will keep talking, personally to the ones I need to talk to in RR county. Expect more charges to be filed on the Leshers. Especially ol' CINCO. That is what Rhonda calls Mark,,,you know #5. Obviously I can't give the info. out before the law makes it's move... so sit tight and more to come shortly.. Lots of CANARIES involved in the Lesher's life."

- hh. "NOW the problem is, and has been that the LESHERS and McCarver assaulted a woman against her WILL. Even if what you said was half true..IT still gives them NO RIGHT to assault any woman. Is that not correct DIP SHIT"
- ii. "In my humble opinion, it makes no difference what a person sexual desires are, with CONSENTING, WILLING, ADULTS. But when a person is under the influence of drugs tha person is not capable of a rational, consenting thinking. Th only reason a person would allow it would be to get more drugs. That person in charge of the drugs would be holding the other as a hostage, mentaly. It is the technique used all drug dealers. So even if the three did not forceably HOLD her down and rape her, they did gold her mentally and intentionally, for illicit and wonton purposes. Now that is my View on the Rape without Physical force. Does that make it less than rape or sexual assault.... I THINK NOT. I rest my case, now, YOUR HONOR."
- jj. "You could be right on most of that. But the main reaon for selling the COMPOUND is because the Leshers are REALLY in Financial doo doo. The drug dealing is on hold and has been for sometime. Ever since Red got arrested for the dynamite deal. Now the suppiers to Mark have him put out to pasture. So, thier \$\$\$\$\$\$ supply is dried up and they can't afford the EXTRA lucuries they were so accustomed to. If Mark has any \$\$\$ stashed anywhere, Rhonda don't know about it and He can't bring it out without her and the I.R.S. getting wise. He is already worried about Rhonda and her big mouth...he don't want to piss her off. She knows alot of incriminating details. But she don't know all the intricate details. .."
- kk. "the Leshers have ran over all in this county long enough. They got what they deserved and will get more of the same. They will be handcuffed and hauled off to PRISON."
- ll. "Ok lets start over here.Mark and Rhonda Lesher ,along with Robert McCarver were charged with sexual assault. The offense took place at the Lesher compound(ranch). The Red River co.Grand Jury saw and heard the evidince and returned a sealed indictment.Later the Leshers were arrested, handcuffed and hauled to jail. The Leshers were released after posting bonds. Some time later Robert McCarver was treaked down in. Oklahoma where he was also arrested and taken to jail where he wsa held without bond. later he was trans fered to Red river co. where he remains at this time. The topic here should be as to the Leshers/McCarvers Accused guilt in this case."
- mm. "The Leshers were HANCUFFED and Hauled off to JAil like the common criminals they and Robert McCarver are. Had to borrow money to get out of Jail. Hired an ATTY. out of thier pocket for McCarvers defense knowing he could never pay it back. They are being investigated by almost every Gov., State and Local law agencies, for many other criminal acts including thier involvement in murder, possibly. CORRECTED VERSION."
- nn. "RHONDA (Long) LESHER,MARK LESHER and ROBERT McCARVER will be tried for SEXUAL ASSAULT and be found guilty by a Jury and the Jury will recommend the MAXIMUM punishment allowed. That is what I think will happen."
- oo. "Another point to concider. If Mark Lesher is so PRISTINE in character and personal values, why is his name popping up in all these drug busts as a supplier and/or buyer???"

- pp. "It is the Leshers that have undenyably caused their own demise and committed this crime."
- qq. "The Lesher did that they are accused of. GOD knows it. The court will confirm it."
- rr. "YOU may believe they are...and you are intitled to that and I even respect that. However we feel differently..And BELIEVE the FACTS will prove that to be the case. So please do not pity me, for I have every confidence in the system and my current belief that the Lesher trio will be found GUILTY in a COURT of LAW and will spend many years in prison. They are the ones that need your pity. For, surely, they have no moral fortitude to live in a normal society."
- ss. "YOU just can't get it right can you???? I said the CREW and were instructed to stay away, by the victim's husband, so we repected thier request.(AS IN The victim and her HUSBANDS request) The oneS that started this mess, was the THREE that are indicted for SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape). Before they were indicted I stated it was going to happen because I knew it was coming. After they were indicted I stated we had some people going to the area to help our friend who has been attacked. Nice try, but you must get it straight if you want to have any CREDIBILIBTY. Yes, I did say we would destroy the Leshers and their corruption. But in hind sight I should have said 'we will expose the Lesher corruption that will destroy themselves. Either way it is our mission to HELP bring them and all associated with them, IN CORRUPTION,down. They will be RUN out of town in a STATE PRISON bus. Yes It is a fact that individuals can ask anyone, anything at anytime WITHOUT a warrant or PROBABLE cause, UNLIKE the law, which has to follow certain procedures of law before they can ask questions or talk to certain people about crimes. The general public is not held to those rules of law. DUH........"
- tt. "Shame on The Leshers for SEXUALLY ASSAULTING a person in thier home.....or anywhere else, for that matter."
- uu. "Rhonda is ,sort of a victim on association. Except for the Assault case. There she was a willing and active participant. Her desire for the bi-sexual lifestyle foes not make her an evil person. To be Honest I think she thought she had the right to do what she did. Should she admit that I would understand. But when a person does not give another the consent at that particular time to do what they did,it is sexual assault,in the eyes of the law."
- vv. "If you think that Lesher has not been supplying drugs for some time ,,, you will be greatly surprised"
- ww. "Let us not forget why we are here ,now. The Leshers shall be punished for the Horrible attack on a helpless woman, that they say they were trying to HELP.REMEMBER Rhonda saying that in the paper.... After she said it DID not Happen and that the victim was not even there????"

- xx. "Lesher spent monday and tuesday at the court house trying to intimidate Shady and Leona McCarver and pressure the system to get a BOND set for RED. The other atty, mark hired for RED, succeeded in getting a bond for RED, but Lesher was still upset because of the Hard Bond set by judge. Lesher was looking really haggard and drained, but was still threatening to call the Governor to get the officials in RR co. to give his client a fair bond. But remember Lesher is BROKE, so says Mrs. Herpies spreader."
- "Lest we forget... the Leshers are associated with the McCarvers. i.e.: ROBERT yy. MCCARVER. Mark Lesher is His atty. for another case (that the law believes lesher is involved with) He is Roberts bondsman. He has HIRED an ATTY. for Robert (stands good for payment) for the case of sexual assault that he is a co-defendant in. He has been lobbing for McCarvers bond to be set then doing the same for the bond reduction. He has public threats against the victimand her family members. He and Rhonda have been involved with the victims Fathers and Step-mothers attempt to take her children away. He has forged documents against jusge. He is being investigated for selling drigs. He has been named by drug users and sellers as being a drug dealer. He had McCarver living on his Property. He furnished McCarver with cell phone. He is being investigated for possible murder (2) He has sued the county multiple times. He is and has sued public officials. He tried to cohearse a child into lying to the court. He and his wife(present) Rhonda are known to have participated in sex wth muliple partners and bi-sexual encounters. (not illegal, just GROSS) The Leshers have never denied that. Rhonda even tells about it at the U.T. He was investigated in the Hospital Raid. AND of Course HE, his wife Rhonda and Rober McCarver have been indicted for SEXUAL ASSAULT and or RAPE of a lady there on their property. -----Could all this be a plot to get the Leshers. It would be the GREATEST conspiricy since the JFK. Is it possible that these people have done any of this. Is it possible that so many different people from so many different GoV. agencies could be soooooo wrong. OR is it possible there is something wrong with these LESHERS."
- "Lest we forget... the Leshers are associated with the McCarvers. i.e.: ROBERT ZZ. MCCARVER. Mark Lesher is His atty. for another case (that the law believes lesher is involved with) He is Roberts bondsman. He has HIRED an ATTY. for Robert (stands good for payment) for the case of sexual assault that he is a co-defendant in. He has been lobbing for McCarvers bond to be set then doing the same for the bond reduction. He has public threats against the victimand her family members. He and Rhonda have been involved with the victims Fathers and Step-mothers attempt to take her children away. He has forged documents against jusge. He is being investigated for selling drigs. He has been named by drug users and sellers as being a drug dealer. He had McCarver living on his Property. He furnished McCarver with cell phone. He is being investigated for possible murder (2) He has sued the county multiple times. He is and has sued public officials. He tried to cohearse a child into lying to the court. He and his wife(present) Rhonda are known to have participated in sex wth muliple partners and bi-sexual encounters. (not illegal, just GROSS) The Leshers have never denied that. Rhonda even tells about it at the U.T. He was investigated in the Hospital Raid. AND of Course HE, his wife Rhonda and Rober McCarver have been indicted for SEXUAL ASSAULT and or RAPE of a lady there on their property. -----Could all this be a plot to get the Leshers. It would be the GREATEST conspiricy since the JFK. Is it possible that these people have done any of this. Is it possible that so many different people from so many different GoV. agencies could be soooooo wrong. OR is it possible there is something wrong with these LESHERS."

aaa. "find this rather strange... ccc and th other names IT goes by, keep attaching an interested poster, Katie, for what reason??? Could this be that ccc is trying to avert the whole issue here???? Let's see now--- Leshers are the ones that got arrested fro sexual assault (rape). Mark Lesher is the Main person Everyone in RedRiver, Bowie, Lamar and Cass countis, are talking about and want out of the way.Rhonda is the Queen of Herpes and spreading it through her Public contact business, not to mention her sex exploits.Robert mcCarver is also involved in same charge with the Leshers at the same time. Every one on here talks about it, along with 90% of above mentioned counties. But for some unknown reason this person(s) think it is necessary to BERATE and ATTACK a person that is just interested in this topic, having no part in the Rape and ASSAULT of a helpless woman. I think this ccc is a WORTHLESS, two BIT MORON, with some serious self love problems. REMEMBER THIS IS ABOUT THE LESHERS."

bbb. "Have the Leshers ever denied thier sex life style? NO. Have they ever denied Rhonda has Genital Herpies? NO. Have they ever taken precautions to inform the Customers or thier sex partners of the Herpies infection, for which they are being exposed to? NO. Is this the RESPONCIBLE and caring actions of any reasonable citizen? NO. So what would make anyone think they would care about anyones feelings or respect anyones values or anyones SAFTY?"

ccc. "Yo,bitty brains got any help for GENITAL HERPES. OR JUST PLAIN OL" Crooked RAT Maybe abused rectum while in custody. How bout cure for 'PINKYITICE'

ddd. "They better hope it stays in RR co because, anywhere else they REALLY don't have a chance at ALL. If they can get enough of their sex partners(that haven't been exposed yet) there to get on Jury, they might have a slim chance."

eee. "Rhonda's own words have hurt her credibility. 1st she said this was a case of POLITICAL VENETTA by people that they(leshers) opposed in the election. Next she says it could not have happened at the time stated. Then she says ,QUOTE; "That is what happens when you try to help someone" "we gave her a place to stay" "she was there for 3 or 4 days" In court she never denied she(victim) was there on that date. In court ,however, ROBERT mcCarver stated ,under oath, that she was there for TWO WEEKS. Including the date of offence. Then Rhonda Stated that it was the Victim's husband that was causing all thier problems because of a case Mark mishandled and lost for the husband. Now she is saying that it is all the D.A.(again) and his hand picked G.J.(two of them). And that the Grand Jurry was ,incompitent and RACIAL. Never has she denied the HERPIES Virus thing,Being bi-sexual,haveing the sex parties or any of that stuff. Never. She knows everyone knows about that,anyway. Now I ask you WHO is in DENIAL and Confused about the FACTS? WHO CAN SHE BLAME NEXT and HOW credible is her (Long) word????"

fff. "Rhonda's own words have hurt her credibility. 1st she said this was a case of POLITICAL VENETTA by people that they(leshers) opposed in the election. Next she says it could not have happened at the time stated. Then she says ,QUOTE; "That is what happens when you try to help someone" "we gave her a place to stay" "she was there for 3 or 4 days" In court she never denied she(victim) was there on that date. In court ,however, ROBERT mcCarver stated ,under oath, that she was there for TWO WEEKS. Including the date of offence. Then

Rhonda Stated that it was the Victim's husband that was causing all thier problems because of a case Mark mishandled and lost for the husband. Now she is saying that it is all the D.A.(again) and his hand picked G.J.(two of them). And that the Grand Jurry was ,incompitent and RACIAL. Never has she denied the HERPIES Virus thing,Being bi-sexual,haveing the sex parties or any of that stuff. Never. She knows everyone knows about that,anyway. Now I ask you WHO is in DENIAL and Confused about the FACTS? WHO CAN SHE BLAME NEXT and HOW credible is her (Long) word?????"

ggg. "Just go ask Rhonda or any of the girls that work there [Unique Touch] with her or Darlene Jones about the sexual exploits and the many women and men she and Mark share.Rhonda is quiet vocal and happy to share these tales with many..The McCarvers are all KNOWN,most convicted,child molesters.The Leshers keep the McCarvers real close to them, when not in Jail.If one runs with the shunks and smells like skunk one would assume it is skunk. YOU don't have to be CONVICTED of child molestation but be known as one because the of the skunk rule.Not being convicted does not make one innocent."

hhh. "do not believe all that the "head full of crap" says, just a family member that is trying to cash in with his brother. That is particular info will be brought out in court.the Bar is Leshers private little ahng out where only 'really important people can go to have orgies."

- iii. "EXCUCE me, I have to jump in here. It is NO SECRET about the LESHER's strange and sordid sex practices. At least not in CLARKSVILLE and certain part of DALLAS on GREENVILLE ave. DO NOT take my word. JUST ASK around. If you are in that area. NO detective work needed."
- jjj. "YO!!!!! Yo!!!!PINETOPS, I did not say you were anything.... I said 'you know that don't you????' Everyone knows Rhonda like sex with either sex or both at the same time.... It is widely known and Even Rhonda herself will tell you so. So, I am sure that ,as you know so much about her and her character you would surely onow that little fact. As for me being sick, well I am better now ,thanks for your concern. It was just a little cold, nothing serious."
- kkk. "BINGO.....just got the straw that will break the back of PINKY and ,slut Herpies, wife Since WOW, is running the Lesher Strategy from Topix, site, we will have to show this one in court first.....but BEEELIEVE me when I say,IT's a HUM dinger. Topix, actually did pay off, after all. Call the Law Officials has sealed the deal.... OHHHH, how i wish i could share it, right now."
- Ill. "I wonder if Rhonda will disclose in the property sale documents that it has been exposed to S.T.D.s???"

mmm. "AND I am the one that posted that Rhonda had Genital Herpies, which she does...It is very common knowledge at U.T. That is why she keeps the VALTREX handy, It is also transmittable by skin touch. All this was posted for your info as a public service. That is why the Texas License Board is investigating her place and license. More Gov. offices are also looking at her and her HERO."

nnn. "Did we forget that old PINKY and his wife QUEEN OF HERPES got arrested for Rape. His ex common law wife died mysteriously. Her son died mysteriously. His Right hand Drugger, R.McCarver, got arrested too. Pinky is tied to Dr. Naples Down Town project has problems Being investigated in all above. as well as numerous stange happings Trying to get a Med. Waste site for Ya"" to use Keeps getting the liqour safe on the ballot Sueing all the Citizens of country for arrest of ex coach and brother that Just happened to be in a drug house, where there was lots of drugs & money found, as the warrant stated as well as a gun, Really bogus case here. Trying to get elections thrown out, to do over Calls E.Henslee best FRIEND. MIK RICE second best friend Calls Val Varley trailer trash Why is everyone pickin on him?????"

ooo. "There seems to be MORE people coming forward to add to the fate of the Lesher, also .Better another Strategy started, quick.Might try to get another G.J. seated....Maybe some of ALL THOSE SUPPORTRS of Pinky and the Herpies Queen."

ppp. "The judges I know would be Very upset to find thier court room has not been sanitized before they enter it ,after a Know carrier of communicable disease was present."

qqq. "Well, I guess that would be one way to stop all but perverts from attending court..... STOP SANITIZING it. Then nobody but Lesher and Herpies lovers would attend."

rrr. "There is a revolution going in Red River co.. The people are not going to take it any more from the KING Mark and The Queen of Herpies. The king and his faithful will try to destroy all who are not of their thinking. He will stamp out the last of the rebelious ones. no stone will remain uncast until his reign is restored and all souls are at his convenience once again. Unless justice is returned to the people that is. No king or his army can stand right of the people when they are predetermined to cast out the demon and his followers, wll it be King or Rat or both. So be , it says the PEOPLE of RED RIVER COUNTY."

sss. "There seems to be MORE people coming forward to add to the fate of the Lesher, also .Better another Strategy started, quick.Might try to get another G.J. seated....Maybe some of ALL THOSE SUPPORTRS of Pinky and the Herpies Queen."

ttt. "H....I.......HERPIES>>>>INFESTED>>>>LESHER S>>>>>Will be heading to Huntsville, Texas courtesy of the STATE of Texas and the Red River County civilians and D.A. for the Sexual Assault of Shannon Coyel. Thanks to all the Good people in the area for their reasoning and moral standards for standing up to Justice, and against Tyranny." uuu. "I thought it was kinda funny myself. And what would be DISCRIMINATING about sanitizing after a known disease carrier was infecting the area. I never knew a city or a county ahd PRIVATE atty.'s I thought they were paid for by the citizens taxes... Oh, what a lot I have to learn. Ask the Judge if he is interested in lou's post. You might be surprised on his answer to such an absurd question."

vvv. "When he goes to trial he is 0% wins----Negogiate in favor of client (and himself) 30% maybe 40% but only if it is clear for the Plaintiff. as for the stuff he does like the real silly stuff he will always negogiate a deal, so he can get some \$\$\$\$.Hardly ever goes to court where he actually has to fight for RIGHT..Most of the people we have talked to that he has represented

said they got the raw end of the deal,, he promised to get them HUGE settlements but worked a settlement for far less than fair, just so he could get a pay day. Off their pain and suffering. When he worked for fee, it was not very good for client, jst really expensive."

www. "The New Boston Hosp. and Dr. Naples deal is just the tip of the iceberg.It will come out that OL'CINCO is involved up to his 'PINKY'.Question: why did Linda Have the stomacha nd medical problems she had. They began while with Mark and got worse. He is a Licensed Pharmacist. Naples was treating people, with pesticides and wood treatment chemicals, for CANCER. The F.B.I., I understand, was and is working with Linda on information about the whole deal but ,she couldn't give up all the info without involving herself. They were trying to work out the deal to get her ammunity. Now this happens...just a coincidence? Here's a little advice if any one has any info regarding any of this, you can contact the F.B.I. They want answer questions about an ongoing case or even admit there is one unless the Contact: JIM Spiropoulos Residents agent F.B.I. 500 N. Stateline Room 214 Texarkana, Texas 75501."

xxx. "Oh oh!!! It looks like Pinky's scheme to get the I.R.S. On Mr Coyel is not doing so good. They seem to be more interested in Pinky than anyone else. His little man from La couldn't find anything to go to anyone with. He couldn't get any law people to do anything to Mr. Coyel. Because there is nothing to show he has done ANYTHING wrong or at least illegal. I personally think he (coyel) should be slapped in the head for thinking Mark was a good man some years back. But that ain't illegal either. Hold cow Mark Lesher has called everybody he can think of to try and get Coyel and or his family into some sort of trouble. WHY????? Even if They were. How would that help ol'PINKY in this SEXUAL ASSAULT case. And I know for a fact that he would not want to be in a cell with Mr. Coyel. Oh Well happy hunting Mark, while you can still hunt. BTW Convicts cannot even go hunting with a gun in the state of texas (just occurred to me when I said happy hunting)."

yyy. "...At risk of letting the cat out of the bag, lets look at another of thier contentions. The Leshers or at least Rhonda has stated in Public that the victim was not at the Compound (ranch) on that date.... However in RECORDED court statements in another case, Rhonda and Robert McCarver, both, made statements to the contrary..... Now something is not adding up here. If they bring winesses to state she was not there but they saw her somewhere else. Or that the Leshers were seen somewhere else at the time, who is going to get prosecuted for perjury?"

zzz. "Yes that is true # 170. The authorities have been looking at and watching Mark for some time, way before the Rap deal, and are now about ready to close the deal. It seems as though some people, not wanting to go to jail, have decided to cooperate and have started spilling the beans, to support what the authorities have been seeking proof of. Thanks to Shannon, and her courag to come forward, it has got the worms turning on each other. I have heard that Mark is trying to work a deal to place blame on others if it will help him. That is smart move for him, but, I don't believe the law is willing to believe he is not responsible"

aaaa. "BUT yoy better hurry because OL'CINCO will soon be doing the Chain gang shuffle and it's real hard to get pesticides in prison. But then againI guess he can get his assistant,MIKE RICE ,to visit you"

bbbb. "It is a pattern the LESHERs follow, total disregard for the well being of those they come in contact with. They had no concern for the will and wish of a lady that was passed out, drugged ou or just asleep, whatever. They have no respect for the will and wishes of the majority of the people that do NOT want alcohol sales in their area. They ahve no Respect will or wishes of the people that want no MEDICAL WASTE dump in their area. They have no respect for the will or wishes for the people that voted differently and caused the election to go in favor of Person other than they wanted to win. They have no respect for your tax dollars, due to all the many CIVIL suits filed against the Gov. bodies that these dollars fund. I could go on but, you get it by now." cccc. "Excuse me—but it was MARK LESHER that tried to brow beat and intimadate a child into lying to a judge about some sex stuff about the childs step father...as stated in court testimony"

dddd. "...I post as ilbedipt You on the other ahnd do all the posting under so many names . but that is ok.... it is ok.... do hat you want to do it's a free world and we do not care what you are anyone else do or say. We respond in kind and are not backing down from anything we have said. The facts I post are just that FACTS. the Opinions I post are just that . Get off you high horse and get real. We know the LESHERS are GUILTY. ANd thier little buddy ROBERT McCArver and ,thier other little buddy Mike RICE ,along with Rhonda Herself will help PROVE it to the WORLD. If they ever go to trial. I believe it will come to the court house steps and then the TRIO will plead guilty as CHARGED. Because MARK does not want the court to hear the FACTS on this case and give the POLICE more info. on record to prove the OTHER stuff he is into. FACT......I DO believe."

eeee. "KEEP it up...you give everyone more insight to RHONDA than all of the followers together. It is a fact that Rhonda does roll in many directions and it was suggested that she too may be into CHILD molesting also...Is it your children you have offered up to her?????I do not knoe that to be true. JUST wondering. AFTER all it was you taht brought yp the offerin up thing."

ffff. "NO he is more likely going to be mad, because he has to have the court room sanitized every time the Leshers walk in it."

gggg. "Mark Lesher is one of the most reviled and distrusted lawyers in the State of Texas..."

hhhh. "Hello all. I usually do not come on here at night, Butu I have a little news for you all. Ol' Pinky amn was at the court house today doing a little back street lawyering. After they had a little session with teh judge he came out of teh court house struttin his stuff. He was overheard making a statement that 'before anyone can convict me of anything they have to get me in a court on trial first'. "Hell they think that Gotti guy was tough to get in court they ain't seen shit, yet." Ok, I could not have made this up if I tried. It was what was reported to me 3 hrs ago. I have been trying to find out what went on it there but havent had any luck as of now. Everything is hush hush. I do know he was going to try and get the case thrown out over something to do with the G.J.. That was a motion his Lawyer made at the hearing on the 17th of June. At that time the Lawyer said it was not a good indictment because the G.J. term was over. But Mr. Varley stated it was because he had notified them before their term expired. Wll all I can say at this time is that something might be going in the Trio's favor for a moment. Ol cinco pinky man seems to be

feeling like the TEFLON DON right now...Not sure what is going on. I have a good idea though. We will have to see. Remember this not all DARK Clouds are BAD."

- iiii. "Last update from the crew... to share.. This past weekend ,at a let's feel sorry for Mark gathering at the famous BAR, OL' CINCO was getting a little tipsy, let's say, and he started informing the group of, well wishers, that Val Varley was an idiot for trying to go up against him (MARK) in court, with the "little Tramp BIATCH" that is claiming an impossible case of RAPE.He went on to say "Shannon has no experience in court room affairs and I(mark) will have her so confused that when I get through the jury will believe she raped us...and then she will fill like she's been raped again." This was info from the insider that was there...Here is the good part "Rhonda said.. When all this took place it was with her (Shannons) O.K.,she didn't pass out until after we started having sex." That was the short version...much more was said that we will not discuss here, yet. I am not saying it is TRUE but just stating what was told to us. Here is an intersting thought...what if there was a recording of that gathering was made.... VERY INTERESTING STATEMENTS (if true)?????????"
- Close to that sort of sermon. My personal opinion is that he will not. I believe it was a desperate attempt and cry for help from Rhonda. She is truly feeling the pressure of this ordeal. In a way I feel sorry for her. I really believe(as I have stated more than once here) that SHE actually believes that what she did was ok. She thinks it was ok. She thought that this lady would not object to her part of the deal, for what ever reasons. The guys joined in and did thier thing and it was all so innocent. That is her true belief. She never thought it was wrong. AND still doesn't. Only after the lady came to enough to realize what was going on and went to FREAKING OUT, did she figure something might be amiss. That's when the Leshers decided to leave and had MIKE Rice and Robert have the Lady removed from the main house and taken to the TRAILER. Then told the guys to not let her leave. Later they talked to the lady by phone and only after she convinced them that she would not cause them any trouble did they tell Mike to give the lady the keys to her car. Now that is more than I should have told ,but I feel that it needed to be said,here. Maybe some can start to see the picture."
- 1160. The statements involved private matters.
- 1161. Alternatively, the statements involved public matters.
- 1162. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1163. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1164. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1165. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 1166. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1167. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 1168. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1169. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1170. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1171. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1172. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1173. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1174. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1175. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1176. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1177. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1178. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1179. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1180. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 1181. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1182. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 602-689 – Defamation per se

- 1183. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 514-601 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1184. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1185. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1186. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1187. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1188. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 690-777 – Libel per se

- 1189. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 514-601 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1190. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

- 1191. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1192. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 778-779 – Defamation Interesting

- 1193. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Now this is a "EXCELLENT" post! If Lesher caught you Rhonda would "TONGUE" your "BUTT" while Lesher tried to "SUCK" your Balls off! "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mike Rice would "RAPE" you. They like guys, especially "SH*TY" ones!"
- "...WHITE PERVER TRASH" Mark Lesher {JAMES BOND} wrote this "LIE"! b. "MORON" or What???? Giguere Local attorney Mark Lesher, who along with his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver are facing aggravated sexual assault charges, received a death threat late last month. According to Mark Lesher, two African American males walked into his Clarksville law office and physically assaulted Kenny Mitchell, Lesher's legal assistant, the afternoon of Nov. 19 to send a message to Lesher. According to Mark Lesher, the two men grabbed Mitchell, threw him against the wall and said, "Tell him (Mark Lesher) to back off or we will kill him". Mitchell confirmed the report and declined further comment. Both Mark and Rhonda Lesher wouldn't specify what the men were talking about, but believes who could be behind the threat. Both the Leshers and McCarver are accused of sexually assaulting a Red River County woman in July 2007. Mark Lesher said he was threatened around July of last year by the husband of the alleged victim over sexual assault allegations on his step daughter. Rhonda Lesher said at the time the alleged victim was staying with the Leshers and had asked Mark Lesher for help in divorce proceedings, but would later return to her current husband. "He came into my office by himself uninvited and said not to file on him or else I would get it," Mark Lesher said. Clarksville Police Chief Brandon Harbison said no arrests have been made and the case is still under investigation. According to the police report, the two men are approximately in their 20's and drove off in a single cab white pickup truck. "We haven't been able to identify the two individuals and we don't have any leads off the streets," Harbison said. Mark Lesher said Mitchell was not physically injured, but was pretty shaken. Lesher said the men were not wearing masks and described one man as around six feet tall and the other about four inches shorter. "It was a terrorist type threat," Lesher said. "They pushed him up against the wall pretty hard. He was so shook up that he couldn't call the police." Local attorney rec @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ You think this Ain't a crock of "SH*T"? ... Lesher was walking back to his office from a court hearing in Clarksville with his client and arrived back at around 12:23 p.m. Lesher believes the men entered the office around 12:20 p.m. "We recessed around 12:15 p.m.," Lesher said. "There had to have been someone in that

courtroom who knew I was returning to my office and was studying my every move." Rhonda Lesher said nobody has threatened her nor McCarver, but has taken extra precaution during her business hours by locking her back door and having friends and colleagues stand by her front door. "GOLDFINGER"!!! hahhahahah [Quote] "PINKY, my husband is "PERVERTED" and "LIES" alot! Our \$20,000.00 "LOVER" "ROBERT MCCARVER" who lives with us is in love with "MIKE RICE" and we are Jealous. We paid the money to get McCarver out of Jail and they won't let us "WATCH"!!! hahhahahahahaha LOSERS" hahhahhahahaha Bill and Sharla Woods will! hahhahhaha Now ole Mc Lesher has "KENNY" lying and in trouble. The Authorities are very suspicious. Lesher is a "FOOL" drounding them all There was someone outside next door at Agriland and they seen no one!!!!!!!! No white truck, "NO ONE"!"

- 1194. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1195. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1196. The statement referred to Plaintiff name.
- 1197. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1198. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1199. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1200. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1201. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1202. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1203. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1204. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the

issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 780-781 – Defamation per se

1205. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 778-779 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

1206. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 782-783 – Libel per se

1207. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 778-779 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1208. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

1209. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 784 – Defamation InTheSideLines

- 1210. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Or maybe, what Lesher has got in mind is a good ole, suicide in order for mcCarver. You know he knows how to rig that up. Then he will out of the picture for good. I am sure that the lender of the bail money won't mind loosing 20,000.00. as long as his name doesn't come up either. I am sure they have it all figured out. Won't make any difference. Money talks... They will probably get away with it. They have so far."
- 1211. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1212. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.

- 1213. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1214. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1215. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1216. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1217. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1218. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1219. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1220. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1221. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 785 – Libel Per Se

- 1222. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 784 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1223. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 786 – Defamation per se

- 1224. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 784 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1225. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 787 – Defamation Jail soon

1226. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, ""TRIO OF TRASH" face "LIFE SENTENCE"! New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion,

saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. ______ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahahahalolololo [Quote] With no do in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had o merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

- 1227. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1228. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1229. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 1230. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1231. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1232. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1233. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1234. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1235. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1236. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1237. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted

with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 788 – Defamation per se

- 1238. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 787 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1239. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 789 – Libel Per Se

- 1240. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 787 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1241. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

- 1242. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Facts is already stated: Perverts, Liars, Slime, Dope, Rape, Law Suits Liquor, Dump add all this up and you get 'Leshers' What can they say about the truth? Nothing"
- b. "Where would you have the bar and orgies at? Who would take your cases and sue for you? where would you get your dope to sell and take? Hell yeah yall want him out. But I believe Leshers rope has finally came to its end. I believe he finally tried to run over the wrong person."
- c. "I think you are right! I hope this perverted child molesting, women raping trash Leshers and McCarver try this with Jerry."

- d. "stay on topic rhonda 'HERPIES' lesher mark 'VALTREX' lesher needs 'AIDS' test!!!!!!!!'GOES TO JAIL"
- e. "I think Rhonda and Mark Lesher bought some 'VALTREX' stock and there doing fair, even after the interest they pay on the loan.lol lol lol Look on Rhondas mouth they buy enough to keep the stock up."
- 1243. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1244. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1245. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1246. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1247. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1248. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1249. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1250. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 1251. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1252. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1253. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1254. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1255. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1256. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.

- 1257. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1258. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1259. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1260. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1261. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1262. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1263. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1264. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1265. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 795-799 – Libel per se

1266. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 790-794 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 1267. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1268. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 800-804 - Defamation per se

- 1269. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 790-794 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1270. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1271. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1272. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1273. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1274. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 805-807 - Defamation Joe 6-Pack

- 1275. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ****** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"**
 Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report

Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of recounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"

- b. "Lesher the "PERVERT" had started a romer Jerry was molesting his daughter! The only thing Jerry wants to molest is Mark[QUEER]Lesher!"
- c. "Could be the "SHIT EATING SLUT" gut her "BUTT TONGUE" hung in "ROBERT MCCARVERS" ass! Then Lesher give her some "DOPE" and Lesher took "DOPE" and their all "DOPEY"! hahhahhahhahhahhahhahhah Lesher and his "PRESCRIPTION [self made] DRUGS"! Look how "IGNORANT" the outher chosen ones are! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"!"
- 1276. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1277. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1278. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1279. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1280. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1281. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 1282. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1283. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1284. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1285. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1286. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1287. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1288. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1289. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1290. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 805-807 – Defamation per se

- 1291. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 805-807 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1292. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1293. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

1294. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 811-813 – Libel Per Se

1295. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 805-807 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1296. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 814 – Defamation Joice

1297. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Debra wrote: Helldog: I have oral sex with Rhonda Lesher! @@@@@@@@@@@@@ This TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse | Judge it! | #4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saving: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! Lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3]

Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver "PERVERTED" posters think residents cain't reed the news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You "MORONS" fool no one! [Quote] Post something with context, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Lesher's \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! hahahahahaha LOL [Quote] This is what I read."

- 1298. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1299. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1300. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1301. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1302. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1303. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1304. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1305. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1306. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1307. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1308. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1309. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 1310. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1311. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1312. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 815 – Defamation per se

- 1313. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 814 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1314. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1315. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1316. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 816 – Libel Per Se

- 1317. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 814 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1318. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 817 – Defamation Just a GODLY person

- 1319. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "The leshers ruined their lives themselves by committing the crime they will be found guilty of,in a court of law."
- 1320. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1321. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1322. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1323. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1324. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1325. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1326. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1327. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1328. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1329. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1330. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 818 – Libel Per Se

- 1331. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 817 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1332. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 819 – Defamation per se

- 1333. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 817 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1334. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 820 – Defamation just curious

- 1335. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "I think we hav heard enough about herpies. We have been told Rhonda has it. She hasn't denied it. Her customers know it and can decide what they want to do. I feel for anyone who has any desiese that cannot be cured. This is not about who has herpies. can we please give it a rest. We want to hear about what this topic is about. I and i know others do not know if they are guilty of this. That is what this post is suppose to be about. Dang, give the herpies a rest. Talk about the topic."
- 1336. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- 1337. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1338. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1339. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1340. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1341. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.

- 1342. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1343. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1344. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1345. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1346. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1347. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1348. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1349. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, which resulted in the following damages: Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1350. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1351. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 821 – Libel Per Se

1352. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 820 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured

Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1353. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 822 - Defamation per se

- 1354. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 820 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1355. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1356. These types of allegations makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1357. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 823-824 – Defamation Justice

- 1358. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "If you or you know anyone that has been infected with 'AIDS', 'HERPIES' By Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert McCarver or Mark Lesher please call your local health department. Call Attorney Dan Meehan, Clarksville teaxas. 19034274547 for legal advice, Dan is filing a"CASH" Class Action Lawsuit. Several Black Men and Women have called, don't get eft out!"
- b. "Why was it, when Mark Lesher and Earnest on rrpoliticks, bash and lie about all people with morals like the D.A., Sheriff Office, Judges, Robert Bridges, Larry Spangler, people you could not corrupt yall laughed, cussed, and hated. You called them Maggot, fags, bastards, bitches, hilbillyies, hicks etc. Now when real slime, perverted pieces of shit Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long] Lesher and Mark Lesher that we all know are scumb, you lesher "LOSERS" hollar! "AIN"T TO FUNNY NOW" your "HERO" and "SLUT" wife are going to Jail with their "LOVER" Robert McCarver!"
- 1359. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1360. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1361. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.

- 1362. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1363. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1364. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1365. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1366. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1367. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1368. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1369. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1370. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1371. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1372. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1373. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 825-826 – Libel Per Se

- 1374. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 823-824 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1375. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 827-828 – Defamation per se

- 1376. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 823-824 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1377. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1378. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1379. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 829 – Defamation Justice is coming

- 1380. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Mike Rice was Linda Velvins "BROTHER"! Rice tried to turn Lindas electricity off and Linda shot at him, told him to stay out of their business. Lesher called the Sheriff and they told Lesher to stay away, this is why lesher hates the Sheriff dept. Lesher could not controll the D.A. or Sheriff to do his evil. Rice turned on his own "SISTER"! Linda was in trouble over Leshers "DRUGS" she was getting from the hospital for him. Lesher let Linda take the wrap, then kicked her out!The F.B.I. have some of the "DRUGS" Linda got for Lesher and are still trying to build a case. They are slow but through! The "DRUGS" have serial no. on them, and they can be tracked. Linda Velvin died just before they hung Lesher. Even the State Police won't Lesher "BAD"! Leshers "LUCK" is running out! This piece of "GARBAGE" Mark Lesher will be canned."
- 1381. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1382. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1383. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.

- 1384. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1385. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1386. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1387. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1388. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1389. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1390. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1391. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 830- Defamation per se

- 1392. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 829 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1393. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 831 – Libel Per Se

- 1394. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 829 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1395. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 832-834 – Defamation justice will prevail

- 1396. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Well he should be the next to go to jail. I mean hell isn't he the one who escorted and hand delivered Shannon to the Leshers for their sick plan? You would think the Leshers would have better sense than to cross Jerry."
- b. "I truly believe that Ms. Herpies is enjoying this attention so much that she WONT keep her mouth shut, she is to stupid to know how it really makes her look, she seems to think that is making her look important, a celebrity statis? She is a big joke in my opinion. And I do hope no one considers making a movie from this, like someone had stated earlier. That would just make her feel even more important."
- c. "And you shouldn't use the words, honesty, integrity and morals in the same sentence as the Leshers, cause everybody knows they are none of those things."
- 1397. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1398. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1399. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1400. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1401. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1402. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1403. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.

- 1404. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1405. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1406. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1407. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1408. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1409. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1410. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 1411. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1412. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1413. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1414. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1415. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 835-837 – Libel per se

- 1416. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 832-834 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1417. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1418. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 838-840 – Defamation per se

- 1419. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 832-834 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1420. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1421. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1422. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1423. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 841-844 – Defamation KaRma

- 1424. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "They have a Time Disease! 'AIDS' and 'HERPIES' who knows what else all mixted together, 'LETHAL' Call 'ORKIN' 'BUGGS'!"
- b. "This Amy! Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place.

Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ####### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?[Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!"

c. "...This is "ROBERT MCCARVER" telling Jason what to say. McCarver planted "DOPE" on Jerrys land twice and Jerry told him not to, Jerry hates "DRUGS"! Jerry Coyel found it both times and had Depuity Larry Spangler get it! Jerry never Ratted on anybody, He wanted the Marijuana off his property! I guess the time you are talking about was back in the early 80es. This guy owed Jerry some Money so Jerry went to his house and ruffed him up a little and left. The Guy called the Police and put a Restraning order on Jerry. Jerry called the guy and said I want my money, the guy told Jerry to F*** off! Jerry cought this guy comming out of a 7-11 store late one night on Landcaster and hit him in the mouth with a two by fore, knocking all his teeth out. Jerry said while you are eating soup think about me you chicken Sh*t. Pay me what you owe me by the next friday. I believe it was on saturday night and monday morning a friend

of the guy took Jerry his money! Now Robert Jerry looked after you and your family when nobody else would, you got back on "DRUGS" so Jerry fired you! You with Mark Leshers help slipped his wife "DRUGS" trying to get to Jerrys Money. You know Jerry well, so does Lesher. If you had a Brain left you would tell all about Mark Lesher. Lesher when you are no more use to him will through you out! Robert I know Jerry has some Christmas Presents he would love to give you and Lesher. Why don't yall go get them. Authorities have told Jerry if anything happens to yall he will be their first suspect! Jerry ain't Crazy, Time will tell and Shit like yall will smell, and I mean "SMELL"!"

- d. Reply- I Report Abuse I Judge it! #9391 4 min ago devils advocate wrote: [Quote] Helldog wrote: I am 'QUEER' like Mark Lesher. Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing on and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced january 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously?????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U.S. Department of Justice U.S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group f six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justic charges have been files against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... "LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda [long] Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linday Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the 'ANTICHRIST' has had the F.B.I. After him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVERSION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD for D.A. Val Varley"!!!! Now two ex of each have died! 'DISEASE INFESTED SCUM'! They have a Time Disease! 'AIDS' and 'HERPIES' who knows what else all mixted together, 'LETHAL' Call 'ORKIN' 'BUGGS'!"
- 1425. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1426. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1427. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.

- 1428. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1429. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1430. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1431. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1432. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1433. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1434. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1435. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1436. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1437. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1438. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 1439. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1440. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1441. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1442. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

1443. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 845-848 – Defamation per se

- 1444. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 841-844 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1445. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1446. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1447. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1448. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 849-852 – Libel Per Se

- 1449. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 841-844 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1450. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 853-854 – Defamation Kevin

1451. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- a. "Fore the nible minded! From where I work, and I know, and the evidence collected and the people that matter, I know personally. Leshers and McCarver will be convicted. Their trial will not be held here, this will be of great concern to them when they find out where. Mr. Varley is greatly underestimated."
- b. "What you really mean, is their reputation, Robert McCArver, and their history will come into play. If you were ever in the U.T., you have had to have heard about their [the Leshers'] vile sex acts. If you knew Mark, he has given, or tried to give you Viagra. These people are despicable."
- 1452. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1453. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1454. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1455. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1456. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1457. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1458. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1459. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1460. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1461. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1462. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1463. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 1464. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1465. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1466. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 855-856 – Libel Per Se

- 1467. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 853-854 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1468. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 857-858 - Defamation per se

- 1469. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 853-854 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1470. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1471. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1472. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 859-861 – Defamation Knight Rider

1473. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"After getting "INFESTED" with "DISEASES"! From Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher and Mark Lesher two African American went to leshers office! Tim Shimpock knows the details!" WHITE PERVER TRASH" Mark Lesher {JAMES BOND} wrote this "LIE"! "MORON" or What???? Giguere Local attorney Mark Lesher, who along with his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver are facing aggravated sexual assault charges, received a death threat late last month. According to Mark Lesher, two African American males walked into his Clarksville law office and physically assaulted Kenny Mitchell, Lesher's legal assistant, the afternoon of Nov. 19 to send a message to Lesher. According to Mark Lesher, the two men grabbed Mitchell, threw him against the wall and said, "Tell him (Mark Lesher) to back off or we will kill him". Mitchell confirmed the report and declined further comment. Both Mark and Rhonda Lesher wouldn't specify what the men were talking about, but believes who could be behind the threat. Both the Leshers and McCarver are accused of sexually assaulting a Red River County woman in July 2007. Mark Lesher said he was threatened around July of last year by the husband of the alleged victim over sexual assault allegations on his step daughter. Rhonda Lesher said at the time the alleged victim was staying with the Leshers and had asked Mark Lesher for help in divorce proceedings, but would later return to her current husband. "He came into my office by himself uninvited and said not to file on him or else I would get it," Mark Lesher said. Clarksville Police Chief Brandon Harbison said no arrests have been made and the case is still under investigation. According to the police report, the two men are approximately in their 20's and drove off in a single cab white pickup truck. "We haven't been able to identify the two individuals and we don't have any leads off the streets," Harbison said. Mark Lesher said Mitchell was not physically injured, but was pretty shaken. Lesher said the men were not wearing masks and described one man as around six feet tall and the other about four inches shorter. "It was a terrorist type threat," Lesher said. "They pushed him up against the wall pretty hard. He was so shook up that he couldn't call the police." Local attorney rec @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ You think this Ain't a crock of "SH*T"? ... Lesher was walking back to his office from a court hearing in Clarksville with his client and arrived back at around 12:23 p.m. Lesher believes the men entered the office around 12:20 p.m. "We recessed around 12:15 p.m.," Lesher said. "There had to have been someone in that courtroom who knew I was returning to my office and was studying my every move." Rhonda Lesher said nobody has threatened her nor McCarver, but has taken extra precaution during her business hours by locking her back door and having friends and colleagues stand by her front door. "GOLDFINGER"!!! hahhahaha [Quote] "PINKY, my husband is "PERVERTED" and "LIES" alot! Our \$20,000.00 "LOVER" "ROBERT MCCARVER" who lives with us is in love with "MIKE RICE" and we are Jealous. We paid the money to get McCarver out of Jail and they won't let us "WATCH"!!! hahhahahha LOSERS" hahhahhahah Bill and Sharla Woods will! hahhahhaha Now ole Mc Lesher has "KENNY" lying and in trouble. The Authorities are very suspicious. Lesher is a "FOOL" drounding them all There was someone outside next door at Agriland and they seen no one!!!!!!! No white truck, "NO ONE"! They were watching my every move! "PRICELESS"! hahhahahahahahahahah "FOOL" hahahhahahahahah

- b. "Facts are coming out about Lesher and his 'SLUT' wife Rhonda. 'ROBERT MCCARVER' is infected also as reported!"
- c. "After futher investigation Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher and Mark Lesher ha given several Africam Men "HERPIES" and "AIDS"! Authorities believe this was why they went to Mark Leshers office.' Kenny Mitchell had no comment. Kenny knows "WHY"! They want Money out of Lesher for infecting them with "DISEASES"! This is in a report by a "SNITCH" for Authorities! Leshers may be charged with outher charges over this! Attack all you want that is what the investigation turned up! Ask Tim Shimpock! He is at the Sheriff office. Several people are concerned, because they don't know who or how many are infected!! Shimpock said this has top piropity and charges will be filled after futher investigation! Ask Tim Shimpock if you don'e believe mw!"
- 1474. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1475. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1476. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1477. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1478. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1479. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1480. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1481. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1482. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1483. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1484. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1485. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 1486. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1487. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1488. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 862-864 – Defamation per se

- 1489. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 859-861 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1490. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1491. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1492. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 865-867 – Libel Per Se

- 1493. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 859-861 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1494. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 868 – Defamation Lacy

- 1495. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "I also heard Mark Lesher is getting really worried. He actually thought that the case was going to be dismissed. But he was sooooo wrong. His so called high powered attorney knows it will be a cold day in hell before he could get Mark out of this mess. They have nothing but a bunch of thugs going to testify for them. And Mark has never won a case against Val DA. Also heard Mark is supplying Robert and Tommy Sue with more of his drugs. Well thats great because they will be drug tested when trial starts."
- 1496. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1497. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1498. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1499. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1500. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1501. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1502. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1503. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1504. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1505. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1506. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the

issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 869 – Libel Per Se

- 1507. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 868 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1508. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 870 – Defamation per se

- 1509. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 868 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1510. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 871-872 – Defamation laura

- 1511. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "call me lou or who you want, but lou is right the facts tell the true story, this trio is guilty as sin their past and present history tells that."
- b. "my personal opinion is like lous, let the facts speak for their self, all the facts I know and have seen tell me this trio is guilty. Lou post not believe him or outhers, check the records and look who has been or is in jail."
- 1512. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1513. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1514. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 1515. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 1516. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1517. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1518. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1519. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1520. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1521. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1522. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 873-874 – Defamation per se

- 1523. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 871-872 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1524. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 875-876 – Libel Per Se

1525. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 871-872 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured

Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1526. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 877-879 – Defamation Lesher Scum

1527. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

""THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Rhonda found a. out from her niece that goes to school with D.J. Leshers knew the truth but they and the Woods made this accident into a "LIE" Thinking it would help their case! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case???????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are???????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ?????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"! "SCUM"!"

- "Leshers History!! [1] Frivolous Law Suits cousting the County Thousands of Dollars! [2] Trying to force "DUMPS" on citizens of RR County! [3] Trying to get Clarksville "WET" so he could open "BARS" and sell "LIQUOR"! [4] "DRUGS" Makes illegal "DRUGS", grows illegal "DRUGS"! Sells illegal "DRUGS"! [5] Hand wrote and typed a letter, "LYING" about Judge Jim Dick Lovett and sent it to the Judicial board in Austin Texas, saying Judge Lovett had sex with Jerry Coyels ex Wife and was a morless Judge. Just because Lesher could not corrupt him. [6] "RAPE" Drugged and "RAPED" a woman! [7] Tried to get D.J. Covel to lie to a Judge that his step father Jerry was molesting his sister, and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. D.J. Coyel testified that Mark Lesher made this story up and wanted him to lie, but he would not. Citizens of RR County you all know the history of Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robery McCarver and Mark Lesherm I could list many more things about this scumb! Rhonda Lesher has lied under oath, bashed the Grand Juries, D.A. Val Varley all that know the truth. These "PERVERTS" think they are above the law! The F.B.I., and State Police have tried to convict Mark Lesher for years, but could not get a break. Robert Lynn McCarver has a family history of child Molesters, "DRUGS", "THIEF" ""CRIMINAL" acts. McCarver was caught with 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" Mark Lesher got for him. Leshers let McCarver live with them, bonds him out, gives him money, cell phone, car. "WHY" Look at the "FACTS". Ricky Long, Rhondas brother was caught with a load of Mark Leshers Drugs. Just reed the Clarksville Times, don't believe me, look at the "FACTS"!"
- "Reply>> lReport Abusel Judge it!l#2814 1 hr ago Judged: 2 2 2 Reply>>lReport Abusel Judge it!l#2796 2 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 truth wrote: archives wrote: Facts: 1)McCarver worked for Mark 12 years as a Trusted employee. 2)For 12 Years McCarver came to Leshers home, drank coffee, talked and worked. 3) During his 12 years of employment, Mark offered McCarver the opportunity to have sex with Rhonda many times, before work w/understanding he (Mark) could watch. 4)McCarver had sex many times w/Rhonda at Marks home with his consent(Mark). 5) Now, Mark has a history with men having sex with his wives Rhonda and Linda. It goes like this, he would always like to be second or third, then have oral sex after they were done. No I am sorry I posted such graphics, but I am finding that Mark is the pervert here. I am seeing that the posters know more about his pervsion they they want to admit to. So Rhonda must have agreed to this. And yet she has the audacity to try to tell people that she was forced to do something she didn't want to do? lol/ I think it is becoming very clear as to just who the perverts are. And the followers of these perverts as well, namely John and Me. Rhonda and Mark Lesher has 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS' but are taking medicine. If you or you know anyone that has been infected with 'AIDS', 'HERPIES' By Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert McCarver or Mark Lesher please call you r local health department. For legal assistance call Atorney Dan Meehan. Several Black Men and Women have Called. You can be in a 'CASH' Class Action Law Suit!"
- 1528. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1529. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1530. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1531. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 1532. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1533. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1534. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1535. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1536. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1537. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1538. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1539. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1540. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1541. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 1542. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1543. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1544. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1545. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1546. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 880-882 – Libel per se

- 1547. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 877-879 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1548. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1549. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 883-885 – Defamation per se

- 1550. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 877-879 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1551. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1552. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1553. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1554. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 886-891 – Defamation Lie Breaker

1555. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! a. Hahhahaha ****** "TOAST" ****** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@@"FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooooooo"

b. "...ilbedipt wrote: BOY oh boy, Is Rhonda on a tear!!! Now she is telling all that will listen to her, anymore, That the GRAND JURY was not made up of HER peers as reguired by law. She said that because there were BLACK PEOPLE on the jury is was not fair to her, because

she is not black and that only white people are her peers. She went on and on about the black people of RR county were all on welfare and do nothing for the community and that she does so much public work. "There is no way I can be treated fairly by black peolpe, for I am not black and because they all do not like white people with money." quote by RHONDA LESHER. She said she will take this GJ to the supreme court if she has to until she gets this indictment thrown out because the D.A. did not put enough WHITE people on it. ----- Now ,if you do not believe ME. Then go to town and talk to Rhonda or the other people that have heard it. She also is now upset because she is having to send the girls to peoples home to do thier hair ,because many do not want to come in and listen to all the Talk she does about this case and several had witnessed the fight between her and Mark over McCarver. Here it is wrote: A plea to grand jurors Dear Editor, I want to make a public plea to all present and future grand jurors to please become the independent body you are called upon to be. My husband and I have now been accused twice of a crime that never happened. You have been selected to perform a very important duty. You are the most powerful law enforcement body in Red River County. You have the right and duty to investigate any crimes brought to your attention by anybody, not just the district attorney. You have the right to request your own investigator; to subpoena and call any witnesses so that you can determine whether or not you have probable belief a crime has been committed. The law states you shall not be a rubber stamp for the DA. You have the right to determine the agenda, when to meet, when to recess, and when to call it a day. Please be independent, think, ask questions, and see that justice is done. Rhonda Lesher Rhonda Lesher now has insulted the Grand Jury, Rhonda thinks they are all ignorant and need her to tell them how to think. The G.J. are given a handbook on their rules, but Rhonda think's their too stupid to read. Rubber stamp, Rhonda called them is this not an insult to their morals and intellIgence? Who does she think she is? "OH" I know "RHONDA{LONG}LESHER, I'M a "LONG" "RICK LONG" my brother that was arrested with a load of my husbands "DOPE", was trying to help the needy. Mark Lesher the "HERO" said we are above the law Why did Mark Lesher bond out Robert McCarver again? Furnish him \$1000.00, a cell phone? ######## "MARK LESHERS LOVER"######## @@@@@@@@@ Rhonda must think its "GREAT" to "TONGUE" and have "SEX" with Black people like Stacy Coleman, just not her Juriors![Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH!!"

- c. "Have any of you ever seen a real "DRUG" bust? Sometimes they go into a known Drug Dealers house, Like Mark Lesher. They tear walls out, celing down, even use Jack Hammers for the Floors! "FUNNY" but every time this happens they always find "DRUGS"! You "PERVERTS" attention is about to be gotten!"

McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney![Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!"

- e. "The SLUT" won't answer She knows we know all about the "DISEASE INFESTED ORGIE BAR"!"
- f. "...Shannon never post on the Computer, her Sister Laura Hall does! OK, I answered your Question, now answer Mine! How many Pricks and "BUTTS" have you and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher "SUCKED and "TONGUED"? Who runs the "VIBRATORS" used on Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" you or Rhonda or Both! And how often do you bruss your Teeth?"
- 1556. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1557. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1558. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1559. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1560. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1561. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 1562. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1563. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1564. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1565. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1566. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1567. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1568. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1569. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 1570. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1571. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1572. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1573. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1574. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 892-897 – Defamation per se

- 1575. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 886-891 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1576. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1577. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1578. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1579. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 898-903 – Libel Per Se

- 1580. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 886-891 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1581. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 904-906 – Defamation lie buster

- 1582. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR

IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] hahhhaahhaha "TOAST"hahahhhahahha ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! Hahahaha"

b. Joe 6-pack wrote: I "TONGUE BUTTS" with Rhonda! For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in

cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?[Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!..."

- c. "I talked with M.R. Jim Spiropoulos, Special Agent F.B.I. last week! 500 N State Line, Room 214 Texarkana TX 75501 Mark Lesher several years ago gave Jerry some HGH and outher highly regulated Drugs. Jerry kept these Drugs, Lesher was trying to get Jerry to buy these from him at a discount! "WITHOUT A PRESCIPTION"!! And most likely stolen, [Linda Velvin] was with Lesher. These were in a Box and viles. They have serial numbers and Finger Prints! So you "MORONS" don't worry the F.B.I. is, was, and has been involved with Mark Leshers activities for years! The F.B.I. can track anyone, so I would be a fool like yall to lie about this."
- 1583. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- 1584. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1585. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1586. The statement referred to Plaintiff name.
- 1587. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1588. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1589. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1590. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.

- 1591. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1592. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1593. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1594. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1595. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1596. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1597. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 1598. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1599. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1600. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1601. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1602. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 907-909 – Libel per se

- 1603. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 904-906 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1604. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1605. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 910-912 - Defamation per se

- 1606. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 904-906 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1607. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1608. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1609. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1610. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 913 – Defamation Life

- 1611. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Robert McCarver has 'CONFESSED' to D.A. Val Varley and Sheriff Terry Reed about Mark Leshers 'DRUG DEALS', 'RAPE' and outher 'CRIMES'! This "TRIO OF TRASH" has ask for and gotten a change of venue, to Collin County. Lesher thinks residents of Collin County has no knowledge of their "CRIMINAL" acts! The Grand Jury and D.A. did their job, lets do ours and inform all citizens we can."
- 1612. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1613. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.

- 1614. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1615. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1616. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1617. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1618. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1619. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1620. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1621. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1622. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1623. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1624. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1625. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1626. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 914 - Defamation per se

- 1627. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 913 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1628. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1629. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1630. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 915 – Libel Per Se

- 1631. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 913 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1632. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 916 – Defamation Life of criminals

1633. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "TRIO OF TRASH' face 'LIFE SENTENCE'! New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because

of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahahlolololololo [Quote] With no dog in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

- 1634. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1635. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1636. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 1637. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1638. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1639. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1640. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.

- 1641. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1642. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1643. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1644. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 917 – Libel Per Se

- 1645. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 916 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1646. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 918 – Defamation per se

- 1647. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 916 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1648. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 919 – Defamation lloouu

1649. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "DA Val Varley has a surprise for this "SCUM"! "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED 'FOLLOWERS' CAIN'T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! Hahhahaha ********* "TOAST" *********** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saving: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"

- 1650. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1651. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1652. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1653. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1654. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1655. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1656. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1657. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1658. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1659. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1660. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1661. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1662. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1663. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1664. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite

actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 920 – Defamation per se

- 1665. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 919 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1666. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1667. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1668. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 921 – Libel Per Se

- 1669. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 919 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1670. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 922-929 – Defamation llou

- 1671. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "You really mean you want to "TONGUE" her "BUTT"! I done told you she don't mess with "PERVERTS" or "HERPIES" "AIDS" infested "SCUM" like you or the "TRIO OF TRASH"!"
- b. "Now they are Closing in on you "AWARENESS", Watch out the "QUEERS" are hungary! hahhahhah "OH MY" "THEIR WATCHING YOUR EVERY MOVE"!hahhahahaha and they want to "SUCK" your Balls off! Rhondas "BUTT TONGUE"

is hard! hahhahahahahah "LOL" hahhahahahaha "THE WATCHERS"! OOOOOOOOOooooo"

- c. "AWARENESS, they know who you are! WHOoooooooooooooo "WATCH OUT"! They are going to get Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher and her "BUTT TONGUE"! WHOoooooooooo and their going to "SUCK" your Balls off! hahahhahhahaha" FOOLS" hahhahahhaha"
- "Helldog original" I am Queer, and love the Leshers and McCarver "WERE d. LOVERS"!Free 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$ 220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seawed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was vagina & Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATORS", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application, Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch..? 2 Hours Just for Men \$ 90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure, & Facial. Out on the Town \$ 55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure, & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$ 60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the toungue!!! Gift Certificate Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint it manyy had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark [the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts, but Rhonda was like "ROTORUTER" with "SUCTION"!"
- "...Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#10 Saturday Nov 1 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was

- f. "...Judges: 1 1 1 Helldog – original: I am Queer, and love the Leshers and McCarver "WERE LOVERS"! Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts, but Rhonda was like "ROTORUTER" with "SUCTION"!"
- g. "How many 'BUTTS' do you 'TOUNGUE' in a day?"
- h. ""THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion ,investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill

221

intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberatley by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are???????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"! Don't forget about the letter Mark Lesher Half "HAND" wrote to the Judicial Board in Austin Texas saying Judge Jim Dick Lovett has sex with Jerrys EX wife Carol Coyel, "A LIE" that he was a moroles Judge, Lesher could not control or corrupt him and wanted him out! Ask the Judges, Miller, Lovett, Benton, DA. Ask attorney Dan Meehan, Lucy Lollar all in law inforcement have a copy. Lesher is the little black spot in chicken sh*t that ain't even good enough to be "SH*T"!"ROBERT MCCARVER", Leshers \$20,000.00 "LOVER"!"

- 1672. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1673. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1674. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1675. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1676. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1677. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1678. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1679. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.

- 1680. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1681. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1682. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1683. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1684. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1685. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1686. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1687. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1688. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1689. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1690. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1691. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1692. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1693. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1694. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 930-937 – Libel per se

- 1695. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 922-929 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1696. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1697. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 938-945 - Defamation per se

- 1698. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 922-929 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1699. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1700. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1701. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1702. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 946 – Defamation loloou

1703. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Helldog wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"

- 1704. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1705. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 1706. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1707. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1708. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1709. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 1710. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1711. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1712. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1713. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1714. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 947 – Libel Per Se

- 1715. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 946 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1716. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 948 – Defamation per se

- 1717. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 946 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 1718. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 949-954 – Defamation looou

- 1719. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I just cain't help thinking, wonder how many "BUTTS" that Tongue of Rhondas has been in? Sharla and Bill Woods their this weekend, "ROBERT MCCARVER" also! "PERVERTS" Known "CHILD MOLESTERS", Don't you know the "HERPIES" and "SHIT" is flying, especially from that "FAT SLOB" Bill Woods! "YUCK"!"
- b. "Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #64 Saturday Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and

transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said.############# Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"

- c. "I cain't get over Rhonda[McCarver,Long]Leshers 'RIM JOB TONGUE'! No wonder Shannon said she could feel 'RHONDA' 'SUCKING' and 'BITING' her Vagina! This was testified to in court! After 'RHONDA' got through SUCKING' Shannon guts out she barley felt the 'PERVERTED' 'QUEERS' 'PINKIES'! I be 'RHONDA' even stuck that 'TONGUE' up her butt and sucked! 'WEIRD APITITE', 'SHIT EATER'! 'YUCK'!"
- d. "1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case???????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are???????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ?????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!!"PURE WHITE TRASH"!"

- "...Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said.############# Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?.""
- f. "Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher and Sharla[wrinkled] Woods are at Leshers compound with 'ROBERT MCCARVER' bumping 'CUNTTS'!"
- 1720. The statement involved a private matter.

- 1721. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1722. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1723. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1724. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1725. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1726. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1727. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 1728. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1729. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1730. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1731. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1732. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 1733. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1734. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1735. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1736. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.

- 1737. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1738. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1739. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1740. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1741. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1742. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 955-960 – Defamation per se

- 1743. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 949-954 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1744. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1745. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1746. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1747. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 961-966 – Libel per se

- 1748. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 949-954 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1749. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1047-1053 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1750. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1047-1053 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1751. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 967 - Defamation los goat

- 1752. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "These "FOOLS" post under several names, answer therself like "FOOLS". There post show aol, Little Rock AK but they still blame lou and ilbedipt. Rember rrpoliticks where these "IDIOTS" called rrcounty residents "MAGGOTS" "HILBILLIES" "INBREAD" etc. they had total control of the lies they posted, now "TRUTH" can also be posted and this "LESHER< MCCARVER" slime don't like it. They are all "PERVERTS", "CHILD MOLESTERS", "CRIMINALS" and "LOOSERS". Most live off tax payers and are on welfare, "FAT" "LAZY" "STUPID" <"PIGS"" with no life. Just "PERVISION""
- 1753. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1754. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1755. The statement referred to Plaintiff name.
- 1756. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1757. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1758. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 1759. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1760. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1761. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1762. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1763. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1764. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1765. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1766. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1767. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 968 – Defamation per se

- 1768. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 967 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1769. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1770. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

1771. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 969 – Libel Per Se

- 1772. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 967 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1773. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 970-973 – Defamation Losers Look

- 1774. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. ""YOUR RIGHT"!!! Awareness, "HELLCAT" I like! The rest of you Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER" Perverts can "KISS" my "ASS"! Except Rhonda, Don't want that "SKANKS" "TONGUE" around me!"
- "...Wonder where that "SLUT" Mitch, Meow. "SHARLA WOODS" is at? Guess her an b. Rhonda are "MUFF DIVING" or got Rhondas "TONGUE" hung in her "BUTT"!! Bill Woods and Mark Lesher while watching may be hung up with "ROBERT MCCARVER" their "LOVER"! I anm so sorry, Leshers \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! The "TRIO OF TRASH" were indicted twice by two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty! The second indictment raised their charges, with more evidence and McCarvers "Confession" to a first degree felony. Robert McCarver is going back to trial, probably in December for trying to kill his wife and kids while on Leshers "DRUGS"! This last month it was postponed. McCarver has several bonds and outher charges coming soon, Mark Lesher his Lawyer and bondsman! "ROBERT MCCARVER" lives with the Leshers, you all read that a few weeks ago posted in Clarksville Paper with the phony Polygraph Examiners statement! The reason none of the Lesher, McCarver "PERVERTED" followers respond to this is because they can not "LIE" about it. Collin County residents, I know and outhers I have spoke with say ::: We hate "CHILD MOLESTERS" "RAPIST" "LAWYERS" "DRUGS" any one that comes in our Court Room with these allegation I promise you they will get the "MAXIMUN" sentence!!! We convict no matter the circumstances! Later they can appeal, but we will "CONVICT", look at our track record! We don't gran't huge insurance claims, none hit the lottery here, but we hate "RAPIST" and their guilty until somebody appeals it! Slick Lawers don't like cases here, they "LOSE" every time. If you ask around as I have in Collin County, they need no imformation like I thought. They Just need a Trial!!! They will convict!!!"

- c. "Leshers'LOVER' 'ROBERT MCCARVER'!"
- d. "Look at the Post of 'RHONDAS BUTT TONGUE' She is a nasty 'SLUT'!"
- 1775. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1776. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1777. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1778. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1779. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1780. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1781. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1782. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1783. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1784. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1785. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 974-977 – Libel Per Se

1786. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 970-973 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1787. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 978-981 - Defamation per se

1788. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 970-973 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

1789. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 982-1204 – Defamation lou

1790. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- a. "This scumb is returning from Sturgess, 'ORGIES' 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' is their game! Get the 'CLOROX' out."
- b. "AOL Reply>> lReport Abusel Judge it!l#3934 1 hr ago From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call.

As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!!"

- c. "Everyone on here has noticed it. That's why we talk about Sturis SD. We believe the Followers are there. FUNNY, but so are the LESHERS!!!! What a coincidence....eh?? Everybody better go stock uo on 'CLOROX' bleach there ain't no telling what disease this perverted drug headed scumb will bring back from their orgies!!! Can you imagine what Ronda and Mark look like riding around with their shirts off. Picture Rhonda in your mind, "YUCK" Don't it will makyou sick. Wonder who they drugged at Sturgis?"
- d. "If you know anyone with information about Rhonda[Long]Lasher, Robert Lynn McCarver or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex or drug activity please contact D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksvillt Texas 75426.####### Mark Lesher sued Jerry Conway five times while he was Sheriff all "FRIVOLOUS"! Mark Lesher had bragged, When Robert Bridges gets in office, I will bleed RR County dry. Lesher said it coust me \$50.00 to file a lawsuit an the county thousands to defend it.####### The D.A. and G.J. have done their Job "TWICE" now lets do ours. lou Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ----- Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury

handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals.-------

- f. "Nothing about the landfill, frivolous lawsuits, just 'FACTS' from the crime they comitted, 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'PERVISION',!!!!!!Mo re charges to come!!!!!!!!#"
- g. "Let us not forger Rhonda[Long]Lesher sucked and bit the victoms vagina as she awoke from being drugges by Mark Lesher, then he raped her and while still grogy Rhonda gave her and oral douche?"
- h. "How much money will it cost tax payers to clean up this 'DISEASE' infested place when they shut it down?"
- i. "Stock up on 'CLOROX'!!!!!!!!"
- j. "Let us not Forger Rhonda Lesher sucked and bit the victoms vagina then Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her, then Rhonda Gave the victom another oral 'DOUCHE'!!!! "YU CK" You think this trash ain't sick. All with witness testimony!!!!!!!!"

- 1. "Ole 'HERO' Mark Lesher and Rhonda though by attacking the first inditment they would get by again with their pervision. Now this scumb is in deeper and still sinking and don't know it. We never threaten but post facts. If facts threaten you then you must be guilty with this 'HERPIES' infested scumb, woman raping, child molesting, perverted trash."
- m. "Sensible post wrote: I did not write that. "Smart One" from Illinois wrote that. Smart One wrote: Innocent until proven guilty...that applies to all people. There are a few things to think about when weighing in on whether Mark and Rhonda are innocent or guilty of the ALLEGED crime: 1) This "incident" supposedly happened in July of 2007. Why has it taken the "victim" almost a year to decide to accuse two people of something like this? Three weeks after being raped it was reported. 2) She says she was kidnapped and held captive by Mark and Rhonda and raped by both. If that is the case, where is the evidence i.e. bruises, rope burns, where they tied her arms and legs, semen, vaginal, tearing, videotape, photo etc. Unless there is actual proof that was provided by the "victim", which they were, then I would really like to know what part of the story prompted the grand jury to issue the indictments to have them arrested. They must have truly damning evidence which they have. 3) She says she was held captive. I'd like to know how Mark and Rhonda might have done that given that they both work all the time, are involved in civic organizations, etc. I also go back to where are the injuries she suffered while supposedly held captive. The Grand Jury I know have the facts.4) Where was her son this whole time? It is my understanding that the woman was either married to or with guy named Coyel and she had 2 kids from a previous marriage. While with Coyel, who by the way is supposed to be very wealthy and powerful man who has high officials in his pocket, she took off with the ranchhand and took her son with her and leave her 12 year old daughter with Coyel. What kind of mother does something that ridiculous as just taking 1 of her 2 children? Especially when she leaves a 12 year old girl with a man not even her biological father. I believe this gossip is a lie. 5) Supposedly, the woman and the ranchhand were arrested in Colorado and Rhonda and Mark, who by the way, used to be friends with her and Coyel, offered her and her son a place to stay, i.e. at their house. She had obviously burned her bridge with Coyel temporarily, she had many other places to go. She is also a known drug user, because Mark Lesher got her hooked on dope. 6) Lastly, what could posiibly be the motive for Mark and Rhonda to do something like this, Pervision, Greed? Rhonda works hard at her business everyday, is involved in pervision. Rotary and Main street Program and the Old Church Play would love for her to stay away. Mark works as hard and he takes cases that seek justice for the underdog who rape, sell drugs, do drugs, and are perverts, otherwise b passed over by the unscruplous attorneys. Theyboth also do things for the benefit of Clarksville and they pay for those things out of their own pockets to try and keep their image looking good. I think that the timing for this whole incidentt is extremely weird as is the accusation. Could it be that someone is oout to get Mark beacuse he is the attorney for the group that wants drug, pervision, rape, dumps, liquir Possibly. It could also be at the prosecuting attorney is a moral person. It is a known fact that Mark & Rhonda and Val Varley do not like each other, so this sounds like the perfect plan for Val to take down Mark and Rhonda for their rape, dope, pervision. I believe DA VAL VARLEY is a man of morals and you are right! This Lesher, McCarver Perverted Scumb will go to Jail!!!!!!"

- n. "Rhonda Lesher has 'HERPIES' and is spreading them!!!!!!"
- o. "When you Drug and 'RAPE' a helpless woman, then Rhonda Lesher tries to bite and suck her vagina off what do you think should happen??????"
- p. "The childs name was 'SHADY MCCARVER' and her mother is 'LEONA MCCARVER' a fine woman who is proud of Shadys courage to put this perverted trash in Jail. Shady is currently talking to the authorities about Mark and Rhonda Lesher. Robert McCarver has taken Shady with im to their house, "WHY"? We will all waite and see! Shady is proud for her name to be spoke of, Shady has done no wrong and feels like a hero. You perverts don't want Shadys name told because it reminds you of what can happen to perverts I talked with Leona yesterday and she told me Shady ain't through with the perverts yet!"
- q. "Thank you very much! We have tried to get Carol Coyel to testify against the Leshers especially Rhonda Lesher. Carol tried to work at the Unique Touch but Quit because Rhonda Lesher would not leave her alone, Grabbing her beautiful breast, vagina, butt and always trying to kiss her. Rhonda cornered Carol grabing her and Carol said let me go or I will beat the crap out of you. Carol did not want to get involved with this Lesher McCarver scumb. "DON"T BE SURPRISED IF YOU SEE CAROL COYEL ON THE WITNESS STAND"!!! DA. Val Varley with all his witness testimony and "FACTS" will slaughter this perverted, slimy scumb!!!!!!!! "THANK YOU" "T
- r. ""ilbedipt" This is the transvestite, that the leshers have orgies with. Dana that works at UT told me! I ain't kidding Dana said this is the nastiest looking thing you have ever seen. "IT" as dana described, "says "IT" is both Man and Woman and Both Lesher do "IT" ask Dana yourself. Hope she don't get mad at me but Dana said she was tring to work somewhere else that Rhonda hits on her to. I ask if Rhonda had done her and she smiled but would not answer. I hope they get paid more if Rhonda gives them oral douches!!!!!!!!"
- s. "This poster is Mark Lesher himself! Shannons sister from Dallas will testify facts against Mark Lesher in trial! Ask yourself this? Have you every heard of Jerry trying to hurt anybody or rrcounty? "NO", Ask the same about the McCarver, Rhonda and Mark Lesher 'PERVERTS'! Folks this is "FACTS" not just an opinion."
- t. "Does anyone know what "TRUTH" post from Texarkana, is talking about? This Lesher McCarver perverted trash have all been infested with 'HERPIES' and are brain damaged. Cain't waite for big "MOUTH" Rhonda [Long][Slut] Leshers next comments, she is a total "FOOL". "I AM A LONG"!!! My brother is Ricky Long. We Longs work and stay together, he was framed with all Marks dope, and is just trying to make a living. We were framed DA VAL VARLEY don't like us, he not two GJ inited us, we are innocent, Shannon could not know what we did to her, 'WE' had her 'DRUGGED'!!!!!!!!!"

- u. "Why does my fabricating come true? Nearly all that know these perverts know their past history and call and tell me what they hear, When you hear the same comments from several people they cain't be all gossip, Facts that I personaly know is this perverted trash rope has ran out, now take that for what you think it's worth but write or copy this post and when the trial is over reread it!"
- v. "The 'PREDATORS' are cought Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert lynn McCarver and Mark Lesher. Please tell Mark to come get us!!!!!!!!"
- w. "Like I said, you gotta be the dumbest bitch that post. Charges upgraded to aggvrated sexual asalt, first degree felony. Ricky Long Rhonda [Longs] brother charged with Mark Leshers dope. BAAAAAAAAAaaaaa my got is calling get back on his dick, you gotta keep something in your mouth so you can't talk, "HERPIES" has affected your brain!!!!!!!!"."
- x. "NEWS FLASH::::::: Mark Lesher went to texarcana hospital last night, and was diagnosed with 'HERPIES' of the butt, Rhonda[Long]Lesher has 'HERPIES' all over her body, look at her face. Take a close look at Rhonda she looks deformed, and watch Mark walk you can tell his butt has been tore up!!!!!!!!The Leshers were handcuffed and taken to Jail, then went back to Jail and more charges to come. I ain't lied yet, and Mike Rice is going to be the kicker!!!!!!!!! Hope the humaine, dog catchers check their dogs!!!!!!!!!"
- y. "Confused" is the correct name for you! Why do you think it's called a date rape drug? "FACT", so the pervert can molest the victom while drugged, knocked out, asleep, unconcience, dazed, do you get the picture yet? I believe ibedipt started this forum, you must have a serious mental problem."
- z. "You are a stupid 'BASTARD'! That is 'FACT'! 2 different GJ indictments, 24 people with witnrss testimony??? 'DUH'!!!!!!!! They ain't no evidence? Why would one want to destroy the leshers, when they are doing that by their selves! Ilbedipt said he wanted Justice. If this destroys this 'HERPIES' infested scumb that's good. You lying 'BASTARDS' forget the victom was raped and Rhonda[Long]Lesher tried to suck her guts out. Why don't yall talk about Robert Lynn McCarver leshers drug salesman? McCarver has turned on Leshers and has spilled his guts. Before yall say he is lying. McCarver was living with the Leshers getting 'VIAGRA' from Lesher to screw Rhonda as Mark Lesher watched. Then Mark Lesher sucked the jisim out! This is McCarvers testimony, 'FACTS' and he told a lot more!!!!'YUCK' sick perverts will go to Jail!!!!!!!!!"
- aa. "You are a lying piece o shit!!! You work for Mark Lesher in Texarcana, The mind molestation was when Mark Lesher tried to get An 11 year old boy the victoms son to say his farher had the boy play with hiself while he watched and that the 11 year old boy saw his father having sex with his sister. the boys mother heard what Lesher was doing and took the 11 year old boy that was crying and would not lie and left. The boy testified to this in court. Lesher had the victom on druggs ang got Shannon a lawyer, his buddy ,a

- lawyer that Lesher was using to sue her husband after Lesher got the victon to sign documents will, power attorney, etc so lesher could try to get Jerrys money. TSO rules my ass! You Lesher McCarver perverts don't want 'FACTS' told."
- bb. "More of your lies! All I have talked with know Lesher is a Queer and Rhonda Lesher is a lisbon. This Lesher trash have orgies at their bar and have spread 'HERPIES'. Sex, drugs, pervision is why 2 GJ 24 people have hear the evidence and know this trio of perverted scumb and all 24 indited them. One on the GJ was a preacher where the leshers often go to church after they were indited and found god!!!!!!1 You are right about one thing they are sick and were locked up twice. Now lie about that!!!!!!!!"
- cc. "You should control your buddy the Leshers from spreading 'HERPIES' and Rhondas appite for sucking the guts out of drugged victoms! Deleted threads, who gives a shit you ignorant 'BASTARD', if we get thr 'FACTS' out. Well start another, and you keel talking about my 'GOAT', he is calling BAAaaaaaaaaaa !!!!!!!!"
- dd. "You are a "LIAR"!!! You post suporting this perverted trash every day. I guess when we copy and post "FACTS" from the news papers we are crucifying them. It is not us that have two indictments, we did not molest the victom or the 11 year old boy you like them must be a sick 'PERVERT'. Your type of scumb would love to keep molesters a secret, we don't!!!!!!!!"
- ee. "You ain't seen nothing yet, when this trio of trash gets convicte we will file civil law suits on the Leshers and proceeds will go to RRCounty after we win our civil suit! We want none of their slimy money if they have any left. This will be a win for RRCounty and the victom!!!!!!!!!"
- ff. "He works for Mark Lesher and has the little man cyndrome, he is a joke. Mike Rice was to Keep the victom, Shannon Coyel along with Robert McCarver from leaving Mark Leshers ranch and keep her on drugs so Lesher could have his Lawyer friend sue Jerry and try and get money. Rhonda performed oral sex in the victom then Mark and Robert raped her as she was awaking from the drugs they gave her. Shannon got scared even the drugs they gave her couldn't keep her there!!!"
- gg. "Rember Rhonda[Long]Leshers big mouth I am a 'LONG'!!!!!!! Since Ricky Long her brother was arrested on numerous drug charges with a load of Mark Leshers drugs, big mouth ain't said much. Rhonda is mad a Rickey because he got caught after she told him to be careful people will learn to much! Rhonda Lesher is mad because the 'ROTARY' club wont let her and Robert McCarver teach a class on how to 'DRUG' and 'RAPE'!!!!!!!"
- hh. "Why was known chriminsl pervert with a family history of drugs and child molesters living with Mark and Rhonda Lesher? How can you call paid employes supprters? What does it matter who supports who, they are not the Jury? Rhonda said Micky Mouse could beat Val Varley, is she talking about "HERO" Lesher? Why did the Leshers not call victoms husband they mooched off him for years and knew him if they were trying to

help? Why is Lesher visiting pervert Robert McCarver so much in jail and furnishing him a lawyer? Why is Lesher appealing Robert McCarver bond? Why are the Leshers so worried about an animal like McCarver? If Robert McCarver was not such a criminal would he not had a no bond? Folks a brain dead person can answer these questions and figure out they are guilty!"

- ii. "Have you read all the evidence from the news papers" Ricky Long Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother, a fine man, was cought with a load of all type drugs of Mark Leshers because of Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION". Rhonda[Long]Lesher after Mark drugged the victom sucked and bit her vagina, them Nark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her. Two different Grang Juries indicted this scumb after hearing evidence from several people. Mark Lesher tried to get an 11 year old boy to say he saw his step father have sex with his sister and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. This was testified to in court by D.J. Coyel.Lesher hand wrote and typed a letter saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher sent the letter to Austin to the Judsial Board. This was a lie and the shit will hit thefan soon. Leshers handwriting was identified. Lesher wanted Judge Lovett out because he could not corrupt him. Ask Att. Dan Mehan or outhers all have a copy of the letter. There is a lot more I cain't tell but will come out soon. This piece of shit Lesher will go to Jail and be disbared. All We have posted are Facts! Lesher in involved in "DRUGS", "RAPE", "Child Molesting", and more! F.B.I. have a book on this pervert. These Lesher McCarver perverted supporters are the same from rrpoliticks. They are scumb just like Leshers and McCarver. Talk with Lucy Lollar, David Barnett, Alan Hale, Tommy Welch, James Welch, any intelligent person about this Lesher McCarver Perverted Child Molesting "SCUMB"!!!!!"
- jj. "After talking with most on the Rotary club they say Rhonda Lesher has ruint the good name of the Rotary club. All I spoke with tell me they knew of Rhondas pervision but anyone can get involved with the Rotary club so they had no choice but to put up with her. All I spoke with say they are sure all three will get long Jail terms, because of the evidence some on the GJ have told. Nobody keeps a secret for long when this type of criminal behavor was done, said one member. How can she show her face after two inditments all know there is overwhelming evidence one said."
- kk. "If you know anyone with information about Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher Or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex activity please call D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksville Texas 75426. The G.J. have done their job, lets do ours! Mark Lesher was bragging when Sheriff Robert Bridges gets in office he will sue and bleed RRCounty dry! Lsher said it cost him \$50.00 to file a lawsuit and the County thousands to defend it. Mark Lesher was also bragging their will be "DUMPS" and "LIQUOR" before long in RRCounty. .######### "PLEASE" ######### "CALL"######### "YOU" ######### "CAN" ######## "REMAIN"######## "ANNOMUS" ########"THANK YOU" ######.Havent we had enough of this? 'PERVISION' 'DRUGS' FRIVOLOUS LAWSUITS'?"

- ll. "Yall all eyed D.A Val Varley man yall are tough. I bet yall are part of the perverts that go to Leshers orgie bar for 'FAGS', 'BISEXUAL', 'HERPIES' infested scumb. I bet all police and D.A. Are scared of you because if they whip your ass and blood gets on them they could catch 'AIDS' 'HERPIES' not telling what, I don't blame them!"
- nn. "Well come and get me My name and address is listed in the town I live in, I am a little fat guy just ask anyone where I live when you come most know me I am the only one around with a air strip. You might need to save them pages for bandages for your 'HERPIES'. LOL"
- oo. "It's hard to read your lips with 'HERPIES' blisters like Rhonda keeps and wipe off some of the 'JISIM' you Queer!"
- pp. "I know for a fact Mark Lesher has underistimated Jerry Coyle! This Rhonda, Mark Lesher, Robert MCCarver trio of criminal perverts with a history of pervision, drugs, frivolous lawsuits, oral sex, fall guys, orgies, have molested and raped the wrong mans family. I know for a fact their rain on RRCounty will be stoped because Jerrywill make sure Justice Prevails!"
- qq. "Why would Mark and Rhonda Lesher have a known criminal, pervert, child molester, dope head, thief charged with "51" sticks of dynamite to blow up Clarksville live with them? Leshers is his bondsman and lawyer on several crimnail charge and knew him well. Lesher say theyy were trying to help, why did they not call Jerry Coyle they mooched off him for years and knew him? Instead they molested, raped and tried to get his 11 year old boy to lie to the Judge. This is of record at the court house in D.J. Own words and Perjury was committed by both Rhonda Lesher and Rovert McCarver on how long and wht they did to Shannon while they kept her on drugs. Shannon never had even a traffic ticket until these perverts captured and molested her with drugs. You lying Leshers look at the court house for yourself. "GREED" "PERVISION" is all MCCARVER and Leshers were intrested in, what if they had over dosed Shannon and killed her like Linda Velvin Lesher got hooked and sent to Jail for drugs." JUSTICE WILL PREVAIL"!"
- rr. "You spineless bastards get on you computer and tell your lies and garbage because cowards and perverts hide and lie. Why not have the backbone and tell of your orgies, pervision and how really sick you are. Confessions may help your morless sole. I guess Rhonda Lesher sucking, biting while performing oral sex on a drugged victom is normal in your lifestyle."

- ss. "### 'ilbediped' notice lesher perverts don't deny raping Shannon but try to lie about D.J..
 What would you call what Lesher did to D.J. If not molest, sundy school class?#######
 "'ilbediped" Rebute all their lies my pilots are here, call you later."
- tt. "You really think you and your perverted mate don't know you are both Queer, after you molest you own kids???????? You perverted piece of shit! You posted earlier you love the Leshers and what they stand for, Rape, pervision, child molesters!!!!Lie about that!!!!!!!"
- uu. "D.A. Has several witness testimony, this perverted moroless 'RAPING' 'CHILD MOLESTING, 'PERVERTED' scumb will go to Jail no matter what it takes!!!!!!!!! This scumb better worry because I know for a fact Jerry Coyel won't let up. Mark Lesher said to Ricky Long don't worry about Jerry I'll take care of him!!! Do it Lesher, you chicken shit, stop mouthing and take care of Jerry. Stop him, go to his house, meet him somewhere, just name it Jerry will oblidge for certin!!!!!!!!"
- ww. "Just like when Leshers have their orgies at bar above unique touch, no one is forces to attend just a bunch of perverts get together to support the orgie. This is a known fat, 'HERPIES' Rhonda and lawsuit Mark Lesher can really draw up a crowd of perverts especially Robert McCarver and his child molesting group!"
- xx. "Reading the Clarksville paper where Rhonda Lesher said that shows how sick of political corruption going on in this county and her supporters, mostly employees of kin that had them go proves that. None were spectators? How is raoe political? Rhonda is right people of RRCountyare sick of frivolous law suits and animals like them. These perverts still think they are above the law, and since she has not remained silent and the victom has the Victomm guilty. Rhonda Lesher said its our statements to her one. Lets think, Robert McCArver known chriminal, pervert, child molester, record of wife beating and abuse, theif, pocher, drug dealer and user, cought with 51 sticks of dynamite to blow up Clarksville. rape in Jail with no bond, then Lesher gets him \$200,000 bond set. I am sure the Jury will believe him .Next, Mark Lesher Frivolous law suits, dumps, liquor, frivolous documt filed in Austin partly hand written by him against a Judge, sworn statements filed at RRCounty Sheriff office for buying and selling drugs, orgie bar, known pervert. You are sure to believe him. Rhonda Lesher, known Lisbean, pervert, orgies, comitted perjury recently at rrc court house on record, she is a saint. Rhonda and Mark Lesher from their attitude still don't realize they raped and molested the wrong mans family and will go to Jail. Who would believe this perverted trash and there is more I havent told about them ."JUSTICE WILL PREVAIL"! When this slime has their trial and is convicted D.A. Val Varley will be a "HERO"!"

- yy. "Leshers at least give them 'HERPIES' for free!"
- zz. "Notice if you post facts old 'HERPIES' Rhonda Lesher las it Judged, they don't want facts out!"
- aaa. "I guess Rhonda Lesher thinks its ok to drug someone, perform oral sex and try to bite and suck their vagina off, 'FACTS' not opinion."
- bbb. "How would anyone like to be drugged after trusting someone while you were out of it had Rhonda Lesher suck and bite your vigina while performing oral sex on then Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver laugh while you were parilized but awake then rape you. Finally when the drugs got out of her system and victoms mind cleared she realized what she had done to her and had the guts to have these known perverts prosicuted. Then perverts with no knowledge at all attack her. I hope this happens to you and the outher perverts that defend Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver.But Yall never mention Robert McCarver because you know it would let the cat out of the bag. Why was known criminal pervert that can't get out of Jail still, live with the Leshers if theyy aren't perverts. Why did they not call the victoms husband they knew him and mooched off him for years ..'PERVISION' 'GREED' This trash molested the wrong mans family because this is just the beginning. Jerry would have called Mark if Rhonda was at his ranch not hide her then let someone suck her guts out then rape her. This picture is to clear when you know all the facts this slime will go to jail Justice will be served! Yall lie and smoke all you want but the 'FACTS' keep getting in the way."
- ccc. "After finding out Mark Lesher is 'QUEER'[likes men and boys] all divorce him except Rhonda[Long]Lesher because she is bysexual and both are perverts!!!!!!!"
- ddd. "Know thats the truth. I heard don't kiss Rhonda because she don't spit and you never know what has been in her mouth."
- eee. "If you lesher supporters know the facts avout Shannon being drugged and raped tell it! You won't because you know Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher, and Mark Lesher are 'GUILTY' perverted filth...Why did Leshers, McCarver and Rice hold and hide Shannon for two weeks? Why did Leshers not call Jerry Coyel they knew him well and mooched off him for years."
- fff. "Rhonda you and Mark drunk yet? Rhonda did you enjoy your little walk? How does two back stabbing perverts like you sleep at knight? Mark passes out but you Rhonda how? Forgot about Shannon. Don't worry Mark Lesher you will get all thats coming to you. Remember 'KARMA IS A BITCH'! Thought you were above the law but you were handcuffed and went to jail and that was a vacation because the worst is yet to come!!!!!!!!! 'YOU CAN BANK ON THAT'!!!!!!!!!"
- ggg. "Hil is a "MORON". Did you see the Clarksville times with Rhonda Lesher in it? DeDe Wooling and Larry Algaier was not in the picture because they did not want their picture taken with the perverted slut Rhonda Lesher. Paul Allen said I don't see how

Rhonda could show her face. Morrals she and Mark don't have. Others said if Rhonda touches them of they touch her they wash their hands and clothes. 'HERPIES' Rhonda Lesher don't care who she infects."

- hhh. "More Lesher, McCarver perverts "Lying!" None of us posted anything about your family except say "GOD BLESS YOU" This lynch mob wants to know why was a known criminal pervert Robert McCarver, that is still in Jail on numerous charges living with the Leshers and why Mike Rice and McCarver took the victom to Leshers perverted ranch and kept her for two weeks. Rhonda Lesher lied on the stand and told the Judge that she was there for three days. Robert McCarver came in and told the Judge victom was there two weeks. Why did this 'HERPIES' infested 'SLUT' Rhonda Lesher Lie. This trash will go to Jail!!!!"
- iii. "Silver Bullet is right, the topic is how long will this 'HERPIES' infested, 'PERVERTED', 'LESBIAN', 'HOMOSEXUAL', 'SLIME' get in Jail? Its not if but how long Mark Lesher, Robert McCarver and Rhonda Lesher will go to Jail for? I personally hope this trash gets life."
- jjj. "Just wait intil the trial, I know for a fact D.A. Val Varley has been overloaded with facts concerning this trio of trash."
- kkk. "Whats really funny is Mark Lesher thinks they will skate through this rape trial...What this perverted trash don't know is their Jail cells are already ready for them. 'YOU CAN BANK ON THAT'!!!!!!!!"
- Ill. "Remember one fact "MONEY TALKS AND BULLSHIT GOES TO JAIL!!!!!! This perverted trash raped and molested the wrong mans family."
- "Have you read all the evidence from the news papers' Ricky Long mmm. Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother, a fine man was cought with a load od all type drugs of Mark Leshers because If Leshers 'GREED' 'PERVERSION' Rhonda[Long]Lesher after Mark drugged the victon sucked and bit her vagina, them Mark Lehser and Robert McCarver raped her. Two different Grang Juries indicted this scumb after hearing evidence from several people. Mark Lesher tried to get an 11 year old boy to say he saw his step father have sex with his sister and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. This was testified to in court by D.J. Coyel.Lesher hand wrote and typed a letter saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher sent the letter to Austin to the Judisial Board. This was a lie and shit will hit the fan soon. Leshers handwriting was identified. Lesher wanted Judge Lovett out bbecause he could not corrupt him. Ask Att. Dan Mehan or outhers all have a copy of the letter. There is a lot more I cain't tell but will come out soon. This piece of shit Lesher will go to Jail and be disbared. All We have posted are Facts! Lehser is involved in 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'Child Molesting', and more! F.B.I. have a book on this pervert. These Lsher, McCarver perverted supporters are the same from rrpoliticks. They are scumb Just like Leshers and McCarver."

- nnn. "Talk with Lucy Lallar, David Bernett, Alan Hale, Tommy Welch, James Welch, and intelligent person about this Lehser McCarver Perverted Child Molesting 'SCUMB'!!!!!!!"
- ooo. "Amy White was working at the hospital in Clarksville for years until McCaver got her hooked on drugs furnished by Mark Lesher then she went down because of pervision and greed of Mark Lesher. George White is her father and Tommy White her brother also live on hyw 37 from Clarksville. How many more decent people will lose their souls because of Mark Lesher, Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver. We of rrconty are partly responsible for this, we have looked the outher way and let this perverted drug dealing trash file frivolous law suits and did not rid ourselves of these leeches. Now we have that chance support D.A. Val Varley with lettters and other support now."
- "First, Shannon left Jerry to go to her sisters. Second, Robert McCarver told ppp. these lies in court for Mark Lesher's benefit. Thirds, Rhonda (Long) Leshers brother was caught last knight with a load of dope that came from Mark Lesher. Fourth, Mark Lesher bonded Ricky Long out of jail this morning to keep his mouty shut. Fith, The Judge that heard these lies said in court he did not believe any of it. Six, Rhonda Long testified Jerry showed her the beadroom at the boat where Jerry and his daughter had sex. Get real, if you gota lie and twist facts at least make them believable. Shannon left Jerry for rovert McCarver, that is to funny. Robert McCarver did work for Jerry two years ago before Mark and Rhonda Lesher got him hooked on dope...Jail...Ricky Long, Rhondas brother is bonded out of Jail for being caught with Mark Leshers dope. Robert McCarver never stayed with Jerry but facts and court testimony say Robert McCarver did live with the Leshers. This is to easy, Rhonda [Long] Lesher, Mark Lesher, Robert McCarver were handcuffed and went to Jail with Rape charges filed and will go to trial then Jail. Now Ricky Long, Rhondas brother is bonded out of Jail for being caught with Mark Leshers dope. "FACTS" not lies call recounty sheriff or wait for Clarksville paper. You Lesher McCarver perverts just wait you will see the real story."
- qqq. "Share this Einstein, was Jerry put in Jail? "NO" Did Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver commit Perjury? 'YES' facts at court house. Mark and Rhonda [Long] Lesher were indited by the GJ not Da Val Varley. Are you Lesher McCarver perverts to dumb to understand the DA is doing his job. There Will be a lot more to come I will share."
- rrr. "This is easy to figure out Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert McCarver and Mark Lesher are all guilty as charged. Mark Lesher don't wont McCarver talking so he bonded him out, But he already has. Lesher tool McCarver home because they are all perverts! 'GUILT' is all over this!"
- sss. "Wonder if they took Robert McCarver with them to spread 'HERPIES' and probably 'AIDS'!"
- ttt. "You need to take your own advise, You don't know the Victom but you bash her!!! You are thinking where would i get my 'DOPE' from, or who would I have 'ORGIES' with if the Leshers go to Jail!"

- uuu. "Ask Mark Lesher she [Jennifer Bench] was selling dope for him. She was probably taking a bath like Leshers ex Linda Velvin."
- vvv. "You have not noticed anyone that sells drugs for Mark Lesher commits suicide, dies in the bath tub or from playing with an unloaded gun. I hope you are next "PERVERT"."
- www. "Is this the "HERO" Mark Lesher himself, I think so. I hope we meet then you will know the meaning of sadistic you child molesting, drug dealing woman raper. You think you are really smart, if you were you would turn yourself and the rest of your perverted group in. Personally I hope you don't."
- xxx. "The 'EVIDENCE' will prove them guilty, not my opinion. I wish I could tell you all 'FACTS' not hearsay, or gossip but proof and confession, and testimony that the D.A. has. Leshers know the truth but when you find out you also will get as angry as I. This trio Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher you will find out is the lowest, pervertedest, slimy, moroless people you will ever hear about. If the Judge lets all the evidence in this trash will get life!!!!!There is more than what this scumb did to Shannon and 11 year old D.J. Coyel. I swear on my life this trio will have 'JUSTICE' served one way or another. {GOD} is my savior and in his name I pray the courts gets this right for we will!!!!!"
- yyy. "Everybody better go stock uo on 'CLOROX' bleach there ain't no telling what disease this perverted drug headed scumb will bring back from their orgies!!! Can you imagine what Rhonda and Mark look like riding around with their shirts off. Picture Rhonda in your mind, 'YUCK' Don't it will make you sick. Wonder who they drugged at Sturgis?"
- zzz. "You are exactly right! That will not happen because these Lesher McCarver perverts won't to change the subject. Why I call them perverts is because you can check McCarvers family history of child molesters even his sister Mary Jane Paine, look at the leshers past history and those that support this slime must be like them, why else. Now Mark Lesher has ruined Rickey Longs life with his greed and drugs. Old frivolous lawsuit Lesher is a known pervert."
- aaaa. "Forgot to tell you Robert McCarver said Mat from OK was also involved, and they were getting their chemicals for making meth from Mark Lesher. ######While I'm at it Times will say someone other than Lesher bailed out Ricky Long because Lesher paid someone else to use their name and so you wouldn't know he was involved. Lets see if Clarksville times prints the facts or is fooled by Lesher."
- bbbb. "They will Have another 'ORGY' spread 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS' then order some more 'VALTREX'!!!!!!!""

- cccc. "D.A. has a taped confession of Robert McCarver about the leshers rape of the victom!!!!!!!!! Lesher waited too long and McCarver has told the whole story 'DRUGS', 'RAPE' and more will come later!!!!!!! And outher 'TESTIMONY'!!!!!!!!"
- dddd. "I have noticed every time I post facts on here you post this same crap. Has Jerry or any in his family gone or going to Jail? "NO" Who has the history of child molesting, orgies, herpies, drugs, frivolous law suits, paying for strippers to have oral sex with, alocholic, criminal pervert living with them with court dockments to prove it, charged with rape, supplying phone, money, lawyer, bonds for free, perferts lawyer, family history of registered child molesters, brother in jail on drug charges, trio in Jail, two out on bond. .Rhonda Lesher, Robert Lynn McCarver, Mark Lesher. Robert McCarver told himself Mark give him Viagra to have sex with Rhonda then Mark Performs oral sex on her after he is done. This is regular as clock work with several others, ask Chuck or Dana or Susan that owns clip and nip in Clarksville. Robert McCarver did work for Jerry over two years ago until Mark Lesher Got him hooked on drugs and made him his fall guy drug dealer to sell to people like Ray price and local dope heads. Why does all authorities including the FBI want Mark Lesher so bad, they aren't after Jerry. Does Jerry own a orgie bar and have drunken parties "NO" Mark and Rhonda Lesher do. All you hear is gossip about Jerry if its bad, but with the Leshers and McCarvers Facts tell the perverted story. These perverts say I talk nasty then they post filth that is the real truth about them. THis is Rhonda Lesher posting this trash because they are trying to shift this perverted rape towards Jerry. One problem Jerry was not there Robert, Rhonda, and Mark Lesher were. These are the same perverts that sent a document to Austin saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Carol and was a moroless Judge, because Lesher could not corrupt him. Document partly written in Leshers own handwriting. Ask Lucy Lollar or Judge Lovett.. Judge Lovett daughter worked for Lesher and reconized his hand writing, she quit after she found out what a pervert Lesher was. I will have more facts tomorrow. You can check what I say, not frivolous lies like the Leshers."
- eeee. "Don't forget to look at Rhonda Leshers mouth when you see her, they ain't fever blisters! 'HERPIES' Lady that worked at Walmart pharmacy said both take 'VALTREX' and other venerial disease antiboticks. Ask her if you know her."
- ffff. "In response to the question "what is the active case fro that is against lesher?"-Death of Linda Velvin son, Linda Velvin, Fraud concerning hospital and doctor. Drugs,
 organized crime you will all know soon. Now you Lesher Perverts say this is a lie!!!!"
- gggg. "I am throwing the first stone. Tell us Rhonda 'HERPIES' Lesher about Jerry!!!!!"
- hhhh. "You are right, this filth tru or false hase nothing to do with the rape case against Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher. The only question is did they rape Shannon. Facts are McCarver was living with the Lesher "WHY" if they are so honorable. Why did they keep Shannon Hid for two weeks as court testimony states? Both Leshers knew Jerry for years why did they not call him. Why did Mark Lesher file frivolous dockments against Judge Jim Dick Lovett saying he had sex with carol Jerrys

- ex wife and had no moral, in Marks own handwriting to austin? Ask Judge Lovett or Lucy Lollar yourself. You can decide for yourself but look at the facts. They never answered when I ask them to tell about Jerrys family history, their is none. Look at McCarvers and Leshers their is a whole book. None of this matters except for Leshers and McCarvers and both have committed perjury."
- iiii. "EX CLARKSVILLE RESIDENT::: You are probably right, But me personally I don't want honey or vinegar I won't their 'BLOOD'!!! If you were the victom you wouldn't be so nice. Right now its Jerry's call and he says let Justice take its course. Yall don't know the facts and I can't tell you, when you do you will want their 'BLOOD' to. These are sick people! DJ the one Mark Lesher mind molested is my favorite and I will never forget that. D.J. 11 years old molested by a 62 year old pervert Mark Lesher."
- jjjj. "We have a vendetta against all child molesters, drrug dealers, woman rapist slimy trash like The Leshers and McCarvers! These perverts ill go to Jail! You will believe in "MAGIC"!!!!!!! Have you heard the song you don't spit in the wind, you don't tug on supermans cape!!!!!!"
- kkkk. "After 7 years 3 monts and 21 days I got out on appeal. I always respect women and children. I have 5 brothers and 4 sisters and tons of aunts, uncles. There is no history or ever have been of child molesters or women abusers in our family history. I have kin on both sides of the law, but perverts like the leshers and McCarvers need to be exterminated like the bugs they are. I was with murderors, theives, alcholiciks but was only around 1 pervert, and that coust me extra time. You see they keep these people out of most population or they would not cause further problems when they get out. Prison don't rehabilitate these people. Lots of these perverts never make it out of Jail, but you don't hear about it. When this Lesher, McCarver perverts go to Jail they will get the help they deserve. Ask yourself this why did they not call Jerry?"
- Illl. "The Unique Touch you know that 'HERPIES' infested hair [sex] shop in Clarksville, all people that have been going there have their car license wrote down and checked. I can tell this now and their will be results from this. Perverts, sex offenders, drug dealers and users, criminals of all kind have regular gone there and to the orgie bar above it. Just rember you heard the facts from me, more to come."
- mmmm. "If you or you know anyone that has been infected with 'AIDS', 'HERPIES' By Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert McCarver or Mark Lesher please call you r local health department. For legal assistance call Atorney Dan Meehan. Several Black Men and Women have Called. You can be in a 'CASH' Class Action Law Suit!"
- nnnn. "www.youtube.com Rhonda 'HERPIES Lesher song"

- pppp. "Mark Lesher Rhondas "HERO" in what?.Drugs, pervision,trashing the heroes that have passed, and their family, frivolous law suits, dumps, Liquor, rrpoliticks, bondsman and friends with, what are they? Orgies,strippers, liers, ki ck back of tax payers money on main street project. Trying to get an 11 year old boy to lie for his greed and pervision. Real "HERO""
- qqqq. "Rhonda darling I did miss you but knew you had a date with 'TOO HOT TOO HANDLE' in Austin where you usually go, a good looking stripper Mark pays 500.00 so you can give her an oral douche.Bet you wonder how I know that! Said Mark watches and he freeks her out! Don't forget to take your 'VALTREX'."
- rrrr. "Something of intrest Mark Lesher, Rhonda Lesher and Mike Rice were ran out of Clarksville High School according to 'BUDWEISER' last knight trying to molest kids! Bud said he laughed his but off when they were escorted off to school property. Now Lie about that! Seems nobody wants these perverts around."
- ssss. "Clarksville High School cleaning the whole area where Mark and Rhonda 'QUEEN OF HERPIES' Lesher were located. Janitors stated we have enough to do without this."
- tttt. "Who would want to go in that 'HERPIES' and no telling what else bar, orgie club."
- uuuu. ""YUCK" that's funny and right .Just heard there is strong talk Mike Rice is probably the next on G.J. Hearing. No way to tell until they meet. This is not gossip, facts and I hope goed down with the rest of the perverts."
- vvvv. ""NAW" I ain"y mad. Just want to refresh your memory!!!!!!!!! Got a little slack in my rope to hang the trio of perverts with. Will explain later."
- wwww. "The real courthouse leak, story, fact is when Rhonda Lesher or Mark Lesher come in after they leave they 'CLOROX' every where they were. If you say I lie ask Perkins or just wait and watch."
- xxxx. "Court house janitor sent out to buy more 'CLOROX'!!!!!!!!"
- yyyy. "Perverted child molesting, women raping trash!!!!"
- "Folks why would Leshers have Mike Rice and Robert McCarver escort Shannon to their ranch? Lesher said I was just rying to help, I guess Robert McCarver who is still in RRC Jail under no bond that is a known pervert, thief, child motestor, 51 sticks of dynamite to blow up Clarksville, drug head and dealer was tobe the choir leader when they had Church. Why was Shannon thier two weeks? What moral person would have had someones wife, give her drugs and have Robert McCarver and Mike Rice watch her, who, would want McCarver living in their house with them. "GREED" and "Perversion" "Drugs". Leshers and McCarver druggen Shannon and while Rhonda Lesher was

performing oral sex on Shannon she woke up. All of this scarred Shannon and Leshers plan backfired even with the drugs. Just wait for the facts, G.J. and D.A. Val Varley have done their Job now lets do ours."

- aaaaa. "One last thought! John Mercey an attorny from Texarcana was talked in to sueing Rrcounty by Lesher and lost. Lesher tried to talkk MR. Mercey into doing it again and Mr. Mercey told Lesher I am not doing this for fun. Frivolous lawsuit Lesher told him we can break the bastards than they will be scared of us and laughfed. Ask MR. Mercy. Jerry Conway was Sheriff and Lesher sued him "FIVE" times he got disgusted and Quit, Ask Jerry. David (Barney) Barnett went in with Lesher and bought Dimple Quick Stop store on highways 37 and Lesher took all the profit and left barney with a closed store and the note payment ask Barney. Lesher had someone selling dope, and weed to Ray Price and his driver and others from there. Barney did not know about it but what if they were caught, Barney would have took the fall. Lesher always has a fall guy. A lot more will come out on {HERO} Mark Lesher. Ask any person that has dealed with Lesher what happened."
- bbbbb. "They have gone to wipe perverted Mark Leshers 'BLOODY' butt!!!!!!!!" "KARMA' is what ilbedipt and me started this with, and 'KARMA' will end it!!!!!!!!!"
- cccc. "I just don't want this perverted trash to infect outhers!!!!!!!"
- ddddd. "Topic is "TRIO" of perverts go to Jail!!!!!!!! I have big plans for these perverts when they go!!!'KARMA' is a bitch!!!!!!!"
- eeeee. "You were saying how you and your perverted scum knew all facts, and had cars and people everywhere you tell us! Are you the lying piece of shit I know you are/ "YES" I know the facts. You tell them pervert!!!!!! Yalls asses are about to really bleed, and not from you fags humping each other. This trio of trash got in way over their head this time. Rember the FBI and outhers have been unable to bring justice to this trio of trash. Sometimes you awaken a sleeping 'JIANT' and 'KARMA' takes over!!!!!!!!"
- fffff. "You Lesher, McCarver perverted trash, get ready we are going to start a brand new topic!!!!!!!"
- ggggg. "I have some bad news for you Lesher, McCarver lovers. Mark Lesher found out today in court that Robert McCarver was trying to make a deal, and testified that Mark Lesher is and was selling drugs. I wonder if old Markie baby thanks \$20.000.00 to bond Robert Lynn McCarver out was wasted?"
- hhhhh. "You are exactly right! Lesher trash and perverted supporters hollered tora tora and the 'VICTOM' has dropped the monster A-bomb on you 'HERPIES' infested perverts!!!!! LOL LOL HA HA HA HA HA 'GUTS 'GUTTED' 'GUTTEST'!!!!!!!"
- iiii. "Many lawyers tell their clients they can get around . Prenup...When the lawyer wants to make a big pay day....So the pre-nup is not the center of controversy. The pre-

nup had nothing to do with Sexual assault. the pre-nup just shows how Stupid the Pinky boywas for trying his hand at Extortion. Remember, the husband [Jerry Coyel] made an offer to settle divorce. Pinky just got too greedy. As do most inept CROOKS."

- jjjjj. "Do you remember when Richardson brothers were caught with 71 grams of Cocaine and lesher Filed Frivolous law suit? There seems to be a lot more, one rat said they got cocaine and pills from Mark Lsher and it was 200 grams but they had sold most. The outhers say they had it but don't know where it came from .Bar above U.T. is where one said it [cocaine] was bought from. Authorities wondered why the quality was so good, possibly life threatning. If you have had anyone in your family overdose call D.A. Val Varley immediately. Lesher is a good pharmist, and his actions could be life thratning. The plot gets thicker!Ask David Barnett{BARNEY} he could have gone to Jail over Leshers Greed, and Drugs and did not know."
- Illll. "We have had our lunches packed and the kids ready to see Justice served. I only wish we could hang this perverted trash. I would love to watch them swing, choke and shit their pants. You have forgot about the victom, a hepless woman and an 11 year old boy. If we could hang this trash in public there would ve a stop to these child molesting woman raping 'HERPIES' infested perverted scum!!!!!!!!! But of course they are your friends!!!!!!!"
- mmmmm. "What the paper don't say is the victom was drugged by Mark Lesher, and as she was waking up Rhonda[Long]Lesher was performing oral sex on her 'SUCKING' and 'BITING' her vagina then Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her!!!!!!!FACTS' with witness testimony to back it up!!!!!!!....a lot more to come!!!!!!!"
- nnnnn. "From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of

\$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!"

"From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug 00000. charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!"

"Mark and Rhonda Lesher please forgive me I apologise because you could not ppppp. help 'RAPING' her after Rhonda performed 'ORAL SEX' on her. You had to give her dope and try to get Jerrys money because he just has too much and it ain't fair eventhough he worked for it. You have to get "DUMP" in because your "GREED" controls you. Lawsuit Lesher had to sue Jerry conway five times beacuse he was to good of a Sheriff. Youhad to try and cause Judge Jim Dick Lovett trouble and file a frivolous letter in austin, stating he had sex with Jerrys ex wife and had no morals. You had sue Sheriff Robert Bridges because he arrested some of your drug dealers. Lesher your rrpoliticks site is just to help RRCounty and "LIE" about all people with morals, Judges, Sheriff, D.A., police because you wanted to run RRCounty. Liquor stores and you want because you can't help being an alcholic and want others to be like you. Robert McCarver has to get out of jail before he tells all and you miss him, afterr all McCarver lived with yall and sold drugs for you and you are losing money. David {BARNEY} Barnett should be glad you left him with the note payment and a closed store beacuse he did not go to Jail over your drug deals. I knoe Rhonda you and Mark did not mean to spread a. 'HERPIES' but you had "VALTREX" stock. Ray Price and his driver made you sell them dope as others have. You can't help you said you have to sue Sheriff Bridges when he gets in office and bleed RRCounty dry on "FRIVOLOUS" law suits you have done this to all others why should he be any different? You have to have orgies you cain't help it you are perverted.Rhonda had to give Shannon "ORAL SEX" before you raped her so she would be real clean. Lesher had to try and work his pervision on D.J. an 11 year old boy that testified under oath about Lesher. "NO" Mark and Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver I hope yall rot in hell, that means Jail. You had to keep Shannon at your house on drugs because you ar such decent people."

qqqqq. "I agree with you! These Lesher McCarver perverts hide behind a computer because they are all queer like Lesher trash. They molest their own children and butt F--each outher at the Uk bar. All people I have spoke with say Mark, Rhonda Lesher and McCarver should be excuted, shot in their slimy heads. When this scumb go to prison they will get what they deserve, maybe before. If it was up to us rrcounty would save tax money trying this scumb. Jerry said let Justice take its course and let them suffer in Jail, we can always change our minds why put them out of their misery. He is right, and call the FBI they want this Lesher scumb as bas as we do. I hope and do believe we will track this lesher supporters scumb, just give it a little time. This trash fooled with the wrong mans family. I know these are only words so just wait and see, we ain't lied yet."

rrrrr. "How could any decent person with any morals support Rhonda or Mark Lesher or Robert McCarver? Look at what they have done, are doing, and will do.Forget about the rape charges and take a good look at their history, Dump, Liquor, KIck Backs, Frivolous law suits, Drugs, Pervision, Frivolous dockment about Judge Lovett, Barney Barnetts abuse by them, Dynamite, Tax Money wasted on frivolous law suits, RRPoliticks abus of public officials backed by Lesher. Can you imagine the nitemare that could have happened if Lesher had got Royace Abbott and Hanilton elected? Who and why would anyone let Robert McCarver live with them? Who would keep a women for two weeks giving her drugs and have Robert McCarver and Mike Rice watch her after taking her to Leshers ranch? Why? Lesher knew and mooched off Jerry Coyle for years, whyy ywould

Lesher have not told Jerry where Shannon was? "GREED" "SEX" "PERVISION" look at the picture here and anyone with brain can easily tell this stinks. Why would Rhonds Lesher testify under oath they Just kept Shannon for three days and have no idea where or why Shannon left for .Then Robert McCarver testified under oath they held Shannon for two weeks. This must be light every brain cell you have. You Lesher moraless supporters need th take a breath and take a damn vitiman for your brain. What if this was your wife Rhonda Lesher bit, suckeed and abused while performing oral sex on her while drugged and Mark and McCarver watched and laughed then raped her? What if this was your 11 year old son Mark Lesher told to tell the Judge Jerry was molesting his sister and Jerry had D.J. Sit and play with his self while Jerry watched, D.J cried and his mother grabbed D.J.and took him away. Mark Lesher is one of the most Perverted, creepy, disgusting animal I have ever known of. If I were one of those posting my name associateing with Leshers especially in the news papers I would go get analized at the closest hospital."

- sssss. "One of the gj was a preacher where mark goes to church. He switches of preaching with Dink Benton. The Lesher have found "GOD" just like all the perverts do after they are caught. I rember Larry Spangler telling they all seem to get saved while in jail. I have lots of friends and kin in Jail that are going to see they get faith they will be bent over and on their nees a lot!!!!!! Wonder if this piece of shit Mark Lesher thinks he is so smart now? Jerry an old Junk Yard dog and his dog pack have brought [einstein] Lesher scumb down and Jerry won't let up!!!!! This perverted "HERPIES" disease infested scumb will go to Jail!!!!!"
- ttttt. "I would love to forgive you and that slut you call Rhonda your wife right after you were both hung. I wish this was and should be a capitol offence!!!!!!!!"
- uuuuu. "How can this 'HERPIES' disease infested scumb sue anybody? FBI, DA, State Police Citizens of rrcounty wants them, Mark Lesher sent a letter to Austin Judicial board partly hand weitten in his hand writing stating Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and had no morals, because Lesher could not corrupt him and wanted rid of him. Ask Judge Lovett or Lucy Lollar, or look for yourself all have a copy of the letter. Ask Dan Mehan att. in Clarksville. You Lesher McCarver perverted 'HERPIES' infested scumb supporters sue me to!!! Ole lawsuit Lesher and his perverted followers better meet and suck and butt F--- each outher one last time because this Lesher scumb will soon be in better company that knows how to take care of perverts and child molesting trash!!!!!!!!!"
- vvvvv. "If you know "FACTS" post them and stop lying about the factual post, "MARK LESHER' you are there tell your facts you lying perverted woman and child molester! Goback to Unique Touch and post its just across the street."
- wwww. "I almost forgot Acording to this "LYING SCUMB" Lesher, McCarver "PERVERTED" ah "TRIO OF TRASH" and their "SUPPORTERS" I was ban, thrown off, deleated. "AIN"T HERE"!"

"Judged: 1 1 1 Judged: 1 1 1 Judged: 1 1 1 Judged: 1 1 1 lou wrote: Reply>> XXXXX. |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3613 20 hrs ago Suzan wrote: Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3560 Yesterday Judged Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3498 14 min ago Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3460 21 hrs ago Suzan wrote: If you know anyone with information about Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher Or Mark Leshers Anomally sex or drugs activity please call D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksville Tesas 75426#########Lets#######annoymou s###### Grand######slam######you### #####can#######remain######annoymous######please######call######### OK#######GRAND#######SLAM# #####Yea!Keep Calling!!!!!!!!O.K.#####keep calling ####### You ARE HELPING#######The Leshers were bragging they will start having all post they don't like Judged and took off topix. A friend that works at U.T. told us. They do not want the "TRUTH" out The Leshers have stated when Sheriff Robert Bridges gets in office Lesher will file law suits at least once a month. Lesher said he will bleed RRC dry, It only cost him \$50.00 to file a lawsuit but cost the county thousands to defend. People if we of RRC county let them by with this they will be above the law as they think. Frivolous law suit Lesher will do what he said look at his track record. We all know what was happening at the U.T. for years why would anyone doubt the Victom. Rober McCarver, Rhonda long Lesher and Mark Lesher what do all three have in common?Now#######G.J and D.A Val Varley did their job now lets do ours!!!!!!!!"CALL THE LAW"its back up! Mr Varley is greatful to all that have called and will be happy to receive any and all pertinent info.regarding this case or any other that may be under investigation. CALL'BIG BEAR" you are smart Indian, Jerry can't spell worth a dam and is at xircle c on hwy 410 cutting hay. Call!the Law. Wow. Dallas,TX Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3945 22 hrs ago Leshers cain't get it, even topix people know about them! Can't get the truth off Mr Lesher big shot? "WOW" Wow look at all times Leshers "LOSERS" have had this Judged!!!!!!!Robert McCarver daughter is in court testifying against child molesters Earl Weaver her grandfather .McCarvers whole family are registered child molesters, and another Lesher, McCarver perverts is going to Jail! Lesher was at court trying to intimidate Shady, wonder why?what else does the little girl know?"

yyyyy. "When Shannons son D.J. Was testifying about Mark Lesher, Lesher came in and tried to intimidate D.J. But the Judge saw this and made Lesher leave the Court room. Folks I believe the ice just broke under these perverts feet!"

ZZZZZ. "Reply>> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#4147 12 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get

all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

aaaaaa. "What people? They took Shannon to their ranch and drugger her while Rhonda gave oral sex and sucked and bit her vigina Lesher and McCarver watched then raped her. I guess other people filed a frivolour docment agianst the Judge Lovett, and filed other frivolous law suits costing tax payers thousands of dollars to defend. I guess they were made to let known child molester, pervert, Robert McCarver live with them. Other people wanted "DUMPS", liquor, Frivolous law suits, rrpoliticks, orgie bar, Herpies. Other people are making Leshers get McCarver a lawyer, and pay his bond. Proof they want, call Judge Lovett, or Lucy Lollar Sheriff, D.A. any person with morals. Don't believe either side ask for yourself."

- bbbbbb. "Rhonda you and Lesher are the ones that probably molested her, I know for a fact she is talking to cps and Sheriff office about you perverts right now So if they put yall back in Jail for molesting her I guess she is lying .I hope she tells enough for G.J. To indite you all No dna only hear say is what yall eill say but one pervert got 20 years and i hope yall get life. Ask Deputy Caron Garett if you don't believe me,so get ready perverts there is more coming!"
- ccccc. "This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"!"
- dddddd. "The Leshers, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher own this hair shop, with the [orgie] bar above. Attorney Dan Meehan of Clarksville Tx is filing a class action Law suit for victoms tha have been disease infected. Robert McCarver the \$20,000.00 "LOVER" Mark Lesher bonded out of Jail also hangs out there. Several women and three Black men have contacted Attorney Meehan. If you know anyone that has veen infected by this 'TRIO OF TRASH' please call!"
- eeeeee. "Get your free "HEMMORID" massage [SUCKED] by Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher, while Mark Lehser the "WATCHER", "WATCHES"!"
- ffffff. "Take a close look at these two 'SLIME BALLS'! They 'DRUGGED' and 'RAPED' a Lady with the help of 'ROBERT MCCARVER'! This 'WHITE TRASH' is going to trial, then Jail in January in 'COLLIN COUNTY'! 'KARMA IS A BITCH'!"
- "Rhonda Lesher ""THREATENS"" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver gggggg. Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me ""ROBERT MCCARVER"", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to ""DRUG"" and ""RAPE"" a ""VICTOM"" ""DRUGS"" ""RAPE"" ""CHILD MOLESTING"" ""ORAL SEX"" ""ORGIES"" ""HERPIES"" and ""DYNAMITE"" we have vast ""EXPERIENCE"" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for ""CHILD MOLESTING"", ""DRUGS"", ""RAPE"" 51 sticks of ""DYNAMITE"" and is a known ""PERVERT"" like us! It is well known we own and operate a ""ORGIE BAR"", this picture ""POSTED"" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a ""VICTOM"" I was giving her an ""ORAL DOUCHE"" sucking and biting her ""VAGINA"" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher ""RAPED "" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as ""FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER"" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. ""ROBERT BRIDGES"" the new Sheriff"" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will ""SUE"" you! This is your last chance!!!"

- hhhhhh. "Just saw this picture, Rhonda[McCarver,Lesher] must be advertising for her orgie Bar! Told you the 'PERVERTS' are sick!Rhonda, "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher wonder if they do each outher?"
- iiiiii. "Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert Lynn McCarver and Mark Lesher, were all three arrested on agg. sexual assault. Robert McCarver A known 'CRIMINAL' lives with the Leshers at their compound! Robert McCarver has a history of 'CHILD MOLESTING' with a family history of same. Even McCarvers sister Mary Jane Payne of Detroit Texas is registered sex offender. Mark Lesher holds several bonds on McCarver, and Just paid \$20,000.00 to bond McCarver out again. McCarver selld drugs for Mark Lesher and has confessed to the D.A.Val Varley and Sheriff Terry Reed in rrcounty. Leshers Lawers are trying to get McCarvers confession thrown out."
- jjjjjjj. ""DELIVERANCE' is what comes to mind! When Mark Lesher goes to 'HUNTSVILLE' prison will he squeel like a 'STUCK' pig? I know Rhonda[McCarver lesher] probably has him broke in, but I Know Lesher will beg, whine, hollar, squeel just like the "SLIMY" pig he is. Inmates hate 'CHILD MOLESTERS' and 'WOMEN RAPIST'! My kin wil be sure all know him and he will be fed and "WELL" taken care of, for a little while!.."
- "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Tuesday New indictments in Lesher, kkkkkk. McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue,"

Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCARVER" raped _ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or ""WHAT""? Just read the "FACTS" on the ""TRIO OF TRASH""! CHO-CHOoooooooooooo"

"Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 20, 2007 i:23PM RECORDING 111111. CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here, I love you that's why I paid \$20,000.00 to bond you out. I know you confessed but we will twist what you said. Don't worry I love you and have a box of Viagra and ky jelly, dildoes and two dogs to do us. I will get our valtrex today. Remember darling I love you. Taped by Rhonda[Long] Lesher. @@@@@@@@@@ This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" drugged and ""RAPED"" a lady at the Leshers ""COMPOUND""! While the victom was waking from being druged with a ""DATE RAPE DRUG"" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral ""DOUCHE"". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with

rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. "HOT"! Look for sparks to fly MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS @@@@@@@@@@@ This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" drugged and ""RAPED"" a lady at the Leshers ""COMPOUND""! While the victom was waking from being druged with a ""DATE RAPE DRUG"" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral ""DOUCHE"". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

mmmmmm. "Rhonda "LOVES" dark meat! Either sex!!"

nnnnnn. "Get free 'HERPIES' here! Blow J extra, Oral Sex extra, we 'SWALLOW' Mark Lesher bends over and breaks open like a 10 gage shot gun. Aids? Come at your own risk, but we have the biggest and best vibrators operated by down the old dirt road Rhonda[slut]Lesher!"

"This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 000000. 'COMPOUND'! While the victum was waking from being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCaver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a confernce with Sheriff Red and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Qugmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply- I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be gurantied three hots & a cot. Budweier- New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hakins The Paris News published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 montions took up most of the activity in the couroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." The evidence he referred to was what he called consultaion between Varley and the ed River County sherrif during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. Hequestioned the legalty of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarvr. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client wthout his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relaion to other unrelated cases. McCarver confssed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver as making a deal with the D.A. Val Varle confessing their gult before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out The trios lawers found out in court McCarver had cofessed. Now they want his condession throw out! [Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With McCarvers other rimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver. Robert Lynn MCarver, other charge [1] Add. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug Parnafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endangerment, shooting in a car full of ids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana![7] Arson! [8] Manufacturng controlled substce! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, o blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You cn check with rr county Sheriff offic to verfy. Leshers live with his "CRIMMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A 'ROPE' is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!."

pppppp. "1 min ago lou wrote: 1 min ago New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three

defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCARVER" raped McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or ""WHAT""? Just read the "FACTS" on the ""TRIO OF TRASH""! CHO-CHOooooooooooooo"

qqqqqq. "New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from

attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@""FACTS""! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral ""DOUCHE"" ""SUCKING"" and ""BITING"" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or ""WHAT""?"

rrrrr. "Come on down, you will see all these Christians, Church goers, hypocrites, that were the Trio of Trashes support group at their Court Hearing on Agg. Sexual Assault last time. Keep it a secret because these fine Christian Hypocrits get their "DRUGS" "LIQUOR", and "HERPIES" from the "TRIO OF TRASH", "ROBERT MCCARVER" Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher and Mark[fag]Lesher! These "PERVERTS" get on their nees alot, but not to pray!"

ssssss. "Helldog – original: I am Queer, and I "LOVE" the Leshers and McCarver "WERE LOVERS"! Packages from Rhondas 'UNIQUE TOUCH"! Free 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hold Massage, seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was

vagina and Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, and Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2/ Hour butt massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One, "AROUND THE WORLD" Or "DOWN THE DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"

tttttt. "The Unique Touch hair salon is below this 'ORGIE' bar. The UK [n.b. Unique Touch] is known to be "DISEASE INFESTED" can you imagine the bar?"

uuuuu. "How would you like Lesher the "LOSER" as your Lawyer?D.A. Val Varley has won all cases Lesher has mostly been involved in. But in Leshers the "PERVERTS" defence he mostly represents, 'CHILD MOLESTERS' 'DRUG HEADS' 'PERVERTS' that are 100% guilty! But Lesher loves 'PERVERTS' and 'DRUGS'!"

"AOL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#21 19 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 Helldog original: I am Queer, and love the Leshers and McCarver ""WERE LOVERS""! Packages from Rhondas ""UNIQUE TOUCH""! 'Free ""BLOW JOB"" or ""ORAL DOUCHE"" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for ""HERPIES"" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large ""VIBRATOR"", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for ""HERPIES"". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. ""AROUND THE WORLD"", Or ""DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD"" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available hah Ads by Google Several customers of ""UNIQUE TOUCH"" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had ""BLUE BALLS"" from all the sucking, but said thier pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"

wwwwww. "And Rhonda and her "BUTT TONGUE" goes to Jail! Hahhahhahah"

", IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#10 Saturday Nov 1 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@@ This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" drugged and ""RAPED"" a lady at the Leshers ""COMPOUND""! While the victom was waking from being druged with a ""DATE RAPE DRUG"" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral ""DOUCHE"". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver ha ""CONFESSED""! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

yyyyyy. "How many people have this "TRIO OF TRASH" infested with "DISEASES"?????"

"Mark Lesher bonds his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver out of Jail again. Lesher holds several bonds on McCarver, "CHILD MOLESTING", "RAPE", "DRUGS", "DYNAMITE", "DOMESTIC ABUSE" [beating his wife], "CHILD ENDAGERMENT"[shooting into a car full of kids trying to kill his wife]! Robert McCarver has confessed to the 'TRIO OF TRASH' Agg sexual assault charges to Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Now Leshers Lawyers say the conference["CONFESSION"] was illegal. this is a volintary "CONFESSION" McCarver made trying to make a plea deal before Lesher bonded him out!Now Mark Lesher has got his "LOVER" robert

McCarver a trailer on Leshers compound and had Allen Lumber, deliver a culbert and outher items th make thier "LOVE NEST"! Rhonda Lesher was heard screaming at Mark about their 'LOVE NEST' by Allen Lumber delivery man. Rhonds said we shouldn't Let McCarver keep living with us and spending money on him because people will know we are guilty. Mark said that's why I hired the lawyer out of Austin Texas for. This Lawer is very good at twisting the facts against victoms and get's his clients off! Robert has to have a cell phone, car, money, and a place to stay. Rhonda said why not keep sneaking him into our house with us? Lesher said we can this looks better!"

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#8 Thursday Oct 16 AOL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#21 19 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 Helldog - original: I am Queer, and love the Leshers and McCarver ""WERE LOVERS""! Packages from Rhondas ""UNIQUE TOUCH""! 'Free ""BLOW JOB"" or ""ORAL DOUCHE"" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for ""HERPIES"" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large ""VIBRATOR"", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut &extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for ""HERPIES"". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. ""AROUND THE WORLD"", Or ""DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD"" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of ""UNIQUE TOUCH"" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had ""BLUE BALLS"" from all the sucking, but said thier pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"

bbbbbbb. "Go see Rhonda at the Unique Touch for the 'TONGUE' Job of your life! Hahhahahhaha"

cccccc. "Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #3 Friday Oct 10 This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" drugged and ""RAPED"" a lady at the Leshers ""COMPOUND""! While the victom was waking from being druged with a ""DATE RAPE DRUG"" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral ""DOUCHE"". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court

"strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20,000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

ddddddd. "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Tuesday Nov 25 | Judged: 1 1 1 "ROBERT MCCARVER" a known "CHILD MOLESTER", "THIEF", "PERVERT" "DRUG" DEALER", "DOPE HEAD" caught with 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" to blow up Clarksville with, furnished by Mark Lesher! \$20,000.00 dollars bond, Lesher paid on McCarver besides outher bonds! Furnishing McCarver a Lawyer, House, Monsy, Car, Cell phone! "ROBERT MCCARVER" in court, tried with you for Agg. Sexual Assault! The Jury hearing McCarvers "CONFESSION", Witness testimony! "COLLIN COUNTY! Outher "EVIDENCE"??? Stick a fork in this "SCUM", their done! ********* ""LOSERS"" ******** And my kin will make them "BITCHES"! Hahhahhha LOL Or should I say Bigger "BITCHES"! Can you imagine Rhonda[McCarver]Leshers "HERPIES" infested "TONGUE" up your "BUTT"!??? "NASTY PERVERTED SHIT EATING BITCH"!!! "YUCK"!"

eeeeeee. "Wonder how many "BUTTS" Rhonda has "TONGUED"? Wonder how many "PRICKS" Mark Lesher has bent over for, and "SUCKED"?"

fffffff. "Reply>> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #3 Friday Oct 10 This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX

Reply@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots and a cot. @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence" ^^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the Sheriff's interview with McCarver. he questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." "McCarver confessed to thir guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out! { Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!! with all McCarvers outher criimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

ggggggg. "This is what Perverted "SCUM" can cause! "THIS WAS ALL STARTED MY MARK AND HYONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just ecause they do not like the COYELS. They think this wil help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investicagtion of attempted murder of malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask ... WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not, even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN ... WHAT GAIN???? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be Prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU ,RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS

of SOCIETY they are???????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(Woods) on the Oklahoma family. :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ???????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J. Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by BIII and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver, long] Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the 'SLIMEST' 'LYING' 'PERVERTED' 'CHILD MOLESTING' 'HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING' 'SCUM' I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!!!"

- hhhhhhh. "Mark Lesher supplied her "DRUGS", "ROBERT MCCARVER" stayed down the street from where her car was found! "ROBERT MCCARVER" was seen earlier with her! You figure it out!"
- "Helldog original: I am Queer, and I "LOVE" the Leshers and McCarver iiiiiiii. "WERE LOVERS"! Packages from Rhondas 'UNIQUE TOUCH"! Free 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hold Massage, seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was vagina and Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, and Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2/ Hour butt massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One, "AROUND THE WORLD" Or "DOWN THE DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"
- jjjjjjj. "This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" drugged and ""RAPED"" a lady at the Leshers ""COMPOUND""! While the victom was waking from being druged with a ""DATE RAPE DRUG"" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral ""DOUCHE"". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" ^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- kkkkkk. "A patron was at the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' for a 'TONGUE' Job. People heard the man hollaring I gotta 'SH*T'! Rhonda had her 'TONGUE' up his butt and said 'SH*T' around it if you think you can! hahhahahhhhaha..."
- IllIllI. "You think Mark Lesher aint worried. first he pays \$20,000.00 to bond McCarver out of jail,[McCarver already "CONFESSED"] then Lesher moves McCarver to his compound. Furnishes McCarver money, cell phone, car, and hires him a Attorney!Why? Now Lesher pays for McCarver to take a Lie Decator test why? Lesher kicked his common law wife Linda Velvin out with nothing, after years of living with her. Are the Leshers in "LOVE" with McCarver ?Trying to keep McCarver from talking? Trying to save their butt? Maybe the Leshers just felt sorry for McCarver?12 Juiors will decide this "TRIO OF TRASH" fate!One last question why would anyone in their right mind want a known 'DOPE HEAD', 'DRUG DEALER' 'THIEF', 'CHILD MOLESTER' with family history of same, cought with 51 sticks of 'DYNAMITE', already holding several felony bonds on want this 'PERVERT' living with them unless their 'PERVERTS' also!"
- mmmmmmm. "The only reason the trial was moved is because all in rrcounty know this 'TRIO OF TRASH' has a 'PERVERTED' 'CRIMINAL' history and the Leshers ask for the change of venu. 'WHITE CRIMINAL, CHILD MOLESTING, DRUG HEADED SCUMB'!"
- nnnnnn. "Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER", "LOVERS"! What moral person, animal or thing would want "ROBERT MCCARVER" known "CRIMINAL PERVERT" living with them??? Pay \$20,000.00 dollars for them "SCUMB"?"
- ooooooo. "When Rhonda Lesher was 'SUCKING AND BITING' the vitims vagina, and Mark Lesher and Robert Lynn McCarver 'RAPED' her did they stop. When they 'DRUGGED' they victim did they have mercy. Robert McCarver has confessed to their

crimes, on tape and recorded. Mark Lesher paid \$20,000.00 to get McCarver bonded out but McCarver had already confessed."

Abuse|Judge it!|#21 19 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 Helldog – original: I am Queer, and love the Leshers and McCarver "WERE LOVERS"! Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts, but Rhonda[McCarver,[long]]Lesher was the ultimate!"

qqqqqq. "I heard she was caught with her 'TONGUE' up her dogs ass!"

rrrrrr. "Attorney Dan Meehan in Clarksville Texas is filing a class action law suit Against Rhonda Lesher and The 'UNIQUE TOUCH' hair salon she owns with an 'ORGIE' bar above. The Leshers have infected several people with 'HERPIES'. Three Black men have came forward after sexual contact with the Leshers that have tested positive for 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS'! Call the Attorney if you have any contact with the Leshers!"

sssssss. "Rhonda the 'BUTT' 'TONGUER'!"

ttttttt. "You work for the Leshers and party with them. The bar above the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' they own is a known orgie, swingers bar! "NOW LIE ABOUT THAT! The truth ain't "SLANDER"!!!!!!!"

uuuuuu. "You told the truth "THE OUTHER THINGS THE LESHER MCCARVER TRIO OF TRASH' have been involved in! 'DRUGS, 'RAPE', 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS', 'TRYING TO CORRUPT OUR LEGAL SYSTEM', 'DUMPS', 'LIQUOR', 'ORGANIZED CRIME', 'PERVERSION' etc."

vvvvvvv. "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1 #146 Monday Sep 15 Judged: 1 1 1 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" are sick ""PERVERTS""! @@@@@@@@@@@This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- wwwwww. ""QUEERS" like Lesher! I told these "PUSSIES" my Bird lands at Parris Strip Quite often! If they want to talk to me just stop me. They know what the guy with the "TATTOO" arms look like, he is usually with me. We will probably be there Thanksgiving! Me and Bear are dying to meet this "SCUM"! We ain't real hard to reckonize! I got a few Jail house "TATTOOS" my self, a few "TEAR DROPS" to!"
- xxxxxxx. "McCarver told Holden he had permission from the Husband? Relationship? [1] McCarver is to stupid to say this. Mccarver can't read, write,no drivers licence, no teeth, I,Q, of a rock! [2] ""PERVERT"" talking to a ""PERVERT""! [3] Shannon was after the ""Drugs"" Lesher had her hooked on, not McCarver! [4] ""DOCKMENTS""? You mean a made up story by Mark Lesher, like the one where he Told D.J. to tell the Judge, Jerry had sex with his sister and had him play with hiself while Jerry watched! D.J. testified to this in court, D.J. started crying and said he would not lie! Lesher told D.J. it would look better if he said this! [5] You think This ""TRIO OF TRASH"" ain't a ""PERVERTED"" bunch of ""SCUMB"", just like their followers! [6] Check court dockments, Rhonda comitted pejury! [7] Robert McCarver comitted perjury! [8] Mark Lesher half hand and half typed a dockment and sent it to Austin saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher could not Corrupt or control Judge Lovett and wanted him replaced. This was responded to and was a ""LIE""!"
- yyyyyy. "We just found out Mark Lesher went to the Texarkand Hospital for a rash on his Butt. Robert McCarver was telling this to a member of his family that told us. We were told Leshers Rectum was eat up with ""HERPIES""! Wonder Why? Now before you attack, talk to Lacy McCarver, or outher members of the McCarver family that have also stayed at Leshers Compound! Leshers home for registered ""CHILD MOLESTERS"", ""CRIMINALS"", ""PERVERTS"",""RAP IST"",. We were told Isaack and Junior McCarver, Roberts brouthers, Registered ""CHILD MOLESTERS"" were staying at Leshers also!! To bad their Grand Father was just sent to prison for molesting Roberts youngest Daughter. He also could be living with the Leshers!"
- "You think Jerry don't know how to play the game? Earlier I told you about Bill ZZZZZZZ. Woods and Sharla Woods with Mark and Rhonda Lesher calling the C.P.S.! Jerry took the C.P.S. man for a ride in his G06 Corvette and cooking steaks that evening. This weekend Jerry, his Family and the C.P.S. mans family will spend the weekend at Jerrys \$1000,000.00 House boat[yat] at beautiful Broken Bow Lake. Jerry ask C.P.S. worker if this would be a conflict of intrest, he said no what I do in my private time is my business. Besides I could get to know you and your family better! Jerry is planning a big expensive event. I may fly to MR. Townes private hanger in Parris texas and also go to the lake for supper. Well Bill Woods you ""CHILD MOLESTING"" trash, and Sharla Woods, ex ""STRIPPER"", Dope head, ""SCARRED"" ""WRINKLED"" up old hag. You still have your dogs! And Mark and Rhonda[long]Lesher your plan is not working. But you still have your ""HERPIES"" infested ""ORGIE"" bar and your ""PERVERTED"" friends like ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" and his ""CHILD MOLESTING FAMILY""! HA HA HA HA HA LOL! ""FOOLS"" Oh almost forgot Jerry is going to let the C.P.S. man drive his \$88,000.00 Dollar G06 Corvette to the lake and go crusing! HA HA HA!!!!!!!! If any goes to the hearing next tuesday, Look for the ""SCARED"" up ""WRINKLED"" up old

- ""HAG"" Sharla Woods looks 100 years old that wrecked her car while ""DRUNK"" and on ""DRUGS"". Also Bill Woods, a big ""FAT"" slob her husband will be with her."
- aaaaaaaa. "Who did you hear it from? You didn't! You are a ""LYING"" Lesher, McCarver, Woods ""PERVERT! I am sure the C.P.S. tells you every thing they do! Speaking of Dogs, Do you do Sharla Woods ""DOGS"" with her and Rhonda? Or just watch? HA HA Do the Dogs take ""VALTREX""?"
- bbbbbbbb. "One of "ROBERT MCCARVERS" family members that he talks to and "BRAGS" Mark Lesher gives him a double dose of "VIAGRA" to mount Rhonda while he watches and plays with his and Roberts "PINKY"! There registered "CHILD MOLESTERS" and think this is funny! Guess Mark Lesher is Getting \$20,000.00 Dollars worth of watching!"
- ccccccc. "This "PERVERTED" bunch used a kid, kin to Rhonda to find the true story out. These "SLIMY" "TRASH" then made up this goofy story. After the CPS talked with all the kids They now know what pieces of "SH*T" this "SCUMB" is. All they done was cause another family trouble. "WHY"??? "THEIR PURE WHITE CHILD MOLESTING TRASH"!!!"
- ddddddd. "Awareness, you think these Lesher, McCarver, Woods "PERVERTS" ain't sick! Do they think people believe these "LIES"? MANN act, how did this "SCUMB" come up with this? "HERPIES" and "AIDS" has affected their brain, if they had one!"
- eeeeeeee. "For you ""PERVERTS""! Shannon said she was ""RAPED"" by two little limp pinkies. She said Rhondas tongue was longer and harder than Leshers and McCarvers ""PINKIES""! She told me this sounds sick, but it is the truth and Rhonda while ""SUCKING"" and ""BITING"" her Vagina was a lot better looking down there than that nasty looking McCarver! Shannon said Leshers ask her about an orgie before they drugged her. She said Rhonda was drinking and kissing on her trying to kiss her mouth. Shannon said people hooked on drugs are sick, and need help, I am proof of that."
- ffffffff. "The girls car was found very near whert ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" was staying with a bunch of Dope Heads. Mark Lesher was furnishing her and them Drugs, McCarver said so hiself! McCarver was telling part of this before Lesher Paid \$20,000.00 dollars bond to get him out! Why is Lesher letting McCarver, his brothers all registered ""CHILD MOLESTERS"" stay at his Compound? Lesher is paying McCarver, taking better care of him than Rhonda. Are they ""LOVERS""? Is Lesher just a real nice guy, keeping up this ""TRASH""? Or the real Reason, ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" is a rat and taking full advantage, knowing he can hang Lesher and Lesher knows it. Only when McCarver started talking did Lesher bond him out. McCarver is a known ""PERVERT"", ""THIEF"" ""LIAR"" ""CHILD MOLESTER"", and has his trial coming up again for being ""DOPED"" up trying to kill his wife and Kids. When McCarver goes back to Jail he will hang Leshers before the January trial! Check the Court Dockments, the trial was post poned and will be before January for this reason!"

- ggggggg. "Wonder if they get someone to air this, will the Woods, Leshers and McCarver want to also let them teach a class on TV about how to peform a "ORAL DOUCHE" by Rhonda, "SUCKING" and "BITING" a victoms Vagina, then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" "RAPE" the victom while being "DRUGGED"! Then let Bill Woods and Sharla Woods with them, and "ROBERT MCCARVER tell how to "MOLEST CHILDREN" sell "DRUGS", make "DRUGS" have "ORGIES" and "LIE"!"
- hhhhhhhh. "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#8 Thursday Oct 16 AOL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#21 19 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 Helldog - original: I am Queer, and love the Leshers and McCarver ""WERE LOVERS""! Packages from Rhondas ""UNIQUE TOUCH""! 'Free ""BLOW JOB"" or ""ORAL DOUCHE"" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for ""HERPIES"" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large ""VIBRATOR"", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut &extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for ""HERPIES"". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. ""AROUND THE WORLD"", Or ""DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD"" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of ""UNIQUE TOUCH"" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had ""BLUE BALLS"" from all the sucking, but said thier pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts, but Rhonda was like ""ROTORUTER"" with ""SUCTION""!"
- iiiiiii. ""NAW" Just take that "PRICK" out of your mouth and take your "VALTREX" you "HERPIES" infested "SLUT"! You Rhonda and Sharla woods probably eat up by now!"
- jjjjjjjj. """CATHOLIC"", That explains everything! You were a ""ALTER BOY"" like the ones we reed about in the papers. You were ""SEXUALLY ASSAULTED"" for years while growing up! You like the ""PERVERTED"" things done to you and hate women, thats why you have no respect for ""HELLCAT""! You ""LOVE"" the ""LESHER, ""MCCARVER"" ""PERVERTS"" because they ""RAPE"" Women and Children! Have you had counciling for your abnormality?"
- kkkkkkk. "With all these Blacks coming to town, I bet Rhonda is slobbering at the mouth like a mad dog, "TONGUE" hard and ready!"
- lllllll. "Did you read one of the protestors said "THEY WERE LOOKING OUT OF COURT HOUSE WINDOW LIKE WE ARE "CRAZY"!!!! These "MORONS" should

be locked up! "CRAZY" is the wrong word, ther are a bunch of "LOSERS" with nothing else to do except go to the "UNIQUE TOUCH" and get "TONGUED" by Rhonda! I wish the KKK had come in force! The Richardsons were cought with a mass Quantity of "DRUGS"! Lesher knows when they go to trial they will go to jail, he has seen most of the evidence! Wonder Why "ROBERT MCCARVER", Stacy Coleman, McCarvers "CHILD MOLESTING" family was not there with Rhonda[McCarver,Long]Lesher? They live with her! Leshers support them! They are Registered "CHILD MOLESTERS", McCarver Daughter Chastity McCarver has a "ZEBRA" baby out of Coleman, married with several kids! These were fine upstanding people to to represent Clarksville, "I THINK NOT"!"

- mmmmmmmm. "I bet Rhonda[McCarver,Long]Lesher felt right at home, marching and hollaring with all those Blacks! I bet her "TONGUE" was hard and barley talked! Wonder how mant Rhonda tried to get to the "UNIQUE TOUCH", or the var above for a "RIM JOB" while Mark Lesher "WATCHED"?"
- nnnnnnn. "Wonder how many at the Ralley were infected with "DISEASES" from Mark and Rhonda?"
- oooooooo. "Don't forget about ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" and Jerrys Lawyer!!! Rhonda you already perjured yourself on record! You are right Shannon ain't done to good with questions she has answered. Lets Look: The Sheriff, investagitors, FBI, DA, and two different ""GRAND JURIES"" with lots of questions, ""TWO"" Indictments 24 residents of rrcounty. Yall getting tried in Collin County, Your right Shannon and Jerry are really worried. All the money yall are spending, and McCarver? You could be right, you might not get ""LIFE""! hahhahhahhah ""LOSERS"" hahhahhahaha"
- pppppppp. "Rhonda[McCarver,long]Leshers "TONGUE" would be hard to compete with! Jerry and Carol are really close, and Jerry likes Ricky and Raymond Long! The only thing the Long family has in common with Jerry Coyel is the same 'ZIP CODE' 75426! The only thing that was wrong with Shannon, was Lesher had her hooked on drugs! You don't know Jerry, he ain't jealous of anyone or anything. Jerry never worries about what people say, he just don't care! Have you ever seen Jerry's attire? Walmart T shirt, blue Jeans. There may be some surprise witness testimony, there are many offers by credible people about Rhondas sex capades. Jerry told most that they didn't need to get involved, but if he needed he would call them!"
- qqqqqqq. "Stacy is back at "ROBERT MCCARVERS"! The whole "DRUG DEALING", "CHILD MOLESTING" "SCUM" is staying at the Leshers. I guess 'PINKY' Mark Lesher is probably paying Stacy or giving him 'DRUGS' to let him play with his balls! I could be he likes Rhondas 'BUTT TONGUE'! Yall think I am posting lies, ask around and you will hear for yourself! Some said I was lying about lesher paying \$20,000.00 dollars bond on Mccarver but you found out it was the truth. Who but one guilty or trying to hide the facts, would pay \$20,000,00 dollars, furnish McCarver a house, money, phone, car, and keep his "CHILD MOLESTING" family up? Who but a "PERVERT"

would want this "TRASH" around? Ask at the UT, Rhonda cuts McCarvers hair, beard, and hugs on him! Why? I guess that "TONGUE" controlls her!"

rrrrrrr. "I heard you were tied up at the Lehsers compound, and you liked being their slave! While being tied up does Rhonda 'TONGUE' your 'BUTT'? Do you get to join on their 'ORGIES' or just 'WATCH'! Heard Mark was at radio shack buying more batteries for their 'VIBRATORS'. Do you have 'HERPIES' now?"

"Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1#1 Yesterday Helldog wrote: I am tttttttt. "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession

uuuuuuu. "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1 #4 Yesterday New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. ""We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue,"" Mays said. ""It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county."" The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."" @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^^\"I

will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on,"" Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@@@Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! ______ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? [Quote] This is "COURT DOCKMENTS", try and "LIE" about this! Hahhahhahhahah "LOSERS" hahhahhaha"

vvvvvvv. "These 'FOOLS'- 'PERVERTS' think Rhonda 'SUCKING' and 'BITING', while performing an 'ORAL DOUCHE' on a 'DRUGGED' woman is natural!"

"The "SCUM" must have heard about the "GREENS"! There will be very "DAMING" evidence this "TRASH" can't lie out of! Rhondas house keeper?????? Outhers?????? Have you ever left "TOAST" in your oven until it burnt and started smoking the house up? Well this "TRIO OF TRASH" are, lets say:::: hahhahhahahhhha "TOAST" hahhahhahahaha ********** "LOSERS" ******** 'DUH' I think they finally tried to 'BACK STAB' the wrong man!... I bet the 'VICTIMS' vagina Rhonda 'SUCKED' and 'BIT' will be the most expensive 'PUSS' the 'SLUT' ever ate!!! With the Leshers "PLAN" backfiring, what will he do? "I KNOW"!!!!!! "BEND OVER"!!!!!! hahhahahah how much is Lesher the "PERVERTS" plan going to coust him before he and the "SCUM" go to Jail??????? hahhahhahaha "TOAST" hahhahhahaha"

xxxxxxxx. "********** "TOAST" ******** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under

advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. ""We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue,"" Mays said. ""It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county."" The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."" @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ ""I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on,"" Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from her! talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooo"

yyyyyyy. "When the Leshers, 'ROBERT MCCARVER', Mike Rice are ask about the 'DOPE' lesher was growing around the creeks on the land Lesher put in Rices name Shannon can identify and they 'LIE' and 'AEROL' pictures are shown what will the jury think? Lesher and his Lawyers think the Judge won't let McCarvers "CONFESSION" or outher "DRUG" related things, "THEIR WRONG"!!!!!!! They will say we didn't plant that "DOPE" but it's plain to see by an ATV trail! This will be another nail in their 'COFFIN'!When Lesher says I never paid for or sold 'DRUGS', and there is witness testimony, 'TOAST'! Leshers Lawyers will try to perswade the Judge that is not relivent, but it will get in! hahhahhahah "LOSERS" hahhahhahaha"

motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. ""We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. ""It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county."" The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."" @@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^^ ""I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on,"" Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"

aaaaaaaaa. "I think that Buddy fellow must go to the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' [properly named] and Rhonda gave him her 'FAMOUS' [to perverts] 'BUTT TONGUE' job... Wonder if Mark Lesher watched and played with his balls?"

Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. ""We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue,"" Mays said. ""It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county."" The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."" @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^^ ""I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on,"" Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooo"

ccccccc. "Is this topic about the Richardsons? I think not, reckon the fellow is trying to change the subject!!! I am mad about one thing, Jerry ain't never showed me no titis! more "GOSSIP"! If my wife had big tits I bought I probably would show them. If your going to "LIE" about Jerry at least spell his name right! It's Jerry "COYEL" not le "MORON"! And it's Charlie "VANDERGRIFF" "MORON"! Not Vandgrif! LOUIS WHITE is right give this 'FOOL' a gift certificate to the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' for Rhondas famous 'BUTT TONGUE' jobs! I don't live in Clarksville, but I am there a lot."

indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. ""We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue,"" Mays said. ""It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county."" The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."" @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ ""I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on,"" Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking

McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooo"

- eeeeeeeee. ""FISHING" again!!! We thought you knew everything, 'EVERYTHING' abour Rhonda[McCarver]Leshers 'BUTT TONGUE', orgies, 'HERPIES'! You are an "IGNOR ANT FOOL"!"
- ffffffff. "They are 'PERVERTED SCUM'! Their brains are infected by 'HERPIES' and their 'BUTTS are sore form Rhondas 'BUTT TONGUE' that is why they are 'FOOLS'!"
- ggggggggg. "Mark Lesher is in another 'WORLD'! The 'WORLD OF DRUGS'!"
- hhhhhhhh. "I have ""POSTED"" several times This ""LESHER"", ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" scum are ""INFESTED"" with ""DISEASES""! Now you can't help but believe me! ""PURE WHITE DISEASE INFESTED TRASH""!!!"
- iiiiiiii. "If you or you know anyone that was ""MOLESTED"" by Mark Lesher or Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher call Attorney Dan Meehan. If you were infected with ""HERPIES"", ""AIDS"" or outher infectious Diseases from the Leshers call his office immideliately! Several Black men and outhers have called. Dan is filing a ""CLASS ACTION"" law suit! Don't be left out!"
- jjjjjjjjj. "Rhondas ""SUCK"" and ""BITE"" marks on her Vagina werent caused by an Illusions! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher ""SUCKED"" and ""BIT"" her Vagina raw. The invegistator looked at them and said it was a sign of passion! Rhonda is a ""SICK PERVERTED SLUT""! She even had ""SUCK"" marks on her ""BUTT""! Rhonda ""TONGUED AND SUCKED"" her ""BUTT""!"
- kkkkkkkk. "What you folks don't realize is, anyone with any morals or of authority want Mark Lesher in Prison where Criminals belong! Lesher has a history of getting away with disgusting acts! It is incredibly stupid of some that don't know how the system works!"
- IllIllIII. "People talked? What did they talk about? Our hero is indicted!
 Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher ""TONGUES BUTTS""! ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" is a
 ""CHILD MOLESTER! Mark Lesher, ""FRIVOLOUS LAW SUIT LESHER"" will sue!
 All Authorities, FBI, Texas Rangers, State Police, DA VAL Varley, Sheriff are all
 Corrupt! ""WE"" the ""PERVERTS"" tell the truth! All ""MORAL' decent people are
 Liars! Agencies and Officials? Who? you Fn ""IDIOT""! Call the ""MANN ACT""
 ""LOSERS""! hahahhahahahaha"
- mmmmmmmm. "This Linda Velvin was with Lesher when he tried to sell Jerry "ILLEGAL" Drugs! Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again

within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] hahhhaahhaha "TOAST"hahahhhahahha ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! hahaha [Quote] 35 to Life!"

"Joe 6-pack wrote: I ""TONGUE BUTTS"" with Rhonda! For any nnnnnnnn. newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The ""McCarvers"" known ""CHILD MOLESTERS"" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is ""QUEER"". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers ""DRUGS"" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her ""DOGS"" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread ""HERPIES"", possibly ""AIDS"" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several ""ORGIES"", Her ""DOGS"" were inpounded for ""HERPIES""! This is one sick ""SLUT""! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday.

Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers ""DRUGS""! Ricky is a really good Man, Lesher has him hooked! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this ""TRIO OF TRASH"" ruin?[Quote] ""WHITE PERVERTED TRASH""!"

ooooooooo. "In case yall forgot, hahahahah! Rhonda[McCarver]""SLUT ""Lesher, ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" their \$20,000.00 dollar ""LOVER"" and the ""HERO"" Mark Lesher the ""WATCHER""! Were Handcuffed, put in Jail! hazhahah ""TWICE"" and are going back. Are ""INDICTED"" for Agg. Sexual Assault! After Leshers Lawyers ""BLEED"" him dry, they will go to Jail and my Kin will greet Them!!!!!!! hahahahhaha CHO-CHOOooooooooooooooooo And then ""MR"" D.A. Val Varley will be Govenor! I will see to that!!!"

- 1791. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1792. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1793. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 1794. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1795. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 1796. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1797. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1798. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 1799. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1800. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 1801. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1802. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1803. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1804. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1805. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1806. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1807. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1808. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1809. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1810. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 1811. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1812. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1813. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1205-1427 – Defamation per se

- 1814. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 982-1204 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1815. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1816. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1817. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1818. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1819. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1428-1650 – Libel per se

1820. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 982-1204 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 1821. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1822. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1823. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 1651-1652 – Defamation Lou 2

1824. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

""ROBERT MCCARVER" Confessed! This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse | Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse | Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7]

Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver "PERVERTED" posters think residents cain't reed the news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You "MORONS" fool no one! [Quote] Post something with context, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Lesher's \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! hahahahahahahahahaLOL"

- b. "Does this mean Rhonda[Long]Lesher will start her act by sucking a golf ball through a 100ft water hose? Will Rhonda show her picture gallery of 'PERVERTED" sex with "DANA", "Maryann', "Suzan" and outhers? Will Rhonda teach a class on "DRUGS" "{ERVERTED SEX", how to "DRUG" and give "ORAL SEX" "RAPE"? Will Mark Lesher tell about the land he put in Mike Rices name to grow "DOPE" in the creeks and all around? Will Rhonda put Everyready batteries up Mark and let him walk around like the Everyready Bunny? Will Mark advertise for "MAYLOX"? Will Robert McCarver tell about his confession to D.A. And Sheriff Terry Reed? Tell about his broke foot" We McCarver tell all he "CONFESSED" to or Just what Mark nows? I cain't waite!"
- 1825. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1826. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1827. The statement referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 1828. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1829. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1830. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1831. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1832. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1833. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 1834. The statements were false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1835. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1836. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1837. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1838. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1839. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1653-1654- Defamation per se

- 1840. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1651-1652 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1841. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1842. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1843. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1655-1656 - Libel Per Se

1844. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1651-1652 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s)

injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

1845. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1657-1661 – Defamation lou wife

- 1846. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Wonder if ole 'PINKY HERO' Mark Lesher wishes they had molested someone elses family besides Jerry? What this trash will find out is Jerry won't let up until 'JUSTICE' is served!!!!!!!!"
- b. "Police are still running licen plates and watching the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' and bar above. Two more drug arrest were made!!!!!!!!"
- c. "Join the community North Aurora, IL 1 min ago Police are still running licen plates and watching the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' and bar above. Two more drug arrest were made!!!!!!!!!"
- d. "When his wifes cought Lesher with men they divorced him!!!!!!!!"
- e. "Robert McCarver is at Leshers compound feeding Mark Lesher!!!!!!\$20.000.00 bail to get Robert out of Jail is sure 'QUEER'!!!"
- 1847. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1848. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1849. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1850. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1851. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1852. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1853. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.

- 1854. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1855. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1856. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1857. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1858. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1859. The statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1860. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1861. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1862. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1863. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1864. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1865. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1662-1666 – Libel per se

- 1866. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1657-1661 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1867. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1868. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 1667-1671 - Defamation per se

- 1869. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1657-1661 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1870. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1871. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1872. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1873. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1672-1678 – Defamation louis

- 1874. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- b. "Mark Lesher and Rhonda[McCarver,Long]Lesher, We over heard some weird looking people in the Mexican Restaurant Talking about Your 'ANNUAL BLOW JOB' contest at your 'ORGIE' bar! They said it is not fair for Mark to enter this year for the mens, and sure not fair for Rhonda to enter either! They said yall should give someone else a chance to win. They thought yall and Bill and Sharla woods were close last year, but Mark just had to much suction! 'YUCK'!"
- c. "WOOooo Dogies! That tongue has to have been fertilized, Wonder how many 'RIM' jobs that 'SLUT' has done? Rhonds[McCarver,Long]Lesher, 'ROBERT MCCARVER', Mark Lesher, and the 'TONGUER'! TRIO OF TRASH and the TUNGER! hahahahahahaha! No wonder Rhondas breath smells like 'POOP'!"
- d. "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply "CONFESSED"! >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!" (x4)
- 1875. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1876. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1877. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1878. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 1879. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1880. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1881. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1882. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1883. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1884. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1885. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1886. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1887. The statements were false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1888. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 1889. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1890. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1891. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1892. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1893. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1679-1685 – Libel per se

- 1894. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1672-1678 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1895. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1896. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 1686-1692 – Defamation per se

- 1897. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1672-1678 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1898. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1899. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1900. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1693 – Defamation louis white

1901. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "How about this, these Lesher McCarver "PERVERTS" and their supporters don't won't me and

- ilbedipt to keep yall informed of the truth and "FACTS", yall can post to them. See the "TRASH" and lies they post! See you larer "ALIGATOR"!!!!!!!!!! lol lol lol"
- 1902. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1903. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1904. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1905. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1906. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1907. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1908. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1909. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1910. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1911. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- a. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1694 - Defamation per se

1912. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1693 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of

allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

1913. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1695 – Libel Per Se

- 1914. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1693 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 1915. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1696-1697 – Defamation lous Goat

- 1916. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "ilbedipt, what ever you do don't let them find you! 'THEY BITE', lou left me out grazing and this Lesher, McCarver Queers found me and tried to do the same to me as they did to the victim. They tried to 'SUCK' 'BITE' my little wewe off."
- b. "Unique Touch, I'll bet!!!!!! You get throwed, blowed, bit, sucked, Herpies, and your hair done at the same place, 'YUCK'!!!!!!!"
- 1917. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1918. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1919. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 1920. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1921. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1922. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 1923. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 1924. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 1925. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 1926. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 1927. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1928. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1929. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 1930. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 1931. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 1932. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 1933. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 1934. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 1935. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 1936. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1937. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 1938. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1939. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1698-1699 – Defamation per se

- 1940. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1696-1697 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1941. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 1942. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1943. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 1944. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1945. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1700-1701 – Libel Per Se

- 1946. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1696-1697 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1947. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1702 - Defamation lous owl

- 1948. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "I am very proud of jerry, knowing what he is capable of, outhers are capable of he seeks "TRUTH" and "JUSTICE" the American way! If one held my wife two weeks, "DRUGGED" and "RAPER" her as Mark Lesher has done, while trying to stab me in the back, even after them mooching off me for years and never done anything to offend them, I think I might handle it differently! I sure jerry has a plan to see Mark Lesher for sure will pay for his sins! Rhonda, just plain stupid! Robert McCarver, plain stupid, but should know better! "JUST A THOUGHT"!"
- 1949. The statement involved a private matter.
- 1950. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 1951. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 1952. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1953. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1954. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1955. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1956. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1957. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1958. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1959. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 1960. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 1961. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1962. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1963. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1703 – Libel Per Se

- 1964. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1702 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1965. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1704 - Defamation per se

- 1966. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1702 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1967. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1968. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1969. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1705 – Defamation louswife

- 1970. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Oh Bill Woods was the fat blow hard and Sharla was the 100 year old lady in the court room. They fit really well with the Leshers and McCarvers. Sharla [Woods] likes buying Chassitty's half black bacy clothes and like taking Lay and Shady McCarver shopping she is trying to buy them and for what reason??? Shows all of us how low life she is likes to hang out with the scum of Clarksville. I guess she like scums and like to buy them. She certainly did nothing for her stepdaughters other than beat them and throw them out at a young age she is worthless used up skank who is married to a fat blow hard who beat and raped all his kids What a great family hahahahaha lol lol lol Sharla Woods and Rhonda Lesher are both alcohlics and Bill [Woods] and Mark [Lesher] take viagra and watch the dogs go at Sharla Woods and Rhonda Long Lesher."
- 1971. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1972. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1973. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1974. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1975. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1976. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1977. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 1978. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 1979. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 1980. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 1981. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 1982. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 1983. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 1984. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 1985. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1706 - Defamation per se

- 1986. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1705 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 1987. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 1988. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 1989. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1707 – Libel Per Se

- 1990. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1705 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 1991. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1708-1710 – Defamation loutlbedipt

- 1992. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "The Lesher, McCarver supporters and family just had another meeting about how they would beat this "CRIME" like all the outhers. One problem is Robert McCarver has confessed, and 12 residents of Collin County will hold these "PERVERTS" fate! News is Lesher want's his Lawyers to try and get their charges thrown out on 'TECHNICALLTY'! Lesher is saying this Grand Jury was not called in the right way??? Lesher never said we ain't guilty, Just get the indictment thrown out any way you can. Sorce said Lesher has a whole Law firm working on this. Lesher knows if they go to trial they will get convicted, because he now has knowledge of most evidence. Don't worry "JUSTICE WILL PREVAIL"! "KARMA" is a bitch!"
- b. "Their will be a trial! All Judges know what a snake Lesher is, Collin County will convict this "TRIO OF TRASH"! "RHONDA{LONG MCCARVER{LESHER and ROBERT MCCARVER" don't forget [pinky["MARK LESHER"!"
- c. "PERVERTS" hang with "PERVERTS" and the Judge and Jury will see this! All i have posted about Rhonda and her "VIBRATORS" is true! Mark Lesher has said a good H*re will work on your butt!Lesher thinks all women are Ho*es. Rhonda is bysexual and a pure "SLUT"!"
- 1993. The statements involved a private matter.
- 1994. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 1995. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 1996. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 1997. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 1998. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 1999. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2000. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2001. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.

- 2002. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2003. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2004. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2005. The statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2006. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2007. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2008. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2009. Defendant's false statements caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2010. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2011. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1711-1713 – Defamation per se

2012. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1708-1710 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 2013. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2014. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2015. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2016. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1714-1716 – Libel per se

- 2017. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1708-1710 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2018. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 2019. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1717-1718 – Defamation louu

2020. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

a. "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6153 Saturday Nov 1 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called

consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

b. "Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal

- 2021. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- 2022. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2023. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2024. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2025. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2026. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2027. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2028. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2029. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2030. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2031. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 2032. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.

- 2033. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2034. The statements were false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2035. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 2036. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2037. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2038. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2039. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2040. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1719-1720 – Libel Per Se

- 2041. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1717-1718 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2042. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1721-1722 - Defamation per se

- 2043. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1717-1718 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2044. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2045. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2046. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2047. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1723 – Defamation louuou

- 2049. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2050. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2051. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2052. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct.
- 2053. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2054. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2055. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.

- 2056. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2057. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2058. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2059. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1724 – Libel per se

- 2060. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1723 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2061. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 2062. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2063. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 1725 - Defamation per se

2064. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1723 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of

allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

2065. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1726-1727 – Defamation louuu

2066. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- a. "If you are not scared of 'HERPIES' or 'AIDS' go to the 'UNIQUE TOUCH', ask for "RHONDA' the 'TONGUE'!"
- b. "...Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #36 Yesterday Rely>> Report Abuse Judge it! #6161 Saturday Nov 1 Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house

- 2067. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2068. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2069. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2070. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2071. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2072. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2073. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2074. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2075. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2076. The statements were false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2077. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2078. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 2079. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2080. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2081. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1728-1729 – Defamation per se

- 2082. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1726-1727 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2083. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2084. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2085. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2086. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1730-1731 – Libel Per Se

- 2087. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1726-1727 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2088. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1732 – Defamation louuuu

- 2089. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher had her 'LONG' nasty 'TONGUE' working overtime!"
- 2090. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2091. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2092. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2093. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2094. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2095. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2096. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2097. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2098. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2099. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- a. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1733 – Libel Per Se

- 2100. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1732 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2101. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1734 - Defamation per se

- 2102. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1732 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2103. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1735 – Defamation louuuuu

- 2104. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "I know Rhonda[McCaver,long]Lesher took her 'TONGUE' and stuck it up Mark and Roberts 'BUTT'! HahhahhahhaHAHHAHHAhhahhaha LOL!!!!!!!!! *********** "LOSERS" ***********
- 2105. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2106. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2107. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2108. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2109. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2110. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 2111. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2112. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2113. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2114. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2115. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1736 – Libel Per Se

- 2116. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1735 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2117. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1737 - Defamation per se

- 2118. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1735 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2119. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1738 – Defamation McCoy

- 2120. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "'NAW' Rhonda has 'HERPIES'! You seem to know all about Rhondas 'TONGUE'!!!"
- 2121. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2122. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2123. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2124. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2125. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2126. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2127. The statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2128. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2129. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2130. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2131. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2132. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2133. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2134. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law.

Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1739 – Libel Per Se

- 2135. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1738 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2136. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1740 – Defamation per se

- 2137. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1738 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2138. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1741 – Defamation McKoy

- 2139. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "'NAW', It's Rhonda with het 'TONGUE' hung in their 'BUTTS', Mark 'WATCHING' and 'LICKING' up the Juice!"
- 2140. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2141. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2142. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2143. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 2144. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2145. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2146. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2147. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2148. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2149. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2150. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1742 – Defamation per se

- 2151. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1741 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2152. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1743 – Libel Per Se

2153. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1741 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured

Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2154. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1744-1747 – Defamation mee

- 2155. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "Agree, "RHONDA" is a skank ho! Packages from Rhondas 'UNIQUE TOUCH"! Free 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hold Massage, seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was vagina and Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, and Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2/ Hour butt massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One, "AROUND THE WORLD" Or "DOWN THE DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"
- "Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"

- "Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts,"
- "'AGREE' Rhonda is a skank ho! Packages from Rhondas 'UNIQUE TOUCH"! Free d. 'BLOW JOB' or 'ORAL DOUCHE' with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hold Massage, seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" was vagina and Elegant Hair Style, Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, and Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2/ Hour butt massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One, "AROUND THE WORLD" Or "DOWN THE DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"
- 2156. The statements involved a private matter.
- 2157. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 2158. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2159. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2160. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.

- 2161. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2162. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2163. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2164. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2165. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2166. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2167. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2168. The statements were false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2169. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2170. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2171. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2172. Defendant's false statements caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2173. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2174. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite

actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1748-1751 – Defamation per se

- 2175. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1744-1747 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2176. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2177. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2178. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2179. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1752- 1755 – Libel Per Se

- 2180. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1744-1747 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2181. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1756 – Defamation Morless People

2182. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire

GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 2183. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2184. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2185. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2186. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2187. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2188. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2189. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2190. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.

- 2191. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2192. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2193. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2194. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff
- 2195. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2196. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2197. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1757 – Libel Per Se

- 2198. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1756 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2199. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1758 – Defamation per se

2200. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1756 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This

type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

2201. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1759-1760 – Defamation Mose

- 2202. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "NOW MARK LESHER HAS RHONDA LONGS BROTHER HOOKED ON DRUGS a. AND IS USING HIM, RICKY LONG LIKE HE DID LINDA VELVINS SON. WHAT DOES IT TAKE FOR YOU PEOPLE TO SEE THE TRUTH? Ilbedipt wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view,' Reed said. 'The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence.' Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. 'At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case,' Reed said. Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother Ricky Long is busted with Mark Leshers 'DRUGS'. How many more lived will be destroyed by Mark Lesher? Ricky is a really good guy hooked by Mark Leshers 'GREED' ;PERVERSION' 'DRUGS'?! 'FACTS'"
- b. "LINDA VELVINS PROBLEMS WERE NOT OVER, AND F.B.I. AND STATE POLICE KNOW MARK LESHER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LINDAS SONS DEATH, THEY JUST CAN'T PROVE IT YET. CLOSE BUT NO CIGAR YET."
- 2203. The statement involved a private matter.

- 2204. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 2205. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2206. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2207. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2208. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2209. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2210. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2211. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2212. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2213. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1761-1762 – Defamation per se

2214. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1759-1760 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

2215. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1763-1764 – Libel Per Se

- 2216. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1759-1760 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2217. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1765-1766 – Defamation Muff Dive

2218. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9]

Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- b. ""Rhonda{McCarver,Long}Les her serving drinks to another "VICTOM" at her "ORGIE BAR"! Wonder if this fellow knows Ronda was indicted with "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher for drugging a victom? Rhonda suck,ed and bit her "VAGINA" raw then Robert McCarver and Mark Lesher "RAPED" her. Two different Grand Juries 24 residents indicted this "TRIO OF TRASH", and raised the Criminal indictment to "AGG SEXUAL ASSAULT" after Robert McCarver "CONFESSED" to the trios guilt, and overwhelming ouher evidence!"
- 2219. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2220. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2221. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2222. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2223. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2224. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2225. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2226. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2227. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2228. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2229. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2230. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 2231. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2232. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2233. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1767-1768 – Libel Per Se

- 2234. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1765-1766 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2235. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1769-1770 - Defamation per se

- 2236. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1765-1766 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2237. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2238. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2239. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1771 - Defamation Name Change

- 2240. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Well looks like whats right is right got a ear full and seems to have nothing else better to do than talk behind his computer like the rest of us!!!!!!! Maybe I will change my name to whats wrong is wrong Leshers/Mcarver raped and drugged a woman now that WRONG r u bored yet or do u like playing games"
- 2241. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2242. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2243. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2244. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2245. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2246. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2247. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2248. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2249. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2250. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2251. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2252. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2253. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 2254. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2255. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1772 - Defamation per se

- 2256. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1771 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2257. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2258. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2259. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1773 – Libel Per Se

- 2260. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1771 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2261. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

- 2262. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "HERPIES' is flourishing! At the UT!"

- Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL b. DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 \(\frac{1}{2}\) Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available haha Ads by Google Several customers of "UNIQUE TOUCH" said you get your moneys worth! Only Complaint is many had "BLUE BALLS" from all the sucking, but said their pipes were totally clean. Many said Mark[the tongue] was MR. Clean for butts!"
- 2263. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- 2264. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2265. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2266. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2267. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2268. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2269. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2270. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2271. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2272. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 2273. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2274. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2275. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2276. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2277. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2278. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2279. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff
- 2280. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2281. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2282. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1776-1777 - Defamation per se

2283. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1774-1775 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 2284. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2285. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2286. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2287. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1778-1779 – Libel Per Se

- 2288. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1774-1775 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2289. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1780-1786 – Defamation News flash

- 2290. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "Reply >> Report Abuse 1 Judge it 1 #4986 11 min ago Judged: 1 1 1 Robert McCarver is telling all his "DRUG" friends, Mark Lesher said I am going To be a rich Man! McCarver said Lesher is going to sue the D.A., RRCOUNTY, Jerry Coyel, and Sheriff Terry Reed for "MILLIONS"! "Lesher is an idiot! The D.A. did not indict the "TRIO OF TRASH" the Grand Jury did, and their exempt from law suits! Jerry Coyel's name ain't on nothing and has not done anything "YET" for these "MORONS" to sue for! Sheriff Terry Reed took McCarver's confession because Mark Lesher had not bonded McCarver out, and McCarver trying to save hiself and make a plea deal as reported by the Clarksville Times. Court dockments prove this! "OL' FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" can sue all he wants, but for what? Because McCarver "CONFESSED" to the "TRIO OF TRASHES" Guilt? D.A. Took the case before a Grand Jury that indited the "CRIMINALS" twice? Sue Jerry becaused the "BUGS" "DRUGGED" "RAPED" "BLED MONEY" out of Shannon? "OH i Know, Because Jerry let the scumb "HUNT", "STAY AT HIS CABIN", "STAY ON HIS HOUSE BOAT" "MOOCH OFF HIM FOR YEARS"? Rhonda{LONG}Lesher has already committed perjury before a Judge, maybe lesher can sue the Judge for her "LYING"? "OL" "LOOSER" "LAWSUIT LESHER" is either a complete "FOOL" or trying to keep McCarver from further "CONFESSIONS"! "MARK

LESHER" don't know what a lawsuit is "YET"!!!!!!!!! After their conviction, the party is really starting!!!!!!!"

- b. "You know recounty cain't keep a secret, so the latest is Mark Leshers assets may be seized due to organized crime indictment. That's why hes liquidating his assets so he won't lose what little he does not owe on. If he cain't make his payments hell lose everything."
- c. "Register for circus act by Rhonda Lesher/ Sucking golf ball through 100 ft. water hose, hide the baseball bat, most jism swallowed, most head jobs in an hour, muff diving, too many events to name. Register at unique touch clarksville texas. Mark Lesher to enter pinky contest and furnish viagra."
- d. "You gussed it, Mark Lesher may or probably will be arrested again! Next GJ to meet will hear more charges to be filed. D A would not comment by truthful sorce not wrong in the past says more sealed inditments to surface. Minor child is a major topic and others, you haven't heard nothing yet. This will be another front pager.."
- e. "New topix post to reed "LESHER GO BACK TO JAIL" also "HERPIES" Ronda Lesher Getting sued.!!!Look at sores on Rhonda mouth. Told by local Attorney.I have not been wrong yet,watch news papers you won't believe your eyes."
- f. "How could any Jury or Judge not convict this lesher mccarver trash on just what we know are facts from the previous hearings? Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver both testified under oath to two different stories and bother were involved in rape. I know this for a fact I was there. Please check the court records. People just you waite and see this smile will go to Jail, the G.J wre some very smart and decent people, above ordinary way above. They just saw some of the proof so you nay sayers are in for a surprise."
- "Robert McCarver is telling all his "DRUG" friends, Mark Lesher said I am going To be g. a rich Man! McCarver said Lesher is going to sue the D.A., RRCOUNTY, Jerry Coyel and Sheriff Terry Reed for "MILLIONS"! "Lesher is an idiot! The D.A. did not indict the "TRIO OF TRASH" the Grand Jury did, and their exempt from law suits! Jerry Coyels name ain"t on nothing and has not done anything "YET" for these "MORONS" to sue for! Sheriff Terry Reed took McCarvers confession because Mark Lesher had not bonded McCarver out, and McCarver was trying to save hiself and make a plea deal as reported by Clarksville Times. Court dockments prove this! "OL"FRIVOLOUS LAW SUIT LESHER" can sue all he wants, but for what? Because McCarver "CONFESSED" to the "TRIO OF TRASHES" Guilt? D.A. Took the case before a Grand Jury that indicted the "CRIMINALS" twice? Sue Jerry becaused the "BUGS" "DRUGGED" "RAPED" "BLED MONEY" out of Shannon? "OH i Know, Because Jerry let the scumb "HUNT", "STAY AT HIS CABIN", "STAY ON HIS HOUSE BOAT" "MOOCH OFF HIM FOR YEARS"? Rhonda{LONG}Lesher has already committed perjury before a Judge, maybe lesher can sue the Judge for her "LYING"? "OL" "LOOSER" "LAWSUIT LESHER" is either a complete "FOOL" or trying to keep McCarver from futher "CONFESSIONS"! "MARK LESHER" don't know what a lawsuit is "YET"!!!!!!!! After their conviction, the party is really starting!!!!!!!"

- 2291. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2292. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2293. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2294. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2295. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2296. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2297. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2298. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 2299. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 2300. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2301. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2302. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2303. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2304. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2305. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2306. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.

- 2307. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2308. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2309. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2310. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2311. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2312. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2313. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1787-1793 – Libel Per Se

- 2314. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1780-1786 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2315. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1794-1800 - Defamation per se

2316. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1780-1786 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 2317. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2318. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2319. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2320. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2321. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1801-1803 – Defamation nice

- 2322. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "Reply>> lReport Abuse lJudge it!l#758 16 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 Reply>> lReport a. Abuse IJudge it!1#1583 19 hrs ago ilbedipt wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view,' Reed said. 'The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence.' Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. 'At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case,' Reed said.

Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother Ricky Long is busted with Mark Leshers "DRUGS". How many more lived will be destroyed by Mark Lesher? Ricky is a really good guy hooked by Mark Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION" "DRUGS"?! "FACTS" ######## I guess this is all a lie?"

- b. "The Lesher had there lawyers to file several motions, the Judge is hearing them now. You know these "CRIMINALS" will try to lie an wiggle as much as possible!"
- c. "The ones that are twisted and sick are in Court today, Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert Lynn McCarver and Mark Lesher!!!! Can't wait to see the news on this 'TRIO'!!!!!!"
- 2323. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2324. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 2325. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly
- 2326. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2327. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2328. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2329. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2330. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2331. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2332. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2333. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the

issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1804-1806 – Libel Per Se

- 2334. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1801-1803 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2335. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1807-1809 – Defamation per se

- 2336. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1801-1803 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2337. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1810 – Defamation no batteries needed

2338. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "AOL 1 min ago @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20,000,00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late Quagmire **GiDDITY** McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Irving, TX@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting

- 2339. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2340. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2341. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2342. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2343. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2344. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2345. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2346. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2347. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2348. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2349. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 2350. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2351. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2352. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2353. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1811 – Libel Per Se

- 2354. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1810 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2355. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1812 – Defamation per se

- 2356. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1810 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2357. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2358. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2359. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1813 – Defamation

not

- 2360. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Done some checking and Shannon Coyel is not a school teacher. Seem to me like you need to get fact and not lies. She is married to a wealthy man and she does not have to work unlike her father Bill Woods in Larned Kansas who is nothing but a child rapiest and child beater. Bill has to work his fat butt off to support his stripper wife Sharla Johnson Pleasant Woods. She is a piece of garbage just like him. Mark and Rhonda Lesher are best friends with the Woods' and they meet up with each other about once a month to have an orgie. If you would like to get involved with this garbage herpies infested trash 16202856971, 16202856773, 162028 51278 or just call Larned Police dept and they can get you in touch with them."
- 2361. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2362. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2363. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2364. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2365. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2366. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2367. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2368. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2369. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2370. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2371. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2372. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 2373. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2374. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2375. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1814 – Defamation per se

- 2376. Further, Defendant's written statement(s)described in Count 1813 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2377. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2378. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2379. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1815 – Libel per se

- 2380. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1813 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2381. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1813 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 2382. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 1816-1823 – Defamation oh my

- 2383. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
 - a. "Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL""

- pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude." (x4)
- b. "AOL 1 min ago @@@@@@@@@@ This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver ""RAPED"" the victim! The earlier post tell about the ""CONFESSION"" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had ""CONFESSED""! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg, Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. "(x2)
- c. Shannon did not leave Jerry, he filed for a divorce but did not know lesher was the one that had her on drugs with the help of McCarver selling them to her for Mark Lesher. Shannon left for the drugs, look what happened to Britney Spears, Tina Turner, Whitney Houston. Drugs make normal christian people do crazy things. Mark Lesher a Pharmist knew what he was doing and was after Jerrys money. After thr "TRIO OF TRASH" raped her and Rhonda[Long]Lesher tried to suck her guts out she got scared a n got off

- drugs. When Jerry found out he took her back because he knew her to be a fine woman but the "SCUMBS" drugs made her crazy. There is lots more to come out in court. Robert Lynn McCarver has "CONFESSED" and outher evidence raised their charges ti Agg.Sexual assault. You Lesher perverted 'SCUMB' can lie and twist "FACTS" but this "TRASH" will go to Jail!!!!!!!!!!
- d. """PERVERTS"" hire and run with ""PERVERTS""! Mark Lesher and Henry are butt hole buddies! No way, no amount of money would i defend a ""CONVICTED CHILD MOLESTER""! This Lesher, ""MCCARVER"" slime are bugs that need ""ORKIN"" to rid our county from these ""DISEASE INFESTED"" bugs. I ment real ""BUTT HOLE"" buddies!"
- 2384. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2385. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2386. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2387. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2388. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2389. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2390. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2391. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2392. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2393. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2394. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2395. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 2396. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2397. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2398. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1824-1831 - Defamation per se

- 2399. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1816-1823 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2400. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2401. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2402. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1832-1839 – Libel per se

- 2403. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1816-1823 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2404. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 2405. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1840-1841 -Defamation oink

2406. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- "CHO-CHOOoooooooooo" @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged a. and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- b. "CHO-CHOOooooooooooo @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This

scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 2407. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2408. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2409. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2410. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2411. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2412. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2413. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2414. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2415. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 2416. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2417. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2418. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2419. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2420. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2421. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1842-1843 – Libel Per Se

- 2422. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1840-1841 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2423. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1844-1845 – Defamation per se

- 2424. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1840-1841 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2425. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct

- 2426. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2427. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1846-1852 – Defamation oou

- 2428. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "There were some 'SCUM' Mark Lesher, 'ROBERT MCCARVER' and 'Rhonda [McCarver]Lesher 'TONGUEING' peoples 'BUTTS', 'RAPING' helpless 'DRUGGED' women but they have been indicted and are going to Jail!!!!"
- "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED ""FOLLOWERS"" CAIN""T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! b. hahhahaha ******* ""TOAST"" ******* New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to

- c. "Hey Question, how many times has Rhonda "TONGUED" your "BUTT"??? While Mark Lesher "WATCHED"???"
- d. "Wonder how many 'BUTTS' Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher has 'TONGUED'? And whos? While Mark Lesher 'WATCHED'? Mike Rice 'WATCHED'? 'ROBERT MCCARVER' 'WATCHED'? Or do they all 'TONGUE' each outhers 'BUTTS'!? 'THE TRIO OF TRASH BUTT TONGUES'! Hahhaha"
- e. "I have never met either! I know the Bench Girls car was found close to Teddy Ray Bullard on HWY 1159, Rhobert McCarver and outher "SCUM" was there at that time. Shawnee, another girl from OK was at Teddy Rays house and got beat half to death around the same time! Mark Lesher was supplying all with 'DRUGS'! 'ROBERT MCCARVER' sold an took Leshers 'DRUGS'! I bet when they find her Rhonda[McCarver]Leshers, "ROBERT MCCARVER" DNA and Mark Leshers will be on her with "BITE and "SUCK" marks! I know for a "FACT" she had been at the Leshers Compound. this has been told by several people. This case is not closed, there is more going on than you think! Why would Mark Lesher pay \$20,000.00 Dollars for "SCUM" like "ROBERT MCCARVER", take this "PERVERT" "DOPE HEAD" home and support him? If you can't figure that out you are a "MORON"! When McCarver goes to jail more will come out, McCarver has confessed to Leshers "DRUGS" and a lot more that will come out in court."

quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. ""We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue,"" Mays said. ""It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county."" The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court ""strike illegally obtained evidence."" @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: ""Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."" @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^^^ ""I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on,"" Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHO0000000000000"

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#8139 17 hrs ago The ""TRIO OF TRASH""! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher, ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" and Mark Lesher! All anyone need do, is read this! ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" has ""CONFESSED""! How stupid can you be? ""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED ""FOLLOWERS"" CAIN""T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******* ""TOAST"" ******* New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and

interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@""FACTS""! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral ""DOUCHE"" ""SUCKING"" and ""BITING"" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and ""ROBERT MCCARVER"" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or ""WHAT""? Just read the ""FACTS"" on the ""TRIO OF TRASH""! CHO-CHOooooooooooooo ""

- 2429. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2430. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2431. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2432. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2433. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2434. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2435. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.

- 2436. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2437. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2438. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2439. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2440. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2441. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2442. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2443. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1853-1859 - Defamation per se

- 2444. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1846-1852 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2445. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2446. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

2447. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1860-1866 – Libel Per Se

- 2448. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1846-1852 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2449. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1867-1868 – Defamation orkin needed

- 2450. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Are you Rhondas pimp? Three black Men and several Women are filing a Lawsuit with Attorney Dan Meehan for 'DISEASES' they were infected with from 'UNIQUE TOUCH' and 'ORGIE BAR' above!"
- b. "Hellcat wrote: McCarver's attorney is Craig Henry, right? He's in court today trying tro get a convicted child molester back on the streets. "PERVERTS" hire and run with "PERVERTS"! Mark Lesher and Henry are butt hole buddies!..." Mark Lesher and Henry are Butt hole buddies! No way, no amount of money would I defen a "CONVICTED CHILD MOLESTER"! This Lesher, "MCCARVER" slime are bugs that need "ORKIN" to rid our county from these "DISEASE INFESTED" bugs. I ment real "BUTT HOLE" BUDDIES! .'PERVERTS"! Mark Lesher and Henry are butt hole buddies!..."
- 2451. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2452. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2453. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or implication.
- 2454. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2455. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.

- 2456. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2457. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2458. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2459. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2460. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2461. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2462. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2463. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2464. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2465. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2466. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2467. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2468. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2469. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted

with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1869-1870 – Libel Per Se

- 2470. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1867-1868 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2471. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1871-1872 – Defamation per se

- 2472. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1867-1868 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2473. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2474. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2475. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2476. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1873 – Defamation oulou

2477. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men

- \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut &extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!!! Gift Certificates Available"
- 2478. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2479. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2480. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2481. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2482. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2483. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2484. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2485. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2486. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2487. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2488. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2489. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2490. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2491. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 2492. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2493. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2494. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2495. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2496. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1874 – Libel Per Se

- 2497. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1873 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2498. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1875 - Defamation per se

- 2499. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1873 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2500. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct

- 2501. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2502. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2503. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1876 - Defamation

2504. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "****** "TRIO OF TRASH"***** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion,

saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. _______ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"?"

- 2505. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2506. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 2507. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2508. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2509. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2510. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2511. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2512. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2513. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2514. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2515. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the

issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1877 – Libel Per Se

- 2516. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1876 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2517. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1878 – Defamation per se

- 2518. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1876 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2519. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1879 – Defamation Pablo

- 2520. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Cinco the 'QUEER'!"
- 2521. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2522. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2523. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 2524. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2525. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 2526. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2527. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2528. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2529. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2530. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2531. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1880 - Defamation per se

- 2532. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1879 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 2533. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1881 – Libel Per Se

2534. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1879 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2535. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1882 – Defamation Paula

- 2536. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "You should post under truth. What you have just stated is true facts. Mark and Rhonda Lesher have cost taxpayers thousands of dollars. All in rrcounty know what has gone on at UT for years. Mark Lesher is a snake that needs to be caged. The only supporters Leshers have are rrpoliticks vile moreless people that disrupt this county. They trashed all law enforcement. Judges, D.A., anyone that would not let them rain. Suddenly after they are cought in one of their ill deads, and I hope will send them to jail they want people to believe they do no wrong. Robert McCarver is one of rrcountys worst residence, that alone and McCarver tied in with the Leshers rape charges should convience anyone of this trios guilt. The victoms in this case have came forward and should get all our support. I feel great pain for the victoms but if this rids us of these leeches something good can come from this evil."
- 2537. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2538. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2539. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 2540. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2541. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2542. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2543. The statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2544. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2545. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2546. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2547. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a).

More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1883 - Defamation per se

- 2548. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1882 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2549. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2550. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1884 – Libel Per Se

- 2551. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1882 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2552. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1885 – Defamation perverts

2553. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Mark Lesher holds several bonds on "ROBERT MCCARVER" and pays \$20,000.00 for McCarvers bond again! McCarver has no money, no job, no place to stay,, no drivers licence, can't read or write, but is a known "CRIMINAL",deals and takes DRUGS'!McCarver has a family history of "CHILD MOLESTING" and is now charged with Agg sexual Assault with the Leshers.McCarver lives with the Leshers and Lesher is keeping McCarver at his compound, "WHY" why would any respectible, moral person want McCarver living with them?Lesher is furnishing McCarver "MONEY", "CELL PHONE", CAR" and "HOUSING"! Allen lumber is delivering material to Leshers compound so lesher can refurbish a better place

for McCarver to live on Leshers Compund! Robert McCarver has 'CONFESSED' to Leshers 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' and outher 'CRIMINAL' activity!Lesher found out after he bonded McCarver out of jail again. Leshers Lawyers are trying too get McCarvers "Confession" thrown out. Lesher keeps McCarver close so he will not make another deall with RRCounty Sheriff or D.A. Val Varley."

- 2554. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2555. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2556. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2557. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2558. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2559. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2560. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2561. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2562. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2563. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2564. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2565. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2566. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2567. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

2568. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1886 - Defamation per se

- 2569. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1885 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2570. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2571. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2572. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1887 – Libel Per Se

- 2573. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1885 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2574. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1888-1893 – Defamation Perverts

- 2575. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I

have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get and out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not

b. "Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The ""McCarvers" known ""CHILD MOLESTERS"" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is ""QUEER"". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who

so crude."

stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers ""DRUGS"" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her ""DOGS"" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread ""HERPIES"", possibly ""AIDS"" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several ""ORGIES"", Her ""DOGS"" were inpounded for ""HERPIES""! This is one sick ""SLUT""! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernaliaand \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ####### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers ""DRUGS""! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this ""TRIO OF TRASH"" ruin?"

c. "Joe 6-pack wrote: Rhonda "TONGUES" my "BUTT"! For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The ""McCarvers"" known ""CHILD MOLESTERS"" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is ""QUEER"". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers ""DRUGS"" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her ""DOGS"" back

so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread ""HERPIES"", possibly ""AIDS"" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several ""ORGIES"", Her ""DOGS"" were inpounded for ""HERPIES""! This is one sick ""SLUT""! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers ""DRUGS""! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this ""TRIO OF TRASH"" ruin?"

"Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 d. 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#%

out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get and out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^^ _ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] ""CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH""!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this ""CRIMINAL"" pervert at their ""COMPOUND""! A ""ROPE"" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not

"Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The ""McCarvers"" known ""CHILD MOLESTERS"" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is ""QUEER"". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers ""DRUGS"" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her ""DOGS"" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread ""HERPIES"", possibly ""AIDS"" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several ""ORGIES"", Her ""DOGS"" were inpounded for ""HERPIES""! This is one sick ""SLUT""! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after respondin to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled

so crude."

substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long wer all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ####### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers ""DRUGS""! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this ""TRIO OF TRASH"" ruin?"

- f. "You Lesher "PERVERTS" like "TONGUEING" each outhers "BUTTS"!"
- 2576. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2577. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2578. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2579. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2580. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2581. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2582. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2583. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2584. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 2585. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 2586. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2587. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2588. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2589. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 2590. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2591. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2592. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2593. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2594. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1894-1899 – Libel Per Se

- 2595. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1888-1893 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2596. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1900-1905 - Defamation per se

- 2597. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1888-1893 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2598. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2599. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2600. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2601. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1906 – Defamation Perverts Leshers

2602. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1#2796 2 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 truth wrote: archives wrote: Facts: 1) McCarver worked for Mark 12 years as a Trusted employee. 2) For 12 Years McCarver came to the Leshers home, drank coffee, talked and worked. 3) During his 12 years of employment, Mark offered McCarver the opportunity to have sex with Rhonda many times, before work w/understanding he (Mark) could watch. 4) Mcarver had sex many times w/Rhonda at Marks home with his consent(Mark). 5) Now, Mark has a history with men having sex with his wives Rhonda and Linda. It goes like this, he would always like to be second or third, then have oral sex after they were done. No I am sorry I posted such graphics, but I am finding that Mark is the pervert here. I am seeing that the posters know much more about his pervsion they they want to admit to. So Rhonda must have agreed to this. And yet she has the audacity to try to tell people that she was forced to do something she didn't want to do? Lol/ I think it is becoming very clear as to just who the perverts are. And the followers of these perverts as well, namely John and Me. Rhonda and Mark Lesher has "HERPIES" and "AIDS" but are taking medicine. If you know anyone that was infected be Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher or Mark Lesher please call

- your local health department. Call Att. Dan Meehan Clarksville Texas for legal advice! Several Black Men and Women have Called. You can be in a "CASH" Class Action Law Suit!"
- 2603. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2604. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2605. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2606. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2607. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2608. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2609. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2610. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2611. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2612. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2613. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2614. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2615. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2616. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2617. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law.

Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1907 - Defamation per se

- 2618. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1906 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2619. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2620. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2621. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1908 – Libel Per Se

- 2622. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1906 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2623. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1909-1910 – Defamation Punk maker

2624. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Joe 6-pack wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the

one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and required into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?" (x2)

- 2625. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2626. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2627. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2628. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2629. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.

- 2630. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2631. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2632. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2633. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2634. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2635. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2636. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2637. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2638. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2639. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1911-1912 – Defamation per se

2640. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1909-1910 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 2641. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2642. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2643. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1913-1914 – Libel Per Se

- 2644. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1909-1910 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2645. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1915 – Defamation ralph

- 2646. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "really wish they still hanged criminals of this type. Live in annona and would love to put the rope around these three disgusting perverse creatures neck."
- 2647. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2648. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2649. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 2650. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2651. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2652. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2653. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.

- 2654. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2655. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2656. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2657. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2658. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2659. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2660. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2661. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1916 - Defamation per se

- 2662. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1915 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2663. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2664. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2665. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1917 - Libel Per Se

2666. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1915 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2667. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1918-1923 – Defamation Rhonda

2668. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- b. "|Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5 Tuesday Judged: 1 1 1 New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided

their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Can you imagine the diseases this "TRIO OF TRASH" spread? How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" destroy?"

- c. "Come on by the "UNIQUE TOUCH" and for your first visit I will "TONG" your "BUTT"! My Husband [pinky] Mark Lesher likes to watch! Sometimes Mark and "ROBERT MCCARVER" give each outher a "BLOW JOB" while I "TONGUE" their A*S! Buy a gift certificate for "BUTT TONGUEING" half price!"
- "African American day, half price~ Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! d. Rhonda the "TONGUE" Gives::: Free Hemmorid massage with first visit! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD" Gift Certificates Available haha " Residents of rrcounty waiting to see Mark and Rhonda, at the "UNIQUE TOUCH"!!!"

- e. "'HI', I am Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher the one 'RIDING' the Leg! I run the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' in Clarksville Texas! Come on by and get your Free 'BUTT TONGUE' by me on your first visit! Mark Lesher my 'QUEER' husband will watch for free!"
- f. "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#2 5 min ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#45 Monday Dec 1 "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! Hahhahaha ******* "TOAST" ******* New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated @@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@@"FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the

- "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooo hahhahahhaha "LOSERS" hahhahahahaha"
- 2669. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2670. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2671. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2672. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2673. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2674. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2675. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2676. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 2677. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 2678. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2679. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2680. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2681. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2682. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2683. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.

- 2684. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2685. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2686. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2687. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2688. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2689. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2690. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2691. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1924-1929 - Defamation per se

- 2692. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1918-1923 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2693. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2694. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2695. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

2696. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

2697. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1930-1935 – Libel per se

2698. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1918-1923 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2699. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2700. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 1936-1937 – Defamation Rhondas Dogs

2701. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4971 32 min ago lou wrote: Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33 PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings, our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. When I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get the hell out of here. Let Rhonda start trusting me again. I will get my sh#% and I'm going to get both my dogs and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and our dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. Me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of the bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Divorce Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher. McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] Your "QUEER" for sure, but you just posted the truth. "TRIO OF TRASH"!" (x2)

- 2702. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2703. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2704. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2705. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2706. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2707. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2708. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2709. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.

- 2710. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2711. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2712. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2713. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff
- 2714. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2715. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2716. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1938-1939 – Defamation per se

- 2717. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1936-1937 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2718. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2719. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2720. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1940-1941 – Libel Per Se

- 2721. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1936-1937 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2722. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1942-1946 – Defamation Rim Job Rhonda

2723. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 a. 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@Budweiser $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in

- b. "Come on by the 'UNIQUE TOUCH' and get a free 'BUTT TONGUE' job by Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher! If you like my 'HERO' pinky Mark Lesher will watch!"
- 2724. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2725. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2726. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2727. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2728. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2729. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2730. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2731. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2732. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2733. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2734. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.

- 2735. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2736. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2737. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2738. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2739. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2740. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2741. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2742. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1947-1951 – Defamation per se

- 2743. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1942-1946 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2744. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2745. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2746. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.

2747. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1952-1956 – Libel Per Se

2748. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1942-1946 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2749. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2750. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 1957 – Defamation rope

2751. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person o interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died.

Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!!Quote} "TRIO OF TRASH"!"

- 2752. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2753. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2754. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2755. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2756. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2757. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2758. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2759. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2760. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2761. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 2762. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2763. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2764. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2765. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2766. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a).

More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1958 - Defamation per se

- 2767. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1957 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2768. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2769. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2770. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1959 – Libel Per Se

- 2771. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1957 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2772. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2773. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Count 1960 – Defamation Roy

- 2774. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "All know Mike Rice and Mark Lesher, Rhonda also and you are right when you say indictments are coming, more charges on al of you Robert McCarver is a rat and he is and will finish hanging you on drugs, rape, and growing and selling dope. Hope you rot in jail herpies and all."
- 2775. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2776. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2777. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2778. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2779. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2780. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2781. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2782. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2783. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2784. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 2785. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2786. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2787. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2788. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.

- 2789. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2790. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2791. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2792. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2793. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1961 - Defamation per se

- 2794. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1960 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2795. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2796. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2797. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2798. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1962 – Libel Per Se

2799. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1960 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2800. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1963 – Defamation rrpoliticks

2801. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an

Attorney!"

- 2802. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2803. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2804. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2805. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2806. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2807. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2808. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2809. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2810. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2811. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2812. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2813. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2814. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2815. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2816. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of

exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1964 – Libel Per Se

- 2817. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1963 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2818. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1965 - Defamation per se

- 2819. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1963 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2820. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2821. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2822. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1966 – Defamation Russel

- 2823. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that," Mrs Brad--_ was getting her hair fixed by Rhonda three months ago and about a week later she came up with and eye infection she thought doctor said she had herpies in her eye, she is seventy three yrs old how is that possible she ask. The doctor said has she had a facial or anything? Said only my hair, doctor said beautician could have scratched privates with herpies virus and immediately touched her eye. Bet we will hear more about this soon. She told sister Rhonda was twitching and scratching alot?"
- 2824. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2825. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.

- 2826. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 2827. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2828. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2829. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2830. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2831. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2832. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2833. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2834. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2835. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2836. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2837. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2838. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2839. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2840. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 2841. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2842. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1967 - Defamation per se

- 2843. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1966 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2844. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2845. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2846. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2847. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1968 – Libel Per Se

- 2848. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1966 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2849. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1969 – Defamation russel

- 2850. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "rhonda always kept my nob polished when I was in town."
- 2851. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2852. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2853. The statement referred to Plaintiff by first name and/or indirectly.
- 2854. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2855. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2856. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2857. The statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2858. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2859. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2860. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2861. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1970 – Defamation per se

- 2862. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1969 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2863. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2864. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2865. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1971 – Libel Per Se

- 2866. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1969 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2867. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1972-1973 – Defamation sants

- 2868. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Rhonda["SLUT MCCARVER Long]Lesher, is a real draw for Marks business, "PERVERTS" "CHILD MOLESTERS" "DOPE HEADS" Criminals of allkind come to Clarksville then Lesher Defends them!"
- b. "Don't go [*n.b. to the Unique Touch*]! Rhonda has no mercy with her 'VIBRATORS', Watch Mark Lesher walk."
- 2869. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2870. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2871. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2872. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.

- 2873. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2874. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2875. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2876. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2877. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2878. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2879. The statement was false because Plaintiff has not participated in the conduct alleged by the Defendant.
- 2880. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2881. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2882. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2883. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1974-1975 – Libel Per Se

2884. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1972-1973 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s)

injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

2885. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1976-1977 – Defamation per se

- 2886. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1972-1973 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2887. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2888. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2889. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2890. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1978-1980 - Defamation Silver Bullet

- 2891. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Wel watch what I say now Mark Lesner is a lying dope pushin herpes invested piece of shit. If it was up 2 me any crime involving a child would just shoot in the head after they were indicted 2 hell with a trial or letting them live it up in prison. I say ROT in Hell. So go ahead and sue me hell call the rr sheriffs fbi or CSI I don't really give a damn what You say Meow. And as for Plain Truth give out some facts or shut the hell up."
- b. "You can call me by what name you choose. Hell if you want I can drive up there and buy you breakfast at McDonalds if you wish, you can even get the one with pankcakes. I'm someone who knows and has run around with Robert in the past and you try to make him a loving saint. Well you are dead rong. As a matter of fact I could name a lot of people (some of them are probably the ones supporting Robert on here) that hasnt been mentioned in here that are selling and making the dope. Not just Robert, Mark, Amy and Ricky there are lots more. And if people wish we can name them all right here. You know why mark is tryin 2 get Robert out for, because he is the nail in Mark and Rhonda's coffin, when gegt him out he will simply disappear."
- c. "So What you are saying is that the accuser was willing.. Well what about the 11yr old, Tell me how Rhonda is innocent from that?"

- 2892. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2893. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2894. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2895. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2896. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2897. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2898. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2899. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2900. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2901. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 2902. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2903. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2904. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2905. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 2906. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2907. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 2908. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2909. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2910. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1981-1983 - Defamation per se

- 2911. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1978-1980 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2912. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2913. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2914. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2915. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1984-1986 – Libel per se

- 2916. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1978-1980 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2917. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

2918. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1987-1988 – Defamation skank

- 2919. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#12 26 min ago oulou wrote: Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! Brides Day \$220 1/2 Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweed Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 1/2 Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour butt hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douche]. Includes Lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 1/2 Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application. Men's Spa Package \$60 1/2 Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure , Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD" Gift Certificates Available Haha"
- b. "If the Church and members were moral Christian people, they would run the Lesher "SCUMB" off, not support these "CRIMINALS" after 24 residents and two Grand Juries indicted them "TWICE"! There Criminal carges raised to a first degree felony! Robert McCarvers "CONFESSION" and outher evidence against this "TRIO OF TRASH", infested with diseases. Who in theur moral mind would want the Lesher "SCUMB" around? I didn;t indict this "TRASH", the Grand Jury did with overwhelming evidence!"
- 2920. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2921. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2922. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2923. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 2924. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2925. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.

- 2926. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2927. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2928. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2929. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2930. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2931. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 2932. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 2933. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 2934. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 2935. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2936. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2937. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2938. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 1989-1990 - Defamation per se

- 2939. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1987-1988 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2940. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2941. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 2942. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2943. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 1991-1992 – Libel Per Se

- 2944. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1987-1988 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2945. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1993-1994 – Defamation slimmmeeeee

2946. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, a. "Wonder if this Fello knows about "THE TRIO OF TRASH" past history? Could he be another "VICTOM"?@@@@@@@@@This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving,TX Reply >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

"This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual

Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 2947. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2948. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2949. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2950. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2951. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2952. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2953. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2954. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2955. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 2956. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2957. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2958. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2959. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2960. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

2961. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 1995-1996 – Libel Per Se

- 2962. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1993-1994 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2963. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1997-1998 – Defamation per se

- 2964. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1993-1994 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 2965. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2966. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2967. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 1990-2000 – Defamation Slut Rhonda Lesher

2968. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- a. "We been "RAILROADED"! How could that woman know I sucked and bit her vagina, then Mark and McCarver raped her? My ['HERO'] Mark Lesher gave her an oral douche, and sucked out the evidence!!!!!!!!!"
- "Reply>> lReport Abusel Judge it!l#2814 1 hr ago Judged: 2 2 2 Reply>>lReport b. Abusel Judge it!l#2796 2 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 truth wrote: archives wrote: Facts: 1)McCarver worked for Mark 12 years as a Trusted employee. 2)For 12 Years McCarver came to Leshers home, drank coffee, talked and worked. 3) During his 12 years of employment, Mark offered McCarver the opportunity to have sex with Rhonda many times, before work w/understanding he (Mark) could watch. 4)McCarver had sex many times w/Rhonda at Marks home with his consent(Mark). 5) Now, Mark has a history with men having sex with his wives Rhonda and Linda. It goes like this, he would always like to be second or third, then have oral sex after they were done. No I am sorry I posted such graphics, but I am finding that Mark is the pervert here. I am seeing that the posters know more about his pervsion they they want to admit to. So Rhonda must have agreed to this. And yet she has the audacity to try to tell people that she was forced to do something she didn't want to do? lol/ I think it is becoming very clear as to just who the perverts are. And the followers of these perverts as well, namely John and Me. Rhonda and Mark Lesher has 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS' but are taking medicine. If you or you know anyone that has been infected with 'AIDS', 'HERPIES' By Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert McCarver or Mark Lesher please call you r local health department. For legal assistance call Atorney Dan Meehan. Several Black Men and Women have Called. You can be in a 'CASH' Class Action Law Suit!.."
- 2969. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2970. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2971. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2972. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 2973. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 2974. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 2975. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 2976. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 2977. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 2978. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 2979. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 2980. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 2981. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 2982. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 2983. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 2984. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 2985. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 2986. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 2987. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2001-2002 – Defamation per se

2988. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1990-2000 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 2989. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 2990. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 2991. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 2992. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2003-2004 – Libel Per Se

- 2993. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 1990-2000 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 2994. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2005-2016 – Defamation Smiley

- 2995. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "These Mr Mark and Rhonda Lesher people believe the way Mr Robert McCarver does, if we raise and feed these kids we are sure going to molest them. Interesting how they post to say the least, and how they divert the topix. How could anyone with morals support the Leshers or McCarver? Past history says a lot, and look at theirs."
- b. "Man is Roy fired up I hope his father gets ok, we need him on the jury. I agree with all the has said. Justice will be served I am sure of that or the G.J. would not have indited on this big of a charge. Russl jones has been seen with the Leshers but that is his business, but I agree his article in the papers was abit much. There is a victom here and we all know the leshers are never victoms but are always trying to rape something or in this someone, a real person, not a dump, liquor store, or law suit a real decent honest person until they got her on dope. check her background not a pimple until the leshers and mccarver get her brain not to function and all for mony, wait until you see all the proof. Roy has even got me fired up and I hope you, we canno't let leshers get by with this."
- c. "Why would mark lesher get an attorney for bill and sharla woods of larned kansas, Shannons kid step grand parents? They only see the kids once a year when they go to Mexico to

buy drugs. The woods family has a history of child molstors, drug dealers and users. Registered child molester john woods of Lamed kansas and others. All three girls of bill woods lert home by age thirteen due to alcohlic, molesters, drugs this was also testified under oath rrcounty court house last February. Lesher and woods were trying to have Shannons kids put in foster care, "WHY"? lesher was still trying to control Shannon, and lesher and woods were talking how theywould split Jerry Coyles assets up. Rhonda Lesher, robert mccarver and lacy mccarver took the stand and lied about Jerry. Their testimony conflictd with each other. While leshers had Shannon hooked on drugs; lesher had Shannonn change her will and give him power of attorney over her assets.bill woods and leshers were pushing Shannon to get divorce and told her they could take Jerry to the cleaners. All this while Shannon was brain dead on drugs lesher was giving her. Jerry had no idea where Shannon was beacuse leshers were holding her captive at their ranch, while giving her drugs.mike rice, robert, mccarver, rhonda, lesher and mark lesher were the onlyones that knew where Shannon was, wonder why? There will be tons more when this is in trial. "FACTS" or record."

- d. "we know where she was at mark lesher getting raped and molested by rhonda who was performing oral sex on her. ROBERT MCCARVER, RHONDA, AND MARK LESHER all know they drugged her. If you wer not on the G.J. how do you know what day it happened, unless you know the exact day it did, so this has to be one of the perverts posting fishing for information!!!!!!!!BEND OVER MIKE RICE."
- e. "after they raped and molested her I heard mike rice have her an oral douche before she could get away. Thats his job, the clean up man."
- f. ""KATIE" has had brain cells die than you ever had! Which one of "IT" are you today? Are you dressed as man or woman? I guess when the Leshers go to jail your orgie parties will stop. Who will you get your drugs from?"
- g. "Guess who "Wow" and "News" is? A friend of mine still hangs around "UNIQUE TOUCH" and Rhonda has a friend in Dallas that is a 'transvestite' Yes this is a fact.Rhonda was laughing about how it was trashing topix. This is how sick the Leshers are, and I am trying to get its address. Its first name is Wynona, but will try to have its last name and address and I will post. Leshers are guilty of rape and think they are above the law and its funny Mark Lesher said D.A. Val Varleys to stupid to convict him 'TRANSVESTITES,' 'RAPIST'< 'CHILD MOLESTERS','DRUGS ','THEIVES',per verts of all kinds, dumps, liquor, greed, these are the most lying perverted bunch I have ever heard of."
- h. "Her name was Linda Velvi, mark leshers common law wife that took a wrap for him. He repaid her by kicking her out and let her go to Jail. Mike Rice was her brother and he helped lesher set her up and get rid of her. What a fine brother!Lindas son conviently killed his self after lasher got through with him. State Police still trying to get Lesher for that and drugs. Linda was talking before she conviently died??? This slimy bunch mark lesher, ronda lesher, mike rice, and robery mmcarver are perverts of the worst kind.just wait and see every law in Texas will question mccarver and they will all go down. Thats why lesher is trying everything he can to get mc carver out of jail. People you don't know me but all I have said is TRUE and are FACTS."

- i. "because lesher has someone watching topics and loves to intimidate people. Leshers truly believe they ar above the law.Look at all the frivolous law suits he has filed and how greedy he is. Nobody wants a dump or liquor but leshers, but if he can make money on it he don't care.Greed and intimidation is all he cares about and pervision."
- j. "Robert Mc Carver was living with mark and rhonda lesher when the rape occured, lesher was his bondsman and knew he was a child molester, thier, dope head, and sex pervert that is why leshers keep him around, perverts of the worst kind."
- k. "Wonder if they give you valtrex in prison or just let you rot away?"
- "Lets see now, the things Mark Lesher has done for rrcounty. Lesher tried to get two 1. crooks elected, wants medical waste dumps, wants recounty wt so all schum he represents can go get drunk and wreck killing decent folks, wants to grow weed, sells weed, sells dope, sex orgies at his bar and spreads herpies, drug and rape women while Ronda gives oral sex while they watch has known pervert and child molester stay with them to help with their pervision gets kick backs on projects like main street he siad was only 20,000,00, sells ray price drugs to make clarksville drug capitol, makes drugs, frivolous law suits to cost tax payers money, files frivolous charges against the Judge Jim Lovett one of our most respected Judges in his hand writing, holds women hostage with the help of grugs buys Rhonda strippers to have oral sex with and spread diseases while victon is drug controled writes frivolous papers and gave to her lawyer in his hand writing nearly ruining her family for greed, has victom sign power of attorney over to him so he could get her money, tris to have eleven year old boy to tell perverted lies to the Judge so he could get money, sued Judges, Sheriffs Department and others to many to write about and never wins a frivolous law suit but cost tax payers thousands of dollars, etc. I guess their is jsut not enough to convict a really nice guy according to some. Robert McCarver is even furnished a phone by Lesher wonder why? I guess you slimy scumb are right we will never prove a thing on this "ANTICHRIST'."
- 2996. The statement involved a private matter.
- 2997. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 2998. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 2999. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3000. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3001. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 3002. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3003. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 3004. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 3005. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3006. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3007. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3008. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 3009. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3010. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3011. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3012. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3013. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3014. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3015. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3016. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 3017. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3018. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2017-2028 – Defamation per se

- 3019. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2005-2016 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3020. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3021. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3022. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3023. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3024. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2029-2040 – Libel per se

- 3025. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2005-2016 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3026. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

3027. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2041 - Defamation Smiley friend

- 3028. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "These people molest a mans child and wife and have the gall to brag about it and the dead, whats wrong with you people? Why is Jerry letting them get by with this, sometimes you take action yourself. I hope Jerry has a reason and it is to let justice work, but if it doesn't w should all hope he has plan "B" I know I would."
- 3029. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3030. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3031. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 3032. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3033. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3034. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3035. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3036. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3037. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3038. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3039. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3040. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 3041. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3042. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3043. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2042 – Libel Per Se

- 3044. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2041 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3045. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2043 - Defamation per se

- 3046. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2041 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3047. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3048. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3049. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2044-2045 – Defamation Starla Witch

3050. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5 19 min ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are???????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ?????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"!" (x2)

- 3051. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3052. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3053. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 3054. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 3055. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3056. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3057. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3058. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3059. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3060. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3061. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3062. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3063. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3064. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3065. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2046-2047 - Defamation per se

- 3066. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2044-2045 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3067. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3068. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3069. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2048-2049 – Libel per se

- 3070. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2044-2045 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3071. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3072. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2050-2055 – Defamation Suzan

- 3073. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I don't believe you would have to pay for that "BULL DIKE" .Rhonda lesher gave her 'HERPIES' and wore her out. But if you sold her for what she thinks she is worth you would be rich. Tear this trash up "MISS FIRE"!!!!!!!"
- b. "Why are you so concerned about the little girls name posted, but not the little boy D.J.who was a victom of Mark Lesher?Strange to say the least. Has anyone answered why the Leshers let Robert Mccarver live with them? Also why they held the victom for two weeks and did not call her husband? Why is Mark Lesher trying so hard to bond McCarver out? Why did Mark Lesher hire Mc Carver an attorney, furnish his cell phone and give him money? Why is Mark Lesher allowed to visit McCarver in RRCounty Jail as much as he does? Have anyone heard of an attorney like this?"
- c. "Why was a know criminal living with the Leshers? Why did Mark Lesher not call Jerry? Mark knew him and mooched off him for years as has been posted. Why won't you Lesher

supporters tell some facts? You all have a short memory. Leshers and McCarvers went to Jail not the victom. Leshers drug buddy Robert McCarver is still in Jail. The G.J. indited them on rape charges, not the victom, their had to be evidence of that would not have happened. I hope all their paid and morless supporters ar there when they go to Jail."

- d. "These Lesher perverts don't care about either, its just a smoke screen to change the topic. Good question.'WHY DON'T YOU LESHER PERVERTS SCREAM ABOUT THE VICTOM D.J. COYEL, OR SHANNON COYELS NAME POSTED? Cocerned about your perverted hero Mark Lesher and his Perverted Oral sex 'HERPIES' wife Rhonda Lesher. What is this slut had give the victom 'HERPIES'."
- e. "If you know anyone with information about Rhonda or Mark Leshers anomally sex activity please call D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksville Texas 75426!!!!!!!!"
- f. "You 'FOOL' the GJ are moral people that know these perverts and their history of pervision. Proof is always given and the GJ is always ask if they want to talk to the perverts charged."
- 3074. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3075. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3076. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3077. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3078. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3079. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3080. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3081. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3082. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3083. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.

- 3084. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3085. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3086. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3087. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 3088. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3089. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3090. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3091. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3092. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2056-2061 – Libel per se

- 3093. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2050-2055 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3094. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

3095. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2062-2067 – Defamation per se

- 3096. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2050-2055 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3097. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3098. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3099. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3100. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2068 - Defamation The Reason

- 3101. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "The Leshers were hand cuffed and taken to rrcounty jai house. The reason for the arrest is for the sexual assault on Shannon. They were able to bond out on a 100,000 each. But for some even greater news they will be rearrested soon for many other suits filed against them. So to the Leshers "You are not above the LAW" in rrcounty like you thought you were. HA HA HA this ones on you and more to come very soon. LOL, LOL, LOL!!!!!!!!"
- 3102. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3103. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 3104. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3105. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3106. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3107. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 3108. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3109. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3110. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3111. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3112. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2069 - Libel Per Se

- 3113. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2068 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3114. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2070 - Defamation per se

- 3115. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2068 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3116. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2071 – Defamation THE WHOLE TRUTH

- 3117. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "My name is Shannon Coyel and I am married to Jerry Coyel a very wealthly man in Clarksville Tx that everyone is talking about. I am the lady that MARK Lescher and Rhonda Long did these awful and disguting things to. They are very sick and desperate people. Mark Lescher is a pharmastist and lawyer who makes and sells illegal drugs. He got me hooked on these and nearly ruined my life. I am currently seeking relief from D A office, FBI, State Police and State Bar Ass. Trying to get his license revoked and sent to prison where he belongs. All of these allagations have been filed. And some are of court records. Rhonda Long testified under oath at Clarksville Court House about me being held captive and held at their will at there house for over 2 weeks. If this was not true I would not be opening myself up for a lawsuit by that monster who tried to destroy my life and my familys life just for Jerry's money. My number is listed in Clarksville phone book if any of you have any questions I would be glad to talk about it and even show proof where I have made many documents. Also have a copy of Judge Lovetts file Lescher sent to Austin. He fraudulently wrote himself. This is not about Robert Bridges but is about Lescher trying to get Abbott and Hamiltion into office so he can try and escape these truthful facts like he has always had a fall guy like his ex-wife Linda who went to prison. If you vote Abbott or Hamiliton you surely wont clean up RRCounty."
- 3118. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3119. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3120. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 3121. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3122. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3123. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3124. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3125. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3126. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 3127. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3128. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3129. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3130. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3131. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3132. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2072 – Libel Per Se

- 3133. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2071 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3134. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2073 – Defamation per se

3135. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2071 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 3136. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3137. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3138. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2074-2076 – Defamation them

- 3139. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "When these Women found out Mark Lesher was "QUEER" they divirced him! Rhondas "BUTT TONGUE" keeps Lesher happy. Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!"
- b. ": AFTER THESE WOMEN FOUND OUT LESHER WAS "QUEER" THEY DIVORCED HIM! Rhondas "BUTT TONGUE" keeps Lesher happy! [Quote] Helldog wrote: I

am 'QUEER' like Mark Lesher. Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing on and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced january 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U.S. Department of Justice U.S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group f six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justic charges have been files against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... "LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda [long] Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linday Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the 'ANTICHRIST' has had the F.B.I. After him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVERSION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD for D.A. Val Varley"!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!!!" (x2)

- 3140. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3141. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3142. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3143. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3144. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3145. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3146. The statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.

- 3147. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3148. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3149. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3150. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3151. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3152. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3153. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2077-2079 – Libel Per Se

- 3154. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2074-2076 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3155. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2080-2082 - Defamation per se

3156. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2074-2076 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

3157. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2083 – Defamation Toby

- 3158. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "'LESBIAN', Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher serving drinks at her 'ORGIE BAR'! Wonder if this fello knows she was indicted with 'ROBERT MCCARVER' and Mark Lesher for 'DRUGGING' a woman then trying to Give her an 'PRAL DOUCHE'[sucked her vagina raw] then McCarver and Lesher 'RAPED' the victom. Wonder what his 'FATE' will be?"
- 3159. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3160. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3161. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3162. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3163. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3164. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3165. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3166. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3167. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3168. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3169. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted

with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2084 - Defamation per se

- 3170. Additionally and/or alternatively, Defendant's written statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3171. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3172. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2085 – Libel Per Se

- 3173. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2083 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3174. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2086-2087 – Defamation toliver

- 3175. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Lou wrote: Steve wrote: <quoted text> Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at this time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. Divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months. How many wived are dead from dugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? lou wrote: Mark Lesher, main person o interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana

podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Well I see you are blaming The perverted piece of shit Lesher who I know is Queer! As to how can Mark Lesher not know what Linda Velvin was doing...The same way I know What Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher is doing, Rape, pervision, spreading "HERPIES"! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break.

Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION"

and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS"

:::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!"

b. "If you know anyone with information about Rhonda[Long]Lasher, Robert Lynn McCarver or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex or drug activity please contact D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksvillt Texas 75426.####### Mark Lesher sued Jerry Conway five times while he was Sheriff all "FRIVOLOUS"! Mark Lesher had bragged, When Robert Bridges gets in office, I will bleed RR County dry. Lesher said it coust me \$50.00 to file a lawsuit an the county thousands to defend it.###### The D.A. and G.J. have done their Job "TWICE" now lets do ours. lou Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ----- Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in

earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals. ----- Nothing about the landfill, frivolous law suits, just "FACTS" from crime they comitted, "DRUGS", "RAPE", "PERVISION"!!!!!!!Mo re charges to come! Rhonda Linda Velvin Lesher! Ole big mouth Rhonda[long]Lesher said I'm a Long, my brother and us were railroaded,!!"

- 3176. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3177. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3178. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3179. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3180. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3181. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3182. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3183. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3184. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3185. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3186. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a).

More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2088-2089 – Libel per se

- 3187. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2086-2087 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3188. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3189. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2090-2091 – Defamation per se

- 3190. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2086-2087 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3191. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3192. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3193. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2092 – Defamation Trash

3194. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "I know Mark and Ronda personally, have been to their bar and Ronda has cut my wifes hair. They have orgies at both places after I found out I didn't want to be around them again they are very

sick people. If you don't beleive me ask around, someone wrote on here white trash I agree Why would anyone want Robert McCraver a convictd fellon and registered sex affender around them, he is the one out on bond for 51 sticks of dynamite, drug charges and who knows what else. By their on admission he stays at thir house and Mark is his bondsman and lawyer. Mc Carver don't work so what services does he provide for them? I know Jerry when I see him and have heard nothing bad about him, I saw him buy animals at the fair from kids and has donated to things for kids. When Mr Harrington was DA I know he had two dozers working at Lennox house for free Jack told me himself so you make your own mind up I have."

- 3195. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3196. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3197. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3198. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3199. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3200. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3201. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3202. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3203. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3204. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3205. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2093 – Libel Per Se

3206. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2092 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3207. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2094 – Defamation per se

3208. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2092 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

3209. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2095 – Defamation trio of trash

3210. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "AOL 1 min ago @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage

- 3211. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3212. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3213. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3214. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3215. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3216. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3217. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3218. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3219. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3220. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3221. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.

- 3222. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3223. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3224. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3225. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2096 – Defamation per se

- 3226. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2095 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3227. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3228. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3229. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2097 – Libel Per Se

- 3230. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2095 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3231. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2098-2099 – Defamation TRIO of TRASH

3232. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, a. "This 'SCUMB' is going to Jail anyway! You are the sick one! Do you swing with this 'TRIO OF TRASH'? Get 'DRUGS' from them?"

"This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! b. While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to

kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an

3233. The statement involved a private matter.

Attorney!"

- 3234. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3235. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3236. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 3237. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3238. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3239. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3240. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3241. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3242. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3243. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3244. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3245. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3246. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3247. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2100-2101 - Libel Per Se

- 3248. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2098-2099 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3249. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2102-2103 – Defamation per se

- 3250. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2098-2099 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3251. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3252. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3253. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2104 – Defamation Trouble

- 3254. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, ""KATIE" I am so sorry for what I said , have been at mark and ronda leshers unique touch in clarksville sucking spearm marks sick and has to have stomack pumped too much spearm hope he son't have "AIDS' like ronda yet."
- 3255. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3256. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3257. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 3258. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 3259. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3260. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.

- 3261. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3262. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3263. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3264. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3265. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3266. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3267. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3268. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3269. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3270. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3271. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3272. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3273. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2105 – Defamation per se

- 3274. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2104 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3275. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3276. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3277. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3278. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2106 – Libel Per Se

- 3279. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2104 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3280. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2107 – Defamation Truth

3281. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "lou wrote: If you know anyone with information about Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher Or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex activity please call D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksville Texas 75426. The G.J. have done their job, lets do ours! Mark Lesher was bragging when Sheriff Robert Bridges gets in office he will sue and bleed RRCounty dry! Lsher said it cost him \$50.00 to file a lawsuit and the County thousands to defend it. Mark Lesher was also bragging their will be "DUMPS" and "LIQUOR" before long in RRCounty. .######## "PLEASE" ######## "YOU" "CALL"########### ######## "CAN" ######## "REMAIN"######## "ANNOMUS" #######"THANK YOU" #####.Havent we had enough of this? 'PERVISION' 'DRUGS' FRIVOLOUS LAWSUITS'?####### lou Logan IL Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#753 13 hrs ago Eye Balled You wrote: <quoted text> Remember how I looked at you Val?I was standing in the back remember?When you saw me glaring at you, you hurried up and looked away. You are a Chicken! LOOK HOW MANY TIMES LESHERS PERVERTS HAVE TRIED TO GET THIS REMOVED!######## lou Tamaroa, IL Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#1060 #### Hil AOL Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#1113 27 min ago ######### Why has this post worried so many to have it Judge so much? If you have nothing to hide why worry, but then again if you know this trio as I you can understand. Lou White."

- 3282. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3283. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3284. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 3285. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3286. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3287. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3288. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3289. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3290. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3291. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3292. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2108 – Libel Per Se

- 3293. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2107 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3294. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2109 - Defamation per se

- 3295. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2107 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3296. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2110-2116 – Defamation truth

- 3297. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "I promise you that want "JUSTICE" it will prevail. "KARMA IS A BITCH" especially when 'PERVERTS' molest the wrong mans family!"
- b. ""BRILLIANT"!!! What you have posted is the whole truth, and an investigation was ongoing by the F.B.I. and State Police and D.A. office. The F.B.I. want Mark Lesher for several outher crimes, I know people they have Questioned including Jerry Coyel, and Linda Velvin before she died. Mark Lesher gave Jerry Coyel drugs when he was working out at race for life before his back surgery, Human Groth Hormone, Testitrone, Pills, Viagra, deca, trying to sell Jerry these drugs at a discount. Jerry was taking groth hormone, testirone, and deca but nothing else. Jerry said he knew these were prescription drugs and need a prescription to get them. Jerry wanted to be sure there were from a doctor and safe and legal. Jerry saved these drugs for years mark lesher gave him as a sample of what he could get him. "GUESS WHAT"? When the F.B.I. Questioned Jerry and Shannon about what drugs lesher gave Shannon before and after they raped Shannon. Jerry Coyel gave the F.B.I. these ileagal drugs mark had gave him to sample, and they have serial numbers as to where they came from. The dots are being connected and the serial numbers lead to guess who "MARK LESHER"! I have waited for months for the F.B.I. to finally take Lesher down, but they don't get in a hurry. This is just a sample of what Lesher is facing. The rrcounty Sheriff Office has these records."

- c. "how can you deny the truth? Rhonda[Long]Lesher, known to be a bysexual and perverted! Robert Lynn McCarver, known criminal, child molester, thief, dope head, dope dealer, convicted and is on bond for 5 different felonies now. Mark Lesher is his lawyer and bondsman. Mark Lesher, frivolous law suits, known pervert, drug dealer, known drunk. These are just a few things in their history, now "RAPE" and "Child Abuse". This 'TRIO OF TRASH' live together, and they, they, I said "THEY" testified to that in court. Why would a friend, "yall said" of Jerrys keep his wife held captive on drugs for two weeks and not call him. This was also testified in court by Robert McCarver, Rhonda lied and said three days, and when was caught in the lie, changed her story to I don't know how long Shannon was there or where she went! This is all Court Record, "LOOK" for yourself. This 'TRIO OF TRASH' will go to Jail. There is a lot more evidence you will hear in court."
- d. "archives wrote: Facts: 1)McCarver worked for Mark 12 years as a Trusted employee. 2)For 12 Years McCarver came to Leshers home, drank coffee, talked and worked. 3)During his 12 years of employment, Mark offered McCarver the opportunity to have sex with Rhonda many times, before work w/understanding he (Mark) could watch. 4)McCarver had sex many times w/Rhonda at Marks home with his consent(Mark). 5)Now, Mark has a history with men having sex with his wives Rhonda and Linda. It goes like this, he would always like to be second or third, then have oral sex after they were done. No I am sorry I posted such graphics, but I am finding that Mark is the pervert here. I am seeing that the posters know more about his pervsion they they want to admit to. So Rhonda must have agreed to this. And yet she has the audacity to try to tell people that she was forced to do something she didn't want to do? lol/ I think it is becoming very clear as to just who the perverts are. And the followers of these perverts as well, namely John and Me. Rhonda and Mark Lesher has 'HERPIES' and 'AIDS' but are taking medicine."
- e. -- "If you know anyone that was infected be Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher or Mark Lesher please call your local health department. These are incruable diseases. Call Att. Dan Mehan Clarksville Texas for legal advice!"
- f. "Robert McCarver is living most of the time with the Leshers, Mark gives him [Robert McCarver] money and drugs and sometimes takes him to O.K. To stay. Robert tried to stay at his sisters, paying her by she ran him off. It's bad when a registered sex offender Mary Jane Paine Roberts own sister don't want him around, but the Leshers do!\$20.000.00 dollars Mark Lesher paid to bond McCarver out and is still paying. "WHY"?"
- g. "NEWS FLASH'---Rhonda[Long]Lesh er is telling the Rotary Club, This is it, no more chanches! Me, Robert McCarver, and my "HERO" "CINCO" Mark Lesher want to teach a class on "RAPE", "CHILD MOLESTING" "DRUGS", "ORGIES", "HERPIES", "AIDS", and "DYNAMITE" Just to begin with. We will teach how lie and twist facts later. We are experienced, we have two Grand Jury indictments, 24 people heard evidence and indicted us! Ok, we messed up on one victim, while I was sucking and biting her vigana giving her and oral douche she woke up, then Mark and McCarver rped her, but after that Mark gave her another oral douche and ate the evidence. We are known as "THE TRIO OF TRASH" and we have proof of our perversion! If you don't let us teach, "FRIVOLOUS LAW SUIT LESHER" will sue. Mark

- said it cost him \$50.00 to file a law suit but will cost you thousands to defend. Mark has proof, look how much has coust the county. From "THE TRIO OF TRASH"!!!"
- 3298. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3299. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3300. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3301. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 3302. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3303. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3304. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3305. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3306. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3307. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3308. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3309. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3310. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3311. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3312. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.

- 3313. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3314. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3315. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3316. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2117-2123 – Defamation per se

- 3317. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2110-2116 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3318. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3319. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3320. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3321. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2124-2130 – Libel per se

3322. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2110-2116 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 3323. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3324. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2131 – Defamation TRUTHFULLY

- 3325. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "OKAY, SO RHONDA HAS ALWAYS LIKED WOMEN... DON;T YALL REMEMBER THE DIRTY PHOTOS OF HER AND BURRELL HARVEY'S EX, MARYANN THAT SHE BRAGGED AND SHOWED OFF ALL OVER THE UNIQUE TOUCH YEARS BACK???? AS FOR MR. MCCARVER... WELL HE AND HIS WHOLE FAMILY (all of his brothers and some of his cousins) HAVE ALL BEEN IN TROUBLE FOR MOLESTATION. ALL THE REST HAVE HAD CONVICTIONS. GO FIGURE."
- 3326. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3327. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3328. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3329. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3330. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3331. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3332. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3333. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3334. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3335. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

3336. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2132 – Libel Per Se

- 3337. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2131 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3338. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2133 – Defamation per se

- 3339. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2131 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3340. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2134 – Defamation u know

- 3341. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Health department, needs to make them [n.b. The Leshers] take a blood test."
- 3342. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3343. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.

- 3344. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3345. The statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3346. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3347. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3348. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3349. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3350. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3351. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2135 – Libel Per Se

- 3352. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2134 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3353. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2136 – Defamation per se

- 3354. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2134 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3355. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3356. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3357. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2137 – Defamation U nu

3358. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "This is what Perverted "SCUM" can cause! "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberatley by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are???????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@@ :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids!

These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!!"

- 3359. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3360. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3361. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3362. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3363. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3364. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3365. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3366. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3367. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3368. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3369. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3370. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3371. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3372. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3373. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a).

More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2138 – Libel per se

- 3374. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2137 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3375. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3376. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2139 - Defamation per se

- 3377. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2137 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3378. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3379. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3380. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2140-2142 – Defamation

umm

- 3381. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Yall are a bunch of puss—s, We are scared to death "FOOL" aint it about time to molest your kids?"
- b. "Ment, Ain't it time for you to "MOLEST" your kids, "PERVERT"!!!!!!!"
- c. "Dan Meehand knows the Leshers and hates them, he will sue for Shannon with help from outhers soon! Why don't you have the Leshers take a 'BLOOD TEST' and publish the results, from a repitable hospital."
- 3382. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3383. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3384. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3385. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3386. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3387. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3388. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3389. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3390. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3391. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3392. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3393. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.

- 3394. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3395. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3396. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3397. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3398. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2143-2145 – Defamation per se

- 3399. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2140-2142 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3400. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3401. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3402. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3403. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2146-2148 – Libel Per Se

3404. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2140-2142 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3405. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2149-2152 – Defamation uol

3406. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick a. together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has

seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"

b. "Joe 6-pack wrote: For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Lesher "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her hsband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times she now has spread "HERPIES", possible "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS: were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said.############# Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?" (x3)

3407. The statement involved a private matter.

- 3408. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3409. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3410. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 3411. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3412. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3413. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3414. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3415. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3416. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3417. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3418. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3419. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3420. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3421. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2153-2156 – Libel Per Se

- 3422. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2149-2152 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3423. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2157-2160 – Defamation per se

- 3424. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2149-2152 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3425. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3426. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3427. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2161 – Defamation uuTHEM

3428. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry

also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons.

McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahalololololo [Quote] With no dog in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, their is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

- 3429. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3430. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 3431. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 3432. The statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3433. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3434. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.

- 3435. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3436. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3437. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3438. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2162 – Libel Per Se

- 3439. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2161 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3440. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2163 – Defamation per se

- 3441. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2161 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3442. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2164 – Defamation Victoms watch out

- 3443. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "You "MORON"! The only "RUBBER" you know about is Rhonda[Long] Leshers "RUBBER" toyies! Indictment was raised to Agg. Sexual Assault because of McCarvers "CONFESSION" and outher evidence! Did you take your "VALTREX" today?"
- 3444. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3445. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3446. The statement referred to Plaintiff indirectly.
- 3447. The statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3448. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3449. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3450. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3451. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 3452. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3453. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3454. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3455. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3456. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted

with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2165 – Libel Per Se

- 3457. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2164 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3458. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2166 – Defamation per se

- 3459. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2164 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3460. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3461. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3462. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2167-2168 – Defamation Wanda

- 3463. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that
- a. "The trio would by now know their goose is cooked, so their followers know it to. ilbedipt and lou have always told the truth, and what they have posted came true. After the second trial and arrest the trio must be guilty. Fromall I know about this trio, nothing surprises me."
- b. "Forgive me, I ment indictment. Their will be a trial, and when the truth is told, and this trios past history is exposed, they will be convicted."

- 3464. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3465. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 3466. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3467. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3468. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3469. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3470. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3471. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3472. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3473. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3474. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2169-2170 – Libel Per Se

3475. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2167-2168 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3476. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2171-2172 – Defamation per se

- 3477. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2167-2168 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3478. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2173-2179 – Defamation Watch Dog

- 3479. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Marl Lesher is not only supporting "ROBERT MCCARVER" and his "CHILD MOLESTING" family! Stacy Coleman the black man Chassity, Roberts daughter had a baby by they with Lacy McCarver are also living with the Leshers! Lesher is trying to keep all his "DRUG" salesmen close! Stacy is married with several kids but is living with Chassity with the McCarvers! You think this is strange? Why is Mark and Rhonda living with this "SCUM"? "I KNOW"! Trying to keep them from talking! hahhaahhaha Lesher is a "FOOL"! As soon as they bleed Lesher for all they can they will "CONFESS"! Ask around Stacy Coleman is known well among "DRUG HEADS" "PERVERTS" and "CRIMINALS"! Leshers Compound is really growing!"
- b. "That is true, Leshers had Shannon captured and hidden for two weeks while giving her "DRUGS" as testified to in Court by Rhonda and McCarver! While being "DRUGGED" Rhonda gave her an "ORAL DOUCHE" by "BITING" and "SUCKING" on her vagina. Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" were playing with their selves and each outher then "RAPED" Shannon. McCarver has "CONFESSED" this to the DA and Sheriff before Lesher paid \$20,000.00 bond to stop him from talking!"
- c. "REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #93 Friday Nov 7 REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #36 Yesterday REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #6161 Saturday Nov 1 Joe 6- pack wrote: For any new comers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took of f with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her beacuse.she wanted to leave her husband because he is 'QUEER'.She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and

knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark) She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the trascript of which has been posted many times on this boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physicall evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times She now has spread 'HERPIES', possible 'AIDS' she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several 'ORGIES', Her 'DOGS' were inpounded for 'HERPIES'! This is one sick 'SLUT'! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on july 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 hwy.37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of controlled substance over 400 grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine(estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately twoo ouncs of maijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drugs paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17 -month old child was present at the residence and was taken away byy Child Protective Services. The child is now with its material grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the about 8 p.m on July 2, made contact with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the backk door and remain at large, according to Reed." As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in a plain view, "Reed said."The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and the Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and i expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case, "Reed said. ####### Ricky Long, RhondaLong Leshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH "ruin?" (x3)

d. "REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #1 Wednesday Oct 15 Judged: 2 2 2 ****** "FACTS"** Lesher the "FOOL"!***: "PERVERTS and "PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph eaxminer Eric J. Holden of Texas,, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and Prominent advocate for the post -conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders , was accused of sxually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Dpartment Of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently" prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program. "Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures and Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygram screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth , Tx Reply>> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #530337 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex... Hellcat Txarkana , AR REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #530417 min ago Uh-oh Thanks

.Awareness.http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sexual harassment. shtml .Rhonda Lesher 'THREATENS' Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me 'ROBERT MCCARVER', and Mark wants to teach a class on how to 'DRUG' and 'RAPE' a 'VICTOM' 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' 'CHILD MOLESTING' 'ORAL SEX' 'ORGIES' 'HERPIES' and 'DYNAMITE' we have vast 'EXPERIENCE' on! We have proof of our experince! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on the bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCH" sucking and bitting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with theirself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A.. "ROBERT BRIDGS" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sherrif, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorantt and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE" 1 Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB"you live with, but it looks good!" (x2)

- 3480. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3481. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3482. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3483. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3484. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3485. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3486. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3487. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3488. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 3489. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 3490. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3491. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3492. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3493. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 3494. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3495. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3496. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3497. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3498. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2180-2186 – Libel Per Se

3499. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2173-2179 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3500. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2187-2193 – Defamation per se

- 3501. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2173-2179 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3502. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3503. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3504. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3505. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2194-2199 – Defamation Watching

3506. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- a. "I believe you are right! Rhonda had Mark pay \$20,000.00 dollars Bond so "ROBERT MCCARVER" could live with them. Lesher agreed, they would both beifit. Rhonda could "TONGUE" McCarver! Lesher could watch, and do each outher. They could keep McCarver from "CONFESSING" more! Now the Leshers even have Stacy Coleman a Black man to do them! Leshers and their "LOVERS"! "WAIT"! Leshers didn't have to pay fore Coleman. "YET" Wonder how Bill and Sharla Woods like "DARK" meat??? hahhahhahhahhahhahhah********* "LOSERS" **********
- b. "ilbedipt wrote: BOY oh boy, Is Rhonda on a tear!!! Now she is telling all that will listen to her, anymore, That the GRAND JURY was not made up of HER peers as reguired by law. She said that because there were BLACK PEOPLE on the jury is was not fair to her, because she is not black and that only white people are her peers. She went on and on and on about the black people of RR county were all on welfare and do nothing for the community and that she does so much public work. "There is no way I can be treated fairly by black people, for I am not black and because they all do not like white people with money." quote by RHONDA LESHER. She said she will take this GJ to the supreme court if she has to until she gets this indictment thrown out because the D.A. did not put enough WHITE people on it. ----------- Now ,if you do not believe ME. Then go to town and talk to Rhonda or the other people that have heard it. She also is now upset because she is having to send the girls to peoples home to do thier hair ,because

many do not want to come in and listen to all the Talk she does about this case and several had witnessed the fight between her and Mark over McCarver. Here it is wrote: A plea to grand jurors Dear Editor, I want to make a public plea to all present and future grand jurors to please become the independent body you are called upon to be. My husband and I have now been accused twice of a crime that never happened. You have been selected to perform a very important duty. You are the most powerful law enforcement body in Red River County. You have the right and duty to investigate any crimes brought to your attention by anybody, not just the district attorney. You have the right to request your own investigator; to subpoena and call any witnesses so that you can determine whether or not you have probable belief a crime has been committed. The law states you shall not be a rubber stamp for the DA. You have the right to determine the agenda, when to meet, when to recess, and when to call it a day. Please be independent, think, ask questions, and see that justice is done. Rhonda Lesher Rhonda Lesher now has insulted the Grand Jury, Rhonda thinks they are all ignorant and need her to tell them how to think. The G.J. are given a handbook on their rules, but Rhonda think's their too stupid to read. Rubber stamp, Rhonda called them is this not an insult to their morals and intellIgence? Who does she think she is? "OH" I know "RHONDA{LONG}LESHER, I'M a "LONG" "RICK LONG" my brother that was arrested with a load of my husbands "DOPE", was trying to help the needy. Mark Lesher the "HERO" said we are above the law Why did Mark Lesher bond out Robert McCarver again? Furnish him \$1000.00, a cell phone? ######## "MARK LESHERS LOVER"######## @@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda must think its "GREAT" to "TONGUE" and have "SEX" with Black people like Stacy Coleman, just not her Juriors!"

- c. "Thats exactly what Rhonda said hen Stacy Coleman was making out with her! Rhonda told Stacy, I 'LOVE' dark meat! Rhonda said you will like my 'TONGUE'! I really don't think Rhonda was going to run of with Red, she just wanted to 'TONGUE' him and his friends!!!"
- d. "Last time I looked "MORON" this thread is about "THE TRIO OF TRASH" three "PERVERTS" that "RAPED" a helpless woman! Agg Sexual Assault! I do have difficulties with "SCUM" like you and these "PERVERTS", "BUGS"!"
- "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#76 7 hrs ago ***** "FACTS"** Lesher the "FOOL"!***: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders. Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a

"VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of recounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"

- f. "'ROBERT MCCARVER' and the 'TONGUER' Rhonda! Hahhaha"
- 3507. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3508. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3509. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3510. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3511. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3512. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3513. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3514. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3515. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3516. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.

- 3517. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3518. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3519. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3520. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff does not have said loathsome disease.
- 3521. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3522. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3523. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3524. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3525. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2200-2205 – Defamation per se

- 3526. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2194-2199 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3527. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 3528. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3529. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3530. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2206-2211 – Libel Per Se

- 3531. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2194-2199 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3532. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2212-2217 – Defamation well well well

- 3533. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "\$20,000.00 "LOVER" "ROBERT MCCARVER" infested with "HERPIES" and "AIDS" and type "C" from drug needles and "ORGIES"! He is still living with the Leshers! Rember when you go to the "UNIQUE TOUCH" you do at your own risk, they already have been infected!"

_____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client,

what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude.[Quote] Rhond is "HOT"!Look for sparks to fly!"

"Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33 PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me......Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. When I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get the hell out of here. Let Rhonda start trusting me again. I will get my sh#% and I'm going to get both my dogs and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and our dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. Me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of the bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Divorce Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL"

d. "Reply" |Report Abuse| Judge it! | #23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Rply" |Report Abuse| Judge it! #6414 22 hrs ago |lou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case???????? The little girl is OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where and ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had turn it over to Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask ... WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not, even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN...WHAT GAIN????? I just got of the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy dos not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal, this person insist it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening...that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over the accident THANK YOU RHONDA, MARK and other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are ????????? Such caring and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods) on the Oklahoma family.;;; Remember the story told to the "CPS",!???????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machett, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut cut his head off, missed and cut his rm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"!This was the File, Papers, shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda [McCArver, long] Lsher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he [Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM ' I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outhers bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT "PURE WHITE TRASH"!" (x3)

- 3534. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3535. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3536. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3537. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3538. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3539. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3540. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3541. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3542. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3543. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3544. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3545. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3546. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3547. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3548. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3549. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 3550. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3551. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3552. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2218-2223 – Defamation per se

- 3553. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2212-2217 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3554. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3555. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3556. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3557. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2224-2229 – Libel per se

3558. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2212-2217 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 3559. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3560. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2230 – Defamation what wrong

- 3561. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "The leshers raping and drugging any person is very wrong. Thats what is WRONG!!!!"
- 3562. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3563. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3564. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3565. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3566. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3567. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3568. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3569. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3570. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3571. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3572. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3573. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 3574. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3575. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3576. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2231 – Libel Per Se

- 3577. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2230 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3578. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2232 – Defamation per se

- 3579. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2230 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3580. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3581. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3582. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2233-2235 – Defamation Worthit

- 3583. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Hey Rhonda Long Lesher was getting in with Bill and Sharla worth all this?? Guess you thought Shannon would keep her mouth shut but did you really think she would keep her mouth shut when YOU LIED and tried to help Bill a known child raper/beater try and take her kids. Should of thought before you acted. Hope you don't end up like al of Mark's XXXXXXXXX's???!!!!!!! Would your HERO do this to you?"
- b. "So Mark or I mean Pinky how does it feel to have your little pinky fate in the hands of 12 jurors??????? All you had to do is call this lady's husband and say hey look come get your wife instead you feed her drugs, take over her affairs, help her find an attorney, file motion against Lovett, and then think you, Rhonda and Robert could rape her and her not tell. Your only evidence is this so called recording not much to go on is it Pinky?"
- c. "Why would Mark pay so much money out of his pocket to keep this Robert guy out of jail? Does he have something to hide? Why would Mark and Rhonda want this guy living with them? Mark paid 20,000 plus 6,600 for this guys dynamite charges. Plus all his attorney fees. WOW does Mark make this much money selling his drugs that he can afford someone like this Robert guy who is bleeding Mark's pocket. Mark could not even get his own wife out of jail Rhonda's brother had to get her out but he can afford Roberts bail? Weird or just strange?????????"
- 3584. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3585. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3586. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3587. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3588. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3589. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3590. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3591. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.

- 3592. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3593. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3594. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3595. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff
- 3596. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3597. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3598. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2236-2238 – Defamation per se

- 3599. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2233-2235 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3600. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3601. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3602. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2239-2241 – Libel per se

- 3603. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2233-2235 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3604. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3605. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 2242-2244 – Defamation wou

3606. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

- a. "Next time 'MARK[pinky]Lesher use a sharp knife when you try and stab one in the back, 'MORON'!!!!"
- "Helldog: I have oral sex with Rhonda Lesher! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ __ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client,

McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver 'PERVERTED' posters think residents cain't reed the news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You 'MORONS' fool no one! [Quote] Post something with contex, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Leshers \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! HahahahahahahaLOL"

- 3607. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3608. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3609. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3610. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3611. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3612. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3613. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of a crime.
- 3614. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3615. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3616. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.

- 3617. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not commit said crime.
- 3618. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3619. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3620. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3621. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2245-2247 – Defamation per se

- 3622. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2242-2244 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3623. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3624. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3625. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2248-2250 – Libel per se

3626. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2242-2244 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 3627. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3628. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2251 – Defamation Wounded

- 3629. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Did it? Take an Advil! That should help. Either way you aren't going to sway my opinion about the DRUGS and the LESHER's. They are as sorry as the drunk Crack head, Cooksey."
- 3630. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3631. Alternatively, it involved a public matter.
- 3632. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3633. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3634. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3635. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3636. The statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3637. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3638. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3639. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3640. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2252 – Libel Per Se

- 3641. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2251 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3642. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2253 – Defamation per se

- 3643. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2251 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3644. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2254 – Defamation WTH

- 3645. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "You are Right! I appologise Stacy Coleman, for comparing you with this "TRIO OF TRASH"! Stacy don't do it, they got "HERPIES" and "AIDS"! Hope it ain't to late! Watch Rhondas "TONGUE" it has dingle berries on it!"
- 3646. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3647. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3648. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.

- 3649. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 3650. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3651. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3652. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3653. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3654. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3655. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3656. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2255- Libel Per Se

- 3657. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2254 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3658. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2256 - Defamation per se

- 3659. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2254 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.
- 3660. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2257 – Defamation You and them

- 3662. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3663. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3664. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3665. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3666. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3667. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3668. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3669. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3670. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3671. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3672. The statement was false because Plaintiff has not participated in the conduct alleged by the Defendant.

- 3673. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3674. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3675. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3676. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2258 – Defamation per se

- 3677. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2257 defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3678. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3679. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3680. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2259 – Libel Per Se

3681. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2257 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3682. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2260 – Defamation Young ears

- 3683. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#9 6 min ago Clarksville Resident: I used to go to Unique Touch to get my hair done. After my mom read the news paper about Rhonda Lesher, she wouldn't let me go back. I understand this though. When I was getting my hair done at Unique Touch all they all talked about was sex and drugs. Rhonda invariably talked about how she liked chincas and always had sex with them while her husband Mark watched. He also from what they said in the salon was he bought chincas for her. These people are sick and digenerate. Its marvulous how perverted and disguisting people in this world can be. That's not all I heard though. There is many more things that came from Rhondas mouth that was not decent let alone necessary any where in the world. Rhonda and her employees also talked about people that they stole from or used to get what they need. Rhona Lesher and Mark Lesher are menso and malicious. They are rapacious humans and ominious. Rhonda belongs in a bedlam. I cant describe the stories that emanate in Unique Touch. Or the things that they have done or plan on doing that emanate in there. Dotty is the word for her and her employees. Who in their right mind would constantly want that in their lives? Or constantly do things like that? Humans that do the things that they have done belong in jail. Away from society!! My name is Shelbi, I am a 16 year old resident of Clarksville, Texas. [Quote] Very "WELL" spoke young Lady!"
- 3684. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3685. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3686. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3687. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3688. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3689. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3690. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3691. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.

- 3692. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3693. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3694. The statement was false because Plaintiff has not participated in the conduct alleged by the Defendant.
- 3695. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff
- 3696. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3697. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3698. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2261 – Defamation per se

- 3699. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2260 defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3700. Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3701. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3702. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2262 – Libel Per Se

- 3703. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2260 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3704. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2263 – Defamation vouu

- 3705. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Wonder how Rhonda liked 'TONGUEING' her 'BUTT'? Rhonda 'SUCKED' really hard!"
- 3706. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3707. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3708. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name.
- 3709. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3710. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3711. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3712. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not participate in the type of sexual misconduct described in Defendant's post.
- 3713. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3714. Defendant's false statement caused various injuries to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3715. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3716. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a).

More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Count 2264 – Libel Per Se

3717. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2263 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3718. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2265 - Defamation per se

3719. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2263 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant imputed sexual misconduct to the Plaintiff. This type of allegation makes a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because it is libelous per se.

3720. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2266-2267 – Defamation yoyo

3721. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, a. "Helldog wrote: This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up

- b. "Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #33 Yesterday Packages from Rhondas "UNIQUE TOUCH"! 'Free "BLOW JOB" or "ORAL DOUCHE" with first visit! Brides Day \$220 ½ Hour butt hole Massage, Seaweek Wrap, Manicure, Pedicure, Facial, Make Up, Shampoo for "HERPIES" wash vagina & Elegant Hair Style. Includes Lunch [muf diving]! Day Of Beauty \$165 ½ Hour Massage, Large "VIBRATOR", Facial, Manicure, Pedicure, Shampoo, Cut, & Make Up Application. Includes Lunch [jisim]! Ultimate Stress Relief \$175 Seaweed or Moor Mud Body Wrap, 1 Hour but hole, Massage, Facial, Shampoo & muff dive [oral douch]. Includes lunch.? 2 Hours Just For Men \$90 ½ Hour butt Massage [black vibrator] or Pedicure, Manicure & Facial. Out on the Town \$55 Shampoo, oral douche, Manicure & Make Up Application Men's Spa Package \$60 ½ Hour blow job, with Pedicure, Manicure, Haircut & extra BLOW including a complimentary deep butt massaging, extra large vibrator, shampoo treatment for "HERPIES". Or Let Us Personalize A Package Just For You Or Your Loved One. "AROUND THE WORLD", Or "DOWN THE OLD DIRT ROAD" by Mark Lesher, the tongue!! Gift Certificates Available Haha"
- 3722. The statement involved a private matter.
- 3723. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 3724. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 3725. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3726. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3727. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.

- 3728. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3729. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 3730. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 3731. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3732. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3733. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3734. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease.
- 3735. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3736. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3737. The statement was false because Plaintiff does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3738. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3739. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3740. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3741. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3742. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 3743. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3744. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2268-2269 – Defamation per se

- 3745. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2266-2267 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3746. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3747. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 3748. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3749. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3750. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2270-2271 – Libel Per Se

- 3751. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2266-2267 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3752. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2272-2281 – Defamation Awareness

- 3753. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Let's all have a great Christmas.. Maybe the Leshers can enjoy one more GROUP SEX ORGY, before they have to have all their group sex orgies in PRISON. See it want be all bad for them. Neither of them care what gender the group is."
- b. "Let's look at this from a rational point of view. No one says or thinks that these three people started out with RAPE on their minds(i would assume anyway). There were other reasons and factors in motion,then. But when an opportunity presented itself to the SELF GRATIFYING desires of at least one of the three ,it was overwhelming and and they took full advantage of it. In their minds they thought it was a harmless act of self fullfillment. I am sure they thought the victim would be alright with it, afterwards. After all it was just a little sex fun. WHAT the HECK???? Rhonda is known fro her forward advances towards the women she wants. She is KNOWN for her out right SEXUAL desires for women. So she recruited the help of the boys to fullfill that desire to perform sex with SC. Then not to be left out the BOYS joined in.. ALL in fun and ,I am sure they felt that the Victim would go along, especially if they helped her to be comfortable with a little help mfrom some cool drugs.. Just a little sex orgy that the LESHERS are used to having with friends ,all the time. The BIG problem is that the VICTIM did not want to do it willingly. And had made it clear that it was not her desire to do so... But the TRIO thought she would actually be ok with it after it was done."
- c. "The Lesher NORMAL is RAPE... and say it is not. WHO is known for their SEXCAPADES all over RED RIVER??? Who has call girls come to their room when out of town, to perform sex with his wife,Rhonda. All known real well and long before any TOPIX talk. The only accusations to be made about JC has come from this site from the followers. The WOODS and Leshers made all that stuff up . AND the followers all bought it... Since ,they cannot think for themselves the followers began the attacks on JC and all his family only after the ASSAULT charges came to light."
- d. "SEE, Mark had already been informed that she could have what she wanted.. Money was not a problem.... Mark did not want her get out of the DIVORCE.. He saw the opportunity to get his hands on JC's money... THUS the POWER OF ATTY. he had her sign. Not to mention the WILL form he ,also had her sign. AH, the perfect plan.....But the SELF SATISFYING sexual desires of RHONDA got in the way and then the SEXUAL ASSAULT took place and SC had to get away from them."
- e. "BECAUSE they committed the crime of rape.... and they are guilty as hell... just as McCarver tells it. And the PICTURE you speak of ...lol... is that of the girl cutting JC.s hair ... as she does on many occasions.. she likes to do it.... OOOOOOOOO hhhh aoooo SO BAD. lol"
- f. "YOU followers are sure feeling the PRESSURE aren't you????? I can jus imagine HOW much PRESSURE that ol'Pinky and the HErpies Queen is feeling... lol I want them to SUFFER

and HURT more than the suffering and hurt they caused the VICTIM... Then may they ROT in HELL...."

- g. "Yes 10 days to VICTORY and a safer RED RIVER CO. The LESHER TRIO of women RAPISTS will be headed for the TEXAS DEPT. of CORRECTIONS. 1st stop will be DIAGNOSTICS UNIT in BEAUTIFUL downtown HUNTSVILLE, TX."
- h. "One of the Leshers ... er.. friends..wink.wink.. Was telling some of the ladies in town that ,"none of this ordeal has stopped Mark and Rhonda from having their Orgies of group sex..and swapping" and that Rhonda is still bragging about it at the U.T.. Now I know that is just gossip..but...it sure fits their lifestyle... eh???"
- i. "EXCUSE me ,here.. NOP we never said she was KIDNAPPED, never,,, as we have posted many times we said she was not let to leave.....never said kidnapped,,, I did once say that the GJ once thought about adding those charges but didn't.... NEVER said Leshers were CHILDMOLESTERS,, said they were harboring a suspected child molester in RED and some of his Family members WERE IN FACT convicted of such. As for diseases ,, yes ... RHONDA is in fact a carrier of highly contagious, GENITAL HERPIES... and some of us eluded to the possiblility of the Leshers being exposed to HIV, because of the MANY and multiple se partners they shere with each other on a regular basis. THAT'S WHAT WE SAID...."
- j. "It is a well KNOWN fact that Rhonda has Genital herpies... that is why she keeps her VALTREX at the U.T. and upstairs in the BAR.. and home and in her vehicle. It is no big secret... at all. Just like Rhonda is Bi-sexual...it is no secret...Her desire for women is well known and has been experienced by many."
- 3754. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3755. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3756. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3757. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3758. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3759. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3760. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3761. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.

- 3762. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 3763. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3764. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3765. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3766. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 3767. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3768. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3769. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3770. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3771. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3772. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3773. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a non-media Defendant, a private issue, and a private Plaintiff.
- 3774. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and/or ostracization within the community.
- 3775. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3776. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite

actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2282-2291 - Defamation per se

- 3777. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2272-2281 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3778. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3779. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3780. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3781. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3782. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2292-2301 – Libel per se

- 3783. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2272-2281 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3784. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3785. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3786. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2302-2305 – Defamation devil's advocate

3787. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

a. "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VARLEY"!!!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::::Whv Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] hahhhaahhaha "TOAST"hahahhhahahha ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! hahahaha[Quote] White "PERVERTED" Trash!!!!!!"

b. "lie buster wrote: Mark Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher had this "PERVERT" a friend give them their test! "DUH" This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-

conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. Shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "FOOLS"!

c. "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge

of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] hahhhaahhahaha "TOAST"hahahhhahhah ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! hahahaha[Quote] White "PERVERTED" Trash!!!!!!! "THEY WATCHED MY EVERY MOVE"!!! hahha CHO-CHOooooooo"

d. "Joe 6-pack wrote: I "TONGUE BUTTS" with Rhonda! For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?[Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!

- 3788. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3789. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3790. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3791. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3792. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3793. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3794. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3795. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 3796. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 3797. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3798. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3799. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3800. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 3801. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3802. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.

- 3803. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3804. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3805. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3806. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3807. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3808. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3809. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3810. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2306-2309 – Defamation per se

- 3811. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2302-2305 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3812. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3813. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3814. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 3815. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3816. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2310-2313 – Libel per se

- 3817. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2302-2305 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3818. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3819. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2314 – Defamation Hates sexual predators

- 3820. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that, "This revolting sexually deviant couple should be stoned in public. What a disgusting and shameless group of miscreants. So due to your own actions other mock and judge you on the internet? Boohoo you are lucky to not be rotting in prison. You people disgust me. You have shamed your entire city with you vomit inducing behavior."
- 3821. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3822. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3823. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3824. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3825. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3826. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3827. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 3828. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3829. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3830. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3831. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2315 – Defamation per se

- 3832. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2314 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3833. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3834. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2316 – Libel per se

- 3835. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2314 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3836. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3837. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2317 – Defamation Hellcat

- 3838. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Her "Druggie friends" Mark and Rhonda?"
- 3839. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3840. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3841. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3842. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3843. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3844. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3845. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3846. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3847. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3848. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3849. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3850. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3851. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3852. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3853. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2318 - Defamation per se

- 3854. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2317 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3855. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3856. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3857. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2319 – Libel per se

- 3858. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2317 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3859. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3860. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2320-2326 – Defamation Helldog

- 3861. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "Rhonda[Long]Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher have ask for, and gotten a change of venue. These three "Criminals' know residents of rrcounty are fed up, and know about theit "DRUGS", "PERVISION" and moroless ways! Mark Lesher known as "FRIVOLOUS" "PERVERTED" LAW SUIT LESHER, has filed numerous frivolous law suits

against Sheriff Department, D.A. Office, Judges and outhers. Lesher states it coust the County thousands to defend my law suits, and cost me \$50.00 to file one. Lesher tried to get "DUMPS" "LIQUOR" in Clarksville ans surronding area against residents will. Lesher has backed crooks and has them run for offices he can control. All have been ran out of town by voters. Lesher has a bar in Clarksville and is disgusting! Lesher has had their trial moved to McKinney thinking residents there woun't know about them. Please check with rrcounty Judges or officials about the "GUILT" of this trio."

- b. "Rember rrpoliticks, Mark Lesher set up rrpoliticks and had Earnest Henslee as the moderator! RRPoliticks was Leshers tool to persuade rrcounty residents to elect Royace Abbott and Hamilton to offices Lesher could control. RRPoliticks post trashed the D.A., Sheriff Office, Judges anyone he could not corrupt or control. Lesher insulted the intelligence of RRCounty residents with his evil skeem, the elections proved that. Lesher stated through rrpoliticks he would put waste "DUMPS" on the graves of our dead, except the ones he wanted to p*ss on. Lesher and rrpoliticks which he started and ran, trashed Sheriff oficers and their family even during and after their death. With two grand Jury indictments, by 24 people of rrcounty and Robert McCarvers "CONFESSION" we finally can rid ourselves of this "CRIMINAL" and his coharts in crime, "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Rhonda[Long]Lesher! Let us not forget we were called "MAGGOTS", "HICKS", "HILLBILLYS", "MORONS", "INBEE DS", "BAST**DS" by Mark Lesher through rrpoliticks! Many have stated how Lesher laughed and said he would "OWN" and "RUN" rrcounty after the elections, and his "DUMPS" and "LIQUOR" law would pass!"
- "Please reed "ROBERT MCCARVERS" confession and make up your own mind! We c. want justice for the "CRIMINAL" behavior of these "PERVERTS" ******* "READ ABOUT indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma.

Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"?"

"FACTS" you can verify!. @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and

d.

"RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

e. "Helldog: Facts i post you can check for yourself from newspapers and court dockments! "LIES" Rhonda["LONG"] Lesher post are lies or made up stories made to look real. "CHECK FOR YOURSELF"!

From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ####### Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?"

f. "Helldog wrote: "TRIO OF TRASH"! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY,

- g. "@@@@@@@@@ TRUTHFULLY wrote: OKAY, SO RHONDA HAS ALWAYS LIKED WOMEN... DON;T YALL REMEMBER THE DIRTY PHOTOS OF HER AND BURRELL HARVEY'S EX, MARYANN THAT SHE BRAGGED AND SHOWED OFF ALL OVER THE UNIQUE TOUCH YEARS BACK???? AS FOR MR. MCCARVER...WELL HE AND HIS WHOLE FAMILY (all of his brothers and some cousins) HAVE ALL BEEN IN TROUBLE FOR MOLESTATION. ALL THE REST HAVE HAD CONVICTIONS. GO FIGURE. Quote: Little Rock resident, a picture is worth a thousand words. Please send a copy to the D.A. Val Varley in Clarksville texas. Helldog wrote: Hope you are not in them, D.A. will probably show them at trial! ********** "FOLKS" don't take my word or outhers, check for yourself! Mark Lesher is a Lawyer and knows the ropes, Lesher thinks residents of Collin County are ignorant. Lesher requested a change of venue[trial] so he could insult your intelligence."
- 3862. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3863. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3864. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3865. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3866. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3867. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3868. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3869. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.

- 3870. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 3871. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3872. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3873. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3874. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3875. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3876. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3877. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3878. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3879. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3880. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2327-2333 – Defamation per se

3881. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2320-2326 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 3882. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3883. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3884. These type of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3885. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2334-2340 – Libel per se

- 3886. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2320-2326 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3887. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3888. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2341-2342 – Defamation Charlie Doescher, Pat Doescher, and/or Arrow Truck and Van Parts (ilbedipt)

- 3889. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that,
- a. "# 1--- I did not give him the nick name PINKY ... I just reported that is what RHONDA refers to him as, when describing his ,less than adequate, physical limitations. Rhonda herself stated that--it is well know down at the Unique Touch. I just started using it here because she gave the nickname to him. That and Ol'Cinco, because he is her 5th husband. She too started referring to him as that. # 2--- no I do not thnk name calling is of High Moral or even Moral standards.... But then again I never said it was. # 3--- I never try to portray myself as GOD or GOD like. # 4--- Those that believe in the Trio's innocence HAve that right and I do not have a problem with that. But those that DEFEND the TRIO for thier behaviour in the way some here do,I do believe they are as bad as the TRIO. In that regard when you will not condemn PERVERTS,SCUM,Bi-sexuals,Child molesters,thieves and animal sex lovers, when asked ,I figure you must condone those things. # 4--- I really am not worried about PINKY and all his threats of LAWSUITS.... whether he is in PRISON or not. My worries are for the VICTIM and those that have been hurt and embarrased by the Likes Of the LESHERS. # 5--- I do not put myself or our crew on a pedistal of rightousness. We just tell it like it is. Accept it, or , Don't....

We do not force anyone to believe what we say, we just ask ,that you look and see for yourself. So far we have been SPOT ON!!!!!! DENY that!!!!!! # 6---It was not us that SEXUALLY ASSAULTED A LADY. The Leshers and Robert McCarver are the ones that were INDICTED by TWO GRAND JURIES of peole from that area, where the Leshers have an OVERWHELMING amount of SUPPORT and BELIEVERS of thier innocence.... Is that not TRUE????"

- b. "Lesher has proved that by Bailing out the child molester ROBERT McCarver. He had to swollow any Pride of SELF to protect him self from McCarvers continued confessions and details of crimes that Lesher is and was involved in."
- 3890. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3891. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3892. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3893. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3894. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3895. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3896. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3897. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3898. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3899. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3900. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3901. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3902. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

3903. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

3904. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2343-2344 – Defamation per se

- 3905. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2341-2342 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3906. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3907. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3908. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2345-2346 – Libel per se

- 3909. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2341-2342 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3910. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3911. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3912. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2347 – Defamation lou

- 3913. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that, "Mark Lesher hired this "PEVERT" Erick Holden to give his partner in rape "ROBERT MCCARVER" a polygraph test! For the right price you can get the results you want. Lesher is a personal friend of holden. Leshers Lawers filed a motion for the results to be heard in court at there trial. The Judge not only said no, but he did not want holden in his court room, a known "PERVERT" that "SLIMY" lawyers use to help "CHILD MOLESTERS" and "RAPIST" try and get out of jail. The Judge said if Lesher or his Lawyers tried any more "CRAP" like this he would hold them in contempt! The Judge said I have no time for games in my court room, espically in a case with this much "PERVISION"! The Judge, like the 2 Grand Juries that indicted Lesher and McCarver has seen and heard all witness testimony, McCarvers confession Lesher want's thrown out and overwhelming evidence of their guilt. I am sure the Judge has an opinion of their Guilt! Lou AOL 4 min ago Residents of Collin County, Please "THINK"! Why did Robert McCarver a known "CHILD MOLESTER", "DRUG DEALER", Pervert along with Mark Lesher a known "PERVERT" "DRUG DEALER" want a change of venue to your county? The reason is all in rrcounty know all about this "SCUMB" and have indicted them twice, two different Grand Juries, 24 people. These "CRIMINAL PERVERTS" think residents of Collin county will be ignorant as to the history of these "PERVERTS"! The "VICTOM" did not ask for a change of "VENUE", the "CRIMINALS" did. Don't let this "PERVERTED SCUM" insult your intelligence! "PLEASE"!!!"
- 3914. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3915. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3916. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3917. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3918. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3919. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3920. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3921. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3922. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 3923. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3924. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3925. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3926. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3927. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3928. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2348 – Defamation per se

- 3929. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2347 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3930. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3931. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3932. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2349 – Libel per se

3933. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2347 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3934. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

3935. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2350-2352 – Defamation moron

3936. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#11334 Saturday Dec 27 lie buster wrote: Mark a. Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher had this "PERVERT" a friend give them their test! "DUH" This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the postconviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. Shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of recounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and

then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "FOOLS"!"

"Cutter Bill wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! After getting "INFESTED" with "DISEASES"! From Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher and Mark Lesher two African American went to leshers office! Tim Shimpock knows the details! "WHITE PERVER TRASH" Mark Lesher {JAMES BOND} wrote this "LIE"! "MORON" or What???? Giguere Local attorney Mark Lesher, who along with his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver are facing aggravated sexual assault charges, received a death threat late last month. According to Mark Lesher, two African American males walked into his Clarksville law office and physically assaulted Kenny Mitchell, Lesher's legal assistant, the afternoon of Nov. 19 to send a message to Lesher. According to Mark Lesher, the two men grabbed Mitchell, threw him against the wall and said, "Tell him (Mark Lesher) to back off or we will kill him". Mitchell confirmed the report and declined further comment. Both Mark and Rhonda Lesher wouldn't specify what the men were talking about, but believes who could be behind the threat. Both the Leshers and McCarver are accused of sexually assaulting a Red River County woman in July 2007. Mark Lesher said he was threatened around July of last year by the husband of the alleged victim over sexual assault allegations on his step daughter. Rhonda Lesher said at the time the alleged victim was staying with the Leshers and had asked Mark Lesher for help in divorce proceedings, but would later return to her current husband. "He came into my office by himself uninvited and said not to file on him or else I would get it," Mark Lesher said. Clarksville Police Chief Brandon Harbison said no arrests have been made and the case is still under investigation. According to the police report, the two men are approximately in their 20's and drove off in a single cab white pickup truck. "We haven't been able to identify the two individuals and we don't have any leads off the streets," Harbison said. Mark Lesher said Mitchell was not physically injured, but was pretty shaken. Lesher said the men were not wearing masks and described one man as around six feet tall and the other about four inches shorter. "It was a terrorist type threat," Lesher said. "They pushed him up against the wall pretty hard. He was so shook up that he couldn't call the police." Local attorney rec @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ You think this Ain't a crock of "SH*T"? ... Lesher was walking back to his office from a court hearing in Clarksville with his client and arrived back at around 12:23 p.m. Lesher believes the men entered the office around 12:20 p.m. "We recessed around 12:15 p.m.," Lesher said. "There had to have been someone in that courtroom who knew I was returning to my office and was studying my every move." Rhonda Lesher said nobody has threatened her nor McCarver, but has taken extra precaution during her business hours by locking her back door and having friends and colleagues stand by her front door. "GOLDFINGER"!!! hahhahahah [Quote] "PINKY, my husband is "PERVERTED" and "LIES" alot! Our \$20,000.00 "LOVER" "ROBERT MCCARVER" who lives with us is in love with "MIKE RICE" and we are Jealous. We paid the money to get McCarver out of Jail and they won't let us "WATCH"!!! hahhahahhahahha LOSERS" hahhahhahah Bill and Sharla Woods will! Hahhahhaha Now ole Mc Lesher has "KENNY" lying and in trouble. The Authorities are very suspicious. Lesher is a "FOOL" drounding them all There was someone outside next door at Agriland and they seen no one!!!!!!!! No white truck, "NO ONE"! They were watching my every move! "PRICELESS"! hahhahahahahahahahah "FOOL" hahahhahahahahahah [Quote] Do you believe in "GHOST"?[Quote] "WHITE

- "Mark Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher had this "PERVERT" a friend give them their test! "DUH" This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the postconviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders. Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "FOOLS"!"
- 3937. The statements involved a private matter.
- 3938. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3939. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3940. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.

- 3941. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3942. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3943. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 3944. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 3945. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 3946. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3947. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3948. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3949. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 3950. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3951. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3952. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3953. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3954. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3955. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 3956. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 3957. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3958. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 3959. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2353-2355 – Defamation per se

- 3960. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2350-2352 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3961. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 3962. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3963. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3964. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3965. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2356-2358 – Libel per se

- 3966. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2350-2352 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3967. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

3968. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) published his or her respective interpretations of Plaintiff's natural defects, thereby exposing him to public hatred, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

3969. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2359 – Defamation moronn

3970. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "what wrote: I am "OUEER" like Mark Lesher! devils advocate wrote: Helldog wrote: I am "OUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously????????? Mark Lesher is "QUEER" why does he marry? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. $http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel \dots ""LINDA VELVIN" \ was \ Mark \ Leshers \ common$ Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE **INFESTED** SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] "TOAST"hahahhhahahha ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! hahahaha[Quote] White "PERVERTED" Trash!!!!!! "THEY WATCHED MY EVERY MOVE"!!! hahha CHO-CHOoooooo "SCUM"!"

3971. The statements involved a private matter.

- 3972. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 3973. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 3974. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3975. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 3976. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 3977. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 3978. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 3979. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 3980. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 3981. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 3982. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 3983. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 3984. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 3985. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 3986. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 3987. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 3988. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

3989. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2360 – Defamation per se

- 3990. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2359 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 3991. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 3992. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 3993. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 3994. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2361 – Libel per se

- 3995. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2359 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 3996. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 3997. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2362-2363 – Defamation Sandy

3998. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

a. "AOL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it! |#3611 Sep 4, 2008 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#758 16 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#1583 19 hrs ago ilbedipt wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother Ricky Long is busted with Mark Leshers "DRUGS". How many more lives will be destroyed by Mark Lesher? Ricky is a really good guy hooked by Mark Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION" "DRUGS"?! "FACTS" ######## I guess this is all a lie? Have any of you ever lost a child to drugs? Have any of you EVER dealt with the good ole boy system as the JONES man and the people of cass did when they out and out said WE DON'T care. I could care less about the Leshers also. Why did lesher first TWO wives and Son Die??????? I know in Texarkana lawyers are connected to dope cooks. Lesher has a office in Texarkana. Hummmm!!!!!!"

b. "Not that ancient. Stupid. Did you ger your IQ from kissing their butts? From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated

street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother Ricky Long is busted with Mark Leshers "DRUGS". How many more lives will be destroyed by Mark Lesher? Ricky is a really good guy hooked by Mark Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION" "DRUGS"?! "FACTS" ######## I guess this is all a lie? Have any of you ever lost a child to drugs? Have any of you EVER dealt with the good ole boy system as the JONES man and the people of cass did when they out and out said WE DON'T care. I could care less about the Leshers also. Why did lesher first TWO wives and Son Die??????? I know in Texarkana lawyers are connected to dope cooks. Lesher has a office in Texarkana. hummmm!!!!!!! Think about the children people. The leshers just don't rate."

- 3999. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4000. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4001. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4002. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 4003. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 4004. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 4005. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4006. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4007. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 4008. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4009. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 4010. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4011. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4012. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4013. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2364-2365 - Defamation per se

- 4014. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2362-2363 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4015. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 4016. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4017. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2366-2367 – Libel per se

4018. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2362-2363 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

- 4019. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4020. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2368 – Defamation SueThis

- 4021. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Mark and Rhonda Lesher are sexual deviants and sexual predators who deserve chemical castration. They are guilty of not only their original crimes, but also of perjury. The proof is right there for all to see. That they were found innocent is an affront to the cause of justice everywhere. I encourage the entire world to ostracize them. Don't employ them, don't do business with them, shun them, refuse to lend them money, foreclose on their debts, work to ruin them financially and personally, call them at all hours to let them know they are worthless human beings, and tell all your friends that these folks are criminals who should be in prison."
- 4022. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4023. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4024. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4025. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4026. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4027. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4028. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4029. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4030. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4031. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.

- 4032. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4033. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4034. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4035. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4036. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2369 – Defamation per se

- 4037. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2368 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4038. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4039. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4040. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2370 – Libel per se

4041. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2368 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.

4042. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

4043. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2371-2374 – Defamation The moron

4044. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

a. "Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously????????? Is "ROBERT MCCARVER" his new \$20,000.00 dollar "LOVER"??? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!! Collin County slaughter"

b. "AWARENESS" is "CORRECT" and "TRUTHFUL" and you "PERVERTED" slime can't stand truth or facts! So you "ROBERT MCCARVER", Lesher "LYING SCUM" attack trying to look intelligent. Look in the mirror "FOOLS" and you will see a Broke, "LOSER" with no life only "HERPIES" and "AIDS" and "CHILD MOLESTING" "WOMEN RAPERS" for friends.

"BUGS" like yourself!!!!!!!!! hahhahahahah "LOSERS" hahahhahaha CHO-CHOoooooooo OOOooooooo OOOooooooo

d. "devils advocate Joe 6-pack wrote: I "TONGUE BUTTS" with Rhonda! For any newcomers who don't know the real story: Rhonda willingly took off with another man, a known criminal and drug addict. She lived with him, even going so far as to talk of buying a house for them, traveled with him, did drugs with him and had sex with him, tongued his butt. It hasn't been denied that she did not do these things willingly. The "McCarvers" known "CHILD MOLESTERS" tried to help her because she wanted to leave her husband because he is "QUEER". She stayed with McCarver at their place. Then she began having a change of heart and knew she needed to get back home in order to get her dogs (who stayed behind with Mark). She made a phone call to her brother, Ricky Long, the one cought with Leshers "DRUGS" laying out her plans, the transcript of which has been posted many times on these boards. She made up the story of the butt hole assault. There is no physical evidence at all. Nor is there any evidence corroborating her story. She was making a huge fool of her husband and would never get her "DOGS" back so she returned home with a made up tale of butt hole assault. Since that time her version has changed several times. She now has spread "HERPIES", possibly "AIDS" she says she got from Mark Lesher! Rhonda, Sharla and Bill Woods had several "ORGIES", Her "DOGS" were inpounded for "HERPIES"! This is one sick "SLUT"! From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degreefelony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining

suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin?[Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!"

- 4045. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4046. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4047. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4048. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4049. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4050. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4051. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4052. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4053. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4054. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.
- 4055. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 4056. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 4057. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 4058. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4059. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 4060. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4061. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4062. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4063. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2375-2378 – Defamation per se

- 4064. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2371-2374 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4065. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4066. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.
- 4067. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4068. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2379-2382 – Libel per se

- 4069. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2371-2374 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4070. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

4071. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2383 – Defamation Vivian Uy

- 4072. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "Woah. How could Lesher's wife rape the woman? How sad. Lesher is an attorney..., but he is maleducated. and so is his wife for doing such terrible thing."
- 4073. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4074. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4075. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4076. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4077. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4078. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4079. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4080. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4081. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4082. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4083. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants

acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2384 - Defamation per se

- 4084. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2383 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4085. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4086. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2385 – Libel per se

- 4087. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2383 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4088. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4089. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2386 – Defamation vuer

4090. Defendant published statements by written communication asserting as fact that, "****** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth. TXReply Report Abuse **Judge** it!|#5303 37 ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse it!|#5304 17 min Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness ago wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. Rhonda Lesher Shtml

"THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long] Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "

- 4091. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4092. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4093. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4094. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4095. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4096. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4097. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4098. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4099. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4100. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease.

- 4101. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by implication.
- 4102. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of having a loathsome disease by innuendo.
- 4103. The statements were false because Plaintiffs does not have the loathsome disease imputed by the Defendant.
- 4104. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4105. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4106. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4107. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4108. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4109. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2387 – Defamation per se

- 4110. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2386 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4111. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4112. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely imputed a loathsome disease to Plaintiff.

- 4113. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4114. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2388 – Libel per se

- 4115. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2386 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4116. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4117. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2389 – Defamation what

- 4118. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, ""NAW"!!! You can "SUCK BALLS" all you want, we ain't "QUEER" like you "ROBERT MCCARVER", Rhonda[MCCARVER]Lesher and Mark Lesher "BUTT TONGUERS"!"
- 4119. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4120. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4121. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4122. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4123. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4124. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4125. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4126. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 4127. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4128. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4129. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2390 – Defamation per se

- 4130. Further, Defendant's statement(s) described in Count 2389 is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4131. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4132. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2391 – Libel per se

- 4133. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2389 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4134. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4135. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 2392 – Defamation Wounded

- 4136. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,
- "Shut up, I know lesher. He is just another molesting attorney. I never apologize. I don't owe the scum sucker anything. Tell to some one that gives a crap. The sooner he is locked away the better. I have read enough that I'm convinced. No one has jumped the gun. Just hoping they lock his/her arsses up."
- 4137. The statement involved a private matter.
- 4138. Alternatively, the statement involved a public matter.
- 4139. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 4140. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4141. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4142. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4143. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4144. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4145. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4146. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4147. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4148. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4149. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4150. Plaintiff seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

4151. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2393 – Defamation per se

- 4152. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 2392 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4153. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4154. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4155. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2394 – Libel Per Se

- 4156. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2392 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4157. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4158. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Count 2395-2397 – Defamation WTF

4159. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that,

a. "Henry to have Varley and Red River County Sheriff Terry Reed found in contempt of court. Henryclaimed that Reed had interviewed McCarver despite a court order signed by Mays preventing it. Varley was not present at the interview, but kept in contact with Reed as it occurred. Varley stated that the court order no longer applied since it was signed under the previous indictments. The original indictment charged the Leshers and McCarver with sexual assault, but the indictment was returned by a grand jury called back into session after it had been dismissed. Attorneys for the defendants claimed that was illegal since the grand jury called back to hear the case had not heard the case during its original session. Henry told the judge that the court maintained a 30-day jurisdiction over that matter. Another motion, filed by Varley, was to have Henry disqualified as McCarver's lawyer because of his association with Mark Lesher. Mays had a private hearing in his chambers between himself, callie jo AOL Reply » continued from page 1....Varley, Reed and Henry, and after nearly two hours, denied both motions. While Varley wanted each defendant tried separately, attorneys for all three defendants filed motions to consolidate their clients' cases so they can be tried at once. Mays ruled all three will face the same jury in Collin County noting it would save the taxpayers money. "I'm very satisfied with the decisions from the hearing," Varley said "I thought the judge was very fair." ------McCarver confessed and Leshers wan'ts it thrown out! [Quote] The Judge would not even let Robert McCarvers confession in as evidence, he said it was to incriminating!"

b. "1 min ago Helldog wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin? Mark Lesher is trying to get Ricky to sell some land for \$3500.00 to give to Leshers Attorney friend so they can split it. How would you like to have a brother in law and sister, money grubbers like them. Their plan isn't working because Rickey has a really good friend. Rickey was not indited with Amy Blythe!!! hahahaha"

- c. "1 min ago Helldog wrote: From Clarksville paper Red River County officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35) of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less than 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value of \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1 hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. on July 2, made contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. "As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view," Reed said. "The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence." Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. "At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case," Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!!! How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin? Mark Lesher is trying to get Ricky to sell some land for \$3500.00 to give to Leshers Attorney friend so they can split it. How would you like to have a brother in law and sister, money grubbers like them. Their plan isn't working because Rickey has a really good friend. Rickey was not indited with Amy Blythe!!! hahahaha"
- 4160. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4161. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4162. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4163. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4164. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4165. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.

- 4166. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4167. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4168. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4169. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4170. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2398-2400 – Defamation per se

- 4171. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2395-2397 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4172. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4173. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2401-2403 – Libel per se

- 4174. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2395-2397 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4175. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.

4176. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Counts 2404 – Defamation YeaYea

- 4177. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Please remember the victim had no history until Lesher got hooked on drugs. There will be a child to testify who gave her the drugs, and tried to make him lie!"
- 4178. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4179. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4180. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4181. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 4182. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 4183. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 4184. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime.
- 4185. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4186. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4187. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4188. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 4189. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4190. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 4191. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4192. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2405 – Defamation per se

- 4193. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2404 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4194. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 4195. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4196. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2406 – Libel per se

- 4197. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2404 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4198. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4199. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff.

Counts 2407 – Defamation broke

4200. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply »|Report Abuse |Judge it!|#16055 Yesterday Reply » xyz wrote: This is not an "OPINION" but "FACTS" the Leshers don't want told and Robert McCarver have verified! "SUE ME"! Then residents of RRCounty will hear how Rhonda Lesher tried to "SUCK" Shannons guts out, while Mark Lesher played with his self then "RAPED" her! She never purgered themself, she was kept on dope by the lushers and lushers lawyers did a good job of making her look stupid. She never said she lied, Shannon said that she was on dope and she was telling the truth as best as she could remember especially about Rhonda Lesher "SUCKING AND BITING" her vagina. Shannon said even being drugged by Mark Lesher she felt like she was being "EATEN" alive. Shannon never said she lied, Lushers lawyers called her a lier. "READ THE TRANSCRIPT"!!! Shannon said her vagina had bite marks and she could barley wipe for a week or so. Go ask D.A. Val varley or read the transcript. ******* The Light is coming wrote: In defense of Red River County Attorney Val Varley. The Red River County Citizens for the removal of Val Varley have stated that the attorney may have let a real sex offender back on the streets. Well, the secretarytreasurer for the organization signed his letters to the editor and the removal ads with "Kenneth E. Mitchell". If your will check the TXDPS Public Sex Offender Registry, you will find his father by the same name, who is a REAL HIGH RISK with a NON EXPIRE Registration at 280 CR 3355, Avery, Texas 75554 8 Now we know why these few want D.A. Val Varley out of office especially lusher. * They are sex offenders that are not cought yet, but with family and friends that have and VV sends them to jail. * "THIS CLEARS UP EVERYTHING"! *** jimenycricket wrote: <quoted text>I was at Collin County until after lunch and heard D.J. testify. D.J. testified under oath being cross examined by lushers lawers that lusher sit him down and told him to tell the judge he caught his father and sister having sex, and he said lusher told him to say his father told him to play with his self while he watched. D.J. told lusher he would not lie "I HEARD THIS WITH MY OWN EARS" "FACT"!!! this is court record! D.J. was like a little man and you could tell by his face he told the truth. ******* Why don't you vermin post all the lies the D.A. cought runda and rice in. ****** Go to red river politicke and read Shannons testimony. You will see lushers lawyers kept all the hard evidence out. They took her grand Jury testimony out of contex and D.A.Val Varley tried to get it in and they objected because the "FACTS" would be shown but the judge would not allow it and outher "FACTS" because they were to incriminating! *** They did not post Rhonda Leshers or Mike Rices because you could see all the lies the da caught them in. ******* "ROBERT MCCARVER"****** has "VERIFIED" the truth about the Leshers, Rhonda and Mark Lesher "RAPING" and trying to suck Shannons guts out!"

- 4201. The statements involved private matters.
- 4202. Alternatively, the statements involved public matters.
- 4203. The statement referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 4204. The statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct.

- 4205. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4206. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4207. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 4208. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 4209. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 4210. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4211. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4212. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4213. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4214. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4215. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement was false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 4216. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4217. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff, including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4218. Plaintiffs seeks unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4219. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs

incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2408 – Defamation per se

- 4220. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 2407 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4221. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff(s) in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 4222. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct.
- 4223. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4224. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2409 – Libel per se

- 4225. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Counts 2407 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4226. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4227. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2410 – Defamation

Bus

- 4228. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Robert McCarver said lesher was behind the Gray Hound Bus Station sucking D*cks for \$20.00 a blow but if they had no money lesher blowed for free! "YUCK"! The Oral Douche Queen and her mate!"
- 4229. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4230. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4231. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4232. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4233. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4234. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4235. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4236. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4237. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4238. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4239. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4240. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4241. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff(s), including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4242. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

4243. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2411 – Defamation per se

- 4244. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2410 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4245. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct.
- 4246. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4247. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2412 – Libel per se

- 4248. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2410 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4249. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4250. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2413 – Defamation look

4251. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#44 Sunday Jun 21 Judged: 1 1 1 xyz wrote: This is not an "OPINION" but "FACTS" the Leshers don't want told and Robert McCarver have verified!

"SUE ME"! Then residents of RRCounty will hear how Rhonda Lesher tried to "SUCK" Shannons guts out, while Mark Lesher played with his self then "RAPED" her! She never purgered themself, she was kept on dope by the lushers and lushers lawyers did a good job of making her look stupid. She never said she lied, Shannon said that she was on dope and she was telling the truth as best as she could remember especially about Rhonda Lesher "SUCKING AND BITING" her vagina. Shannon said even being drugged by Mark Lesher she felt like she was being "EATEN" alive. Shannon never said she lied, Lushers lawyers called her a lier. "READ THE TRANSCRIPT"!!! Shannon said her vagina had bite marks and she could barley wipe for a week or so. Go ask D.A. Val varley or read the transcript. ******* The Light is coming wrote: In defense of Red River County Attorney Val Varley. The Red River County Citizens for the removal of Val Varley have stated that the attorney may have let a real sex offender back on the streets. Well, the secretary-treasurer for the organization signed his letters to the editor and the removal ads with "Kenneth E. Mitchell". If your will check the TXDPS Public Sex Offender Registry, you will find his father by the same name, who is a REAL HIGH RISK with a NON EXPIRE Registration at 280 CR 3355, Avery, Texas 75554 8 Now we know why these few want D.A. Val Varley out of office especially lusher. *"

- 4252. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4253. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4254. The statements referred to Plaintiff(s) by name and/or indirectly.
- 4255. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4256. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4257. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4258. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4259. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4260. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4261. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4262. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in said sexual misconduct.

- 4263. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4264. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff(s), including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4265. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4266. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2414 – Defamation per se

- 4267. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2413 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4268. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct.
- 4269. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4270. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2415 – Libel per se

- 4271. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2413 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4272. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4273. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2416 – Defamation perverts

- 4274. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Mark and Rhonda lesher had a big party at their bar above the unique touch for Royace Abbot and Hamilton congraliting them for winning the elections. Both lost! Lesher wanted a Sheriff and Judge he could control so he could expand his drug trade as testified to by many, like Robert McCarver. He kept McCarver at his house under control until he got off Leshers drugs, then McCarver and several outhers told of the Leshers sex and drugs. Lesher is going down!"
- 4275. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4276. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4277. The statements referred to Plaintiffs by name and/or indirectly.
- 4278. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4279. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4280. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4281. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4282. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4283. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4284. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4285. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4286. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4287. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff(s), including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 4288. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4289. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2417 – Defamation per se

- 4290. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2416 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4291. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct.
- 4292. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4293. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2418 – Libel per se

- 4294. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2416 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4295. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4296. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2419 – Defamation

scum

- 4297. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Watch Wounded Friviolous Law Suit Lesher is going to sue, so he can suck on out kickstand. I cain't waite for that piece of sh*t to sue me, i got something for him! Lesher thinks he got by with rape and Rhondas oral douches he is OJ Simpson."
- 4298. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4299. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4300. The statements referred to Plaintiff(s) by name and/or indirectly.
- 4301. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4302. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4303. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4304. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4305. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4306. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4307. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4308. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4309. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4310. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff(s), including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4311. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

4312. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs' injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2420 – Defamation per se

- 4313. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2419 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4314. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct.
- 4315. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4316. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2421 – Libel per se

- 4317. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2419 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4318. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4319. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2422 – Defamation Yabba Dabba Do

4320. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "Local News: Clarksville, TX | Sign Up | Sign In 1 2 3 Harry Potter Harry Potter and the VengefulMalware GM Lots of Assets at GM's 'Garage Sale' NASA NASA Releases New

Apollo 11 Video Home Forums Top Stories Popular News Photos Local US Politics World Sports Entertainment Offbeat Games Other Topics Clarksville Forums & Polls News Newswire Entertainment Yellow PagesJobs Real Estate Shopping Photos Dating Info Weather 81°F Clarksville NewsLocal news for Clarksville, TX continually updated from thousands of sources on the web. 5 hrs ago | Paris Texas Civil suit dropped Former Clarksville High School coach Virgil Richardson and his brother, Mark Richardson, have dropped a civil suit against Red River County, Red River County Sheriff Robert Bridges and former Red River County Sheriff Terry Reed. Comment? Old Frivilous Law Suit Lesher was their lawyer and knew he was getting in over his head. Marker Lesher is a piece of sh*t and knew this was a frivilous attempt to distort the elections. He lost but that is nothing new! I heard frivilous law suit Lesher is busted, and his drug business has dried up because nobody want's to be his fall guy except Mike Rice but he is to stupid. When the money dries up Rhonds gut sucker will look for another sucker. The deformed wore out old hag will have trouble finding anyone especially one as stupid as Lesher."

- 4321. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4322. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4323. The statements referred to Plaintiff(s) by name and/or indirectly.
- 4324. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation.
- 4325. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by implication.
- 4326. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff of conduct that injured his and/or her professional occupation by innuendo.
- 4327. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4328. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4329. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4330. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4331. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statement were false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in conduct injuring his and/or her occupation.
- 4332. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.

- 4333. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff(s), including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.
- 4334. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4335. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2423 – Defamation per se

- 4336. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2422 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4337. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant injured Plaintiff(s) in his or her profession and/or occupation.
- 4338. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4339. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2424 – Libel per se

- 4340. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2422 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4341. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2425 – Defamation

yoo

- 4342. Defendant published a statement by written communication asserting as fact that, "When Robert McCarver was telling authorities about the Leshers Rape, he also told them about their drugs, sex sickness, and lot's more. Robert McCarver said i hope Lesher Molester sues people that post the truth about them so i can testify about how sick they really are. Robert said people will be shocked to know the truth of these drug dealing, sex devils. Robert said they had me hooked on drugs at their place so i would not tell the truth, but now it's time. If any one needs my help about these Lesher scum just supeona me to court."
- 4343. The statements involved a private matter.
- 4344. Alternatively, the statements involved a public matter.
- 4345. The statements referred to Plaintiff(s) by name and/or indirectly.
- 4346. The statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct.
- 4347. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4348. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4349. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it unambiguously accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4350. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4351. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because it accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime by implication.
- 4352. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not commit the crime that they were accused of committing.
- 4353. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiff(s) did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4354. Defendant is strictly liable because his allegation concerns a private issue and a private Plaintiff.
- 4355. Defendant's false statement caused injury to Plaintiff(s), including substantial damage to Mark Lesher's law practice, substantial damage to Rhonda Lesher's beauty salon, embarrassment, and ostracization within the community.

- 4356. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4357. Exemplary damages. Plaintiffs injuries resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiff to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2426 – Defamation per se

- 4358. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2425 was defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of committing a crime.
- 4359. Further, Defendant's statement(s) is also defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff(s) of sexual misconduct
- 4360. These types of allegations make a Defendant strictly liable to the Plaintiff(s) under Texas law because they are libelous per se.
- 4361. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 2427 – Libel per se

- 4362. Defendant's written statement(s) described in Count 2425 was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) injured Plaintiff's reputation and exposed Plaintiff to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4363. Further, Defendant's written statement(s) was libel per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001. Defendant's statement(s) impeached Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4364. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because it was obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s). The paragraphs within the "facts" section above are hereby incorporated by reference as if quoted at length herein.

Shannon Coyel's Tortious Liability for the Republication of Per Se Libel and/or Defamation

Counts 2428-2793 - Defamation

4365. The paragraphs within the "facts" section above are hereby incorporated by reference as if quoted at length herein.

4366. Defendant Shannon Coyel's knowingly false and malicious accusation against Plaintiffs laid the foundation for a vicious online campaign to smear the Plaintiffs' respective reputations; but for Defendant's knowingly false and malicious accusations, this concerted effort could not have occurred.

4367. These statements were published online and asserted as fact that:

- 1) "Judged: 2 1 1 Lesher Scumb wrote: Leshers History!! [1] Frivolous Law Suits cousting the County Thousands of Dollars! [2] Trying to force "DUMPS" on citizens of RR County! [3] Trying to get Clarksville "WET" so he could open "BARS" and sell "LIQUOR"! [4] "DRUGS" Makes illegal "DRUGS", grows illegal "DRUGS"! Sells illegal "DRUGS"! [5] Hand wrote and typed a letter, "LYING" about Judge Jim Dick Lovett and sent it to the Judicial board in Austin Texas, saying Judge Lovett had sex with Jerry Coyels ex Wife and was a morless Judge. Just because Lesher could not corrupt him. [6] "RAPE" Drugged and "RAPED" a woman! [7] Tried to get D.J. Coyel to lie to a Judge that his step father Jerry was molesting his sister, and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. D.J. Coyel testified that Mark Lesher made this story up and wanted him to lie, but he would not. Citizens of RR County you all know the history of Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robery McCarver and Mark Lesherm I could list many more things about this scumb! Rhonda Lesher has lied under oath, bashed the Grand Juries, D.A. Val Varley all that know the truth. These "PERVERTS" think they are above the law! The F.B.I., and State Police have tried to convict Mark Lesher for years, but could not get a break. Robert Lynn McCarver has a family history of child Molesters, "DRUGS", "THIEF" "CRIMINAL" acts. McCarver was caught with 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" Mark Lesher got for him. Leshers let McCarver live with them, bonds him out, gives him money, cell phone, car. "WHY" Look at the "FACTS". Ricky Long, Rhondas brother was caught with a load of Mark Leshers Drugs. Just reed the Clarksville Times, don't believe me, look at the "FACTS"!"
- 2) "Judged: 2 2 1 truth wrote: <quoted text> "BRILLIANT"!!! What you have posted is the whole truth, and an investigation was ongoing by the F.B.I. and State Police and D.A. office. The F.B.I. want Mark Lesher for several outher crimes, I know people they have Questioned including Jerry Coyel, and Linda Velvin before she died. Mark Lesher gave Jerry Coyel drugs when he was working out at race for life before his back surgery, Human Groth Hormone, Testitrone, Pills, Viagra, deca, trying to sell Jerry these drugs at a discount. Jerry was taking groth hormone, testirone, and deca but nothing else. Jerry said he knew these were prescription drugs and need a prescription to get them. Jerry wanted to be sure there were from a doctor and safe and legal. Jerry saved these drugs for

years mark lesher gave him as a sample of what he could get him. "GUESS WHAT"? When the F.B.I. Questioned Jerry and Shannon about what drugs lesher gave Shannon before and after they raped Shannon. Jerry Coyel gave the F.B.I. these ileagal drugs mark had gave him to sample, and they have serial numbers as to where they came from. The dots are being connected and the serial numbers lead to guess who "MARK LESHER"! I have waited for months for the F.B.I. to finally take Lesher down, but they don't get in a hurry. This is just a sample of what Lesher is facing. The rrcounty Sheriff Office has these records."

- 3) "Well, I think she knew she could always go back home because her husband told her she could... and she knew it... However the drugs that she was under was distorting her thinking as it does to anyone that abuse such. I was referring to the reasons she left, which you know nothing of. nor shall I tell you. But none of you followers have come close. She was not aware that she was being drugged to the point of being incapacitated for A sexfest for the Lesher Trio. You get it and you can understand it,but ,you want admit it for it would make to much since and would cast the blame back on your LEADERS. But I can tell you this... If the ALL MIGHTLY LAWYERS the trio is using needs this forum to gather their case.....well... they too are as useful as condom is at the LESHERS."
- 4) "If you hust got on to this topic I can forgive you. But if you have been following this topic and all of the threads you would know that MARK LESHER had MIKE RICE and ROBERT McCARVER take her from the MAIN house, to the Trailer after she became distraught and panicked over the Assault and wanted to leave, but Mark Lesher instructed the two BOYS to put her in the reailer and Robert was to remain with her so she could not leave until Mark and Rhonda returned from THEIR JOBS, and then they would handle it. They Hid her KEYS from her and tried to get her to take more DOPE. She was trapped out there at the compound and had no way of leaving. She had TWO thugs making sure she did not leave as instrycted by Mark Lesher... It is real simple to see she was being HELD AGAINST her will. Now you want to lay some games with words ,go ahead. If you cannot follow that , then you will have to wait for the trial and the tapped interview and confession detailing it for you. From one of the conspiritors of the CRIME ,himself.. His version ,matches the VICTIMs very precisely and refutes and destroys tthe other twos stories,,, all of them. So play with words."
- 5) "Now I know that ever you are not that STUPID,,,well nevermind,,, here let me set it out for you again. The attack happened the night before and then the Leshers went off to work or somewhere, who knows, they left and when the Victim came to enough to realize what actually happened to her ,the next morning ,she freaked out. Now you got it.... hell you know how it went down. you just want to play with words and confuse yourself. Just take you time and read and think about the whole statement then re read and rethink iy over and it will slowly form a picture of the Cruel and horrible happening. maybe ,just maybe, once you realize what really went on in that den of self gratifing eniquity, you too can have some compassion for a distraught helpless woman."
- 6) "See anonymous, you still have not facts.... The report of the offence was made around 3 weeks after the attack... You and your FRIENDS(2) have always got that wrong and keep telling the same lie. CREDIBILITY...swiiissshh... out and over. It is real easy to find out.... get off your lying butt and see for yourself and then print it. AHHH, but that

would not fit the cause... ehh? The complete time line and totally accurate story of what happened will be outlined and cooberrated by the victim, Robert's statements and other witnesses. And do you think we would be foolish enough to give complete details on here. All we do is give a few facts and outlines of the happening. So GET THE LAWYERS and have them read this over and over again. They will scratch their little heads and say, so what. The testimony in court will be what is damning for the TRIO. Nothing said here will be even mentioned in court. FOR or AGAINST either side. But if it makes you happy.... keep it up.... I will. BUT when it is all over and the FAT LADY sings, the Lesher Trio of SEXUAL PREDATORS will be OFF to PRISON."

- 7) "Well, we have a little ptoblem there, Helldog. You see we do not have dialog with Varley. And I am sure ,if we did, he would not listen to us. But he might Listen to her. A D.A> will always listen to pleas. Might not accept them but will listen. The other problem here is that McCarver beat her to the punch. And She was the instigator of the Assault. The BOYS saw her having fun and just decided they ,too should indulge."
- 8) "Lets do a theoretical scene here. Lets say they are all in court and the conversation of McCarver and the Sheriff's officer was allowed. Now the Leshers will have to EITHER take the Stand or NOT. If they do elect to testify, they will be subject to some hard questions about all that was told during that CONVERSATION. From that conversation will come the evidence of drug supplying and dealing for PROFIT. And all of which will be ILLEGAL and other charges could and would be filed for another case. If they do not take the stand. The other charges could still be filed. And they would SURELY be found guilty of the SEX charge ,too. They are in a real PICKLE ,if you ask me. At least MARK LESHER is.... and of course his little new BEST friend McCarver. I can see why he hired BOTSFORD. His only hope is to have these things delayed and appealed to ETERNITY. REMEMBER at the beginning of this ordeal we stated THIS is just the TIP of the ICEBERG."
- 9) "I think it all got started with the Mark Lesher and his GREEDY attempt to cash in on an unfortinate event in the victims life. Then the Assault occured because of the Leshers perverted lifestyle choices and self gratification needs with a vulnerable person they thought they could control. The assault was a matter of opportunity."
- 10) "Lets call this theoretical. There will be another witness, to be called to repeat what Rhonda told her about the Incident, the day after it occured. This person is a FRIEND of The Leshers and thought she would help. She will testify that her friend Rhonda, recounted the sexual engagement of the Victim and what a great affair it was. This friend thought she could help Rhonda with this information... Because she was told it was a consentual affair. Now ,that is the account Rhonda gave this person.....And the friend is on record ,now. The problem here is ,NOW we have three people cooborating the fact that Rhonda did perform sex on the victim. Here the thing is to figure ut whether it was actually consentual,in this tale. Now the other problem would be that RHONDA and the other two said it did not happen and that the victim was not there at all during that particular time. Yet,two of them have a already testified in another court that she was. Could it be that this thing did happen...???"
- 11) "Uou always ask the question when we say they are NOT INNOCENT..... So I ask t when you say THEY ARE. However I have talked to SOME of the people that have told

- about ROBERT McCarver's boast about the deal when it happened. He told them how funny it was when she was freaking out abiut it. I understand there is a tape of him ,in jail, doing the same. There is , also a aped ,audio and video, of him giving derails to the LAW. I have ,yet to run into anyone that can give any sort of actual evidence to the contrary. So there is evidence that it did happen, from one of those that were THERE, as wel as the VICTIM.Now that is fairly well CONCLUSIVE and COMPELLING evidence to be presented to the JURY. The are FACTS apon FACTS, not hearsay or made up ILLUSIONS like the FOLLOWERS present."
- 12) "When ever we post anything that shows GUILT for the TRIO. YOU followers jump in with some B.S. about the victim or the victims friends and family. Like the family or friends had anything to do with it????? The only ones that had anything to do with the ACTUAL assault were the TRIO, the Victim and Mike Rice(after the fact). But you like to BRING UP ANYTHING AND EVERYTHING that had nothing to do with the ASSAULT. STRANGE how that all upsets you."
- 13) "Joe, Thank you for the Apology. Accepted. I have never .intentionally tried to pass myself off as an expert on anything. I stated I have had vast experience with polygraphs..... Maybe the word vast was the ignition point to your Presuming I was excerting Expertice.... Any way... I am not an expert in the polygraph are.... Like I said they are very usefull in the right hands. They have in fact brought about many CONFESSIONS from their uUSE by a competent examiner. But the point I was trying to extoll, is that they are VERY rarely allowed in a criminal case, either for the defendants or against them. You take your profession very seriously and granted you should. It was demonstrated how serious and compassionate you are about your duties, as it shouldbe. As I mentioned here in response, this is a very heart touching and nerve bearing subject we are all involved with ,in this Assault case. Much of the beginning statements have been taken off earlier because of many factors. But the Heart of the matter is that a WOMAN was SEXUALLY ASSAULTED while incapacitated to the extent to not be able to give consent or NOT give consent to sexual contact by the defendants. The parties involved are no angels, any of them, including the VICTIM. But no matter what. A person does not have the right to have sexual contact of anysort with another person unless there is consent. That is the law. TWO different Grand Juries have found enough evidence to warrant a detailed look at the Total eveidence by a Criminal Court and Jury. Ever since the indictment, the Defendants have attacked the Family of the VICTIM ,children, mothers, fathers, brothers, sisters and all. They have attacked the hisband of the victim and his intire family, calling his sone QUEER and claiming all forts of criminla arrestss and conviction and so on and so on. Some of us, Victims friends, have been over zealous in our expressions of outrage and matbe gone the wrong way of expressing it. But we all fel very strongly about the Trio's guilt. The husband of the victim was Threatened by these dogs ,that's when we got involved. After doing much research and investigating and info. gathering... we are confident of the outcome to this assault and the Victim will have Justice served by these animals goig to prison. Keep up your good work and God Bless you."
- 14) "Mark LESHER is a coward that hide behind women ,when he is not drugging and RAPING them. The only reason he hasn't TAKEN care of Rhonda is because he is scared of her and besides that she is PERVERTED like him and he likes to get his jollies off

- watching her with other women and men and joins in on most occasions. His other wives or Common laws or what ever they were wouldn't be so accomodating, and we all know what happened there. He is just a low life PINKY boy with no class and no GUTS. NOW take CARE of that MR.(i use that term loosely)LESHER. You YELLOW BELLY , scum sucking PIG. TAHT'S the nice part."
- 15) "We are not here for the RICHARDSON case.... This is about the Leshers RAPE of a Lady. And you and the rest of the followers do nothing but try to make it about everyone, everything and anything to try and divert attention from The Horrible crime The Trio committed... this thread was started way back in march and April to expose the PIGS for what they are."
- 16) "Because I do not follow the BROS. case that close.... AND could really not care less about it.... My focus is on the LESHER TRIO and their CRIME against the VICTIM and the THREATS against her HUSBAND and family"
- 17) "We put all our FACTS here... for your enjoyment... I can't wait to see what you followers will say when the Pervert scum go tom PRISON for Aggravated Sexual Assault... " oh what an injusice" "they put our criminals in jail" "Corruption" " that woman needed to be RAPED" " "why don't the D.A. mond his ouwn Bus. and leave the CRIMINLAS alone" Yeah, well, they will still be in PRISON."
- 18) "You can tell the pressure is building up. Even the followers know that the closer it gets to trial...the worse it gets...the time is short for the TRIO to be free. Those Prison doors are becoming a reality to them all. They know of the Trio's guilt and cannot find a way to escape the inevitable. But that is nothing compared to the PRESSURE ol'PINKY and his herpes Queen are feeling... If any of you are able or want to, get close to Mark. Watch his actions... he is a man with many DEMONS in his mind and they are tearing his head up.... seriously, watch him."
- 19) "Now u seem to be on to something,,, sort of,,.. It will be shown that Mark and Robert actually planned the deal...assault... Mark was after the victim to have sex with Rhonda, and she was not going for it...SOOOO the plan was hatched to knock her out so they could do their deed. AND DID. Now ,all along we have admitted the use of drugs by the victim and even by her own words she was using SPEED.... duh.... Which does not KNOCK one out...just the opposite... Mark , being a PHARMACIST knew what to do... As ROBERT will attest to, it was a HARMLESS deal that they believed would not be such a big deal. After all, the Leshers were doing for her and all. How could she go to the LAW with this simple act of sex.???? Was their thinking... so says ROBERT. Robert and Mark and MIKE Rice talked (the next day) about what would happen if they did let her leave, after she threw a fit about what happened. They decided she would not go to the Law and would surely go to her mothers in Paris and all this would die down. They all thought she would be too affraid to go to JC with this deal. But she did go home after she went to her mothers and found that JC was willing to have her come home all along. She wanted to get away from the drugs and all... so she came clean on all of what transpired.. JC told her to do what ever she needed to do he would stand by her no matter what she decided to do as long as she would stay off the drugs. Now that is more than many of you deserve to know, for now. But it is fact. There will be lots of shit spresd by the followers but it will all fly back in their faces when the whole story is played out in court. NONE of

the ,so called ,friends of the LESHERS want to go to jail for them. So they are all telling the TRUTH..... Those that were connected to this in any way. When it is all done, even you followers(or most) will see the light. SC deserves a lot of credit for coming forward and putting her self out there like she is doing... But she said she refuses to be anyones VICTIM ever again.... no matter what anyone thinks of her.. she is doing it for herself...PERIOD... This will all be backed up in court by the words of some of the PARTICIPANTS before,during and/or after the incident happened. Two of which cannot keep their mouths closed, they had to tell of the deal to others. That is why we KNOW the TRIO is TOAST... and possibly one or two others that may be filed on for aiding in a criminal cover up. THINK about this... EVEN if the victim was having SEX with all of them and the rest of the county..... It is SEXUAL ASSAULT to do so without CONSENT at the TIME. THE BEAT GOES ON"

- 20) "YOU will also notice in the earlier posts that MARK LESHER also had SC sign a WILL FORM while at the compound. What would that have to do with a DIVORCE case that he was not handling???? and WHY?? HOW about the POWER of ATTY. he had her sign ,all while under the influence of drugs???? Also there may be a couple of other interesting documents that were involved. But those we will ,also,leave for their surprise. Well maybe not to MARK LESHER."
- 21) "When LESHER COACHED the Coyel boy before trial, he was actually obstructing justice, also. He was not the boys lawyer, nor was he SC's lawyer at that time. In custody cases and child endangerment cases an avocate, Lawyer, is appointed by the Judge and no one is supposed to council that child unless the ADVOCATE is present. It has already been shown in court record, that Mark tried to get the boy to lie to the court."
- 22) "I do not see any TANGLED WEB....ANY of their problems, I am sure they will handle...The point here is that none of that has a THING to do with the Sexual assault of SC by Mark and Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver.... NOW DOES IT.?????I can hear the ATTYs. for the TRIO now. YOUR honor, this VICTIM has not renewed her teachers license and her husband is a baaaaad man. She was picked up on warrants in COLO. and she was taken to the police station in OKLA. for having a gun and PARVO medicine. IT is clear that the DEFENDANTS had every right to have sex with her while she was incoherent and unable to give them permission... I am sur she would have had she been Coherent, but she wasn't able to withstand the drugs we gave her to take. So I move that you dismiss the case. Further more, yourhonor, they have been investigated by CPS. EVEN though they were cleared and retained custody of children, we know that had the CPS workers and supervisors NOT gone for a ride in that CORVETTE or went hunting on their property, they would have been found to be unwothy of caring fo children... The courts and CPS ar working for the victim and her husband. So I again ask for dismissal upon these grounds."
- 23) "ONE more time I will expain it to you again ,SLOW BRAIN. The confession was McCarvers.... the one he gave to Investigators... Neve did we say it was MARK's..... it was telling of MARKS involvement and other info. about MARK LESHERS misdeeds. Second... She reported it soon after it happened... like two or three weeks... It was taken to GJ several months later... that was a D.A. choice. Third--- The first grand JURY returned an INDICTMENT of SEXUAL ASSAULT... that was when the LESHERS were arressted and hauled to jail. The SECOND GRAND JURY returned an indictment

- UPGRADED to AGGRAVATED SEXUAL ASSAULT. It is obvious you have no clue as to what is or has happened... so how can anyone think about your statements being CREDIBLE in any form????? You are truely a DUNCE."
- 24) "I ment Mark Lesher has a problem with the truth, and don't wan't McCarver talking to the Sheriff about the truth. McCarver has already confessed, so it's to late! I would have loved to have seen Mark and Rhonda Leshers face when they saw and heard the confession of McCarver!"
- 25) "This puzzles me? Did Mark Lesher and Rhonda Long Lesher, think the victim Shannon Coyel would not tell her husband. Both know Jerry Coyel very well, they mooched off him for years. Did they think they could Rape Shannon, get her an attorney to sue Jerry while they had her on drugs, and Jerry would do nothing. Help her child molesting father get their kids, which backfired. Do they think this will be over after the trial? "NOT"!!!!!!"
- 26) "I wish I could have seen the look on Mark's face when he was told in curt today that his 20,000 dollar guy Robert had ratted on Mark when Robert was in jail. Now what will Mark do? Robert has already ratted so will Mark and Rhonda throw him out or just let him stay with them so what do you think? I bet Mark is hot that Robert told the sheriff that Mark has been selling drugs to Ray for a very long time. Would of loved to of seen Mark's face. Good Job Robert what else can you tell us. Tell us about what really happened the night yall raped and drugged this lady? I'm sure you will get a even better deal and some time off your own sentence."
- 27) "So how in the heck do u know the Leshers will be cleared where u a fly on the wall when they raped and drugged this lady or r they just gonna let the McCarver guy take the fall u know Mark always has a fall guy. Wonder if McCarver knows they plan to try this seperate as so to put all the blame on Robert since Robert has so many felonies. Read the papers they want it tried seperate because of Roberts previous record like one stated above."
- 28) "I still ask this question: Why did Mark Lesher pay \$20.000.00 to bond out Robert McCarver? Take McCarver hime with him, give him money, car, cell phone? Why was Robert McCarver living with the Leshers when they raped Shannon?"
- 29) "Think you need to ask leshers that, all they did was mooch off Jerry. I believe Leshers drugged shannon and had her sign papers trying to get Jerrys money But when they Raped her it backfired .Lesher is all show and blow no money just a leech who files frivolous law suits. What Lesher don't know is Jerry won't let up, he should not have molested wife and kids. Leshers think it's now, I'm here to tell you it cann and i hope will get a lot hotter."
- 30) "Lesher I know Jerry and he don't lie and all that knows him know that. I ain't suppos to say nothing and Jerry ain't got nothing to do with what i say and will probably get mad but. I personally talked to D.J. An 11 year old boy and he tole me about the dope you gave his mother and the perverted stuff you wanted him to say. If you have checked you by know I ben out and in prizon most of my life and Jerry would always send me money and took care of my family. I hate child molestors and people that harm women and I don't lie. I grew up with Jerry he went the right way and i made a lot of mistakes. You

- maylie your way out of this but I promuse you no matter where you hide or run I will find you. This is not a threat and I don't like talking on this dam computer but out of loyalty I will for now .I guive you my word you will not get by with what you have done. GOD have mercy on your sole because I won't."
- 31) "New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out! [Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 32) "Two different Grand Juries, 24 rrcounty citizens indicted this "TRIO OF TRASH' you hang with, Robert McCarver has confessed and you still lie and call Clarksville TX a podunk town. When the good citizens of McKinney find this scumb "GUILTY" and they get life what will you call them."
- 33) ""TRIO OF TRASH" face "LIFE SENTENCE"! New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes

conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. _ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahalololololo [Quote] With no dog in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

34) "Good morning all. This is the real ilbedipt and I am not lou and never have been. I just try to gather the best info we can gather. I do have the same agenda as lou ,however. I want to see that the Leshers and McCarver get what us deserved in this case. I want to see them in prison for their crime against a helpless woman that ,thought she was being helped as a friend not used as a pawn or sex toy. I have no connection to the victim oyher than being a long time friend of her husbands. But even if I was not a friend I would still be totally oposed to the actions of the Trio of criminals that wrecked havoc upon this lady. Than try to convince the community that she was the bad person, here. It may be hard for some of you to believe the Leshers could do this crime... but that is exactly what they hoped for. yhat is is exactly why they feel they can get away with the things they do. because they feel no one would believe they would do these things.. It has been their way for a long, long time...it has just come to light because the victim has come forward an been brave enough to stand up against them. I commend her for the

- fortitude it took and takes to stand her groud and fight the powerfl and (self proclaimed) MIGHTY Robert McCarvers confession is proof that it happened and has in fact condemned the Leshers. Even if the confession s not allowed in court, for some technical reason, it still shows the FACT of the crime taking place. But so far the confession will be introduced. yet, there will be those that live th lifestyle of the leshers and those that are family that will stand by them.. that is ok. But the community will be a safer place, even if they get off. (which I do not see happening) At least the community, ow is aware of the treacherous ways of the Leshers. Thank GOD. (and the victim) ..."
- 35) "If you will recall (which I am sure the followers won't") way back in april, Mark Lesher posted as SIMPLY PAADISE and called Jerry Coyel a loud mouth and Drug dealing bastard. Who had all the POWER and OFFICIALS of red river co. in his pocket. That was way before any of this ASSAULT stuff came to light(it was being investigaated but very few knew of t and it was not discussed on here then). No SCREAMED "TOS--RULES" then.. What is up with that.. It is ok for the Lesher/McCarver lovers to say what they want about who they want and name names, but, IT IS BREAKING THE RULES WHEN anyone else does it. Yes JC was in fact threatened by Mike Rice. MR said he would GUT SHOOT Coyel if he saw him in public. Coyel saw him in public and confronted him in a crowded building with many winesses and the coward M.RICE almost crippled himself by running away and stumbling over a lady sitting in the fron row... To this day Mike Rice will shake if he hears Jerry is near and quickly run away and hide. Mark Lesher told a group of people that Jerry was a child molester and when Jerry went to his office to confront him, Lesher hid in his office and called the sheriff to have him arrested for attemted murder.. These Lesher followers and the Leshers are nothing but Cowards and Women abusers.. They never stand up to a man except to hand over drugs. Now you can CONTACT THE TOPIX OFFICIALS AND cry like the little whinning babies you are. WHen you worms talk and cuss at people it is ok and RIGHTOUS in you book, but that is usually the way with COWARDS .."
- 36) "It's bleeding heart wanta bees like you is the reason 'PERVERTS' like these Leshers and McCarvers do these vile things. You would support this trash no matter what because you are just like them. What about the victim here? Do you think these animals should have drugged and 'RAPED' her, and Rhonda[Long] Lesher sucked and bit her vigina while she was out. What about the 11 year old boy D.J.? Two GJ indictments 24 peole and trash like you still support this scumb. Lesher bonded out McCarver \$20,000,00 because Lesher is a good guy, and takes him home. You are a perverted piece of shit!!!!!!!"
- 37) "Then her daughter should not be trash! I call like it is, why do you think the F.B.I., State Police and outhers want this scumb. These Leshers are 'PERVERTED' 'SLIMY' 'HERPIES' 'AIDS' infested pieces of shit!!!!!!!!! I guess the victim deserved and the 11 year old boy and Judge Jim Lovett deserved what this trash did to them."
- 38) "Shannon Coyel don't need help. The taped confession, witness statements about drugs and many things on the Leshers will put this slime away. Give the 'GRAND JURORS' credit! They are why this scumb will go to Jail! Rhonda said I'm a Long and Ricky Long is my brother caught with the 'HEROS' dope, him and Mark wanted to help the needy. Pure 'WHITE TRASH'!!! Ricky a fine man is now ruined by this 'PERVERTED SCUMB'!!"

- 39) "Mark Lesher did not pay me and until he does i will tell the truth about his sorry no paying lying ass. Also i have seen the evidence and the light. Nobody, I mean nobody should get by with drugging a woman, raping her and doing what he did to an 11 year old kid. Forget about the adult if you want, but not the kid. Jerry must really have control or know Leshers and McCarver will suffer more in jail. Why put this scumb out of their mysery?"
- 40) "Why is it when Mark Lesher was behind rrpoliticks with Earnie, all you 'QUEERS' loved to bash the D.A., all Law and Judges, Sheriff Robert Bridges, Larry Spangler even after his death with lies. But when the truth is told on Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark{QUEER}Lesher you seem to not like it! Are you 'PERVERTS' that hung up on this scumb' Is it his 'DRUGS', 'ORGIES' 'PERVISION'? All this White trash has give you is 'HERPIES', 'AIDS' Please tell me why you would defend 'CHILD MOLESTERS' 'WOMEN RAPIST' people as sorry as this 'SCUMB'!!!!!!!! 'YOU QUEERS STILL THINK YOUR FUNNY'?"
- 41) "Lou wrote: [quoted text] Have you read evidence from the news papers" Ricky Long Rhonda [Long] Leshers brother, a fine man was caught with a load of all type drugs of Mark Leshers because of Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION". Rhonda[Long]Lesher after Mark drugged the victon sucked and bit her vagina, them Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her. Two different Grang Juries indicted this scumb after hearing evidence from several people. Mark Lesher tried to get an 11 year old boy to say he saw his step father have sex with his sister and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. This was testified to in court by D.J. Coyel.Lesher hand wrote and typed a letter saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher sent the letter to Austin to the Judisial Board. This was a lie and shit will hit the fan soon. Leshers handwriting was idientified. Lesher wanted Judge Lovett out because he could not corrupt him. Ask Att. Dan Mehan or outhers all have a copy of the letter. There is a lot more I cain't tell but will come out soon. This piece of shit Lesher will go to Jail and be disbared. All we have posted are Facts! Lesher is involved in "DRUGS", "RAPE", "Child Molesting", and more! F.B.I. have a book on this pervert. These Lesher, McCarver perverted pupporters are the same from rrpoliticks. They are scumb Just like Lesher and McCarver. Talk with Lucy Lollar, David Barnett, Alan Hale, Tommy Welch, James Welch, any intelligent person about this Lesher McCarver Perverted Child Molesting SCUMB'!!!!!!.Logan, IL Reply>> Must report wrote: You must report to "ME" It appears "lou" who is now going by "Courthouse Mouse" has completely taken over the Clarksville Forum. You have ato report the exact post which is offending. Think he is going by a different name now because they must have gotten on to him or something? Also tell "ME" I will get im!######### "WHY" are you Lesher, McCarver Perverted scumb complaning? You didn't complaine on rr politicks when you "TRASHED" the D.A., Sheriff Office, Sheriff Robert Bridges. You slimy "BASTARDS" even trashed the dead. Larry Spangler and his family, just because Lesher "QUEER" could not corrupt him. Yall wanted Leshers "TRASH" HAMILTON, and ABBOTT in office buy you "QUEERS" "PERVERTED" "TRASH" lost!!!!!!!!! "LOSERS" so kiss my "ASS"!!!!!!!!! ###############WHY WEREN'T YOU BLEEDING HEARTS, REPORTING RRPOLITICKS WHEN MARK LESHER WAS BEHIND IT/."

- 42) "who="The real Helldog" Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person o interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... "LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts!
- 43) "Rhonda [Long] Lesher, 'ROBERT MCCARVER' and Mark Lesher should get life, without parole! Why would educated people 'DRUGG' and 'RAPE' a woman? Only for their 'PERVERTED' pleasure, and their insane sexual pleasure and their insane sexual pleasure. Crimes of this nature should carry the severest of penalties. How many outher 'VICTOMS' have not came forward? What else has this "TRIO" done? Look at their history, just in rrcounty. How many bonds does Lesher hold on McCarver, and all different crimes.\$20.000.00 bond for Robert McCarver on this, Agg. sexual assault crime. The F.B.I. and State Police are trying to put Mark Lesher away, but havn't yet! Look what happened to Linda Velvin and her son. Think what Lesher has tried to do to rrcounty! Look at RThonda[Long]Lesher past history! McCarver has "CONFESSED" so Lesher hires an appeal Lawyer, Why? Lets make sure Collin COunty residents know the "FACTS" about this "TRIO"!"

Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS" ::::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!Quote] This is why i'm worried about Rhonda! [Quote]

Collin County, "LIFE" for sure!"

44) "Residents of Collin County, Robert Lynn McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher have had their trial moved to your county. This is costing you the tax payers "THOUSANDS" of dollars! The reason this "TRIO" have ask for change of venue, is because 24 residents of Clarksville Texas have indicted, two different Grand Juries.

Resinents of rrcounty know this "TRIO" too well, 'DRUGS', 'PERVISION', 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS', 'RAPE', 'CHILD MOLESTING', etc.! These 'CRIMINALS belong behind bars, and residents of Clarksvill were ready to put them there, so knowing McKinney residents had no knowledge of their "CRIMINAL" actions they ask to be tried in McKinney Texas. Please check with Sheriff of Red River County, any office of the law of their behavior, Mark Lesher an attorney claims to be like "TEFLON JOHN GOTTY", nothing sticks, and he has been right so far. We of rrcounty have done all we can, now it's up to you!"

45) "THIS was originally posted April,4,2008. here are some facts about a major supporter of Abbott (you are a reflection of your friend and supporters) Mark Lescher and Rhonda (Long)Lescher held the wife of a citizen on Red Rover county captive through the use of drugs some legal sedatives and some illegal drugs. She had been convinced by Mark, that she could sue her husband for divorce and receive a large sum of money. The Leschers talked her into moving to thier property, put her and her 11 year old son in a trailer on the property. Started giving her large doses of drugs. After she was so out of it with the drugs Mark started having her sign documents required for the court, by the court, she was told. here is a list of the things she signed...1 - statements of abuse by husband---usual stuff 1statements for support and divideing income---usual stuff 1- POWER of ATTORNEY to handle her affairs ---huh???? 1 - HER WILL----huh?????? Then there was the statement accusing and witnessing misconduct and criminal behavior by a Judge LOVETT (this statement was half handwritten and half typed and the lady said she never saw this statement not have any knowledgr of it's content, Did have her signature but the rest was not in her hand writing nor did she type it) this letter was sent to law enforcement agency by MARK'S office----very strange here. This lady was subjected to sexual confrontations by Mark and His wife Once she woke up from the over drugging and found Rhonda Lescher performing oral sex on her. Mark Lescher had the lady bring her son to the house one day and started to tell the 11 year old what to tell the judge when they got before the court..... Mark told him to tell the judge that the step father was molesting him and his sister (who was still with her step father) and had been for some time. Mark told him to tell the judge that he witnessed the parents having sex and was invited to watch Mark also told the boy to say that the sep father would remove the boys cloths and make the boy fondle himself whilr the step father watched. The little boy broke down and said he couldn't tell those lies ...it wasn't true. Mark insisted it had to be told that way. the lady finally realized what was really going on and tried to leave. Mark and Rhonda wouldn't give her her keys to her car nor her ID or anything. In fact they told her if she tried to leave or the could and would have her committed to a hospital for insanity and drug addiction. (for she had given Mark the power of atty.) However she insisted she would not tell anyone about any of theses things and they relented and let her leave. There is much more in the documents-THAT'S RIGHT—COURT DOCUMENTS TO BACK UP WHAT WAS JUST STATED.... It's your court house go see for yourself. It seems as though Mr. Lescher and his wife have gone too far, with the wrong person this time. Someone that has the money to take him down and that is not affraid of him.....and is much smarter than him. This person is not about to pay a single cent to an extortionist... but will spend all he has to prove his innocence. This person is praying nothing happens to Mark or his wife BEFORE he can see them punished for the wrongs they have and are doing.... he may not want anything done but KARMA is a bitch. The

- above matter of testimony in a court......Watch the news it will be coming out real soon and other matters concerning MR. MARK LESCHER ATTORNEY"
- 46) ""ROBERT MCCARVER" Confessed! This TRIO OF TRASH drugged and RAPED a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver "PERVERTED" posters think residents cain't reed the news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You "MORONS" fool no one! [Quote] Post something with context, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Lesher's \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! hahahahahaha LOL"
- 47) "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1 #4553 19 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 From Clarksville paper Red Rover officials arrested three on multiple drug charges on July 2 after responding to a 9-1-1 hang up call at a residence located at 9636 Hwy. 37 North of Clarksville, Sheriff Terry Reed said in a press release Monday. Amy Vanessa Blythe (35)

of Clarksville, Terri Ann Gayler (28) of Broken Bow, Okla., and Ricky Joe Long (55) of Clarksville were all arrested for the offenses of manufacture and delivery of a controlled substance over four grams less that 400 grams, a first degree felony; engaging in organized crime, a first degree felony and endangering a child, a second degree felony. Long was also charged with possession of marijuana under two ounces. Officers seized 14 ounces of (ICE) methamphetamine (estimated street value f \$40,000.00), Approximately two ounces of marijuana, large variety of controlled dangerous drugs, drug paraphernalia and \$1,064 dollars in cash. Long was released on \$56,000 bail and Gayler was released on \$55,000 bond. Blythe currently remains in Red River County Jail. Reed said a 17-month-old child was present at the residence and was taken away by Child Protective Services. The child is now with its maternal grandparents in McCurtain County, Okla. Red River County Deputy Glen Briggle responded to a 9-1-1- hang up call at the at about 8 p.m. On July 2, make contact with the resident of the house and inquired into the nature of the 9-1-1 call. As the officer spoke with the resident and began to identify other occupants of the house, two white male suspects fled from the residence through the back door and remain at large, according to Reed. As the officer entered the residence, he observed several items of drug paraphernalia and illegal drugs in plain view,' Reed said. 'The officer requested assistance and secured the remaining suspects and the residence.' Blythe, Gaylor and Long were all arrested at the scene and transported to the Red River County Jail. 'At this time the case is still under investigation and I expect other arrests to be made in the near future in regards to this case,' Reed said. ######## Ricky Long, RhondaLongLeshers Brother? Caught with a load of Mark Leshers "DRUGS"! I guess this is a lie? Now twist this!!!!!!! how many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" ruin? Rhonda["LONG"] Lesher, Ricky Long's sister "SUCKED" and "BIT" the victims "VIGINA" then Robert McCarver and Mark Lesher raped her. McCarver has confessed to D.A. and Sheriff. Mark Leshers Lawyers are trying to get the confession thrown out."

- 48) "Agg. Sexual Assault, a first degree felony! They will all get Jail time, and lots of it. "THIS I PROMISE YOU!"
- 49) ""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******* "TOAST" ****** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from

the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven." I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@"FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-

- 50) "I have heard from Rhonda Longs close friends, Mark Lesher gives Rhonda "DRUGS" and has her do "PERVERTED" Things. Rhonda is worried about losing her lifestyle and said sometimes Lehser Scares her. I believe Lesher gave not only Shannon "DRUGS" but also Drugged "RHONDA" so he and McCarver could watch and then double up on both, the best they could with their "PINKIES" that don't work. Rhonda Loves sex but I believe this was Leshers doings. Ricky Long also hates Lesher and think Lesher does this to his sister. I wish Rhonda wouls save herself, and let Lesher and McCarver take the fall. Rhonda don't belong in Jail acording to Jerry but the outher two SCUMBS" do."
- 51) "I want to make a personal appology to "RHONDA LONG"! I knew Shannon was telling the truth about the "RAPE" and D.J. COYEL 11 year old told me what Lesher had done, and saw Lesher give his mother "DRUGS"! I have seen the Lie Lesher wrote Austin about Judge Jim Lovett having sex with Jerrys wife Carol. What i did not know was Lesher is controlling Rhonda and should not be held responsible. Lesher and McCarver should Be. I hope Rhonda don't end up like Linda Velvin Leshers ex did and her brother."
- 52) "What I talk about was done to the Victom by the "TRIO OF TRASH"!

 Rhonda[McCarver,Long]Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher! Rhonda,

- while the Victom was "DRUGGED" preformed Oral Sex on her, "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina. Mark and McCarver were playing with their self and each outher then "RAPED" her! "ROBERT MCCARVER" has "CONFESSED" to this to the DA and Sheriff! McCarver also "BRAGGED" while in Jail how the Victom went "BERZERK"! McCarver also "CONFESSED" about dealing "DRUGS" for Mark Lesher! You "BASTARDS" can lie all you want, but this will come out in trial, with "WITNESS" testimony! ********* "LOSERS" ********* What you can't "LIE" about is they were "INDICTED" Twice!!! Hahhahhhahhha"
- 53) "Reply>> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#4153 23 min ago 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child

- endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."
- 54) "Helldog wrote: I have oral se with the Leshers! We have "HERPIES" and "AIDS" ant it is nobodyies business! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^ ^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his

client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks

- of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."
- 55) "1 min ago Helldog wrote: I have oral sex with the Leshers! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their

- "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."
- 56) "You and others like you are part of the problem! You think Leshers can rape, sell and grow drugs do whatever they wish and we all sit by and let them sue us the tax payers, put dumps, liquor whatever they want. Thers are more that disagree with you, the election proved that and this will go to trial so keep living with your doubts and buy a ticket beacuse Mr Val Varley won't back down from Justice and his day in court."
- 57) "Reply >> lReport AbuselJudge it! 1#915 Thursday Jul 24 Judged: 1 1 1 lou wrote: Judged: 1 lou wrote: Reply>> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#915 Thursday Jul 17 Anyone know if he was ever convicted? East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... "LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!! Well I see you are blaming The perverted piece of shit Lesher who I know is Queer! As to how can Mark Lesher not know what Linda Velvin was doing...The same way I know What Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher is doing, Rape, pervision, spreading "HERPIES"! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!! "FACTS""
- 58) "Reply>> lReport Abuse lJudge it!l#916 Thursday Jul 24 Judged: 1 1 1 1 Logan, IL Reply>> lReport Abusel lJudge it!l#626 34 min ago [QUOTE who Paris News] Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery.

The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ----- Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. [/QUOTE] ilbedipt Fort Worth, TX Reply>> lReport Abusel lJudge it!l#627 23 min ago Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals. ----- Nothing about the landfill, frivolous law suits, just 'FACTS' from crime they comitted, 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'PERVISION'!!!!!!Mo re charges to come!!!!!!!# Rhonda Linda Velvin Lesher!!!!!! Ole big mouth Rhonda[long]Lesher said I'm a Long, my brother and us were railroaded, 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS' is our business, the victom cain't say what we did to her we had her drugged, we are innocent!!!!!!! 'HERE ARE THE REAL CRIMINALS AND THE TOPIC"

- 59) "While visiting with lou,he ask me to read this topix post and respond if necessary. After reading I was shocked how ignorant of fact some are. What does Jerrys \$5.00 fine, years ago have to do with sexual assault. The victim, Shannon Coyel with an excellent history, until Leshers and McCarver gave her drugs, had never been in trouble. Two Grand Juries have seen and heard evidence, and now agg. Sexual assault, a first degree felony is charged. The CA Val Varley ding his job, is now Rhonda Leshers latest victim. The Grand Jury handed down the indictments, not the CA. Rhonda has ask what's in it for him, the CA? Posted earlier, Justice, comes to mind!"
- 60) "So everyone keeps saying that this mcarver guy is scum and why would the lady run off with him and go back to her husband. Well if anyone has ever been on drugs (especially one that Lesher makes) well one word DRUGS DRUGS DRUGS DRUGS!!!!!!!! It was the drugs she left for not the piece of scum didn't matter who just what DRUGS!!!!!!! Anyone who knows the lady and mcarver knows something had to be off and her husband was a good as gold. Has to be a wonderful man if he took her back. Guess he knew it was not his wife it was the DRUGS MARK LESHER was giving her. Seems like her husband should get an award for saving her life. If she would of stayed with mcarver

- and lesher much longer she probably would of never made it home. Her husband is the real hero in this story. He saved her life!!!!!!"
- 61) "You just told the truth nearly ronda lesher, robert mccarver has and is staying at your house having sex with you and fag. mark while yall watch each other. I guess the outher corvetts and viper wer for what? Think your jest jelious of Shannon because you are and married to a looser. You are the ones that went to jail and are going back dummy you dont even make sense. you don't want mccarver found because you know he will rat on you and mark for drugs and rape. He will be found. " KARMA" is a bitch and in your case has just began, you should not have messed with wife and kids."
- 62) "KARMA" "KARMA" "KARMA" front page news The CLARKSVILLE TIMES, local attorney "DRUG" dealer and "SLUT" bug infested, disease ridden wife Ronda Laser and Mark Lesher indicted os sexual assault charges and more to come. After reading hand written papers and numerous witness statements hand written documents written by Mark Lesherthe "Grand Jury "indicts" local attorney white perverted trash. More charges to come. Still think you are above the law Mr Lesher? Stilll laughing? I don't think so. Why dont you start sueing you piece of "SHIT"?"
- 63) "There is a lot more charges coming 'You can bank on that" SUE SUE SUE Mark Lesher "PLEASE" You are going to get your sorry ass drug through court until you bled to death then and broke for fooling with women and kids then "KARMA" will do the rest. People don't believe their lies look for the facts to come out."
- 64) "Thought he was above the law, messed with the wrong guys wift and kids. Lesher says I will sue cause I cant get caught caught I am a pervert but you cant prove it so is ronda we got away with it for years ill sue. I hope he does I need the work."
- 65) "If I was mark lesher I would turn myself in and tell all, about Rape,making drugs, selling drugs, and weed lesher sold to ray price and others, also about mike rice growing dope for him, and robert mccarver growing dope for him, dynamite mccarver was cought and charged with, its hot in jail but it can get a lot hoter out here that I promuse you. I havent lied to you yet! should not have messed with wife and kids. Lesher when you go to jail dont worry I have a lot of friends there you will be well taken care of you can bank on that ."
- 66) "And the rest of the story: Everything changed after she was UNwillingly used for sex by the Leshers and their "criminal and drug addict" partner, McCarver."
- 67) "Reply>>lReport AbuselJudge it!l#146 Monday Sep 15 Judged: 1 1 1 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and

we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH":!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this

68) "Will reply one time! Quote: Do you believe I care what any of you "MORONS" believe, post, or say? The fact is the Evidence has been gathered. McCarver "CONFESSED", this "TRIO OF TRASH" will go to Jail! I just post for fun now! I don't like tv, and when I come home I sit down and reed Topix and laugh my butt off. "BUDWEISER" is with me and we drink a few Budweisers then go to bed. I hope Mark Lesher gets off, then you will have real news to talk about. Jerry is getting soft in his old age, not me!"

"CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

69) "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007
4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his
"LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental

institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 71) "Just a thought, "FACT" "TRUTH"::: Rhonda[Long]Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher indicted by 24 residents of rrcounty! Only 12 Jurors to go in Collin County, where "CRIMINALS" get swift Justice. Pretty good track record for Shannon, "JAIL" for the "TRIO OF TRASH"! With McCarvers "CONFESSION" and outher testimony this will be a grand slam!"
- 72) ""FACTS" you can verify!. @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher. McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 73) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#2 5 min ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#45 Monday Dec 1 "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******** "TOAST" ********* New indictments in

Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ "FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooo Hahhahahhahhah "LOSERS" hahhahhahahahaha"

74) "This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'Then

unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser ^^^^^^ New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 75) "Call it what you want, I call it exterminating "BUGS"! I learned the hard way you have to be careful, and at the right time and place! In my opiniol "ALL" "CHILD MOLESTERS, "RAPIST" "DRUG DEALERS" are "BUGS"!this includes Lesher and McCarver!"
- 76) "The lou bashers just have to pick on someone, they know the Leshers have no defense what so ever. The followers of the Trio, must really be in bad shape to have todefend Women rapers and child molesters. They are quick to scream 'innocent be for the law' for them..."
- 77) "I sure like having song written for and about me.. That takes a lot of intellingence. I must be really on their minds alot... One would think they would not want sick people in thier*community that would attack women and children.. but I guess there are those kinds of people in the world ,too. That think it's ok to do those things... It seems like the Leshers have gathered the support of all those kinds in your area... Did I tell you all about the recordings of Robert McCarver ,telling all about the CRIME and describing how the victim freaked out when she realized what was happening to her???? I am not supposd to tell it but I make have already.... Anyway, yep it is true. See that is why I know they will all go to prison......And that is another reason I know they are GUILTY... OK gotta go got now... see ya later. CHARLIE"
- 78) "WELL WELL Check it out KARMA KARMA, IS A BITCH (with a little help) MR. and MRS. MARK LESHER are in the RR COUNTY JAIL as we speak....I have mentioned the far of some people. That reach just Grabbed the Leashers. I tried to warn those that try to harm our friends...If the dea Leshers get out of Jail on this deal,they will likely (sic) be rearrested for other crimes...I hope they don't do anything harmfull to themselves befroe the FAT LADY SINGS. Watch TOMMORROWS NEWS PAPER_____we just helped KARMA fulfill it's RIGHT"

- 79) "Yes that is true # 170. The authorities have been looking at and watching Mark for some time, way before the Rap deal, and are now about ready to close the deal. It seems as though some people, not wanting to go to jail, have decided to cooperate and have started spilling the beans, to support what the authorities have been seeking proof of. Thanks to Shannon, and her courag to come forward, it has got the worms turning on each other. It have heard that Mark is trying to work a deal to place blame on others if it will help him. That is smart move for him, but, I don't believe the law is willing to believe he is not responsible"
- 80) "now here is a little humor...should be already know but I thought we should have a light moment here. It seems as though of Markyoy is not the best endowed person for making love. 'more like a pinky than a penis" as was quioted so mark invented a "Penile injector" to make the pinky perk up. Well that wasn't working too well, so, Linda had an operation to alter herself, to accomodate the pinky. I heard that didn't help much either... That's pretty funny...not for Linda but it is funny... That's sounds about right though... He can't get his diabetes injector to work either. He can't figure it out what to do Lawyer, DR., Pharmacist, Inventor, Bartender, Rapist, Kidnapper, d rug dealer, OR KING. Maybe PRISONER wil fit him well"
- 81) "Rhonda and Markwould go on out of town trips where Mark would call ESCORT services to service Rhonda while he watched then he would prform oral on the ladies. Many times he would have other men service her and perform oral on her afterwards. This was told by a former employee that took part in some of the same stuff with them. Robert McCarver was arrested for same crime same time on same lady that Leshers wre accused of assaulting. He is known sexual molester and well know drug head, that is Lesher's client and Lesher bonded him out for the illegal possession of 50 some odd sticks of DYNAMITE. He was present at the house when the assault took place. And took part. Now lets say only half this is true...what does that tell you. there is a long line of people there in Clarksville and surrounding area that will be willing to state many stories of such deviant bhaviour. If only a part of these things are so ...what will that tell you. The rants of innocence from Rhonda is really becoming a circus act. The Unique Touch is quite the lair for deviant sexual expectations I would assume."
- 82) "You are right --- to a point...But it is a little strange that those listening to Mark and rhonds only hear how innocent they are and what Great people they are. Yet, the truth is they are sexual deviants that let their behaviour get out of control or they didn't have respect for others wishes. So, they drugged and assaulted an innocent woman to fulfill their own sadistic and lurid desires. THAT"S WHAT IT'S ABOUT, what people do with other CONSENTING ADULTS is their own business. BUT action such as theirs have affected the public.."
- 83) "And as for GAIN..Remember it was Mark that had the lady sign over Power of Attourney to him, and it was Mark that had her sign a WILL form, while she was in a drugged state of mind. The lady has and will continue to be attacked for bringing this to ligt. she is and will continue to be humiliated by the Leshers and their groupies, It is the lady that PAID a high price for place her TRUST in a person of STATURE and officer of the court, to have it turned intoo a nightmare that she will have to endure for the rest of her life. Her friends or just coming to her aid and defence. LET ME SEE, NOW....YEP anher friends have a lot to GAIN."

- 84) "NOW the problem is, and has been that the LESHERS and McCarver assaulted a woman against her WILL. Even if what you said was half true..IT still gives them NO RIGHT to assault any woman. Is that not correct DIP SHIT"
- 85) "In my humble opinion, it makes no difference what a person sexual desires are, with CONSENTING, WILLING, ADULTS. But when a person is under the influence of drugs tha person is not capable of a rational, consenting thinking. Th only reason a person would allow it would be to get more drugs. That person in charge of the drugs would be holding the other as a hostage, mentaly. It is the technique used all drug dealers. So even if the three did not forceably HOLD her down and rape her, they did gold her mentally and intentionally, for illicit and wonton purposes. Now that is my View on the Rape without Physical force. Does that make it less than rape or sexual assault.... I THINK NOT. I rest my case, now, YOUR HONOR."
- 86) "do not believe all that the "head full of crap" says, just a family member that is trying to cash in with his brother. That is particular info will be brought out in court.the Bar is Leshers private little ahng out where only 'really important people can go to have orgies."
- 87) "Last update from the crew... to share.. This past weekend ,at a let's feel sorry for Mark gathering at the famous BAR, OL' CINCO was getting a little tipsy, let's say, and he started informing the group of, well wishers, that Val Varley was an idiot for trying to go up against him (MARK) in court, with the "little Tramp BIATCH" that is claiming an impossible case of RAPE.He went on to say "Shannon has no experience in court room affairs and I(mark) will have her so confused that when I get through the jury will believe she raped us...and then she will fill like she's been raped again." This was info from the insider that was there...Here is the good part "Rhonda said.. When all this took place it was with her (Shannons) O.K.,she didn't pass out until after we started having sex." That was the short version...much more was said that we will not discuss here, yet. I am not saying it is TRUE but just stating what was told to us. Here is an intersting thought...what if there was a recording of that gathering was made.... VERY INTERESTING STATEMENTS (if true)????????"
- 88) "Did we forget that old PINKY and his wife QUEEN OF HERPES got arrested for Rape. His ex common law wife died mysteriously. Her son died mysteriously. His Right hand Drugger, R.McCarver, got arrested too. Pinky is tied to Dr. Naples Down Town project has problems Being investigated in all above. as well as numerous stange happings Trying to get a Med. Waste site for Ya" to use Keeps getting the liqour safe on the ballot Sueing all the Citizens of country for arrest of ex coach and brother that Just happened to be in a drug house, where there was lots of drugs & money found, as the warrant stated as well as a gun, Really bogus case here. Trying to get elections thrown out, to do over Calls E.Henslee best FRIEND. MIK RICE second best friend Calls Val Varley trailer trash Why is everyone pickin on him?????"
- 89) "find this rather strange... ccc and th other names IT goes by, keep attaching an interested poster, Katie, for what reason??? Could this be that ccc is trying to avert the whole issue here???? Let's see now--- Leshers are the ones that got arrested fro sexual assault (rape). Mark Lesher is the Main person Everyone in RedRiver, Bowie, Lamar and Cass countis, are talking about and want out of the way.Rhonda is the Queen of Herpes and spreading it through her Public contact business, not to mention her sex

- exploits.Robert mcCarver is also involved in same charge with the Leshers at the same time. Every one on here talks about it, along with 90% of above mentioned counties. But for some unknown reason this person(s) think it is necessary to BERATE and ATTACK a person that is just interested in this topic, having no part in the Rape and ASSAULT of a helpless woman. I think this ccc is a WORTHLESS, two BIT MORON, with some serious self love problems. REMEMBER THIS IS ABOUT THE LESHERS."
- 90) "Ol'Cinco, alias PINKY, alias, MARK LESHER is seeking people to go to court to testify(lie under oath) that they were there at the time of the ,alleged, attack and state that it didn't happen and that the woman was not there at the time. Money will be awarded to those that are willing. (could this be real) The solicitation of this CRIME is being done by his good friend Mike Rice...so we hear. Obstruction of justice, Perjury and interfering with an on going investigation are very serious crime. I am sure these people realize that all the people associated with the Leshers are being watched METHODICALLY. SO beware if approached by them."
- 91) "It is a pattern the LESHERs follow, total disregard for the well being of those they come in contact with. They had no concern for the will and wish of a lady that was passed out, drugged ou or just asleep, whatever. They have no respect for the will and wishes of the majority of the people that do NOT want alcohol sales in their area. They ahve no Respect will or wishes of the people that want no MEDICAL WASTE dump in their area. They have no respect for the will or wishes for the people that voted differently and caused the election to go in favor of Person other than they wanted to win. They have no respect for your tax dollars, due to all the many CIVIL suits filed against the Gov. bodies that these dollars fund. I could go on but, you get it by now. "
- 92) "If you gotta make this about Coyel, go ahead, show how little you know and how wrong you are for what you think you know about him. Keep up the off Topic remarks to show your support for PERVERTS, Lesbians, Herpies, Chi ld Molesters, Women Molesters, Thieves, Greed, Community Rapers, Moral Morons, and Value Degenerates in general."
- 93) "Lesher spent monday and tuesday at the court house trying to intimidate Shady and Leona McCarver and pressure the system to get a BOND set for RED. The other atty, mark hired for RED, succeeded in getting a bond for RED, but Lesher was still upset because of the Hard Bond set by judge. Lesher was looking really haggard and drained, but was still threatening to call the Governor to get the officials in RR co. to give his client a fair bond. But remember Lesher is BROKE, so says Mrs. Herpies spreader."
- 94) "No wondr these people are in so much trouble . they had there followers don't know what Child Porn. Is. So what theydo TO children is ok. They have NO idea as to what is and what IS NOT against the law . So no wonder they can rape and abuse children and women with no remorse.. They think it's O.K"
- 95) "Lest we forget... the Leshers are associated with the McCarvers. i.e.: ROBERT MCCARVER. Mark Lesher is His atty. for another case (that the law believes lesher is involved with) He is Roberts bondsman. He has HIRED an ATTY. for Robert (stands good for payment) for the case of sexual assault that he is a co-defendant in. He has been lobbing for McCarvers bond to be set then doing the same for the bond reduction. He has public threats against the victimand her family members. He and Rhonda have been

involved with the victims Fathers and Step-mothers attempt to take her children away. He has forged documents against jusge. He is being investigated for selling drigs. He has been named by drug users and sellers as being a drug dealer. He had McCarver living on his Property. He furnished McCarver with cell phone. He is being investigated for possible murder (2) He has sued the county multiple times. He is and has sued public officials. He tried to cohearse a child into lying to the court. He and his wife(present) Rhonda are known to have participated in sex wth muliple partners and bi-sexual encounters. (not illegal, just GROSS) The Leshers have never denied that. Rhonda even tells about it at the U.T. He was investigated in the Hospital Raid. AND of Course HE, his wife Rhonda and Rober McCarver have been indicted for SEXUAL ASSAULT and or RAPE of a lady there on their property. -------Could all this be a plot to get the Leshers. It would be the GREATEST conspiricy since the JFK. Is it possible that these people have done any of this. Is it possible that so many different people from so many different GoV. agencies could be soooooo wrong. OR is it possible there is something wrong with these LESHERS."

- 96) "Lest we forget... the Leshers are associated with the McCarvers. i.e.: ROBERT MCCARVER. Mark Lesher is His atty. for another case (that the law believes lesher is involved with) He is Roberts bondsman. He has HIRED an ATTY. for Robert (stands good for payment) for the case of sexual assault that he is a co-defendant in. He has been lobbing for McCarvers bond to be set then doing the same for the bond reduction. He has public threats against the victimand her family members. He and Rhonda have been involved with the victims Fathers and Step-mothers attempt to take her children away. He has forged documents against jusge. He is being investigated for selling drigs. He has been named by drug users and sellers as being a drug dealer. He had McCarver living on his Property. He furnished McCarver with cell phone. He is being investigated for possible murder (2) He has sued the county multiple times. He is and has sued public officials. He tried to cohearse a child into lying to the court. He and his wife(present) Rhonda are known to have participated in sex wth muliple partners and bi-sexual encounters. (not illegal, just GROSS) The Leshers have never denied that. Rhonda even tells about it at the U.T. He was investigated in the Hospital Raid. AND of Course HE, his wife Rhonda and Rober McCarver have been indicted for SEXUAL ASSAULT and or RAPE of a lady there on their property. ------Could all this be a plot to get the Leshers. It would be the GREATEST conspiricy since the JFK. Is it possible that these people have done any of this. Is it possible that so many different people from so many different GoV. agencies could be soooooo wrong. OR is it possible there is something wrong with these LESHERS."
- 97) "Let us recap here: Mark and Rhonda Lesher along with Rober McCarver were indicted by a Red River Co. Grand Jury for The SEXUAL ASSAULT of Shannon Coyel. The Prosecution claims the defendants drugged and that she passed out. Upon awakening ,she found Rhonda Lesher performing oral sex on her,while both Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver were fondling her and Rhonda at the same time...more will be told in court. There will be testimony presented in the trial to show where the following is to have happened: Shannon was given stronger drugs than she thought by the leshers and McCarver. Mark Lesher had Shannon sign many papers he said were needed by the court for the divorce case that Shannon was expecting to face with her husband. Among these papers it turned out that there were #1 request for divorce and property settlement and

financial info. requestes. (normal stuff it seemed for divorce) #2 A will--(what the ??? in a divorce case?????) #3 POWER OF ATTY. to Mark--(again????) #4 A letter to Judge Lovett--(turned out to be a letter ABOUT misconduct of Lovett-- sent by Leshers office to the Federal authorities asking for his dismissal as Judge) more details at trial. Shannon stated that she did not read all of the thing completely before signing, but was told to sign because it was all needed to get what They wanted in the divorce case. At one time Mark Lesher and Shannon bring her 11 year old son to the house where Mark began telling the boy he would have to tell the Judge certain things to help his mother. The lttle boy was confused and didn't understand what was happening. Mark told him he would have to tell the Judge that he had witnessed his mom and Step-dad have sex many times, andt that his step-dad had made him take his clothes off while the step-dad masterbated, and that it happened often. Mark continued with this, even as the child protested and said he couldn't say those lies. Mark told him he had to. It was best for his mom. Shannon heard what was going on, Protested and asked to leave. The Leshers said they would not let her drive because she was to wasted on drugs. Shannon got really upset and mad. The leshers told her they would have her put in Jail for drug abuse, child abuse and even have her committed to a mental hospital because she had signed the POWER of ATTY. Some time afterward she was assaulted. after she was able to get her head straight somewhat, she was able to convince the Leshers she would not tell anything. so they gave her the keys to her car and allowed her to go see her sister. (that was the story) It will be more detailed in Court trial. Lots more. I may not ave gotten it all in order in this post, but all this, and more was testified to in court and was presented to Grand Jury along with court records. This is the kind of stuff the Leshers are capable of and for what?....\$\$\$\$\$\$"

- 98) "the Leshers have ran over all in this county long enough. They got what they deserved and will get more of the same. They will be handcuffed and hauled off to PRISON."
- 99) "The Lesher/McCarver connection to child abuse is too obvious to overlook. The Fact that mark Lesher's name comes up a lot in connection to illegal drug movement in Red River co is also unnerving. Being involved in so many Possible criminal activites is too much to be ignored. How come Lesher's name is always there? Why would Lesher hire an atty. for such a Person as Robert McCarver, when Rober has no means of paying him back or no means of support what so ever??? Especially when the Leshers are suppose to be in such Financial distress. Why would a Community minded couple, as the Leshers claim to be, have a Known or suspected child abuser living on their property rent free and furnish him with a cell phone and vehcle to move about. Why would he turn on a former client that he represented, an help clients wife in divorce case, yet try to hide that fact. Why would the Leshers then turn on the wife of the fomer client and go to court for the family (father and step-mother) of the wife they were trying to HELP wen she decided to go back home???? What could be the motives for all these inconsistentcies??? Does the Fact that MARK LESHER WAS CONSTANTLY looking for financial worth of the wifes husband give any clue????? Does the Questionable death of Marks (2) former wives raise any questions about his involvement with such????? Why, in, helping a lady in divorce would Lesher need a will to be signed or a power of att. when he is not even representing her in sch case, just helping a FRIEND?????.."
- 100) "The reason we came on here to start with was because of the criminal act forced on the wife of our friend and the mental abuse of his stop-son. June, 26,2007 is when this

crime occured. You will hear from the PEPROUP that it was not reported for NINE months or alsmost a YEAR as the peroup likes to tell it. When in actuality it was reported Three weeks after the attack. The lady got away from the Leshers a couple of days, maybe three, she went to her sisters for a few days and then to her mothers, where she was convinced by family to call husband. He asked her to come home. if, she wanted to. She did just that and thn told him about the attack... She him to support her if she went to the Police with this crime. He said he would stand by her, whatever she did. So you see the Athorities had the case and investigated it for some time before the Grand Jury ever got the case. The Leshers want everyone to think that they were not contacted about the Attack. All of a sudden a case of child abuse was lodged against the Lady and her husband was Rhonda and Robert. Why not Mark??? Why did he not come fowrward in that case as a witness, too??? That answer will be brought out in the trial. as will the complete cort record of the case by the parents of the lady. So do not believe the Leshers when they say it took almost a year for the victim to come forward. It only took about three weeks. The sheriff's office has the proof."

- "Ok lets start over here.Mark and Rhonda Lesher, along with Robert McCarver were charged with sexual assault. The offense took place at the Lesher compound(ranch). The Red River co.Grand Jury saw and heard the evidince and returned a sealed indictment.Later the Leshers were arrested, handcuffed and hauled to jail. The Leshers were released after posting bonds. Some time later Robert McCarver was treaked down in. Oklahoma where he was also arrested and taken to jail where he was held without bond. later he was trans fered to Red river co. where he remains at this time. The topic here should be as to the Leshers/McCarvers Accused guilt in this case."
- 102) "The Leshers were HANCUFFED and Hauled off to JAil like the common criminals they and Robert McCarver are. Had to borrow money to get out of Jail. Hired an ATTY. out of thier pocket for McCarvers defense knowing he could never pay it back. They are being investigated by almost every Gov., State and Local law agencies, for many other criminal acts including thier involvement in murder, possibly. CORRECTED VERSION."
- 103) "RHONDA (Long) LESHER, MARK LESHER and ROBERT McCARVER will be tried for SEXUAL ASSAULT and be found guilty by a Jury and the Jury will recommend the MAXIMUM punishment allowed. That is what I think will happen."
- "Rhonda doesn't know all that Mark has done or is doing ,MAYBE. But the attack on the lady while she was passed out on drugs,provided by her and Mark,is inexcuseabl. She was the one that was performing oral sex on the lady ,when the lady came to. The Long FAMILY for the most part ,would not accept this behaviour as part of thier Thinking are moral life style. But Rhonda has always Knowingly accepted and Practiced the Bisexual life style. SHE just crossed the line,here in this case from consensual sex to ASSAULT. All the other stuff will be played out in the near future,for her and all."
- 105) "At risk of letting the cat out of the bag, lets look at another of thier contentions. The Leshers or at least Rhonda has stated in Public that the victim was not at the Compound (ranch) on that date.... However in RECORDED court statements in another case, Rhonda and Robert McCarver, both, made statements to the contrary..... Now something is not adding up here. If they bring winesses to state she was not there but they

- saw her somewhere else. Or that the Leshers were seen somewhere else at the time, who is going to get prosecuted for perjury?"
- 106) "I do believe that Rhonda Lesher is in way over her head. She may not have anything to do with many or any of Marks illigitimate activities as such. She is just a HIGH maintainence person with strange and uncontrollable desires of the human flesh. That in itself is not a crime. The crime she committed came about by greed and the self serving desire for sex with a non-consenting, at the time, adult. Again this this shows her contempt for her fellow citizens. People that are full of contempt for all never understand or admit the wrong they commit."
- 107) "It is the Leshers that have undenyably caused their own demise and committed this crime."
- "This is the part you can't get. These people thought they had the RIGHT and took thier leave to have sex with this woman at thier discretion because of the condition she was in. It seems as though the Leshers have an insatiable appetite for wierd and unusual sex of various kinds. Which is not illegal, IF consensual. Here we have a victim that says IT was NOT consensual. There in lies the rub(no pun intended). How could it be consensual if she was not awake at the start? Not to be argumentitive but just a reasonable question."
- 109) "When an ADULT of the court(any lawyer)mentally berates and coherses or encourages a child to lie to anyone about anything, especially a Judge, it is concidered a form of mental molestation."
- 110) "H....I.......HERPIES>>>>INFESTED>>>>LESHER S>>>>>Will be heading to Huntsville, Texas courtesy of the STATE of Texas and the Red River County civilians and D.A. for the Sexual Assault of Shannon Coyel. Thanks to all the Good people in the area for their reasoning and moral standards for standing up to Justice, and against Tyranny."
- "Oh oh!!! It looks like Pinky's scheme to get the I.R.S. On Mr Coyel is not doing so good. They seem to be more interested in Pinky than anyone else. His little man from La couldn't find anything to go to anyone with. He couldn't get any law people to do anything to Mr. Coyel. Because there is nothing to show he has done ANYTHING wrong or at least illegal. I personally think he (coyel) should be slapped in the head for thinking Mark was a good man some years back. But that ain't illegal either. Hold cow Mark Lesher has called everybody he can think of to try and get Coyel and or his family into some sort of trouble. WHY????? Even if They were. How would that help ol'PINKY in this SEXUAL ASSAULT case. And I know for a fact that he would not want to be in a cell with Mr. Coyel. Oh Well happy hunting Mark, while you can still hunt. BTW Convicts cannot even go hunting with a gun in the state of texas (just occurred to me when I said happy hunting).
- 112) "I am sure the Jury will find it hard to believe that a person with the reputation like Robert McCarver has, is real believable. Nor that the Leshers propensity for unususl sex would stop them from this act."
- "Here is is for the umpeenth time::::No Jerry never molested her daughter. Yes, her father (bill woods) did in fact molest and beat her as a child as well as the other

- daughters. Yes she was molested by the Trio. Shannon has never stated that Jerry molested her daughter. Her father and Step mother, along with the advice of Mark Lesher filed the charges in OKLAHOMA against Jerry, NOT SHANNON."
- "Just in case some of you Lesher followers want to come after . I would like to give them some ammo...I have stated my position all along clearly. Here is the ammo you can use...I Charlie do here by state that MARK LESHER,RHONDA LESHER are without a doubt, CRIMINALS. They are both total wastes of good air. Should anyone want to business with either of them it would be harmful to that person to do so, for they would not treat you as a human being or fair. They steal from people. They are selling drugs. They are molesting helpless people. They are completely untrustworthy. BEWARE...It IS A FACT. NOW YOU CAN SUE ME."
- 115) "YOU may believe they are...and you are intitled to that and I even respect that. However we feel differently..And BELIEVE the FACTS will prove that to be the case. So please do not pity me, for I have every confidence in the system and my current belief that the Lesher trio will be found GUILTY in a COURT of LAW and will spend many years in prison. They are the ones that need your pity. For, surely, they have no moral fortitude to live in a normal society."
- "YOU just can't get it right can you????? I said the CREW and were instructed to 116) stay away ,by the victim's husband ,so we repected thier request. (AS IN The victim and her HUSBANDS request) The oneS that started this mess, was the THREE that are indicted for SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape). Before they were indicted I stated it was going to happen because I knew it was coming. After they were indicted I stated we had some people going to the area to help our friend who has been attacked. Nice try, but you must get it straight if you want to have any CREDIBILIBTY. Yes, I did say we would destroy the Leshers and their corruption. But in hind sight I should have said 'we will expose the Lesher corruption that will destroy themselves. Either way it is our mission to HELP bring them and all associated with them ,IN CORRUPTION,down. They will be RUN out of town in a STATE PRISON bus. Yes It is a fact that individuals can ask anyone anything at anytime WITHOUT a warrant or PROBABLE cause, UNLIKE the law which has to follow certain procedures of law before they can ask questions or talk to certain people about crimes. The general public is not held to those rules of law. DUH....."
- 117) "The Lesher did that they are accused of. GOD knows it. The court will confirm it."
- "Shame on The Leshers for SEXUALLY ASSAULTING a person in thier home.....or anywhere else, for that matter."
- "Rhonda is ,sort of a victim on association. Except for the Assault case. There she was a willing and active participant. Her desire for the bi-sexual lifestyle foes not make her an evil person. To be Honest I think she thought she had the right to do what she did. Should she admit that I would understand. But when a person does not give another the consent at that particular time to do what they did,it is sexual assault,in the eyes of the law."

- "Rhonda's own words have hurt her credibility. 1st she said this was a case of POLITICAL VENETTA by people that they(leshers) opposed in the election. Next she says it could not have happened at the time stated. Then she says ,QUOTE; "That is what happens when you try to help someone" "we gave her a place to stay" "she was there for 3 or 4 days" In court she never denied she(victim) was there on that date. In court ,however, ROBERT mcCarver stated ,under oath, that she was there for TWO WEEKS. Including the date of offence. Then Rhonda Stated that it was the Victim's husband that was causing all thier problems because of a case Mark mishandled and lost for the husband. Now she is saying that it is all the D.A.(again) and his hand picked G.J.(two of them). And that the Grand Jurry was ,incompitent and RACIAL. Never has she denied the HERPIES Virus thing,Being bi-sexual,haveing the sex parties or any of that stuff. Never. She knows everyone knows about that,anyway. Now I ask you WHO is in DENIAL and Confused about the FACTS? WHO CAN SHE BLAME NEXT and HOW credible is her (Long) word?????"
- "I post as ilbedipt You on the other ahnd do all the posting under so many names . but that is ok.... it is ok.... do hat you want to do it's a free world and we do not care what you are anyone else do or say. We respond in kind and are not backing down from anything we have said. The facts I post are just that FACTS. the Opinions I post are just that . Get off you high horse and get real. We know the LESHERS are GUILTY. ANd thier little buddy ROBERT McCArver and ,thier other little buddy Mike RICE ,along with Rhonda Herself will help PROVE it to the WORLD. If they ever go to trial. I believe it will come to the court house steps and then the TRIO will plead guilty as CHARGED. Because MARK does not want the court to hear the FACTS on this case and give the POLICE more info. on record to prove the OTHER stuff he is into. FACT......I DO believe."
- "Rhonda's own words have hurt her credibility. 1st she said this was a case of POLITICAL VENETTA by people that they(leshers) opposed in this election. Next she says it could not have happened at the time stated. Then she says ,QUOTE; 'That is what happens hen you try to help someone' 'we gave her a place to stay' 'she was there for 3 or 4 days' In court she never denied she(victim) was there on that date. In court ,however, ROBERT mcCarver stated ,under oathm that she was there for TWO WEEKS. Including the date of offence. Then Rhonda Stated that it was the Victim's husband that was causing all thier problems because of a case Mark mishandled and lost for the husband. Now she is saying that it is all the D.A.(again) and his hand picked G.J.(two of them). And that the Grand Jurry was ,incompitent and RACIAL. Never has she denied the HERPIES Virus thing,Being bi-sexual,having sex parties or any of that stuff. Never. She knows everyone knows about that,anyway. Now I ask you WHO is in DENIAL and Confused about the FACTS? WHO CAN SHE BLAME NEXT and HOW credible is her (Long) word????"
- 123) "It would be my greatest pleasure to report back to all that The preacher did not get Close to that sort of sermon. My personal opinion is that he will not. I believe it was a desperate attempt and cry for help from Rhonda. She is truly feeling the pressure of this ordeal. In a way I feel sorry for her. I really believe(as I have stated more than once here) that SHE actually believes that what she did was ok. She thinks it was ok. She thought that this lady would not object to her part of the deal, for what ever reasons. The guys

joined in and did thier thing and it was all so innocent. That is her true belief. She never thought it was wrong. AND still doesn't. Only after the lady came to enough to realize what was going on and went to FREAKING OUT, did she figure something might be amiss. That's when the Leshers decided to leave and had MIKE Rice and Robert have the Lady removed from the main house and taken to the TRAILER. Then told the guys to not let her leave. Later they talked to the lady by phone and only after she convinced them that she would not cause them any trouble did they tell Mike to give the lady the keys to her car. Now that is more than I should have told ,but I feel that it needed to be said,here. Maybe some can start to see the picture."

- "YOU see, there will be a lot of things presented to back up the Victims story of events. She has never changed her story. The Leshers have told many different stories in public and on record as to timing and events. There is a lot of eveidence to support her story and contradict the Lesher's story. There is a tape recording (can't give details of it,here for obvious reasons). There is phone records. There is eye witnesses to times and events leading up to and after the assault. That is why we Keep saying fact just keep getting in their way"
- actions on the Victim??? That's the Main gist of this case. The testimony In this trial will examine and dissect the actions of ALL, including the Victim, leading up to, during and after the Incident. This testimony will be crucial to the outcome. This this testimony will also provide the possibility of other crimes and charges of criminal action that could be filed after this trial. The defendants cannot defend thier actions without TESTIFYING themselves. This is where they will hang themselves. It has already been shown to the Gran Jury that another crime was involved at the same time as the ASSAULT. That is why they upgraded the charges. Not only do they have to be worried, but, they ARE worried. Especially Mark Lesher. Robert McCarver is the only one that ,possibly isn't too worried, because he knows he is going to Prison anyway. The Leshers are very, very worried as they should be. They got caught up in one of thier sordid sexual activities and didn't think about anyones Rights but just thier own perverted pleasures. AFTER all they were HELPING her out. She OWED them. They would be very foolish not to be WORRIED."
- "Ok ,I just got the whole deal. after looking over the records and statements and so on, I can try to put this in perspective. There was a Hair test done on the Victim. She had them done herself, after being advised to do so by Mark Lesher. Before she was brought to the compound. In fact she has 3 test done at different times ,as instructed by Lesher. This was done in anticipation of the filing for DIVORCE case. Mark instructed her to get the HAIR test done and DO NOT do the urine tests. Well, after the attack was done and the Victim went back home and dropped the divorce case, the victims parents Bill and Sharla Woods filed to have the kids removed and grant them custody. Mark was thier advisor and co conspirator in the endeavor to discredit the victim and to extort money from her husband. ANY WAY----At the hearing on the custody case the Parents some how(lesher s finger prints) came up with this test information and brought in an EXPERT to testify about it. Unfortunately for them(why they did this is still a mystery to all, except Lesher) that dumb move proved to the judge that they were NUTS.(my words not judges) The Expert said that the tests results were negative for certain drugs.(see the

finger prints of Lesher here.) So their own Lawyer hammered the Expert about the results and kept Having her say that the tests were in fact negative for the drugs tested for. That is when the Coyels Atty. got The expert ,in rebuttal, to admit that the tests were for METH., Cocain and marajuana. She further stated ,upon cross examination by the Coyel lawyer that the tests were not conducted to find other drugs such as ROHYPNOL(date rape drug) or other sedative type drugs. When asked why, she stated that the Person requesting the tests asked for three major drug tests to be given and did not indicate the need for other drugs to be detected. (now do we see the picture) First Lesher wants to use the TESTS to show the victim was drug free for the divorce. But with the POSSIBILITY of her making trouble for him (after the assault occured), he devised a plan to get the test into a court record to PROVE there was no drugs in her system that might lend credit to her story of being drugged incoherent and assaulted."

- 127) "Let us not forget why we are here ,now. The Leshers shall be punished for the Horrible attack on a helpless woman, that they say they were trying to HELP.REMEMBER Rhonda saying that in the paper.... After she said it DID not Happen and that the victim was not even there????"
- "the facts are simple the Lesher trio of Mark Lesher ,his bi-sexual wife and ,drug runniner Robert McCarver rendered a lady incapacitated on drugs ,then sexually molested her for their own self gratifications. They then try to blame everyone else for their troubles. The law has a recorded statement from McCarver detailing the assault and the Leshers involvement and the involvement of Mark Lesher in illegal drug trafficking and names names and all. It will be played out in court and the whole world will finally know of the corruption of the Leshers and the imminent danger they pose on the community. Their followers will finally see them for what they really are and even then some will cling to the assertion that the Leshers are good people. Time will tell, whether they do go to Prison and for how long....but for now evryone should be very wary of contact with these Harmful people."
- 129) "THIS was originally posted April,4,2008. here are some facts about a major supporter of Abbott (you are a reflection of your friend and supporters) Mark Lescher and Rhonda (Long)Lescher held the wife of a citizen on Red Rover county captive through the use of drugs some legal sedatives and some illegal drugs. She had been convinced by Mark, that she could sue her husband for divorce and receive a large sum of money. The Leschers talked her into moving to thier property, put her and her 11 year old son in a trailer on the property. Started giving her large doses of drugs. After she was so out of it with the drugs Mark started having her sign documents required for the court, by the court, she was told. here is a list of the things she signed...1 - statements of abuse by husband---usual stuff 1- statements for support and divideing income---usual stuff 1-POWER of ATTORNEY to handle her affairs ---huh???? 1 - HER WILL----huh?????? Then there was the statement accusing and witnessing misconduct and criminal behavior by a Judge LOVETT (this statement was half handwritten and half typed and the lady said she never saw this statement not have any knowledgr of it's content, Did have her signature but the rest was not in her hand writing nor did she type it) this letter was sent to law enforcement agency by MARK'S office----very strange here. This lady was subjected to sexual confrontations by Mark and His wife Once she woke up from the over drugging and found Rhonda Lescher performing oral sex on her. Mark Lescher had

the lady bring her son to the house one day and started to tell the 11 year old what to tell the judge when they got before the court..... Mark told him to tell the judge that the step father was molesting him and his sister (who was still with her step father) and had been for some time. Mark told him to tell the judge that he witnessed the parents having sex and was invited to watch Mark also told the boy to say that the sep father would remove the boys cloths and make the boy fondle himself whilr the step father watched. The little boy broke down and said he couldn't tell those lies ...it wasn't true. Mark insisted it had to be told that way. the lady finally realized what was really going on and tried to leave. Mark and Rhonda wouldn't give her her keys to her car nor her ID or anything. In fact they told her if she tried to leave or the could and would have her committed to a hospital for insanity and drug addiction. (for she had given Mark the power of atty.) However she insisted she would not tell anyone about any of theses things and they relented and let her leave. There is much more in the documents-THAT'S RIGHT—COURT DOCUMENTS TO BACK UP WHAT WAS JUST STATED.... It's your court house go see for yourself. It seems as though Mr. Lescher and his wife have gone too far, with the wrong person this time. Someone that has the money to take him down and that is not affraid of him....and is much smarter than him. This person is not about to pay a single cent to an extortionist... but will spend all he has to prove his innocence. This person is praying nothing happens to Mark or his wife BEFORE he can see them punished for the wrongs they have and are doing..... he may not want anything done but KARMA is a bitch. The above matter of testimony in a court......Watch the news it will be coming out real soon and other matters concerning MR. MARK LESCHER ATTORNEY"

- "Good morning all. This is the real ilbedipt and I am not lou and never have been. 130) I just try to gather the best info we can gather. I do have the same agenda as lou however. I want to see that the Leshers and McCarver get what us deserved in this case. I want to see them in prison for their crime against a helpless woman that ,thought she was being helped as a friend not used as a pawn or sex toy. I have no connection to the victim owher than being a long time friend of her husbands. But even if I was not a friend I would still be totally opposed to the actions of the Trio of criminals that wrecked havoc upon this lady. Then try to convince the community that she was the bad person, here. It may be hard for some of you to believe the Leshers could do this crime... but that is exactly what they hoped for. Yhat is exactly why they feel they can get away with the things they do.. because they feel no one would believe they would do these things...It has been their way for a long ,long time... It has just come to light because the victim has come forward and been brave enough to stand up against then. I commend her for the mighty fortitude it took and takes to stand her ground and fight the powerful and (self proclaimed)MIGHTY. Robert McCarvers confession is proof that it happened and has in fact condemned the Leshers. Even if the confession s not allowed in court, for some technical reason, it still shows the FACT of the crime taking place. But so far the confession will be introduced... yet, there will be those that live th lifestyle of the leshers and those that are family that will stand by them... that is ok. But the community will be a safer place even if they get off. (which I do not see happening) At least the community ,now is aware of the treacherous ways of the Leshers. Thank GOD.(and the victim)"
- "" WHITE PERVER TRASH" Mark Lesher {JAMES BOND} wrote this "LIE"! "MORON" or What???? Giguere Local attorney Mark Lesher, who along with his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver are facing aggravated sexual assault charges, received a

death threat late last month. According to Mark Lesher, two African American males walked into his Clarksville law office and physically assaulted Kenny Mitchell, Lesher's legal assistant, the afternoon of Nov. 19 to send a message to Lesher. According to Mark Lesher, the two men grabbed Mitchell, threw him against the wall and said, "Tell him (Mark Lesher) to back off or we will kill him". Mitchell confirmed the report and declined further comment. Both Mark and Rhonda Lesher wouldn't specify what the men were talking about, but believes who could be behind the threat. Both the Leshers and McCarver are accused of sexually assaulting a Red River County woman in July 2007. Mark Lesher said he was threatened around July of last year by the husband of the alleged victim over sexual assault allegations on his step daughter. Rhonda Lesher said at the time the alleged victim was staying with the Leshers and had asked Mark Lesher for help in divorce proceedings, but would later return to her current husband. "He came into my office by himself uninvited and said not to file on him or else I would get it," Mark Lesher said. Clarksville Police Chief Brandon Harbison said no arrests have been made and the case is still under investigation. According to the police report, the two men are approximately in their 20's and drove off in a single cab white pickup truck. "We haven't been able to identify the two individuals and we don't have any leads off the streets," Harbison said. Mark Lesher said Mitchell was not physically injured, but was pretty shaken. Lesher said the men were not wearing masks and described one man as around six feet tall and the other about four inches shorter. "It was a terrorist type threat," Lesher said. "They pushed him up against the wall pretty hard. He was so shook up that he couldn't call the police." Local attorney rec

"TRIO OF TRASH" face "LIFE SENTENCE"! New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced

decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ @@@@@@@@@@@"I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahahalololololo [Quote] With no do in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had o merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

- 133) "Facts is already stated: Perverts, Liars, Slime, Dope, Rape, Law Suits Liquor, Dump add all this up and you get 'Leshers' What can they say about the truth? Nothing"
- "I think you are right! I hope this perverted child molesting, women raping trash Leshers and McCarver try this with Jerry."
- 135) "This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT

FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse | Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff' JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"

"Debra wrote: Helldog: I have oral sex with Rhonda Lesher!

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the
Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE
RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an
oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the
victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that
the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val
Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver
from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving,
TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried
in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots
& a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply »

Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike" illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver "PERVERTED" posters think residents cain't reed the news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You "MORONS" fool no one! [Quote] Post something with context, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Lesher's \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! hahahahahaha LOL [Quote] This is what I read."

- 137) "The leshers ruined thier lives themselves by committing the crime they will be found guilty of,in a court of law."
- "Well he should be the next to go to jail. I mean hell isn't he the one who escorted and hand delivered Shannon to the Leshers for their sick plan? You would think the Leshers would have better sense than to cross Jerry."
- "After getting "INFESTED" with "DISEASES"! From Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher and Mark Lesher two African American went to leshers office! Tim Shimpock knows the details! "WHITE PERVER TRASH" Mark Lesher {JAMES BOND} wrote this "LIE"! "MORON" or What???? Giguere Local attorney Mark Lesher, who along with his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver are facing aggravated sexual assault charges, received a death threat late last month. According to Mark Lesher, two African American males walked into his Clarksville law office and physically assaulted Kenny Mitchell, Lesher's legal assistant, the afternoon of Nov. 19 to send a message to Lesher. According to Mark Lesher, the two men grabbed Mitchell, threw him against the wall and said, "Tell him (Mark Lesher) to back off or we will kill him". Mitchell confirmed the report and declined further comment. Both Mark and Rhonda Lesher wouldn't specify what the men

were talking about, but believes who could be behind the threat. Both the Leshers and McCarver are accused of sexually assaulting a Red River County woman in July 2007. Mark Lesher said he was threatened around July of last year by the husband of the alleged victim over sexual assault allegations on his step daughter. Rhonda Lesher said at the time the alleged victim was staying with the Leshers and had asked Mark Lesher for help in divorce proceedings, but would later return to her current husband. "He came into my office by himself uninvited and said not to file on him or else I would get it," Mark Lesher said. Clarksville Police Chief Brandon Harbison said no arrests have been made and the case is still under investigation. According to the police report, the two men are approximately in their 20's and drove off in a single cab white pickup truck. "We haven't been able to identify the two individuals and we don't have any leads off the streets," Harbison said. Mark Lesher said Mitchell was not physically injured, but was pretty shaken. Lesher said the men were not wearing masks and described one man as around six feet tall and the other about four inches shorter. "It was a terrorist type threat," Lesher said. They pushed him up against the wall pretty hard. He was so shook up that he couldn't call the police." Local attorney rec

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@W You think this Ain't a crock of "SH*T"? ... Lesher was walking back to his office from a court hearing in Clarksville with his client and arrived back at around 12:23 p.m. Lesher believes the men entered the office around 12:20 p.m. "We recessed around 12:15 p.m.," Lesher said. "There had to have been someone in that courtroom who knew I was returning to my office and was studying my every move." Rhonda Lesher said nobody has threatened her nor McCarver, but has taken extra precaution during her business hours by locking her back door and having friends and colleagues stand by her front door. "GOLDFINGER"!!! hahhahahah [Quote] "PINKY, my husband is "PERVERTED" and "LIES" alot! Our \$20,000.00 "LOVER" "ROBERT MCCARVER" who lives with us is in love with "MIKE RICE" and we are Jealous. We paid the money to get McCarver out of Jail and they won't let us "WATCH"!!! hahhahahhahahha LOSERS" hahhahhahahha Bill and Sharla Woods will! hahhahhaha Now ole Mc Lesher has "KENNY" lying and in trouble. The Authorities are very suspicious. Lesher is a "FOOL" drounding them all There was someone outside next door at Agriland and they seen no one!!!!!!!! No white truck, "NO ONE"! They were watching my every move! "PRICELESS"! hahhahahahahahahahaha "FOOL" hahahhahahahahahah"

140) ""THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Rhonda found out from her niece that goes to school with D.J. Leshers knew the truth but they and the Woods made this accident into a "LIE" Thinking it would help their case! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case?????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion ,investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be

USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",!???????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"! "SCUM"!"

141) "Leshers History!! [1] Frivolous Law Suits cousting the County Thousands of Dollars! [2] Trying to force "DUMPS" on citizens of RR County! [3] Trying to get Clarksville "WET" so he could open "BARS" and sell "LIQUOR"! [4] "DRUGS" Makes illegal "DRUGS", grows illegal "DRUGS"! Sells illegal "DRUGS"! [5] Hand wrote and typed a letter, "LYING" about Judge Jim Dick Lovett and sent it to the Judicial board in Austin Texas, saying Judge Lovett had sex with Jerry Coyels ex Wife and was a morless Judge. Just because Lesher could not corrupt him. [6] "RAPE" Drugged and "RAPED" a woman! [7] Tried to get D.J. Coyel to lie to a Judge that his step father Jerry was molesting his sister, and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. D.J. Coyel testified that Mark Lesher made this story up and wanted him to lie, but he would not. Citizens of RR County you all know the history of Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robery McCarver and Mark Lesherm I could list many more things about this scumb! Rhonda Lesher has lied under oath, bashed the Grand Juries, D.A. Val Varley all that know the truth. These "PERVERTS" think they are above the law! The F.B.I., and State Police have tried to convict Mark Lesher for years, but could not get a break. Robert Lynn McCarver has a family history of child Molesters, "DRUGS", "THIEF" "CRIMINAL" acts. McCarver was caught with 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" Mark Lesher got for him. Leshers let McCarver live with them, bonds him out, gives him money, cell phone, car. "WHY" Look at the "FACTS". Ricky Long, Rhondas brother was caught with a load of Mark Leshers Drugs. Just reed the Clarksville Times, don't believe me, look at the "FACTS"!"

142) ""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******** "TOAST" ******** New indictments in Lesher,

McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ "FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooooooooo

143) "@@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving

her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] "WHITE PERVERTED TRASH"!

144) "TRIO OF TRASH' face 'LIFE SENTENCE'! New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be

disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room McCarver confessed to their collecting pocketknives and weapons. guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

"DA Val Varley has a surprise for this "SCUM"! "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED 'FOLLOWERS' CAIN'T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha *********** "TOAST" ********* New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked

for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#10 Saturday Nov 1 Reply » |Report Abuse 146) |Judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a

second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

147) "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",!???????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the

- camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"! Don't forget about the letter Mark Lesher Half "HAND" wrote to the Judicial Board in Austin Texas saying Judge Jim Dick Lovett has sex with Jerrys EX wife Carol Coyel, "A LIE" that he was a moroles Judge, Lesher could not control or corrupt him and wanted him out! Ask the Judges, Miller, Lovett, Benton, DA. Ask attorney Dan Meehan, Lucy Lollar all in law inforcement have a copy. Lesher is the little black spot in chicken sh*t that ain't even good enough to be "SH*T"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Leshers \$20,000.00 "LOVER"!"
- "I cain't get over Rhonda[McCarver,Long]Leshers 'RIM JOB TONGUE'! No wonder Shannon said she could feel 'RHONDA' 'SUCKING' and 'BITING' her Vagina! This was testified to in court! After 'RHONDA' got through SUCKING' Shannon guts out she barley felt the 'PERVERTED' 'QUEERS' 'PINKIES'! I be 'RHONDA' even stuck that 'TONGUE' up her butt and sucked! 'WEIRD APITITE', 'SHIT EATER'! 'YUCK'!"
- "1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",!???????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the

- camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"!"
- 150) "Wonder where that "SLUT" Mitch, Meow. "SHARLA WOODS" is at? Guess her an Rhonda are "MUFF DIVING" or got Rhondas "TONGUE" hung in her "BUTT"!! Bill Woods and Mark Lesher while watching may be hung up with "ROBERT MCCARVER" their "LOVER"! I anm so sorry, Leshers \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! The "TRIO OF TRASH" were indicted twice by two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty! The second indictment raised their charges, with more evidence and McCarvers "Confession" to a first degree felony. Robert McCarver is going back to trial, probably in December for trying to kill his wife and kids while on Leshers "DRUGS"! This last month it was postponed. McCarver has several bonds and outher charges coming soon, Mark Lesher his Lawyer and bondsman! "ROBERT MCCARVER" lives with the Leshers, you all read that a few weeks ago posted in Clarksville Paper with the phony Polygraph Examiners statement! The reason none of the Lesher, McCarver "PERVERTED" followers respond to this is because they can not "LIE" about it. Collin County residents, I know and outhers I have spoke with say ::: We hate "CHILD MOLESTERS" "RAPIST" "LAWYERS" "DRUGS" any one that comes in our Court Room with these allegation I promise you they will get the "MAXIMUN" sentence!!! We convict no matter the circumstances! Later they can appeal, but we will "CONVICT", look at our track record! We don't gran't huge insurance claims, none hit the lottery here, but we hate "RAPIST" and their guilty until somebody appeals it! Slick Lawers don't like cases here, they "LOSE" every time. If you ask around as I have in Collin County, they need no imformation like I thought. They Just need a Trial!!! They will convict!!!"
- "Perverted child molesting, women raping trash!!!!"
- "Folks why would Leshers have Mike Rice and Robert McCarver escort Shannon to their ranch? Lesher said I was just rying to help, I guess Robert McCarver who is still in RRC Jail under no bond that is a known pervert, thief, child motestor, 51 sticks of dynamite to blow up Clarksville, drug head and dealer was tobe the choir leader when they had Church. Why was Shannon thier two weeks? What moral person would have had someones wife, give her drugs and have Robert McCarver and Mike Rice watch her, who, would want McCarver living in their house with them. "GREED" and "Perversion" "Drugs". Leshers and McCarver druggen Shannon and while Rhonda Lesher was performing oral sex on Shannon she woke up. All of this scarred Shannon and Leshers plan backfired even with the drugs. Just wait for the facts, G.J. and D.A. Val Varley have done their Job now lets do ours."
- "Mark and Rhonda Lesher please forgive me I apologise because you could not help 'RAPING' her after Rhonda performed 'ORAL SEX' on her. You had to give her dope and try to get Jerrys money because he just has too much and it ain't fair eventhough he worked for it. You have to get "DUMP" in because your "GREED" controls you. Lawsuit Lesher had to sue Jerry conway five times beacuse he was to good of a Sheriff. Youhad to try and cause Judge Jim Dick Lovett trouble and file a frivolous letter in austin, stating he had sex with Jerrys ex wife and had no morals. You had sue Sheriff

Robert Bridges because he arrested some of your drug dealers. Lesher your rrpoliticks site is just to help RRCounty and "LIE" about all people with morals, Judges, Sheriff, D.A., police because you wanted to run RRCounty. Liquor stores and you want because you can't help being an alcholic and want others to be like you. Robert McCarver has to get out of jail before he tells all and you miss him, afterr all McCarver lived with yall and sold drugs for you and you are losing money. David {BARNEY} Barnett should be glad you left him with the note payment and a closed store beacuse he did not go to Jail over your drug deals. I knoe Rhonda you and Mark did not mean to spread a. 'HERPIES' but you had "VALTREX" stock. Ray Price and his driver made you sell them dope as others have. You can't help you said you have to sue Sheriff Bridges when he gets in office and bleed RRCounty dry on "FRIVOLOUS" law suits you have done this to all others why should he be any different? You have to have orgies you cain't help it you are perverted. Rhonda had to give Shannon "ORAL SEX" before you raped her so she would be real clean. Lesher had to try and work his pervision on D.J. an 11 year old boy that testified under oath about Lesher."NO" Mark and Rhonda Lesher and Robert McCarver I hope yall rot in hell, that means Jail. You had to keep Shannon at your house on drugs because you ar such decent people."

- 154) "How could any decent person with any morals support Rhonda or Mark Lesher or Robert McCarver? Look at what they have done, are doing, and will do. Forget about the rape charges and take a good look at their history, Dump, Liquor, KIck Backs, Frivolous law suits, Drugs, Pervision, Frivolous dockment about Judge Lovett, Barney Barnetts abuse by them, Dynamite, Tax Money wasted on frivolous law suits, RRPoliticks abus of public officials backed by Lesher.Can you imagine the nitemare that could have happened if Lesher had got Royace Abbott and Hanilton elected? Who and why would anyone let Robert McCarver live with them? Who would keep a women for two weeks giving her drugs and have Robert McCarver and Mike Rice watch her after taking her to Leshers ranch? Why? Lesher knew and mooched offJerry Coyle for years, whyy ywould Lesher have not told Jerry where Shannon was? "GREED" "SEX" "PERVISION" look at the picture here and anyone with brain can easily tell this stinks. Why would Rhonds Lesher testify under oath they Just kept Shannon for three days and have no idea where or why Shannon left for .Then Robert McCarver testified under oatrh they held Shannon for two weeks. This must be light every brain cell you have. You Lesher moraless supporters need th take a breath and take a damn vitiman for your brain. What if this was your wife Rhonda Lesher bit, suckeed and abused while performing oral sex on her while drugged and Mark and McCarver watched and laughed then raped her? What if this was your 11 year old son Mark Lesher told to tell the Judge Jerry was molesting his sister and Jerry had D.J. Sit and play with his self while Jerry watched, D.J cried and his mother grabbed D.J. and took him away. Mark Lesher is one of the most Perverted, creepy, disgusting animal I have ever known of. If I were one of those posting my name associateing with Leshers especially in the news papers I would go get analized at the closest hospital."
- 155) "Judged: 1 1 1 Judged: 1 1 1 Judged: 1 1 1 Judged: 1 1 1 lou wrote: Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3613 20 hrs ago Suzan wrote: Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3560 Yesterday Judged Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3498 14 min ago Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3460 21 hrs ago Suzan wrote: If you know anyone with information about Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher Or Mark Leshers Anomally sex or drugs activity please call D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksville Tesas

75426########Lets#######ave######A####A####grand######annoymou s####### Grand######slam######you###

#####can#######remain######annoymous######please######call########OK######GRAND########SLAM# #####Yea!Keep

Calling!!!!!!!!O.K.#####keep calling ####### You ARE HELPING#######The Leshers were bragging they will start having all post they don't like Judged and took off topix. A friend that works at U.T. told us. They do not want the "TRUTH" out The Leshers have stated when Sheriff Robert Bridges gets in office Lesher will file law suits at least once a month. Lesher said he will bleed RRC dry, It only cost him \$50.00 to file a lawsuit but cost the county thousands to defend. People if we of RRC county let them by with this they will be above the law as they think. Frivolous law suit Lesher will do what he said look at his track record. We all know what was happening at the U.T. for years why would anyone doubt the Victom. Rober McCarver, Rhonda long Lesher and Mark Lesher what do all three have in common?Now#######G.J and D.A Val Varley did their job now lets do ours!!!!!!!!"CALL THE LAW"its back up! Mr Varley is greatful to all that have called and will be happy to receive any and all pertinent info.regarding this case or any other that may be under investigation. CALL"BIG BEAR" you are smart Indian, Jerry can't spell worth a dam and is at xircle c on hwy 410 cutting hay. Call!the Law. Wow. Dallas, TX Reply>> |Report Abuse|Judge it |#3945 22 hrs ago Leshers cain't get it, even topix people know about them! Can't get the truth off Mr Lesher big shot? "WOW" Wow look at all times Leshers "LOSERS" have had this Judged!!!!!!!Robert McCarver daughter is in court testifying against child molesters Earl Weaver her grandfather .McCarvers whole family are registered child molesters, and another Lesher, McCarver perverts is going to Jail! Lesher was at court trying to intimidate Shady, wonder why?what else does the little girl know?"

- "What people? They took Shannon to their ranch and drugger her while Rhonda gave oral sex and sucked and bit her vigina Lesher and McCarver watched then raped her. I guess other people filed a frivolour docment agianst the Judge Lovett, and filed other frivolous law suits costing tax payers thousands of dollars to defend. I guess they were made to let known child molester, pervert, Robert McCarver live with them. Other people wanted "DUMPS", liquor, Frivolous law suits, rrpoliticks, orgie bar, Herpies. Other people are making Leshers get McCarver a lawyer, and pay his bond. Proof they want, call Judge Lovett, or Lucy Lollar Sheriff, D.A. any person with morals. Don't believe either side ask for yourself."
- 157) "Rhonda you and Lesher are the ones that probably molested her, I know for a fact she is talking to cps and Sheriff office about you perverts right now So if they put yall back in Jail for molesting her I guess she is lying .I hope she tells enough for G.J. To indite you all No dna only hear say is what yall eill say but one pervert got 20 years and i hope yall get life. Ask Deputy Caron Garett if you don't believe me, so get ready perverts there is more coming!"
- 158) "If you know anyone with information about Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher Or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex activity please call D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksville Texas 75426######## Mark Lesher was bragging when Sheriff Robert Bridges gets in office he will sue and bleed RRCounty dry! Lsher said it cost him \$50.00 to file a lawsuit but coust RRCounty "THOUSANDS" to defend it. Lawsuit Lesher sued

- ""Confused " is the correct name for you! Why do you think it's called a date rape drug? "FACT", so the pervert can molest the victom while drugged, knocked out, asleep, unconcience, dazed, do you get the picture yet? I believe ibedipt started this forum, you must have a serious mental problem."
- "Why was known chriminsl pervert with a family history of drugs and child molesters living with Mark and Rhonda Lesher? How can you call paid employes supprters? What does it matter who supports who, they are not the Jury? Rhonda said Micky Mouse could beat Val Varley, is she talking about "HERO" Lesher? Why did the Leshers not call victoms husband they mooched off him for years and knew him if they were trying to help? Why is Lesher visiting pervert Robert McCarver so much in jail and furnishing him a lawyer? Why is Lesher appealing Robert McCarver bond? Why are the Leshers so worried about an animal like McCarver? If Robert McCarver was not such a criminal would he not had a no bond? Folks a brain dead person can answer these questions and figure out they are guilty!"
- 162) "I know for a fact Mark Lesher has underistimated Jerry Coyle! This Rhonda, Mark Lesher, Robert MCCarver trio of criminal perverts with a history of pervision, drugs, frivolous lawsuits, oral sex, fall guys, orgies, have molested and raped the wrong mans family. I know for a fact their rain on RRCounty will be stoped because Jerrywill make sure Justice Prevails!"
- "Why would Mark and Rhonda Lesher have a known criminal, pervert, child molester, dope head, thief charged with "51" sticks of dynamite to blow up Clarksville live with them? Leshers is his bondsman and lawyer on several crimnail charge and knew him well. Lesher say theyy were trying to help, why did they not call Jerry Coyle they mooched off him for years and knew him? Instead they molested, raped and tried to get his 11 year old boy to lie to the Judge. This is of record at the court house in D.J. Own words and Perjury was committed by both Rhonda Lesher and Rovert McCarver on how long and wht they did to Shannon while they kept her on drugs. Shannon never had even a traffic ticket until these perverts captured and molested her with drugs. You lying Leshers look at the court house for yourself. "GREED" "PERVISION" is all MCCARVER and Leshers were intrested in, what if they had over dosed Shannon and

- killed her like Linda Velvin Lesher got hooked and sent to Jail for drugs." JUSTICE WILL PREVAIL"!"
- "You spineless bastards get on you computer and tell your lies and garbage because cowards and perverts hide and lie. Why not have the backbone and tell of your orgies, pervision and how really sick you are. Confessions may help your morless sole.I guess Rhonda Lesher sucking, biting while performing oral sex on a drugged victom is normal in your lifestyle."
- 165) "I guess Rhonda Lesher thinks its ok to drug someone, perform oral sex and try to bite and suck their vagina off, 'FACTS' not opinion."
- 166) "How would anyone like to be drugged after trusting someone while you were out of it had Rhonda Lesher suck and bite your vigina while performing oral sex on then Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver laugh while you were parilized but awake then rape you. Finally when the drugs got out of her system and victoms mind cleared she realized what she had done to her and had the guts to have these known perverts prosicuted. Then perverts with no knowledge at all attack her. I hope this happens to you and the outher perverts that defend Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver.But Yall never mention Robert McCarver because you know it would let the cat out of the bag. Why was known criminal pervert that can't get out of Jail still, live with the Leshers if they aren't perverts. Why did they not call the victoms husband they knew him and mooched off him for years ..'PERVISION' 'GREED' This trash molested the wrong mans family because this is just the beginning. Jerry would have called Mark if Rhonda was at his ranch not hide her then let someone suck her guts out then rape her. This picture is to clear when you know all the facts this slime will go to jail Justice will be served! Yall lie and smoke all you want but the 'FACTS' keep getting in the way."
- 167) "If you lesher supporters know the facts avout Shannon being drugged and raped tell it! You won't because you know Robert McCarver, Rhonda Lesher, and Mark Lesher are 'GUILTY' perverted filth...Why did Leshers, McCarver and Rice hold and hide Shannon for two weeks? Why did Leshers not call Jerry Coyel they knew him well and mooched off him for years."
- "More Lesher, McCarver perverts "Lying!" None of us posted anything about your family except say "GOD BLESS YOU" This lynch mob wants to know why was a known criminal pervert Robert McCarver, that is still in Jail on numerous charges living with the Leshers and why Mike Rice and McCarver took the victom to Leshers perverted ranch and kept her for two weeks. Rhonda Lesher lied on the stand and told the Judge that she was there for three days. Robert McCarver came in and told the Judge victom was there two weeks. Why did this 'HERPIES' infested 'SLUT' Rhonda Lesher Lie. This trash will go to Jail!!!!"
- 169) "Whats really funny is Mark Lesher thinks they will skate through this rape trial...What this perverted trash don't know is their Jail cells are already ready for them. 'YOU CAN BANK ON THAT'!!!!!!!!"
- 170) "Remember one fact "MONEY TALKS AND BULLSHIT GOES TO JAIL!!!!!! This perverted trash raped and molested the wrong mans family."

- 171) "First, Shannon left Jerry to go to her sisters. Second, Robert McCarver told these lies in court for Mark Lesher's benefit. Thirds, Rhonda (Long) Leshers brother was caught last knight with a load of dope that came from Mark Lesher. Fourth, Mark Lesher bonded Ricky Long out of jail this morning to keep his mouty shut. Fith, The Judge that heard these lies said in court he did not believe any of it. Six, Rhonda Long testified Jerry showed her the beadroom at the boat where Jerry and his daughter had sex. Get real, if you gota lie and twist facts at least make them believable. Shannon left Jerry for rovert McCarver, that is to funny. Robert McCarver did work for Jerry two years ago before Mark and Rhonda Lesher got him hooked on dope...Jail...Ricky Long, Rhondas brother is bonded out of Jail for being caught with Mark Leshers dope. Robert McCarver never stayed with Jerry but facts and court testimony say Robert McCarver did live with the Leshers. This is to easy, Rhonda [Long] Lesher, Mark Lesher, Robert McCarver were handcuffed and went to Jail with Rape charges filed and will go to trial then Jail. Now Ricky Long, Rhondas brother is bonded out of Jail for being caught with Mark Leshers dope. "FACTS" not lies call recounty sheriff or wait for Clarksville paper. You Lesher McCarver perverts just wait you will see the real story."
- "Is this the "HERO" Mark Lesher himself, I think so. I hope we meet then you will know the meaning of sadistic you child molesting, drug dealing woman raper. You think you are really smart, if you were you would turn yourself and the rest of your perverted group in. Personally I hope you don't."
- 173) "I have noticed every time I post facts on here you post this same crap. Has Jerry or any in his family gone or going to Jail? "NO" Who has the history of child molesting, orgies, herpies, drugs, frivolous law suits, paying for strippers to have oral sex with, alocholic, criminal pervert living with them with court dockments to prove it, charged with rape, supplying phone, money, lawyer, bonds for free, perferts lawyer, family history of registered child molesters, brother in jail on drug charges, trio in Jail, two out on bond. .Rhonda Lesher, Robert Lynn McCarver, Mark Lesher. Robert McCarver told himself Mark give him Viagra to have sex with Rhonda then Mark Performs oral sex on her after he is done. This is regular as clock work with several others, ask Chuck or Dana or Susan that owns clip and nip in Clarksville. Robert McCarver did work for Jerry over two years ago until Mark Lesher Got him hooked on drugs and made him his fall guy drug dealer to sell to people like Ray price and local dope heads. Why does all authorities including the FBI want Mark Lesher so bad, they aren't after Jerry. Does Jerry own a orgie bar and have drunken parties "NO" Mark and Rhonda Lesher do. All you hear is gossip about Jerry if its bad, but with the Leshers and McCarvers Facts tell the perverted story. These perverts say I talk nasty then they post filth that is the real truth about them. This is Rhonda Lesher posting this trash because they are trying to shift this perverted rape towards Jerry. One problem Jerry was not there Robert, Rhonda, and Mark Lesher were. These are the same perverts that sent a document to Austin saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Carol and was a moroless Judge, because Lesher could not corrupt him. Document partly written in Leshers own handwriting. Ask Lucy Lollar or Judge Lovett.. Judge Lovett daughter worked for Lesher and reconized his hand writing, she quit after she found out what a pervert Lesher was. I will have more facts tomorrow. You can check what I say, not frivolous lies like the Leshers."

- "You are right, this filth tru or false hase nothing to do with the rape case against Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher. The only question is did they rape Shannon. Facts are McCarver was living with the Lesher "WHY" if they are so honorable. Why did they keep Shannon Hid for two weeks as court testimony states? Both Leshers knew Jerry for years why did they not call him. Why did Mark Lesher file frivolous dockments against Judge Jim Dick Lovett saying he had sex with carol Jerrys ex wife and had no moral, in Marks own handwriting to austin? Ask Judge Lovett or Lucy Lollar yourself. You can decide for yourself but look at the facts. They never answered when I ask them to tell about Jerrys family history, their is none. Look at McCarvers and Leshers their is a whole book. None of this matters except for Leshers and McCarvers and both have committed perjury."
- 175) "EX CLARKSVILLE RESIDENT::: You are probably right, But me personally I don't want honey or vinegar I won't their 'BLOOD'!!! If you were the victom you wouldn't be so nice. Right now its Jerry's call and he says let Justice take its course. Yall don't know the facts and I can't tell you, when you do you will want their 'BLOOD' to. These are sick people! DJ the one Mark Lesher mind molested is my favorite and I will never forget that. D.J. 11 years old molested by a 62 year old pervert Mark Lesher."
- "We have a vendetta against all child molesters, drrug dealers, woman rapist slimy trash like The Leshers and McCarvers! These perverts ill go to Jail! You will believe in "MAGIC"!!!!!!! Have you heard the song you don't spit in the wind, you don't tug on supermans cape!!!!!!"
- 177) "You are exactly right! Lesher trash and perverted supporters hollered tora tora tora and the 'VICTOM' has dropped the monster A-bomb on you 'HERPIES' infested perverts!!!!! LOL LOL LOL HA HA HA HA 'GUTS 'GUTTED' 'GUTTEST'!!!!!!!"
- "We have had our lunches packed and the kids ready to see Justice served. I only wish we could hang this perverted trash. I would love to watch them swing, choke and shit their pants. You have forgot about the victom, a hepless woman and an 11 year old boy. If we could hang this trash in public there would ve a stop to these child molesting woman raping 'HERPIES' infested perverted scum!!!!!!!!! But of course they are your friends!!!!!!!"
- "What the paper don't say is the victom was drugged by Mark Lesher, and as she was waking up Rhonda[Long]Lesher was performing oral sex on her 'SUCKING' and 'BITING' her vagina then Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her!!!!!!!'FACTS' with witness testimony to back it up!!!!!!!!....a lot more to come!!!!!!!"
- 180) "Let us not forger Rhonda[Long]Lesher sucked and bit the victoms vagina as she awoke from being drugges by Mark Lesher, then he raped her and while still grogy Rhonda gave her and oral douche?"
- "Let us not Forger Rhonda Lesher sucked and bit the victoms vagina then Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her, then Rhonda Gave the victom another oral 'DOUCHE'!!!! "YU CK" You think this trash ain't sick. All with witness testimony!!!!!!!!"
- 182) "Like I said, you gotta be the dumbest bitch that post. Charges upgraded to aggvrated sexual asalt, first degree felony. Ricky Long Rhonda [Longs] brother charged

- with Mark Leshers dope. BAAAAAAAAaaaaa my got is calling get back on his dick, you gotta keep something in your mouth so you can't talk, "HERPIES" has affected your brain!!!!!!!!"
- "Nothing about the landfill, frivolous lawsuits, just 'FACTS' from the crime they comitted, 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'PERVISION',!!!!!!Mo re charges to come!!!!!!!#"
- "Ole 'HERO' Mark Lesher and Rhonda though by attacking the first inditment they would get by again with their pervision. Now this scumb is in deeper and still sinking and don't know it. We never threaten but post facts. If facts threaten you then you must be guilty with this 'HERPIES' infested scumb, woman raping, child molesting, perverted trash."
- 185) "Sensible post wrote: I did not write that. "Smart One" from Illinois wrote that. Smart One wrote: Innocent until proven guilty...that applies to all people. There are a few things to think about when weighing in on whether Mark and Rhonda are innocent or guilty of the ALLEGED crime: 1) This "incident" supposedly happened in July of 2007. Why has it taken the "victim" almost a year to decide to accuse two people of something like this? Three weeks after being raped it was reported. 2) She says she was kidnapped and held captive by Mark and Rhonda and raped by both. If that is the case, where is the evidence i.e. bruises, rope burns, where they tied her arms and legs, semen, vaginal, tearing, videotape, photo etc. Unless there is actual proof that was provided by the "victim", which they were, then I would really like to know what part of the story prompted the grand jury to issue the indictments to have them arrested. They must have truly damning evidence which they have. 3) She says she was held captive. I'd like to know how Mark and Rhonda might have done that given that they both work all the time, are involved in civic organizations, etc. I also go back to where are the injuries she suffered while supposedly held captive. The Grand Jury I know have the facts.4) Where was her son this whole time? It is my understanding that the woman was either married to or with guy named Coyel and she had 2 kids from a previous marriage. While with Coyel, who by the way is supposed to be very wealthy and powerful man who has high officials in his pocket, she took off with the ranchhand and took her son with her and leave her 12 year old daughter with Coyel. What kind of mother does something that ridiculous as just taking 1 of her 2 children? Especially when she leaves a 12 year old girl with a man not even her biological father. I believe this gossip is a lie. 5) Supposedly, the woman and the ranchhand were arrested in Colorado and Rhonda and Mark, who by the way, used to be friends with her and Coyel, offered her and her son a place to stay, i.e. at their house. She had obviously burned her bridge with Coyel temporarily, she had many other places to go. She is alsoo a known drug user, because Mark Lesher got her hooked on dope. 6) Lastly, what could posiibly be the motive for Mark and Rhonda to do something like this, Pervision, Greed? Rhonda works hard at her business everyday, is involved in pervision. Rotary and Main street Program and the Old Church Play would love for her to stay away. Mark works as hard and he takes cases that seek justice for the underdog who rape, sell drugs, do drugs, and are perverts, otherwise b passed over by the unscruplous attorneys. Theyboth also do things for the benefit of Clarksville and they pay for those things out of their own pockets to try and keep their image looking good. I think that the timing for this whole incidentt is extremely weird as is the accusation. Could it be that someone is oout to get Mark beacuse he is the attorney for the group that wants drug,

pervision, rape, dumps, liquor Possibly. It could also be at the prosecuting attorney is a moral person. It is a known fact that Mark & Rhonda and Val Varley do not like each other, so this sounds like the perfect plan for Val to take down Mark and Rhonda for their rape, dope, pervision. I believe DA VAL VARLEY is a man of morals and you are right! This Lesher, McCarver Perverted Scumb will go to Jail!!!!!!!"

- "When you Drug and 'RAPE' a helpless woman, then Rhonda Lesher tries to bite and suck her vagina off what do you think should happen?????"
- "You are a lying piece o shit!!! You work for Mark Lesher in Texarcana, The mind molestation was when Mark Lesher tried to get An 11 year old boy the victoms son to say his farher had the boy play with hiself while he watched and that the 11 year old boy saw his father having sex with his sister, the boys mother heard what Lesher was doing and took the 11 year old boy that was crying and would not lie and left. The boy testified to this in court. Lesher had the victom on druggs ang got Shannon a lawyer, his buddy, a lawyer that Lesher was using to sue her husband after Lesher got the victon to sign documents will, power attorney, etc so lesher could try to get Jerrys money. TSO rules my ass! You Lesher McCarver perverts don't want 'FACTS' told."
- "You should control your buddy the Leshers from spreading 'HERPIES' and Rhondas appite for sucking the guts out of drugged victoms! Deleted threads, who gives a shit you ignorant 'BASTARD', if we get thr 'FACTS' out. Well start another, and you keel talking about my 'GOAT', he is calling BAAaaaaaaaaaa !!!!!!!!"
- 189) "He works for Mark Lesher and has the little man cyndrome, he is a joke. Mike Rice was to Keep the victom, Shannon Coyel along with Robert McCarver from leaving Mark Leshers ranch and keep her on drugs so Lesher could have his Lawyer friend sue Jerry and try and get money. Rhonda performed oral sex in the victom then Mark and Robert raped her as she was awaking from the drugs they gave her. Shannon got scared even the drugs they gave her couldn't keep her there!!!"
- 190) "Rember Rhonda[Long]Leshers big mouth I am a 'LONG'!!!!!!! Since Ricky Long her brother was arrested on numerous drug charges with a load of Mark Leshers drugs, big mouth ain't said much. Rhonda is mad a Rickey because he got caught after she told him to be careful people will learn to much! Rhonda Lesher is mad because the 'ROTARY' club wont let her and Robert McCarver teach a class on how to 'DRUG' and 'RAPE'!!!!!!!"
- "After talking with most on the Rotary club they say Rhonda Lesher has ruint the good name of the Rotary club. All I spoke with tell me they knew of Rhondas pervision but anyone can get involved with the Rotary club so they had no choice but to put up with her. All I spoke with say they are sure all three will get long Jail terms, because of the evidence some on the GJ have told. Nobody keeps a secret for long when this type of criminal behavor was done, said one member. How can she show her face after two inditments all know there is overwhelming evidence one said."
- "Does anyone know what "TRUTH" post from Texarkana, is talking about? This Lesher McCarver perverted trash have all been infested with 'HERPIES' and are brain damaged. Cain't waite for big "MOUTH" Rhonda [Long][Slut] Leshers next comments, she is a total "FOOL". "I AM A LONG"!!! My brother is Ricky Long. We Longs work

- and stay together, he was framed with all Marks dope, and is just trying to make a living. We were framed DA VAL VARLEY don't like us, he not two GJ inited us, we are innocent, Shannon could not know what we did to her, 'WE' had her 'DRUGGED'!!!!!!!!"
- 193) "You really think you and your perverted mate don't know you are both Queer, after you molest you own kids???????? You perverted piece of shit! You posted earlier you love the Leshers and what they stand for, Rape, pervision, child molesters!!!!Lie about that!!!!!!"
- "D.A. Has several witness testimony, this perverted moroless 'RAPING' 'CHILD MOLESTING, 'PERVERTED' scumb will go to Jail no matter what it takes!!!!!!!!! This scumb better worry because I know for a fact Jerry Coyel won't let up. Mark Lesher said to Ricky Long don't worry about Jerry I'll take care of him!!! Do it Lesher, you chicken shit, stop mouthing and take care of Jerry. Stop him, go to his house, meet him somewhere, just name it Jerry will oblidge for certin!!!!!!!!"
- 195) "You ain't seen nothing yet, when this trio of trash gets convicte we will file civil law suits on the Leshers and proceeds will go to RRCounty after we win our civil suit! We want none of their slimy money if they have any left. This will be a win for RRCounty and the victom!!!!!!!!"
- 196) "Have you read all the evidence from the news papers' Ricky Long Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother, a fine man was cought with a load od all type drugs of Mark Leshers because If Leshers 'GREED' 'PERVERSION' Rhonda[Long]Lesher after Mark drugged the victon sucked and bit her vagina, them Mark Lehser and Robert McCarver raped her. Two different Grang Juries indicted this scumb after hearing evidence from several people. Mark Lesher tried to get an 11 year old boy to say he saw his step father have sex with his sister and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. This was testified to in court by D.J. Coyel.Lesher hand wrote and typed a letter saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher sent the letter to Austin to the Judisial Board. This was a lie and shit will hit the fan soon. Leshers handwriting was identified. Lesher wanted Judge Lovett out bbecause he could not corrupt him. Ask Att. Dan Mehan or outhers all have a copy of the letter. There is a lot more I cain't tell but will come out soon. This piece of shit Lesher will go to Jail and be disbared. All We have posted are Facts! Lehser is involved in 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'Child Molesting', and more! F.B.I. have a book on this pervert. These Lsher, McCarver perverted supporters are the same from rrpoliticks. They are scumb Just like Leshers and McCarver."
- 197) "Have you read all the evidence from the news papers" Ricky Long Rhonda[Long]Leshers brother, a fine man, was cought with a load of all type drugs of Mark Leshers because of Leshers "GREED" "PERVERSION". Rhonda[Long]Lesher after Mark drugged the victom sucked and bit her vagina, them Nark Lesher and Robert McCarver raped her. Two different Grang Juries indicted this scumb after hearing evidence from several people. Mark Lesher tried to get an 11 year old boy to say he saw his step father have sex with his sister and had the boy play with hiself while the father watched. This was testified to in court by D.J. Coyel.Lesher hand wrote and typed a letter saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher

sent the letter to Austin to the Judsial Board. This was a lie and the shit will hit the fan soon. Leshers handwriting was identified. Lesher wanted Judge Lovett out because he could not corrupt him. Ask Att. Dan Mehan or outhers all have a copy of the letter. There is a lot more I cain't tell but will come out soon. This piece of shit Lesher will go to Jail and be disbared. All We have posted are Facts! Lesher in involved in "DRUGS", "RAPE", "Child Molesting", and more! F.B.I. have a book on this pervert. These Lesher McCarver perverted supporters are the same from rrpoliticks. They are scumb just like Leshers and McCarver. Talk with Lucy Lollar, David Barnett, Alan Hale, Tommy Welch, James Welch, any intelligent person about this Lesher McCarver Perverted Child Molesting "SCUMB"!!!!!!!"

- 198) "This scumb is returning from Sturgess, 'ORGIES' 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' is their game! Get the 'CLOROX' out."
- 199) "The 'EVIDENCE' will prove them guilty, not my opinion. I wish I could tell you all 'FACTS' not hearsay, or gossip but proof and confession, and testimony that the D.A. has. Leshers know the truth but when you find out you also will get as angry as I. This trio Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher and Mark Lesher you will find out is the lowest, pervertedest,slimy,moroless people you will ever hear about. If the Judge lets all the evidence in this trash will get life!!!!!There is more than what this scumb did to Shannon and 11 year old D.J. Coyel. I swear on my life this trio will have 'JUSTICE' served one way or another.{GOD} is my savior and in his name I pray the courts gets this right for we will!!!!!"
- 200) "D.A. has a taped confession of Robert McCarver about the leshers rape of the victom!!!!!!!!! Lesher waited too long and McCarver has told the whole story 'DRUGS', 'RAPE' and more will come later!!!!!!! And outher 'TESTIMONY'!!!!!!!!"
- 201) "If you know anyone with information about Rhonda[Long]Lasher, Robert Lynn McCarver or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex or drug activity please contact D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksvillt Texas 75426.######## Mark Lesher sued Jerry Conway five times while he was Sheriff all "FRIVOLOUS"! Mark Lesher had bragged, When Robert Bridges gets in office, I will bleed RR County dry. Lesher said it coust me \$50.00 to file a lawsuit and the county thousands to defend it.####### The D.A. and G.J. have done their Job "TWICE" now lets do ours. lou Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ----- Now they have the second

indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals. -----"

202) "Reply>> lReport AbuselJudge it!l#4147 12 hrs ago Judged: 1 1 1 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want

thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^ ^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- 203) "This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"!"
- "When Rhonda Lesher was 'SUCKING AND BITING' the vitims vagina, and Mark Lesher and Robert Lynn McCarver 'RAPED' her did they stop. When they 'DRUGGED' they victim did they have mercy. Robert McCarver has confessed to their crimes, on tape and recorded. Mark Lesher paid \$20,000.00 to get McCarver bonded out but McCarver had already confessed."
- "Rhonda[Long]Lesher, Robert Lynn McCarver and Mark Lesher, were all three arrested on agg. sexual assault. Robert McCarver A known 'CRIMINAL' lives with the Leshers at their compound! Robert McCarver has a history of 'CHILD MOLESTING' with a family history of same. Even McCarvers sister Mary Jane Payne of Detroit Texas is registered sex offender. Mark Lesher holds several bonds on McCarver, and Just paid \$20,000.00 to bond McCarver out again. McCarver selld drugs for Mark Lesher and has confessed to the D.A.Val Varley and Sheriff Terry Reed in rrcounty. Leshers Lawers are trying to get McCarvers confession thrown out."
- 206) "You told the truth "THE OUTHER THINGS THE LESHER MCCARVER TRIO OF TRASH' have been involved in! 'DRUGS, 'RAPE', 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS', 'TRYING TO CORRUPT OUR LEGAL SYSTEM', 'DUMPS', 'LIQUOR','ORGANIZED CRIME', 'PERVERSION' etc."

207) "Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 20, 2007 i:23PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here, I love you that's why I paid \$20,000.00 to bond you out. I know you confessed but we will twist what you said. Don't worry I love you and have a box of Viagra and ky jelly, dildoes and two dogs to do us. I will get our valtrex today. Remember darling I love you. Taped by Rhonda[Long] Lesher. @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher. McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^ **McCarver** confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. "HOT"! Look for sparks to fly MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act

like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- 208) "Mark Lesher bonds his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver out of Jail again. Lesher holds several bonds on McCarver, "CHILD MOLESTING", "RAPE", "DRUGS", "DYNAMITE", "DOMESTIC ABUSE" [beating his wife], "CHILD ENDAGERMENT"[shooting into a car full of kids trying to kill his wife]! Robert McCarver has confessed to the 'TRIO OF TRASH' Agg sexual assault charges to Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Now Leshers Lawyers say the conference ["CONFESSION"] was illegal, this is a volintary "CONFESSION" McCarver made trying to make a plea deal before Lesher bonded him out! Now Mark Lesher has got his "LOVER" robert McCarver a trailer on Leshers compound and had Allen Lumber, deliver a culbert and outher items th make thier "LOVE NEST"! Rhonda Lesher was heard screaming at Mark about their 'LOVE NEST' by Allen Lumber delivery man. Rhonds said we shouldn't Let McCarver keep living with us and spending money on him because people will know we are guilty. Mark said that's why I hired the lawyer out of Austin Texas for. This Lawer is very good at twisting the facts against victoms and get's his clients off! Robert has to have a cell phone, car, money, and a place to stay. Rhonda said why not keep sneaking him into our house with us? Lesher said we can this looks better!"
- 209) "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1#146 Monday Sep 15 Judged: 1 1 1 1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at

the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@@This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

210) ""DELIVERANCE' is what comes to mind! When Mark Lesher goes to 'HUNTSVILLE' prison will he squeel like a 'STUCK' pig? I know Rhonda[McCarver lesher] probably has him broke in, but I Know Lesher will beg, whine, hollar, squeel just like the "SLIMY" pig he is. Inmates hate 'CHILD MOLESTERS' and 'WOMEN

- RAPIST'! My kin wil be sure all know him and he will be fed and "WELL" taken care of, for a little while!.."
- "Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher 211) tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff' JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!!"
- "McCarver told Holden he had permission from the Husband? Relationship? [1] McCarver is to stupid to say this. Mccarver can't read, write,no drivers licence, no teeth, I,Q, of a rock! [2] "PERVERT" talking to a "PERVERT"! [3] Shannon was after the "Drugs" Lesher had her hooked on, not McCarver! [4] "DOCKMENTS"? You mean a made up story by Mark Lesher, like the one where he Told D.J. to tell the Judge, Jerry had sex with his sister and had him play with hiself while Jerry watched! D.J. testified to this in court, D.J. started crying and said he would not lie! Lesher told D.J. it would look better if he said this! [5] You think This "TRIO OF TRASH" ain't a "PERVERTED" bunch of "SCUMB", just like their followers! [6] Check court dockments, Rhonda comitted pejury! [7] Robert McCarver comitted perjury! [8] Mark Lesher half hand and half typed a dockment and sent it to Austin saying Judge Jim Lovett had sex with Jerrys ex wife and was a moroless Judge. Lesher could not Corrupt or control Judge Lovett and wanted him replaced. This was responded to and was a "LIE"!"
- "How would you like Lesher the "LOSER" as your Lawyer?D.A. Val Varley has won all cases Lesher has mostly been involved in. But in Leshers the "PERVERTS" defence he mostly represents, 'CHILD MOLESTERS' 'DRUG HEADS' 'PERVERTS' that are 100% guilty! But Lesher loves 'PERVERTS' and 'DRUGS'!"
- "This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victum was waking from being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCaver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a confernce with Sheriff Red and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Qugmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply- I hope they get tried in Irving. I woud pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be gurantied three hots & a cot. Budweier- New indictments in Lesher, McCarver

case by Bill Hakins The Paris News published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 montions took up most of the activity in the couroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." The evidence he referred to was what he called consultaion between Varley and the ed River County sherrif during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. Hequestioned the legalty of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarvr. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client wthout his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relaion to other unrelated cases. McCarver confssed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver as making a deal with the D.A. Val Varle confessing their gult before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out The trios lawers found out in court McCarver had cofessed. Now they want his condession throw out! [Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With McCarvers other rimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver. Robert Lynn MCarver, other charge [1] Add. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug Parnafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endangerment, shooting in a car full of ids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana![7] Arson! [8] Manufacturng controlled substce! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, o blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You cn check with rr county Sheriff offic to verfy. Leshers live with his "CRIMMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A 'ROPE' is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!."

215) "Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #3 Friday Oct 10 This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug

- paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- "Reply>> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #3 Friday Oct 10 This 'TRIO OF TRASH' 216) drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots and a cot.@@@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom,asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence" ^^^^^^^The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the Sheriff's interview with McCarver. he questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."McCarver confessed to thir guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out! { Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! with all McCarvers outher criimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 217) "For you "PERVERTS"! Shannon said she was "RAPED" by two little limp pinkies. She said Rhondas tongue was longer and harder than Leshers and McCarvers "PINKIES"! She told me this sounds sick, but it is the truth and Rhonda while "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina was a lot better looking down there than that nasty looking McCarver! Shannon said Leshers ask her about an orgie before they

- drugged her. She said Rhonda was drinking and kissing on her trying to kiss her mouth. Shannon said people hooked on drugs are sick, and need help, I am proof of that."
- "Wonder if they get someone to air this, will the Woods, Leshers and McCarver want to also let them teach a class on TV about how to peform a "ORAL DOUCHE" by Rhonda, "SUCKING" and "BITING" a victoms Vagina, then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" "RAPE" the victom while being "DRUGGED"! Then let Bill Woods and Sharla Woods with them, and "ROBERT MCCARVER tell how to "MOLEST CHILDREN" sell "DRUGS", make "DRUGS" have "ORGIES" and "LIE"!"
- ", IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#10 Saturday Nov 1 Reply » |Report 219) Abuse |Judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 220) "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE

DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving,TX Reply >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^ _ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 221) ""CATHOLIC", That explains everything! You were a "ALTER BOY" like the ones we reed about in the papers. You were "SEXUALLY ASSAULTED" for years while growing up! You like the "PERVERTED" things done to you and hate women, thats why you have no respect for "HELLCAT"! You "LOVE" the "LESHER, "MCCARVER" "PERVERTS" because they "RAPE" Women and Children! Have you had counciling for your abnormality?"
- "Don't forget about "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Jerrys Lawyer!!! Rhonda you already perjured yourself on record! You are right Shannon ain't done to good with questions she has answered. Lets Look: The Sheriff, investagitors, FBI, DA, and two different "GRAND JURIES" with lots of questions, "TWO" Indictments 24 residents of rrcounty. Yall getting tried in Collin County, Your right Shannon and Jerry are really worried. All the money yall are spending, and McCarver? You could be right, you might not get "LIFE"! hahhahhahhahah "LOSERS" hahhahhahaha"
- 223) "Rhonda[McCarver,long]Leshers "TONGUE" would be hard to compete with! Jerry and Carol are really close, and Jerry likes Ricky and Raymond Long! The only thing the Long family has in common with Jerry Coyel is the same 'ZIP CODE' 75426! The only thing that was wrong with Shannon, was Lesher had her hooked on drugs! You don't know Jerry, he ain't jealous of anyone or anything. Jerry never worries about what people say, he just don't care! Have you ever seen Jerry's attire? Walmart T shirt, blue Jeans. There may be some surprise witness testimony, there are many offers by credible

people about Rhondas sex capades. Jerry told most that they didn't need to get involved, but if he needed he would call them!"

"New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News 224) Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ "FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Ouote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"?"

- 225) "Take a close look at these two 'SLIME BALLS'! They 'DRUGGED' and 'RAPED' a Lady with the help of 'ROBERT MCCARVER'! This 'WHITE TRASH' is going to trial, then Jail in January in 'COLLIN COUNTY'! 'KARMA IS A BITCH'!"
- 226) "1 min ago lou wrote: 1 min ago New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCARVER" raped McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooooooo"

- 227) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Tuesday New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCARVER" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooooo"
- 228) "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1#1 Yesterday Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD:

Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] "KARMA IS A BITCH"! hahhahhaha hahhahhahhahhahha "LOSERS" hahhahhahhahha"

229) "Reply >> 1 Report Abuse 1 Judge it! 1 #4 Yesterday New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second

arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saving: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? [Quote] This is "COURT DOCKMENTS", try and "LIE" about this! Hahhahhahah "LOSERS" hahhahhaha"

- 230) "These 'FOOLS'- 'PERVERTS' think Rhonda 'SUCKING' and 'BITING', while performing an 'ORAL DOUCHE' on a 'DRUGGED' woman is natural!"
- "The "SCUM" must have heard about the "GREENS"! There will be very "DAMING" evidence this "TRASH" can't lie out of! Rhondas house keeper?????? Outhers?????? Have you ever left "TOAST" in your oven until it burnt and started smoking the house up? Well this "TRIO OF TRASH" are, lets say:::: hahhahhahahhaha "TOAST" hahhahhahahaha ********* "LOSERS" ******** "DUH' I think they

finally tried to 'BACK STAB' the wrong man!... I bet the 'VICTIMS' vagina Rhonda 'SUCKED' and 'BIT' will be the most expensive 'PUSS' the 'SLUT' ever ate!!! With the Leshers "PLAN" backfiring, what will he do? "I KNOW"!!!!!! "BEND OVER"!!!!!!! hahhahahah how much is Lesher the "PERVERTS" plan going to coust him before he and the "SCUM" go to Jail??????? hahhahhahaha "TOAST" hahhahhahaha"

"****** New indictments in Lesher, 232) McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from

- talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"
- "When the Leshers, 'ROBERT MCCARVER', Mike Rice are ask about the 'DOPE' lesher was growing around the creeks on the land Lesher put in Rices name Shannon can identify and they 'LIE' and 'AEROL' pictures are shown what will the jury think? Lesher and his Lawyers think the Judge won't let McCarvers "CONFESSION" or outher "DRUG" related things, "THEIR WRONG"!!!!!!! They will say we didn't plant that "DOPE" but it's plain to see by an ATV trail! This will be another nail in their 'COFFIN'!When Lesher says I never paid for or sold 'DRUGS', and there is witness testimony, 'TOAST'! Leshers Lawyers will try to perswade the Judge that is not relivent, but it will get in! hahhahhahah "LOSERS" hahhahhahahah"
- ""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN'T LIE ABOUT 234) THIS!!! hahhahaha *********** "TOAST" ******** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will

be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@@Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! ______ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooooo"

- 235) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Tuesday Nov 25 Judged: 1 1 1 "ROBERT MCCARVER" a known "CHILD MOLESTER", "THIEF", "PERVERT" "DRUG" DEALER", "DOPE HEAD" caught with 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" to blow up Clarksville with, furnished by Mark Lesher! \$20,000.00 dollars bond, Lesher paid on McCarver besides outher bonds! Furnishing McCarver a Lawyer, House, Monsy, Car, Cell phone! "ROBERT MCCARVER" in court, tried with you for Agg. Sexual Assault! The Jury hearing McCarvers "CONFESSION", Witness testimony! "COLLIN COUNTY! Outher "EVIDENCE"??? Stick a fork in this "SCUM", their done! ******** "LOSERS" ******** And my kin will make them "BITCHES"! Hahhahhha LOL Or should I say Bigger "BITCHES"! Can you imagine Rhonda[McCarver]Leshers "HERPIES" infested "TONGUE" up your "BUTT"!??? "NASTY PERVERTED SHIT EATING BITCH"!!! "YUCK"!"
- ""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED 'FOLLOWERS' CAIN'T LIE ABOUT 236) THIS!!! hahhahaha ************ "TOAST" ******** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue.

"We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from her! talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"

""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED 'FOLLOWERS' CAIN'T LIE ABOUT 237) THIS!!! hahhahaha *********** "TOAST" ******** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE - Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved

Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"

238) "This is what Perverted "SCUM" can cause! "THIS WAS ALL STARTED MY MARK AND HYONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just ecause they do not like the COYELS. They think this wil help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case?????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder of malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask ... WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not, even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN ... WHAT GAIN???? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be Prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU ,RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are?????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(Woods) on the Oklahoma family. :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ???????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J. Coyel, trying to cut hs head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by BIII and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver, long] Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was

- going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the 'SLIMEST' 'LYING' 'PERVERTED' 'CHILD MOLESTING' 'HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING' 'SCUM' I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!!!"
- 239) "If you or you know anyone that was "MOLESTED" by Mark Lesher or Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher call Attorney Dan Meehan. If you were infected with "HERPIES", "AIDS" or outher infectious Diseases from the Leshers call his office immideliately! Several Black men and outhers have called. Dan is filing a "CLASS ACTION" law suit! Don't be left out!"
- 240) "Rhondas "SUCK" and "BITE" marks on her Vagina werent caused by an Illusions! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher "SUCKED" and "BIT" her Vagina raw. The invegistator looked at them and said it was a sign of passion! Rhonda is a "SICK PERVERTED SLUT"! She even had "SUCK" marks on her "BUTT"! Rhonda "TONGUED AND SUCKED" her "BUTT"!"
- "ROBERT MCCARVER" Confessed! This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 241) 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse | Judge it! | #4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » | Report Abuse | Judge it! | #85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids,

- trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver "PERVERTED" posters think residents cain't reed the news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You "MORONS" fool no one! [Quote] Post something with context, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Lesher's \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! hahahahahahahaLOL"
- "Does this mean Rhonda[Long]Lesher will start her act by sucking a golf ball through a 100ft water hose? Will Rhonda show her picture gallery of 'PERVERTED" sex with "DANA", "Maryann', "Suzan" and outhers? Will Rhonda teach a class on "DRUGS" "{ERVERTED SEX", how to "DRUG" and give "ORAL SEX" "RAPE"? Will Mark Lesher tell about the land he put in Mike Rices name to grow "DOPE" in the creeks and all around? Will Rhonda put Everyready batteries up Mark and let him walk around like the Everyready Bunny? Will Mark advertise for "MAYLOX"?Will Robert McCarver tell about his confession to D.A. And Sheriff Terry Reed? Tell about his broke foot" We McCarver tell all he "CONFESSED" to or Just what Mark nows? I cain't waite!"
- "Reply>> Report Abuse Judge it! #15 Friday Oct 19 This "TRIO OF TRASH" 243) drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug

- paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- "Reply>> I Report Abuse | judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@This 244) "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."^^^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 245) "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val

Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving,TX Reply >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

"Reply>> I Report Abuse Judge it! #15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff

- has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- "I am very proud of jerry, knowing what he is capable of, outhers are capable of he seeks "TRUTH" and "JUSTICE" the American way! If one held my wife two weeks, "DRUGGED" and "RAPER" her as Mark Lesher has done, while trying to stab me in the back, even after them mooching off me for years and never done anything to offend them, I think I might handle it differently! I sure jerry has a plan to see Mark Lesher for sure will pay for his sins! Rhonda, just plain stupid! Robert McCarver, plain stupid, but should know better! "JUST A THOUGHT"!"
- "The Lesher, McCarver supporters and family just had another meeting about how they would beat this "CRIME" like all the outhers. One problem is Robert McCarver has confessed, and 12 residents of Collin County will hold these "PERVERTS"fate! News is Lesher want's his Lawyers to try and get their charges thrown out on "TECHNICALLTY"! Lesher is saying this Grand Jury was not called in the right way??? Lesher never said we ain't guilty, Just get the indictment thrown out any way you can. Sorce said Lesher has a whole Law firm working on this. Lesher knows if they go to trial they will get convicted, because he now has knowledge of most evidence. Don't worry "JUSTICE WILL PREVAIL"! "KARMA" is a bitch!"
- 249) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6153 Saturday Nov 1 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#15 Sunday Oct 19 @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from

666

"This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 250) 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20,000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can

- check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- "Rhonda{McCarver,Long}Les her serving drinks to another "VICTOM" at her "ORGIE BAR"! Wonder if this fellow knows Ronda was indicted with "ROBERT MCCARVER" and Mark Lesher for drugging a victom? Rhonda suck,ed and bit her "VAGINA" raw then Robert McCarver and Mark Lesher "RAPED" her. Two different Grand Juries 24 residents indicted this "TRIO OF TRASH", and raised the Criminal indictment to "AGG SEXUAL ASSAULT" after Robert McCarver "CONFESSED" to the trios guilt, and overwhelming ouher evidence!"
- "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers 252) "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 253) "Well looks like whats right is right got a ear full and seems to have nothing else better to do than talk behind his computer like the rest of us!!!!!!! Maybe I will change my name to whats wrong is wrong Leshers/Mcarver raped and drugged a woman now that WRONG r u bored yet or do u like playing games"

- 254) "Reply >> Report Abuse 1 Judge it 1 #4986 11 min ago Judged: 1 1 1 Robert McCarver is telling all his "DRUG" friends, Mark Lesher said I am going To be a rich Man! McCarver said Lesher is going to sue the D.A., RRCOUNTY, Jerry Coyel, and Sheriff Terry Reed for "MILLIONS"! "Lesher is an idiot! The D.A. did not indict the "TRIO OF TRASH" the Grand Jury did, and their exempt from law suits! Jerry Coyel's name ain't on nothing and has not done anything "YET" for these "MORONS" to sue for! Sheriff Terry Reed took McCarver's confession because Mark Lesher had not bonded McCarver out, and McCarver trying to save hiself and make a plea deal as reported by the Clarksville Times. Court dockments prove this! "OL' FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" can sue all he wants, but for what? Because McCarver "CONFESSED" to the "TRIO OF TRASHES" Guilt? D.A. Took the case before a Grand Jury that indited the "CRIMINALS" twice? Sue Jerry becaused the "BUGS" "DRUGGED" "RAPED" "BLED MONEY" out of Shannon? "OH i Know, Because Jerry let the scumb "HUNT", "STAY AT HIS CABIN", "STAY ON HIS HOUSE BOAT" "MOOCH OFF HIM FOR YEARS"? Rhonda{LONG}Lesher has already committed perjury before a Judge, maybe lesher can sue the Judge for her "LYING"? "OL" "LOOSER" "LAWSUIT LESHER" is either a complete "FOOL" or trying to keep McCarver from further "CONFESSIONS"! "MARK LESHER" don't know what a lawsuit is "YET"!!!!!!!! After their conviction, the party is really starting!!!!!!!"
- "Robert McCarver is telling all his "DRUG" friends, Mark Lesher said I am going 255) To be a rich Man! McCarver said Lesher is going to sue the D.A., RRCOUNTY, Jerry Coyel and Sheriff Terry Reed for "MILLIONS"! "Lesher is an idiot! The D.A. did not indict the "TRIO OF TRASH" the Grand Jury did, and their exempt from law suits! Jerry Coyels name ain"t on nothing and has not done anything "YET" for these "MORONS" to sue for! Sheriff Terry Reed took McCarvers confession because Mark Lesher had not bonded McCarver out, and McCarver was trying to save hiself and make a plea deal as reported by Clarksville Times. Court dockments prove this! "OL"FRIVOLOUS LAW SUIT LESHER" can sue all he wants, but for what? Because McCarver "CONFESSED" to the "TRIO OF TRASHES" Guilt? D.A. Took the case before a Grand Jury that indicted the "CRIMINALS" twice? Sue Jerry becaused the "BUGS" "DRUGGED" "RAPED" "BLED MONEY" out of Shannon? "OH i Know, Because Jerry let the scumb "HUNT", "STAY AT HIS CABIN", "STAY ON HIS HOUSE BOAT" "MOOCH OFF HIM FOR YEARS"? Rhonda{LONG}Lesher has already committed perjury before a Judge, maybe lesher can sue the Judge for her "LYING"? "OL" "LOOSER" "LAWSUIT LESHER" is either a complete "FOOL" or trying to keep McCarver from futher "CONFESSIONS"! "MARK LESHER" don't know what a lawsuit is "YET"!!!!!!!! After their conviction, the party is really starting!!!!!!!!"
- "AOL 1 min ago @@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@@@@

hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! ["

"Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING 257) BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark

Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote]"CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

258) "1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@@This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid

\$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankin ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

"AOL 1 min ago @@@@@@@@@@ This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 259) 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#3 Monday Sep 8 Judged: 3 3 2 I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. Budweiser Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4 Monday Sep 8 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#85 16 min ago lou wrote: New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4]

Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

"1 min ago Helldog wrote: MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM 260) RECORDING BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his 'LOVER' Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worled my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@@This 'TRIO OF TRASH' drugged and 'RAPED' a lady at the Leshers 'COMPOUND'! While the victom was waking form being druged with a 'DATE RAPE DRUG' Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral 'DOUCHE'. Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite

- Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."
- "Shannon did not leave Jerry, he filed for a divorce but did not know lesher was the one that had her on drugs with the help of McCarver selling them to her for Mark Lesher. Shannon left for the drugs, look what happened to Britney Spears, Tina Turner, Whitney Houston. Drugs make normal christian people do crazy things. Mark Lesher a Pharmist knew what he was doing and was after Jerrys money. After thr "TRIO OF TRASH" raped her and Rhonda[Long]Lesher tried to suck her guts out she got scared a n got off drugs. When Jerry found out he took her back because he knew her to be a fine woman but the "SCUMBS" drugs made her crazy. There is lots more to come out in court. Robert Lynn McCarver has "CONFESSED" and outher evidence raised their charges ti Agg.Sexual assault. You Lesher perverted 'SCUMB' can lie and twist "FACTS" but this "TRASH" will go to Jail!!!!!!!!!
- ""CHO-CHOOooooooooo" @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" 262) drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20,000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The

Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- "CHO-CHOOooooooooo @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" 263) drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply » @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saving: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- "There were some 'SCUM' Mark Lesher, 'ROBERT MCCARVER' and 'Rhonda [McCarver]Lesher 'TONGUEING' peoples 'BUTTS', 'RAPING' helpless 'DRUGGED' women but they have been indicted and are going to Jail!!!!"
- 265) "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******** "TOAST" ******** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District

Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ "FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooooooo"

Attorney Val Varley too the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher; and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and iterrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogatories in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on the motions. The judge took the motion under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with their self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOooooooooooo"

first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@"FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Just read the "FACTS" on the "TRIO OF TRASH"! CHO-CHOoooooooooooooo"

268) "********TRIO OF TRASH"******* New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments.

Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"?"

"You should post under truth. What you have just stated is true facts. Mark and Rhonda Lesher have cost taxpayers thousands of dollars. All in rrcounty know what has gone on at UT for years. Mark Lesher is a snake that needs to be caged. The only supporters Leshers have are rrpoliticks vile moreless people that disrupt this county. They trashed all law enforcement. Judges, D.A., anyone that would not let them rain. Suddenly after they are cought in one of their ill deads, and I hope will send them to jail they want people to believe they do no wrong. Robert McCarver is one of rrcountys worst residence, that alone and McCarver tied in with the Leshers rape charges should convience anyone of this trios guilt. The victoms in this case have came forward and

- should get all our support. I feel great pain for the victoms but if this rids us of these leeches something good can come from this evil."
- \$20,000.00 for McCarvers bond again! McCarver has no money, no job, no place to stay,, no drivers licence, can't read or write, but is a known "CRIMINAL",deals and takes'DRUGS'!McCarver has a family history of "CHILD MOLESTING" and is now charged with Agg sexual Assault with the Leshers.McCarver lives with the Leshers and Lesher is keeping McCarver at his compound,"WHY" why would any respectible, moral person want McCarver living with them?Lesher is furnishing McCarver "MONEY","CELL PHONE", CAR" and "HOUSING"! Allen lumber is delivering material to Leshers compound so lesher can refurbish a better place for McCarver to live on Leshers Compund! Robert McCarver has 'CONFESSED' to Leshers 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' and outher 'CRIMINAL' activity!Lesher found out after he bonded McCarver out of jail again. Leshers Lawyers are trying too get McCarvers "Confession" thrown out. Lesher keeps McCarver close so he will not make another deall with RRCounty Sheriff or D.A. Val Varley."
- "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 271) 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get and out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt!

Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

"Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 272) 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD:Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get and out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4971 32 min ago lou wrote: Helldog wrote: I 273) am "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33 PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me......Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings, our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. When I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get the hell out of here. Let Rhonda start trusting me again. I will get my sh#% and I'm going to get both my dogs and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and our dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. Me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of the bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Divorce Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his

client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The

- "Judged: 1 1 1 lou wrote: Helldog wrote: Iam "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY 274) SEPTEMBER 20, 2008 i:23 PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here, I love you that's why i paid \$20,000.00 to bond you out. I know you confessed but we will twist what you said. Don't worry i love you and have a box of viagra and ky jelly, dildoes and two dogs to do us. I will get our valtrex today. Remember darling i love you. Taped by Rhonda[Long]Lesher. @@@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] Rhond is "HOT"! Look for sparks to fly! "TRIO OF TRASH"!"
- 275) "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his

"LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ _ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

276) "1 min ago Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is

the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get and out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

277) "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't

go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get and out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

278) "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 4:33PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither

me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings. Our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. when I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get both my kids and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#% *@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear it from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of this bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Dog Custody Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- "All know Mike Rice and Mark Lesher, Rhonda also and you are right when you say indictments are coming, more charges on al of you Robert McCarver is a rat and he is and will finish hanging you on drugs, rape, and growing and selling dope. Hope you rot in jail herpies and all."
- 280) "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val

Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 281) "WELL WELL Check it out KARMA KARMA, IS A BITCH with a little help MR. and MRS. MARK LESHER are in the RR COUNTY JAIL as we speak.....I have mentioned the far reach of some people. That reach just Grabbed the Lshers. I tried to warn those that try to harm our friends....If the dear Leshers get out of Jail on this deal,they will likly be rearrested for other crimes.... I hope they don't do anything harmful to themselves before the FAT LADY SINGS. Watch TOMORROWS NEWS PAPER—We just helped KARMA fulfill it's RIGHT"
- 282) "Wel watch what I say now Mark Lesner is a lying dope pushin herpes invested piece of shit. If it was up 2 me any crime involving a child would just shoot in the head after they were indicted 2 hell with a trial or letting them live it up in prison. I say ROT in Hell. So go ahead and sue me hell call the rr sheriffs fbi or CSI I don't really give a damn what You say Meow. And as for Plain Truth give out some facts or shut the hell up."
- 283) "So What you are saying is that the accuser was willing.. Well what about the 11yr old, Tell me how Rhonda is innocent from that?"
- 284) "If the Church and members were moral Christian people, they would run the Lesher "SCUMB" off, not support these "CRIMINALS" after 24 residents and two Grand Juries indicted them "TWICE"! There Criminal carges raised to a first degree felony! Robert McCarvers "CONFESSION" and outher evidence against this "TRIO OF TRASH", infested with diseases. Who in theur moral mind would want the Lesher

"SCUMB" around? I didn;t indict this "TRASH", the Grand Jury did with overwhelming evidence!"

- "Wonder if this Fello knows about "THE TRIO OF TRASH" past history? Could 285) he be another "VICTOM"?@@@@@@@@@This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply >>@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jurty. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases."^^^^^^ confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"

- 287) "We been "RAILROADED"! How could that woman know I sucked and bit her vagina, then Mark and McCarver raped her? My ['HERO'] Mark Lesher gave her an oral douche, and sucked out the evidence!!!!!!!!"
- 288) "Robert Mc Carver was living with mark and rhonda lesher when the rape occured, lesher was his bondsman and knew he was a child molester, thier, dope head, and sex pervert that is why leshers keep him around, perverts of the worst kind."
- 289) "Man is Roy fired up I hope his father gets ok, we need him on the jury.I agree with all the has said. Justice will be served I am sure of that or the G.J. would not have indited on this big of a charge. Russl jones has been seen with the Leshers but that is his business, but I agree his article in the papers was abit much. There is a victom here and we all know the leshers are never victoms but are always trying to rape something or in this someone, a real person, not a dump, liquor store, or law suit a real decent honest person until they got her on dope. check her background not a pimple until the leshers and mccarver get her brain not to function and all for mony, wait until you see all the proof. Roy has even got me fired up and I hope you, we canno't let leshers get by with this."
- 290) "Lets see now, the things Mark Lesher has done for rrcounty. Lesher tried to get two crooks elected, wants medical waste dumps, wants rrcounty wt so all schum he represents can go get drunk and wreck killing decent folks, wants to grow weed, sells weed, sells dope, sex orgies at his bar and spreads herpies, drug and rape women while Ronda gives oral sex while they watch has known pervert and child molester stay with them to help with their pervision gets kick backs on projects like main street he siad was only 20,000,00, sells ray price drugs to make clarksville drug capitol, makes drugs, frivolous law suits to cost tax payers money, files frivolous charges against the

Judge Jim Lovett one of our most respected Judges in his hand writing, holds women hostage with the help of grugs buys Rhonda strippers to have oral sex with and spread diseases while victon is drug controled writes frivolous papers and gave to her lawyer in his hand writing nearly ruining her family for greed, has victom sign power of attorney over to him so he could get her money, tris to have eleven year old boy to tell perverted lies to the Judge so he could get money, sued Judges, Sheriffs Department and others to many to write about and never wins a frivolous law suit but cost tax payers thousands of dollars, etc. I guess their is jsut not enough to convict a really nice guy according to some.Robert McCarver is even furnished a phone by Lesher wonder why? I guess you slimy scumb are right we will never prove a thing on this "ANTICHRIST"."

- "we know where she was at mark lesher getting raped and molested by rhonda who was performing oral sex on her. ROBERT MCCARVER, RHONDA, AND MARK LESHER all know they drugged her. If you wer not on the G.J. how do you know what day it happened, unless you know the exact day it did, so this has to be one of the perverts posting fishing for information!!!!!!!!BEND OVER MIKE RICE."
- 292) "after they raped and molested her I heard mike rice have her an oral douche before she could get away. Thats his job, the clean up man."
- "UNIQUE TOUCH" and Rhonda has a friend in Dallas that is a 'transvestite' Yes this is a fact.Rhonda was laughing about how it was trashing topix. This is how sick the Leshers are, and I am trying to get its address. Its first name is Wynona, but will try to have its last name and address and I will post. Leshers are guilty of rape and think they are above the law and its funny Mark Lesher said D.A. Val Varleys to stupid to convict him 'TRANSVESTITES,' 'RAPIST'< 'CHILD MOLESTERS', 'DRUGS', 'THEIVES', per verts of all kinds, dumps, liquor, greed, these are the most lying perverted bunch I have ever heard of."
- 294) "Why would mark lesher get an attorney for bill and sharla woods of larned kansas, Shannons kid step grand parents? They only see the kids once a year when they go to Mexico to buy drugs. The woods family has a history of child molstors, drug dealers and users. Registered child molester john woods of Lamed kansas and others. All three girls of bill woods lert home by age thirteen due to alcohlic, molesters, drugs this was also testified under oath recounty court house last February. Lesher and woods were trying to have Shannons kids put in foster care, "WHY"? lesher was still trying to control Shannon, and lesher and woods were talking how theywould split Jerry Coyles assets up. Rhonda Lesher, robert mccarver and lacy mccarver took the stand and lied about Jerry. Their testimony conflictd with each other. While leshers had Shannon hooked on drugs; lesher had Shannonn change her will and give him power of attorney over her assets.bill woods and leshers were pushing Shannon to get divorce and told her they could take Jerry to the cleaners. All this while Shannon was brain dead on drugs lesher was giving her. Jerry had no idea where Shannon was beacuse leshers were holding her captive at their ranch, while giving her drugs.mike rice, robert, mccarver, rhonda, lesher and mark lesher were the onlyones that knew where Shannon was, wonder why? There will be tons more when this is in trial. "FACTS" or record."

- 295) "These people molest a mans child and wife and have the gall to brag about it and the dead, whats wrong with you people? Why is Jerry letting them get by with this, sometimes you take action yourself. I hope Jerry has a reason and it is to let justice work, but if it doesn't w should all hope he has plan "B" I know I would."
- "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5 19 min ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge 296) it!|#23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@@:::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"!"
- 297) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an

ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@ :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"!"

- 298) "Why are you so concerned about the little girls name posted, but not the little boy D.J.who was a victom of Mark Lesher?Strange to say the least. Has anyone answered why the Leshers let Robert Mccarver live with them? Also why they held the victom for two weeks and did not call her husband? Why is Mark Lesher trying so hard to bond McCarver out? Why did Mark Lesher hire Mc Carver an attorney, furnish his cell phone and give him money? Why is Mark Lesher allowed to visit McCarver in RRCounty Jail as much as he does? Have anyone heard of an attorney like this?"
- 299) "These Lesher perverts don't care about either, its just a smoke screen to change the topic. Good question.'WHY DON'T YOU LESHER PERVERTS SCREAM ABOUT THE VICTOM D.J. COYEL, OR SHANNON COYELS NAME POSTED? Cocerned about your perverted hero Mark Lesher and his Perverted Oral sex 'HERPIES' wife Rhonda Lesher. What is this slut had give the victom 'HERPIES'."
- 300) "Why was a know criminal living with the Leshers? Why did Mark Lesher not call Jerry? Mark knew him and mooched off him for years as has been posted. Why won't you Lesher supporters tell some facts? You all have a short memory. Leshers and

- McCarvers went to Jail not the victom. Leshers drug buddy Robert McCarver is still in Jail. The G.J. indited them on rape charges, not the victom, their had to be evidence of that would not have happened. I hope all their paid and morless supporters ar there when they go to Jail."
- 301) "The Leshers were hand cuffed and taken to rrcounty jai house. The reason for the arrest is for the sexual assault on Shannon. They were able to bond out on a 100,000 each. But for some even greater news they will be rearrested soon for many other suits filed against them. So to the Leshers "You are not above the LAW" in rrcounty like you thought you were. HA HA HA this ones on you and more to come very soon. LOL, LOL, LOL!!!!!!!!"
- 302) "My name is Shannon Coyel and I am married to Jerry Coyel a very wealthly man in Clarksville Tx that everyone is talking about. I am the lady that MARK Lescher and Rhonda Long did these awful and disguting things to. They are very sick and desperate people. Mark Lescher is a pharmastist and lawyer who makes and sells illegal drugs. He got me hooked on these and nearly ruined my life. I am currently seeking relief from D A office, FBI, State Police and State Bar Ass. Trying to get his license revoked and sent to prison where he belongs. All of these allagations have been filed. And some are of court records. Rhonda Long testified under oath at Clarksville Court House about me being held captive and held at their will at there house for over 2 weeks. If this was not true I would not be opening myself up for a lawsuit by that monster who tried to destroy my life and my familys life just for Jerry's money. My number is listed in Clarksville phone book if any of you have any questions I would be glad to talk about it and even show proof where I have made many documents. Also have a copy of Judge Lovetts file Lescher sent to Austin. He fraudulently wrote himself. This is not about Robert Bridges but is about Lescher trying to get Abbott and Hamiltion into office so he can try and escape these truthful facts like he has always had a fall guy like his ex-wife Linda who went to prison. If you vote Abbott or Hamiliton you surely wont clean up RRCounty."
- 303) ": AFTER THESE WOMEN FOUND OUT LESHER WAS "QUEER" THEY DIVORCED HIM! Rhondas "BUTT TONGUE" keeps Lesher happy! [Quote] Helldog wrote: I am 'QUEER' like Mark Lesher. Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing on and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced january 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously?????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U.S. Department of Justice U.S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group f six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justic charges have been files against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and

NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants.

- 304) "AFTER THESE WOMEN FOUND OUT LESHER WAS "QUEER" THEY DIVORCED HIM! Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!"
- "When these Women found out Mark Lesher was "QUEER" they divirced him! Rhondas "BUTT TONGUE" keeps Lesher happy. Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like

Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another, divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!"

- "LESBIAN', Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher serving drinks at her 'ORGIE BAR'! Wonder if this fello knows she was indicted with 'ROBERT MCCARVER' and Mark Lesher for 'DRUGGING' a woman then trying to Give her an 'PRAL DOUCHE'[sucked her vagina raw] then McCarver and Lesher 'RAPED' the victom. Wonder what his 'FATE' will be?"
- 307) "Lou wrote: Steve wrote: <quoted text> Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at this time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. Divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wived are dead from dugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? lou wrote: Mark Lesher, main person o interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering

and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... "LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE"how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Well I see you are blaming The perverted piece of shit Lesher who I know is Queer! As to how can Mark Lesher not know what Linda Velvin was doing...The same way I know What Robert McCarver, Rhonda[Long]Lesher is doing, Rape, pervision, spreading "HERPIES"! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!"

308) "If you know anyone with information about Rhonda[Long]Lasher, Robert Lynn McCarver or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex or drug activity please contact D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksvillt Texas 75426.####### Mark Lesher sued Jerry Conway five times while he was Sheriff all "FRIVOLOUS"! Mark Lesher had bragged, When Robert Bridges gets in office, I will bleed RR County dry. Lesher said it coust me \$50.00 to file a lawsuit and the county thousands to defend it.####### The D.A. and G.J. have done their Job "TWICE" now lets do ours. lou Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ------ Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department

early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals. ----- Nothing about the landfill, frivolous law suits, just "FACTS" from crime they comitted, "DRUGS", "RAPE", "PERVISION"!!!!!!!Mo re charges to come! Rhonda Linda Velvin Lesher! Ole big mouth Rhonda[long]Lesher said I'm a Long, my brother and us were railroaded,!!"

"AOL 1 min ago @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and 309) "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic

abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude."

- "This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers 310) "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 311) "how can you deny the truth? Rhonda[Long]Lesher, known to be a bysexual and perverted! Robert Lynn McCarver, known criminal, child molester, thief, dope head, dope dealer, convicted and is on bond for 5 different felonies now. Mark Lesher is his lawyer and bondsman. Mark Lesher, frivolous law suits, known pervert, drug dealer, known drunk. These are just a few things in their history, now "RAPE" and "Child Abuse". This 'TRIO OF TRASH' live together, and they, they, I said "THEY" testified to that in court. Why would a friend, "yall said" of Jerrys keep his wife held captive on

- drugs for two weeks and not call him. This was also testified in court by Robert McCarver, Rhonda lied and said three days, and when was caught in the lie, changed her story to I don't know how long Shannon was there or where she went! This is all Court Record, "LOOK" for yourself. This 'TRIO OF TRASH' will go to Jail. There is a lot more evidence you will hear in court."
- 312) "BRILLIANT"!!! What you have posted is the whole truth, and an investigation was ongoing by the F.B.I. and State Police and D.A. office. The F.B.I. want Mark Lesher for several outher crimes, I know people they have Questioned including Jerry Coyel, and Linda Velvin before she died. Mark Lesher gave Jerry Coyel drugs when he was working out at race for life before his back surgery, Human Groth Hormone, Testitrone, Pills, Viagra, deca, trying to sell Jerry these drugs at a discount. Jerry was taking groth hormone, testirone, and deca but nothing else. Jerry said he knew these were prescription drugs and need a prescription to get them. Jerry wanted to be sure there were from a doctor and safe and legal. Jerry saved these drugs for years mark lesher gave him as a sample of what he could get him. "GUESS WHAT"? When the F.B.I. Questioned Jerry and Shannon about what drugs lesher gave Shannon before and after they raped Shannon. Jerry Coyel gave the F.B.I. these ileagal drugs mark had gave him to sample, and they have serial numbers as to where they came from. The dots are being connected and the serial numbers lead to guess who "MARK LESHER"! I have waited for months for the F.B.I. to finally take Lesher down, but they don't get in a hurry. This is just a sample of what Lesher is facing. The rrcounty Sheriff Office has these records."
- 313) "I promise you that want "JUSTICE" it will prevail. "KARMA IS A BITCH" especially when 'PERVERTS' molest the wrong mans family!"
- "NEWS FLASH'---Rhonda[Long]Lesh er is telling the Rotary Club, This is it, no more chanches! Me, Robert McCarver, and my "HERO" "CINCO" Mark Lesher want to teach a class on "RAPE", "CHILD MOLESTING" "DRUGS", "ORGIES", "HERPIES", "AIDS", and "DYNAMITE" Just to begin with. We will teach how lie and twist facts later. We are experienced, we have two Grand Jury indictments, 24 people heard evidence and indicted us! Ok, we messed up on one victim, while I was sucking and biting her vigana giving her and oral douche she woke up, then Mark and McCarver rped her, but after that Mark gave her another oral douche and ate the evidence. We are known as "THE TRIO OF TRASH" and we have proof of our perversion! If you don't let us teach, "FRIVOLOUS LAW SUIT LESHER" will sue. Mark said it cost him \$50.00 to file a law suit but will cost you thousands to defend. Mark has proof, look how much has coust the county. From "THE TRIO OF TRASH"!!!"
- 315) "This is what Perverted "SCUM" can cause! "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case?????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion ,investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would

suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@ :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!!"

"***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"* Perverts stick 316) together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the postconviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of recounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this

picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED "her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"

"REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #1 Wednesday Oct 15 Judged: 2 2 2 317) ***** "FACTS"** Lesher the "FOOL"!***: "PERVERTS and "PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph eaxminer Eric J. Holden of Texas,, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and Prominent advocate for the post -conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sxually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Dpartment Of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently" prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program. "Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures and Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygram screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, Tx Reply>> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #530337 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex... Hellcat Txarkana, AR REPLY >> |Report Abuse | Judge it! #530417 min ago Uh-oh Thanks .Awareness.http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sexual harassment. shtml .Rhonda Lesher 'THREATENS' Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me 'ROBERT MCCARVER', and Mark wants to teach a class on how to 'DRUG' and 'RAPE' a 'VICTOM' 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' 'CHILD MOLESTING' 'ORAL SEX' 'ORGIES' 'HERPIES' and 'DYNAMITE' we have vast 'EXPERIENCE' on! We have proof of our experince! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on the bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCH" sucking and bitting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with theirself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A.. "ROBERT BRIDGS" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sherrif, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though

the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE" 1 Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB"you live with, but it looks good! ..."

- "***** "FACTS"** Lesher the "FOOL"!***: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT 318) FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse | Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of recounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff' Jerry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"
- 319) "That is true, Leshers had Shannon captured and hidden for two weeks while giving her "DRUGS" as testified to in Court by Rhonda and McCarver! While being "DRUGGED" Rhonda gave her an "ORAL DOUCHE" by "BITING" and "SUCKING" on her vagina. Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" were playing with their selves and each outher then "RAPED" Shannon. McCarver has "CONFESSED" this to the DA and Sheriff before Lesher paid \$20,000.00 bond to stop him from talking!"

- "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#76 7 hrs ago ***** "FACTS" ** Lesher the 320) "FOOL"!***: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holdensex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uhoh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holdensexual-harassment. shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"
- 321) "Last time I looked "MORON" this thread is about "THE TRIO OF TRASH" three "PERVERTS" that "RAPED" a helpless woman! Agg Sexual Assault! I do have difficulties with "SCUM" like you and these "PERVERTS", "BUGS"!"
- "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN

out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberatley by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @@@@@@@@@@ :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"!"

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#4344 Sep 18, 2008 Helldog wrote: Iam 323) "OUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 20, 2008 i:23 PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here, I love you that's why i paid \$20,000.00 to bond you out. I know you confessed but we will twist what you said. Don't worry i love you and have a box of viagra and ky jelly, dildoes and two dogs to do us. I will get our valtrex today. Remember darling i love you. Taped by Rhonda[Long]Lesher. @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry

forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse,[beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude.[Quote] Rhond is "HOT"!Look for sparks to fly!"

"Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" and proud! MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 324) 4:33 PM RECORDING CONVERSATION BETWEEN Mark Lesher AND his "LOVER" Robert McCarver RECORD: Robert I am at the house. Rhonda is not here at this very second. I have come back. Got my foot in the door. It is the only way they are going to give me.....Take the restraining order off of you.. Once the divorce comes thru....And we are getting a divorce. Either it is sometime today or tomorrow. If I didn't go with Rhonda into the court room today, Rhonda was going to put me into a mental institution. I worked my way back into the house so I can be around my dogs. Neither me or my dogs wants to be here. I am trying to get my personal belongings, our pictures, your baby book and get all this sh#%. When I get Rhonda back to trusting me I'm going to get the hell out of here. Let Rhonda start trusting me again. I will get my sh#% and I'm going to get both my dogs and we are leaving. When the divorce is final we are not staying with Rhonda. But I had to get back and get my dogs and our dildoes out of this sh#%. Because no one else can get them out. Tell dad to back off and I can get the fu#% out of here. And don't say nothing to nobody and play the game with me for a little bit longer. I am not here to stay. I know how sick and perverted the mother fu#%*@ Rhonda Long is and I am not leaving my dogs here again. Me and my dogs and my dildoes are getting the fu#% away from here as soon as possible. Just act like you didn't hear from me and tell dad to drive safe. Get the fu#% out of the bullshit. So I can get my dogs before Rhonda screws them to death and get out of here. End of Message. Public Record Divorce Hearing #cvo 1534 DPS This "TRIO OF TRASH" are sick "PERVERTS"! @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry

forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh, Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] Your "QUEER" for sure, but you just posted the truth. [Quote] HELL DOG: SO? What adults do in private is there business, try it you might like it! I love anyone going down my dirt road, I am the best at oral sex, I love bloney of any color, the bigger and Jucier the better!"

325) "Reply" |Report Abuse| Judge it! | #23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Rply"|Report Abuse| Judge it! #6414 22 hrs ago |lou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated. Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case???????? The little girl is OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where and ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had turn it over to Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask ... WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not, even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN...WHAT GAIN????? I just got of the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy dos not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. this person insist it (accident) was caused deliberately by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening...that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over the accident THANK YOU RHONDA, MARK and other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are ????????? Such caring and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods) on the Oklahoma family.;;; Remember the story told to the "CPS",!??????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machett, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut cut his head off, missed and cut his rm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers, shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda [McCArver, long] Lsher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not

there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he [Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM 'I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outhers bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT "PURE WHITE TRASH"!"

"Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#7098 5 hrs ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge 326) it!|#10 Wednesday Oct 22 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5178 Thursday Oct 9 Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5 Tuesday Judged: 1 1 1 New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd

that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. ______ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? Can you imagine the diseases this "TRIO OF TRASH" spread? How many more lives will this "TRIO OF TRASH" destroy?"

- 327) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#23 Saturday Nov 8 1 min ago AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#6414 22 hrs ago llou wrote: "THIS WAS ALL STARTED BY MARK AND RHONDA LESHER"! Awareness wrote: Thanks to the LESHERs and the WOODS another family is being persecuted and humiliated Just because they do not like the COYELS. They think this will help their SEXUAL ASSAULT case?????????? The little girl in OKL. that was visiting the COYEL kids and having a sleep over, where an ACCIDENT happened over horse play by KIDS, has NOW turned into a full, BLOWN out of proportion, investigation of attempted murder or malicious assault to commit grave bodily harm. The CPS had to turn it over to the Sheriff and he in turn, turned it over to the STATE for a GRAND JURY decision. I ask WHAT GOOD does this serve? What can anyone gain from this??? If the intent was to make the COYELS look bad, I would suggest that CHILDREN and those not ,even remotely involved in the LESHER struggles, not be USED in this manner. NOW a WHOLE FAMILY is being put through HELL for others gain. BUT I ask AGAIN.... WHAT GAIN????? I just got off the phone with Jerry and he is trying to do all he can to make the proper authorities UNDERSTAND that this was just kids playing and no ill intent was involved. Even the CPS guy does not BELIEVE this has happened this way. But there is someone that KEEPS calling the DA up there and raising heck about the deal. This person insists it (accident) was caused deliberatly by Jerry Coyel and HE should be prosecuted. But the opposite is happening... that little girl and her family is being harrassed and persecuted over an accident. THANK YOU, RHONDA, MARK and the other two vile low life SCUM BAGS, BILL and SHARLA WOODS. WHAT great COMMUNITY PILLARS of SOCIETY they are????????? Such careing and thoughtful people the Leshers are. They are the ones that fetched the DOGS(woods)on the Oklahoma family. @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ :::Remember the story told to the "CPS",! ????????? Morgan Coyel swinging a Machette, trying to "KILL" D.J Coyel, trying to cut his head off, missed and cut his arm, while Jerry Coyel was playing with her "TITTS"! This was the File, Papers shown to Jerry Coyel, told and made up by Bill and Sharla Woods, Mark and Rhonda[McCarver,long]Lesher! After talking with the kids on the camp out the CPS man found out, Jerry was not there, he was hooking up a horse trailer just before dark, with living quarters where he[Jerry] was going to stay at the camp out with the kids! These are the "SLIMEST" "LYING" "PERVERTED" "CHILD MOLESTING" "HELPLESS WOMAN RAPING" "SCUM" I have ever heard of! But then again what else could you expect from people that pay \$20,000.00 Dollars, while holding several outher bonds on a "PERVERT" like "ROBERT MCCARVER" and take him home to live with you!!! "PURE WHITE TRASH"!"
- 328) "The leshers raping and drugging any person is very wrong. Thats what is WRONG!!!!"

- 329) "Hey Rhonda Long Lesher was getting in with Bill and Sharla worth all this?? Guess you thought Shannon would keep her mouth shut but did you really think she would keep her mouth shut when YOU LIED and tried to help Bill a known child raper/beater try and take her kids. Should of thought before you acted. Hope you don't end up like al of Mark's XXXXXXXXXXXXXS???!!!!!!!! Would your HERO do this to you?."
- 330) "So Mark or I mean Pinky how does it feel to have your little pinky fate in the hands of 12 jurors??????? All you had to do is call this lady's husband and say hey look come get your wife instead you feed her drugs, take over her affairs, help her find an attorney, file motion against Lovett, and then think you, Rhonda and Robert could rape her and her not tell. Your only evidence is this so called recording not much to go on is it Pinky?"
- 331) "Helldog: I have oral sex with Rhonda Lesher! @@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking form being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! Quagmire GiDDITY Irving, TX Reply>> @@@@@@@@@@@ I hope they get tried in Irving. I would pay them to be on the jury. This scum would be guarantied three hots & a cot. @@@@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New Indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@ Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney! [Quote] Lou, this information is good to know, but please not so crude. [Quote] You Lesher McCarver 'PERVERTED' posters think residents cain't reed the

- news papers! All but you "FOOLS" know the "TRIO OF TRASH" are the "SICK" Animals that are indicted, were handcuffed and put in Jail. You 'MORONS" fool no one! [Quote] Post something with contex, not your "STUPIDITY"! "ROBERT MCCARVER", Mark Leshers \$20,000.00 "LOVER"! Hahahahahaha LOL"
- "Helldog wrote: This "TRIO OF TRASH" drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the 333) Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@@@Budweiser New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Ouote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"!"
- 334) "# 1--- I did not give him the nick name PINKY ... I just reported that is what RHONDA refers to him as, when describing his ,less than adequate, physical limitations. Rhonda herself stated that--it is well know down at the Unique Touch. I just started using it here because she gave the nickname to him. That and Ol'Cinco, because he is her 5th husband. She too started referring to him as that. # 2--- no I do not think name calling is of High Moral or even Moral standards.... But then again I never said it was. # 3--- I never try to portray myself as GOD or GOD like. # 4--- Those that believe in the Trio's innocence HAve that right and I do not have a problem with that. But those that DEFEND the TRIO for thier behaviour in the way some here do,I do believe they are as bad as the TRIO. In that regard when you will not condemn PERVERTS,SCUM,Bisexuals,Child molesters,thieves and animal sex lovers, when asked ,I figure you must condone those things. # 4--- I really am not worried about PINKY and all his threats of LAWSUITS.... whether he is in PRISON or not. My worries are for the VICTIM and

those that have been hurt and embarrased by the Likes Of the LESHERS. # 5--- I do not put myself or our crew on a pedistal of rightousness. We just tell it like it is. Accept it, or , Don't.... We do not force anyone to believe what we say, we just ask ,that you look and see for yourself. So far we have been SPOT ON!!!!!! DENY that!!!!!! # 6---It was not us that SEXUALLY ASSAULTED A LADY. The Leshers and Robert McCarver are the ones that were INDICTED by TWO GRAND JURIES of peole from that area,where the Leshers have an OVERWHELMING amount of SUPPORT and BELIEVERS of thier innocence.... Is that not TRUE????"

- "FACTS" you can verify!. @@@@@@@@@@ This "TRIO OF TRASH" 335) drugged and "RAPED" a lady at the Leshers "COMPOUND"! While the victom was waking from being druged with a "DATE RAPE DRUG" Rhonda Lesher was sucking and biting the victims vagina, giving her an oral "DOUCHE". Then unable to move Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver "RAPED" the victim! The earlier post tell about the "CONFESSION" of guilt by Robert McCarver that the Lawyers want thrown out. It was called a conference with Sheriff Reed and D.A. Val Varley. Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out to try and keep McCarver from talking, but too late McCarver had "CONFESSED"! @@@@@@@@@ Budweiser New indictments in Lesher. McCarver case by Bill Hankins ^^^^^^^ ^^^^ **McCarver** confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A.Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out![Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver @@@@@@@@@@@Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]! [5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controlled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with rrcounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- "Helldog wrote: "TRIO OF TRASH"! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one 336) he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another, divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK,

337) "AFTER THESE WOMEN FOUND OUT LESHER WAS "QUEER" THEY DIVORCED HIM! Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!!"

- "***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick 338) together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the postconviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. Shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff' JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good!"
- "ROBERT MCCARVER" a polygraph test! For the right price you can get the results you want. Lesher is a personal friend of holden. Leshers Lawers filed a motion for the results to be heard in court at there trial. The Judge not only said no, but he did not want holden in his court room, a known "PERVERT" that "SLIMY" lawyers use to help "CHILD MOLESTERS" and "RAPIST" try and get out of jail. The Judge said if Lesher or his Lawyers tried any more "CRAP" like this he would hold them in contempt! The Judge said I have no time for games in my court room, espically in a case with this much "PERVISION"! The Judge, like the 2 Grand Juries that indicted Lesher and McCarver has seen and heard all witness testimony, McCarvers confession Lesher want's thrown out and overwhelming evidence of their guilt. I am sure the Judge has an opinion of their

Guilt! Lou AOL 4 min ago Residents of Collin County, Please "THINK"! Why did Robert McCarver a known "CHILD MOLESTER", "DRUG DEALER", Pervert along with Mark Lesher a known "PERVERT" "DRUG DEALER" want a change of venue to your county? The reason is all in rrcounty know all about this "SCUMB" and have indicted them twice, two different Grand Juries, 24 people. These "CRIMINAL PERVERTS" think residents of Collin county will be ignorant as to the history of these "PERVERTS"! The "VICTOM" did not ask for a change of "VENUE", the "CRIMINALS" did. Don't let this "PERVERTED SCUM" insult your intelligence! "PLEASE"!!!"

- 340) "The Lesher NORMAL is RAPE... and say it is not. WHO is known for their SEXCAPADES all over RED RIVER??? Who has call girls come to their room when out of town, to perform sex with his wife,Rhonda. All known real well and long before any TOPIX talk. The only accusations to be made about JC has come from this site from the followers. The WOODS and Leshers made all that stuff up . AND the followers all bought it... Since ,they cannot think for themselves the followers began the attacks on JC and all his family only after the ASSAULT charges came to light."
- "Let's look at this from a rational point of view. No one says or thinks that these three people started out with RAPE on their minds(i would assume anyway). There were other reasons and factors in motion, then. But when an opportunity presented itself to the SELF GRATIFYING desires of at least one of the three ,it was overwhelming and and they took full advantage of it. In their minds they thought it was a harmless act of self fullfillment. I am sure they thought the victim would be alright with it, afterwards. After all it was just a little sex fun. WHAT the HECK???? Rhonda is known fro her forward advances towards the women she wants. She is KNOWN for her out right SEXUAL desires for women. So she recruited the help of the boys to fullfill that desire to perform sex with SC. Then not to be left out the BOYS joined in.. ALL in fun and ,I am sure they felt that the Victim would go along, especially if they helped her to be comfortable with a little help mfrom some cool drugs.. Just a little sex orgy that the LESHERS are used to having with friends, all the time. The BIG problem is that the VICTIM did not want to do it willingly. And had made it clear that it was not her desire to do so... But the TRIO thought she would actually be ok with it after it was done. WRONG. NO CONSENT.....off to the pen they should be sent."
- "Please remember the victim had no history until Lesher got hooked on drugs. There will be a child to testify who gave her the drugs, and tried to make him lie!"
- 343) "SEE, Mark had already been informed that she could have what she wanted.. Money was not a problem.... Mark did not want her get out of the DIVORCE.. He saw the opportunity to get his hands on JC's money... THUS the POWER OF ATTY. he had her sign. Not to mention the WILL form he ,also had her sign. AH, the perfect plan..... But the SELF SATISFYING sexual desires of RHONDA got in the way and then the SEXUAL ASSAULT took place and SC had to get away from them."
- 344) "BECAUSE they committed the crime of rape.... and they are guilty as hell... just as McCarver tells it. And the PICTURE you speak of ...lol... is that of the girl cutting JC.s hair ... as she does on many occasions.. she likes to do it.... OOOOOOOOO hhhh aoooo SO BAD. lol"

- 345) "Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] hahhhaahhaha "TOAST"hahahhhahahha ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! hahahaha[Quote] White "PERVERTED" Trash!!!!!!"
- 346) "lie buster wrote: Mark Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher had this "PERVERT" a friend give them their test! "DUH" This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which

specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holden-sex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holden-sexual-harassment. Shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff' JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "FOOLS"!"

"Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't 347) remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously??????? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting

- 348) "Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and marring another. divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Is "ROBERT MCCARVER" his new \$20,000.00 dollar "LOVER"??? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... ""LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!! Collin County slaughter"
- 349) "Yes 10 days to VICTORY and a safer RED RIVER CO. The LESHER TRIO of women RAPISTS will be headed for the TEXAS DEPT. of CORRECTIONS. 1st stop will be DIAGNOSTICS UNIT in BEAUTIFUL downtown HUNTSVILLE, TX."

- 350) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#11334 Saturday Dec 27 lie buster wrote: Mark Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher had this "PERVERT" a friend give them their test! "DUH" This was another "SCHEEM Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ***** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders Awareness Fort Worth, TX Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5303 37 min ago http://antipolygraph.org/documents/holdensex ... Hellcat Texarkana, AR Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#5304 17 min ago Uh-oh. Thanks, Awareness. Awareness wrote: http://antipolygraph.org/docum ents/holdensexual-harassment. Shtml Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of rrcounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "FOOLS"!"
- 351) "AWARENESS" is "CORRECT" and "TRUTHFUL" and you "PERVERTED" slime can't stand truth or facts! So you "ROBERT MCCARVER", Lesher "LYING SCUM" attack trying to look intelligent. Look in the mirror "FOOLS" and you will see a Broke, "LOSER" with no life only "HERPIES" and "AIDS" and "CHILD MOLESTING" "WOMEN RAPERS" for friends. "BUGS" like yourself!!!!!!!!!

- hahhahahahaha "LOSERS" hahahhahaha CHO-CHOooooooooo OOOooooooo OOOooooooo
- 353) "Mark Lesher, "ROBERT MCCARVER and Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher had this "PERVERT" a friend give them their test! "DUH" This was another "SCHEEM' Mark Lesher "CONCOCKED" like the "TEORIST THREAT" and Kenny ruffed up! Lesher knows the "TRIO OF TRASH" are in serious trouble and grabbing for straws! ****** "FACTS"**: "PERVERT" and 'PERVERT FRIEND"** Perverts stick together! In July 1999, polygraph examiner Eric J. Holden of Texas, a past president of the American Polygraph Association and prominent advocate for the post-conviction polygraph screening of sex offenders, was accused of sexually harassing a female student at the Texas Department of Public Safety Polygraph School. The complaint was sustained and the Texas Department of Public Safety issued an interoffice memorandum announcing that Mr. Holden had been "permanently prohibited from instructing in any Department sponsored training or instructional program." Holden owns and operates Behavioral Measures & Forensic Services Southwest, Inc., which specializes in the post-conviction polygraph screening of registered sex offenders. Rhonda Lesher "THREATENS" Rotary Club! Rhonda [McCarver Long]Lesher tells Rotary club members, Me "ROBERT MCCARVER", and Mark wants to teach a class on how to "DRUG" and "RAPE" a "VICTOM" "DRUGS" "RAPE" "CHILD MOLESTING" "ORAL SEX" "ORGIES" "HERPIES" and "DYNAMITE" we have vast "EXPERIENCE" on! We have proof of our experience! Two different Grand Juries, 24 residents of recounty indicted us on Agg. Sexual Assault. Robert McCarver is on bond for "CHILD MOLESTING", "DRUGS", "RAPE" 51 sticks of "DYNAMITE" and is a known "PERVERT" like us! It is well known we own and operate a "ORGIE BAR", this picture "POSTED" shows it! Robert McCarver lives with us and we practice every nite! We messed up only one time, after we drugged a "VICTOM" I was giving her an "ORAL DOUCHE" sucking and biting her "VAGINA" and she woke up! But Mark Lesher and Robert McCarver after playing with thierself and each outher "RAPED" her anyway! If you don't Let us teach, my husband known as "FRIVOLOUS LAWSUIT LESHER" will sue! You know Mark has sued the Sheriff Office, Judges, D.A. "ROBERT BRIDGES" the new Sheriff" JErry Conway the old Sheriff, and will "SUE" you! This is your last chance!!! Lou Tamaroa, IL 1 min ago Oh, we also want to teach a class on "LYING" on a Lie Dector test! Mark has "DRUGS" you take and go to his friends place and answer two questions yes or no and then post it on topix. Most people are ignorant and believe what you post, even though the Grand Jury has seen overwhealming evidence against you. "TWICE"! Get a few of your "PERVERTED" friends and you post it! It don't change the evidence, or the "SCUMB" you live with, but it looks good! "FOOLS"!"
- 354) "what wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! devils advocate wrote: Helldog wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! Thank you, I couldn't remember which one he was married to at the time. She was married to Murray Mark Lesher. Married 31 December 1979 divorced 22 January 1991 He was also married to Ardyss W Wood married 30 Jan 1970 divorced 8 June 1978 Kathie L Kyle married 26 Apr 1991 divorced 14 October 1996 Steve wrote: Looks like he didn't wait long between divorcing one and

marring another, divorced June 1978 married December 1979 divorced January 1991 married April 1991 divorced 1996 and I'd bet he was remarried again within 6 months How many wives are dead from drugs and disease, or mysteriously???????? Mark Lesher is "QUEER" why does he marry? Mark Lesher, main person of interest! East Texas Health Care Arrests U. S. Department of Justice U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Texas FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Date: March 11, 2004 (Texarkana, Texas) A group of six Texarkana podiatrists, and a registered nurse have been indicted on charges of federal racketeering and health care fraud. Additional obstruction of justice charges have been filed against one of the podiatrists and two of his assistants. A federal Grand Jury in Sherman has returned a 134-count indictment naming JAMES NAPLES, FREDERICK DAY, GLENN FEEBACK, PHILIP HAHN, GREGG PETTY, JOHN WHITE, LINDA VELVIN, CYNTHIA CAPPS, SHANNON RICH, and NEW BOSTON GENERAL HOSPITAL as defendants. http://iguardllc.org/corp/newsevents/pressrel ... "LINDA VELVIN" was Mark Leshers common Law Wife, Lesher let her take the rap then moved Rhonda[long]Lesher in. three Deaths has helped Mark Lesher keep the FBI from putting him away. Lesher used Linda Velvin then tossed her aside when the FBI got hot on him. Now "RAPE" how can anyone think this slime ain't guilty? Linda Velvin was Mark Leshers last fall guy, like Robert McCarver is now!!!!!!!! How could Mark Lesher claim he had no knowledge of what Linda Velvin was doing when she was his Common Law wife for years!!!!!!!! Linda Joice Velvin, her son, and a doctor have all died. Mark Lesher the "ANTICHRIST" has had the F.B.I. after him but just could not get a break. Clarksville D.A. Val Varley will be the one to end this scumbs rain of "GREED" "PERVISION" and other moroless acts! Thank "GOD" for D.A. VAL VARLEY"!!!!!!!! "FACTS" :::::::::Why Don't we talk about something relevant!!!!!!!Quote] Now two ex of each have died! "DISEASE INFESTED SCUM"![Quote] "SCUM"![Quote] hahhhaahhaha "TOAST"hahahhhahahha ha[Quote] Don't forget the "FEMALE HERSHIES"! hahahaha[Quote] White "PERVERTED" Trash!!!!!! "THEY WATCHED MY EVERY MOVE"!!! hahha CHO-CHOooooooo "SCUM"!"

- 355) "EXCUSE me ,here.. NOP we never said she was KIDNAPPED, never,,, as we have posted many times we said she was not let to leave.....never said kidnapped,,, I did once say that the GJ once thought about adding those charges but didn't....NEVER said Leshers were CHILDMOLESTERS,, said they were harboring a suspected child molester in RED and some of his Family members WERE IN FACT convicted of such. As for diseases ,, yes ... RHONDA is in fact a carrier of highly contagious, GENITAL HERPIES... and some of us eluded to the possiblility of the Leshers being exposed to HIV, because of the MANY and multiple se partners they shere with each other on a regular basis. THAT'S WHAT WE SAID...."
- "Mark and Rhonda Lesher are sexual deviants and sexual predators who deserve chemical castration. They are guilty of not only their original crimes, but also of perjury. The proof is right there for all to see. That they were found innocent is an affront to the cause of justice everywhere. I encourage the entire world to ostracize them. Don't employ them, don't do business with them, shun them, refuse to lend them money, foreclose on their debts, work to ruin them financially and personally, call them at all hours to let them know they are worthless human beings, and tell all your friends that these folks are criminals who should be in prison."

- "Woah. How could Lesher's wife rape the woman? How sad. Lesher is an attorney.., but he is maleducated. and so is his wife for doing such terrible thing."
- "If you know anyone with information about Rhonda[Long]Lasher, Robert Lynn 358) McCarver or Mark Leshers "ANOMALLY" sex or drug activity please contact D.A. Val Varley 400 N. Walnut Clarksvillt Texas 75426.######## Mark Lesher sued Jerry Conway five times while he was Sheriff all "FRIVOLOUS"! Mark Lesher had bragged, When Robert Bridges gets in office, I will bleed RR County dry. Lesher said it coust me \$50.00 to file a lawsuit an the county thousands to defend it.####### The D.A. and G.J. have done their Job "TWICE" now lets do ours. lou Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ------ Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals. ----- Nothing about the landfill, frivolous law suits, just "FACTS" from crime they comitted, "DRUGS", "RAPE", "PERVISION"!!!!!!Mo re charges to come! Rhonda Linda Velvin Lesher! Ole big mouth Rhonda[long]Lesher said I'm a Long, my brother and us were railroaded.!!"

359) "New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahalololololo [Quote] With no dog in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue.

Where there is smoke, their is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

""Please reed "ROBERT MCCARVERS" confession and make up your own 360) mind! We want justice for the "CRIMINAL" behavior of these "PERVERTS" TRASH"****** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid

anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"?"

"Cutter Bill wrote: I am "QUEER" like Mark Lesher! After getting "INFESTED" 361) with "DISEASES"! From Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher and Mark Lesher two African American went to leshers office! Tim Shimpock knows the details! "WHITE PERVER TRASH" Mark Lesher {JAMES BOND} wrote this "LIE"! "MORON" or What????? Giguere Local attorney Mark Lesher, who along with his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver are facing aggravated sexual assault charges, received a death threat late last month. According to Mark Lesher, two African American males walked into his Clarksville law office and physically assaulted Kenny Mitchell, Lesher's legal assistant, the afternoon of Nov. 19 to send a message to Lesher. According to Mark Lesher, the two men grabbed Mitchell, threw him against the wall and said, "Tell him (Mark Lesher) to back off or we will kill him". Mitchell confirmed the report and declined further comment. Both Mark and Rhonda Lesher wouldn't specify what the men were talking about, but believes who could be behind the threat. Both the Leshers and McCarver are accused of sexually assaulting a Red River County woman in July 2007. Mark Lesher said he was threatened around July of last year by the husband of the alleged victim over sexual assault allegations on his step daughter. Rhonda Lesher said at the time the alleged victim was staying with the Leshers and had asked Mark Lesher for help in divorce proceedings, but would later return to her current husband. "He came into my office by himself uninvited and said not to file on him or else I would get it," Mark Lesher said. Clarksville Police Chief Brandon Harbison said no arrests have been made and the case is still under investigation. According to the police report, the two men are approximately in their 20's and drove off in a single cab white pickup truck. "We haven't been able to identify the two individuals and we don't have any leads off the streets," Harbison said. Mark Lesher said Mitchell was not physically injured, but was pretty shaken. Lesher said the men were not wearing masks and described one man as around six feet tall and the other about four inches shorter. "It was a terrorist type threat," Lesher said. "They pushed him up against the wall pretty hard. He was so shook up that he couldn't call the police." Local attorney rec @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@ You think this Ain't a crock of "SH*T"?... Lesher was walking back to his office from a court hearing in Clarksville with his client and arrived back at around 12:23 p.m. Lesher believes the men entered the office around 12:20 p.m. "We recessed around 12:15 p.m.," Lesher said."There had to have been someone in that courtroom who knew I was returning to my office and was studying my every move." Rhonda Lesher said nobody has threatened her nor McCarver, but has taken extra precaution during her business hours by locking her back door and having friends and colleagues stand by her front door. "GOLDFINGER"!!! hahhahahah [Quote] "PINKY, my husband is "PERVERTED" and "LIES" alot! Our \$20,000.00 "LOVER" "ROBERT MCCARVER" who lives with us is in love with "MIKE RICE" and we are Jealous. We paid the money to get McCarver out of Jail and they won't let us "WATCH"!!! hahhahahhahahha LOSERS" hahhahhahahha Bill and Sharla Woods will! Hahhahhaha Now ole Mc Lesher has "KENNY" lying and in trouble. The Authorities are very suspicious. Lesher is a "FOOL" drounding them all There was someone outside next door at Agriland and they seen no one!!!!!!!! No white truck, "NO ONE"! They were watching my every move! "PRICELESS"! hahhahahahahahaha "FOOL" hahahhahahahahaha [Quote] Do you believe in

362) "Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#2 5 min ago Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#45 Monday Dec 1 "LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT THIS!!! hahhahaha ******** "TOAST" ****** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@ "FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and

363) "Reply>> lReport Abuse lJudge it!l#916 Thursday Jul 24 Judged: 1 1 1 1 Logan, IL Reply>> lReport Abusel lJudge it!l#626 34 min ago [QUOTE who Paris News] Attorney arrested on sexual assault charges By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published April 23, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Acting on a sealed indictment, Red River County Sheriff's deputies arrested prominent attorney Mark Lesher, 62, Tuesday on charges of sexual assault. His wife, Rhonda, 49, also was arrested at a business in Clarksville and charged with the same sexual assault offense. The charges stemmed from a July 26, 2007, alleged encounter with a 36-year-old woman at the Lesher residence in Red River County. The woman told officials she was raped by both Lesher and his wife. Both Lesher and his wife were released on \$100,000 bonds shortly after the arrests. Lesher, who is well known in northeast Texas as a defense attorney, operates offices both in Clarksville and Texarkana. A Red River County grand jury met Friday to hear evidence of the alleged offense and issued the sealed indictments. Lesher was detained and arrested in Avery. The charges against Lesher and his wife are second degree felonies. Lesher could not be reached for comment. The Leshers are known to have sex with animals, deal drugs, orgies, rape, pervision, and spread Herpies. ----- Now they have the second indictment raising the criminals crime to a first degree felony, agivated sexual assault. Twenty four Grand Jury members have now heard hard evidence and indicted. [/QUOTE] ilbedipt Fort Worth, TX Reply>> lReport Abusel lJudge it!l#627 23 min ago Grand Jury upgrades indictments By Bill Hankins The Paris News Published July 17, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Red River County attorney Mark Lesher and his wife, Rhonda, turned themselves in to the county sheriff's department early today after new indictments were handed down against them. The Leshers and Robert McCarver had been facing sexual assault charges in earlier indictments handed down by a Red River County grand jury. Tuesday, another grand jury handed down upgraded indictments of aggravated sexual assault against the Leshers and McCarver, stemming from the same alleged incident in July of 2007 at the Lesher home, whis was confirmed by Robert McCarver. The new indictments upgraded the alleged offenses from a second degree felony to a first degree felony. A Red River County woman told grand jurors she was raped during that 2007 incident, and had witness testimony. In June, the three faced arraignment in 102nd District Court in Clarksville on the earlier charges before visiting judge Richard Mays. All three offered "not guilty" pleas before a packed courtroom that were paid by Leshers to be there. In both indictments, the grand juries returned sealed indictments. All indited. The Leshers were released on \$100,000 bond after the original indictments. McCarver remained in Red River County jail and continues giving evidence on the criminals Mark and Rhonda Lesher. After their surrender today, the Leshers were being processed at the Red River County jail with the rest of the criminals. ----- Nothing about the landfill, frivolous law suits, just 'FACTS' from crime they comitted, 'DRUGS', 'RAPE', 'PERVISION'!!!!!!Mo re charges to come!!!!!!!# Rhonda Linda Velvin Lesher!!!!!!! Ole big mouth Rhonda[long]Lesher said I'm a Long, my brother and us were railroaded, 'DRUGS' 'RAPE' 'FRIVOLOUS LAW SUITS' is our

business, the victom cain't say what we did to her we had her drugged, we are innocent!!!!!!! 'HERE ARE THE REAL CRIMINALS AND THE TOPIC'

""LESHER OR HIS PERVERTED "FOLLOWERS" CAIN"T LIE ABOUT 364) THIS!!! hahhahaha ******* "TOAST" ****** New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying:"Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. @@@@@@@@@@@@"FACTS"! Rhonda[McCarver]Lesher gave the Lady an oral "DOUCHE" "SUCKING" and "BITING" her Vagina! Then after playing with thier self and each outher Mark Lesher and "ROBERT MCCARVER" raped her! _____ McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. [Quote] Is this Lawyer a

""TRIO OF TRASH" face "LIFE SENTENCE"! New indictments in Lesher, 365) McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News Published September 5, 2008 CLARKSVILLE — Attorney Mark Lesher, his wife Rhonda and Robert McCarver were arraigned once more in a Red River County Courtroom Thursday, this time on charges of aggravated sexual assault, a step up from the original indictments. The second arraignment came after the first set of indictments were dismissed, and Red River District Attorney Val Varley took the case back to a second grand jury to obtain new indictments. Attorneys for each of the three defendants served notice they will bombard the court with motions in the defense of their clients. Visiting Judge Richard Mays of Dallas faced decisions on more than 40 motions from attorneys Jeff Harrelson, who represents Rhonda Lesher; Rhonda Curry, who represents Mark Lesher, and Craig Henry, who represents McCarver. McCarver's attorney was the most prolific of the motion makers. His motions called for everything from quashing the indictment to full written documents of all interviews and interrogations of defendants and witnesses in the case. Henry also asked for videotapes conversations made during the investigation. He also asked the judge to resolve an issue brought up in the first arraignment, when Varley had asked Henry be disqualified from the case because of his association with defendant Mark Lesher. Henry asked that all interviews and interrogations in the trial be transcribed into written documents and made available to his defendant. Attorneys for the other two defendants followed suit, asking the same be provided their clients. Attorneys and the judge continuously referred to law books to resolve the arguments on motions. The judge took the motions under advisement, then turned to trial scheduling decisions. The attorneys asked for one trial of all three defendants, but all are busy with other cases and finding a common time to set the trial resulted in a scheduling dilemma. Then there is the motion for change of venue. "We could go through a lengthy hearing on a change of venue," Mays said. "It could be to Collin County, Bowie County or some other county." The issue was not resolved Thursday. Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." @@@@@@@@@@@@ ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." @@@@@@@@@@@@^^^^^^^ "I will be happy to sign any order you two guys can agree on," Mays said. Both Harrelson and Curry followed Henry in filing motions asking for much of the same. Curry filed more than 10 motions, Harrelson seven. "I asked for all the same things, but I put most of them in one motion," Harrelson said. The courtroom was full, but not the standing room crowd that appeared at the first arraignment. There were no unusual activities in the courtroom like those in the first arraignment, when the bailiff went around the room collecting pocketknives and weapons. McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh.[Quote] Is this Lawyer a Joke or "WHAT"? hahahahalololololo [Quote] With no dog in this race, Just reed about the consultation between law enforcement and McCarver! This smells to high Heaven! If

what McCarver said had no merit, the lawyers would not have addressed this issue. Where there is smoke, there is a fire, and this is red hot. I do agree Collin County residents have no mercy."

- "New indictments in Lesher, McCarver case by Bill Hankins The Paris News 366) Published September 5, 2008 Henry, whose 25 motions took up most of the activity in the courtroom, asked the court "strike illegally obtained evidence." ^^^^^^ The evidence he referred to was what he called consultation between Varley and the Red River County Sheriff during the sheriff's interview with McCarver. He questioned the legality of that consultation and made a second motion to dismiss the case against McCarver. Henry also asked the judge to forbid anyone from contacting his client without his consent. Varley objected to the motion, saying: "Police might engage McCarver in relation to other unrelated cases." ^^^^^^ _McCarver confessed to their guilt! Henry forbid anyone from talking to his client, what a laugh. Robert McCarver was making a deal with the D.A. Val Varley confessing their guilt before Mark Lesher paid \$20.000.00 to bond McCarver out. The trios lawyers found out in court McCarver had confessed. Now they want his confession thrown out! [Quote] "CRIMINAL TRIO OF TRASH"!!!!!!!! With all McCarvers outher crimes the Sheriff has to talk with McCarver! lou Logan, IL Reply » |Report Abuse |Judge it!|#86 15 min ago lou wrote: Robert Lynn McCarver, outher charges [1] Agg. Sexual Assault! [2] Drugs, and Drug paranafilia! [3] Child Molesting! With family history of same! [4] Domestic abuse, [beating his wife]![5] Child endagerment, shooting in a car full of kids, trying to kill his wife. [6] Growing marijuana! [7] Arson! [8] Manufacturing controled substance! [9] Caught with 51 sticks of dynamite Mark Lesher got him, to blow up Clarksville TX. [10] Theft! [11] Poching! You can check with recounty Sheriff office to verify. The Leshers live with this "CRIMINAL" pervert at their "COMPOUND"! A "ROPE" is what McCarver needs, not an Attorney!"
- 4368. These statements involved a private matter.
- 4369. Alternatively, they involved a public matter.
- 4370. The statements referred to Plaintiff by name and/or indirectly.
- 4371. The statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct.
- 4372. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by innuendo.
- 4373. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of sexual misconduct by implication.
- 4374. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they unambiguously accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.

- 4375. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by innuendo.
- 4376. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were defamatory because they accused the Plaintiffs of committing a crime by implication.
- 4377. The statements were false because Plaintiffs did not commit the crime(s) that they were accused of committing.
- 4378. Additionally and/or alternatively, the statements were false because Plaintiffs did not engage in said sexual misconduct.
- 4379. Defendant Shannon Coyel is strictly liable because her knowingly false allegation foreseeably lead to these further allegations and they concern a private issue and private Plaintiffs.
- 4380. Defendant's false statements caused injury to Plaintiffs.
- 4381. Plaintiffs seek unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 4382. Plaintiffs also seek nominal damages.
- 4383. Exemplary damages. Plaintiff's injury resulted from defendant's malice, which entitles Plaintiffs to exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code section 41.003(a). More specifically, Plaintiffs are private figures, the allegations concern private matters, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendant acted with malice as it is defined under Texas law. Alternatively, in the event that the Court determines that the issues are public, Defendants acted with negligence, Plaintiffs incurred actual damages, and the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages. Alternatively, if the court finds that the Plaintiffs are public figures and the issues themselves are public, the Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages because the Defendants acted with the requisite actual malice (under federal law) and malice (under Texas law) necessary to support a finding of exemplary damages.

Counts 2794-3159 - Defamation per se

- 4384. The written statement(s) described in Counts 2428-2793 were defamatory per se under the common law because they falsely accused the Plaintiff of committing a crime.
- 4385. Further, the written statement(s) described in Counts 2428-2793 were defamatory per se under the common law because Defendant falsely accused the Plaintiff of sexual misconduct
- 4386. These defamatory statement(s) require no proof of injurious character because they were obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff(s).

Counts 3160- 3525 - Libel per se

- 4387. The written statement(s) described in Count 2428-2793 were libelous per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001 insofar as they injured Plaintiffs' respective reputations and exposed Plaintiffs to public hatred, contempt, ridicule, and/or financial injury.
- 4388. Further, the written statement(s) described in Counts 2428-2793 were libelous per se as defined by the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 73.001 insofar as they impeached Plaintiffs' honesty, integrity, virtue, and/or reputation.
- 4389. The defamatory statement(s) requires no proof of its injurious character because they were obviously hurtful to the Plaintiff

Jury Demand

4390. Plaintiffs demand a jury trial and tenders the appropriate fee with this petition.

Request for Disclosure

4391. Under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194, Plaintiffs requests that Defendants disclose with 50 days of the service of this request, the information or material described in Rule 194.2

Prayer

- 4392. For these reasons, Plaintiffs ask that the court issue citation for Defendants to appear and answer, and that Plaintiffs be awarded a judgment against Defendants for the following:
 - 1. Actual damages;
 - 2. Special damages;
 - 3. Nominal damages;
 - 4. Exemplary damages;
 - 5. Prejudgment interest;
 - 6. Postjudgment interest;
 - 7. Court costs; and
 - 8. All other relief to which Plaintiffs are entitled.
 - 9. Plaintiffs further respectfully request they be afforded all due expediency within the discretion of this Honorable court to facilitate the preservation of evidence, to demonstrate that such unconscionable conduct will not be tolerated in a cilivlized society, and to ensure that justice may be served.

WILLIAM PIERATT DEMOND

State Bar No. 24058931

GEOFFREY S. CONNOR

State Bar No. 04702650

MEAGAN HASSAN

State Bar No. 24065385

Connor & Demond, PLLC

701 Brazos Street

Suite 500

Austin, Texas 78701

Telephone: (512) 917.2111

Telecopier: (512) 519.2495

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS MARK AND RHONDA LESHER