	Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH -GWF Document 85 Filed	03/29/11 Page 1 of 3			
1	LAURENCE F. PULGRAM (CA State Bar No. 115163) ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) lpulgram@fenwick.com				
2	CLIFFORD C. WEBB (CA State Bar No. 260885) (pro hac vice) cwebb@fenwick.com				
3	FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor				
4	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300				
5	Facsimile: (415) 281-1350				
6	KURT OPSAHL (CA State Bar No. 191303) ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) kurt@eff.org				
7	CORYNNE MCSHERRY (CA State Bar No. 221504) (pro hac vice) corynne@eff.org				
8	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 454 Shotwell Street				
9	San Francisco, California 94110 Telephone: (415) 436-9333				
10	Facsimile: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993				
11	CHAD BOWERS (NV State Bar No. 7283) bowers@lawyer.com				
12	CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD 3202 West Charleston Boulevard				
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 457-1001				
14	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant				
15	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, and Defendant DAVID ALLEN				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE DISTRICT OF N				
17	FOR THE DISTRICT OF N	LVADA			
18	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	Case No. 10-01356-RLH (GWF)			
19	Plaintiff, v.	DEFENDANTS' REQUEST			
20	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of	TO UNSEAL EXHIBIT A TO PULGRAM DECLARATION			
21	Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual,	AND RELATED FILINGS [DKT NOS. 74, 79]			
22	Defendants.	[ <b>DXI NOS</b> . <b>/4</b> , <i>/7</i> ]			
23	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company,				
24	Counterclaimant,				
25	V.				
26	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, and STEPHENS MEDIA LLC, a Nevada limited-liability				
27	company,				
28	Counterdefendants.				
	REQUEST TO UNSEAL	CASE NO. 2:10-CV-01356-RLH (GWF)			

	Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH -GWF Document 85 Filed 03/29/11 Page 2 of 3			
1	REQUEST TO UNSEAL			
2	On March 8, 2011, this Court ordered that Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum			
2	Addressing Recently Produced Evidence Relevant to Pending Motions (Dkt. 74) and			
	accompanying documents be temporarily placed under seal, but also provided that:			
4				
5	within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order, (i) the parties shall file a stipulation as to which portions of said Exhibit A and the Supplemental Memorandum shall remain under seal or, (ii) if no stipulation is reached by the parties, Counterdefendants Stephens			
6				
7	Media, LLC and Righthaven, LLC shall file with the Court their justification for retaining Exhibit A under seal, with any Reply			
8	thereto by Defendants to be filed within seven days thereafter.			
9	Order Granting Defendants' Conditional Motion to File Documents Under Seal (Dkt. 75)			
10	("March 8 Order") at 2.			
11	As this Court knows, the documents were placed under seal only because they were			
12	designated "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" by Stephens Media LLC ("Stephens Media")			
13	under the Stipulated Protective Order. On March 9, 2011, Defendants asked Counterdefendants			
14	Stephens Media and Righthaven LLC ("Righthaven") to withdraw the designation or modify it to			
15	limited portions of the document or advise that they refused to do so. See Declaration of Clifford			
16	Webb in Support of Defendants' Request to Unseal ("Webb Decl.") ¶ 3. Defendants also notified			
17	Counterdefendants' counsel that they were willing to attempt to stipulate to redaction of any			
18	appropriately limited portion. Id. Later that day, counsel for Stephens Media and Righthaven			
19	refused to lift the designation on Exhibit A. Id. $\P$ 4. Since then, neither Righthaven nor Stephens			
20	Media have proposed redactions or sought a stipulated resolution. Id. Accordingly, Defendants			
21	hereby notify the Court that no stipulation was reached by the parties.			
22	Therefore, pursuant to this Court's March 8, 2011 Order, Righthaven and Stephens Media			
23	were required to "file with the Court their justification for retaining Exhibit A under seal" within			
24	fourteen days of the March 8 Order. Dkt. 75. As of March 29, 2011, 21 days from the date of			
25	this Court's order, the due date for Defendants' reply, neither Stephens Media nor Righthaven			
26	have filed with the Court any justification for retaining any documents under seal.			
27	Accordingly, by failing to oppose the unsealing, Stephens Media and Righthaven have			
28	failed to provide the specific, articulable facts necessary to meet their burden of showing			
	REQUEST TO UNSEAL 1 CASE NO. 2:10-CV-01356-RLH (GWF)			

## Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH -GWF Document 85 Filed 03/29/11 Page 3 of 3

1	compelling reasons for overriding the general right to inspect and copy judicial records and				
2	documents. <sup>1</sup> See also Local Rule 7-2(d) ("The failure of an opposing party to file points and				
3	authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion.").				
4	CONCLUSION				
5	For the reasons stated above, Defendants respectfully request that this Court unseal				
6	(i) Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Memorandum Addressing Recently				
7	Produced Evidence Relevant to Pending Motions (Dkt. 74); (ii) Defendants' Supplemental				
8	Memorandum Addressing Recently Produced Evidence Relevant To Pending Motions				
9	(Dkts. 74 & 79); (iii) Declaration of Laurence Pulgram (Dkts. 74 & 79); and (iv) Exhibit A to				
10	Declaration of Laurence Pulgram (Dkts. 74 & 79).				
11	Dated: March 29, 2010 FENWICK & WEST LLP				
12					
13	By: /s/ Laurence F. Pulgram				
14	LAURENCE F. PULGRAM, ESQ				
15	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimant DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, and Defendant DAVID ALLEN				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	<sup>1</sup> There is a strong presumption of public access to court records, and "compelling reasons" are required to override that presumption, particularly in the case of dispositive motions and their related attachments. <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing <i>Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co.</i> , 331 F.3d				
24	1122, 1136 (9th Cir. 2003). Of course, the presumptive right to access is not absolute, but it can only be overridden given "sufficiently compelling reasons" for doing so. <i>Foltz</i> , 331 F.3d at 1135. These compelling reasons must be				
25	supported by "specific factual findings." <i>Id.</i> (citing <i>San Jose Mercury News, Inc. v. United States District Court</i> , 187 F.3d 1096, 1103 (9th Cir. 1999)); <i>see also Kamakana</i> , 447 F.3d at 1182 (the court's findings must be based on the specific, articulable facts provided to it). There is no room here for "hypothesis or conjecture." <i>Kamakana</i> , 447 F.3d				
26 27	at 1179 (quoting <i>Hagestad v. Tragesser</i> , 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995)). Conclusory or categorical statements that might satisfy the lesser "good cause" standard will therefore not suffice. <i>Id.</i> at 1184. Moreover, as the Ninth				
27 28	Circuit has explained, "the mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant's embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation" is insufficient to support sealing. <i>Kamakana</i> , 447 F.3d at 1178 ( <i>quoting Foltz</i> , 331 F.3d at 1136).				
	REQUEST TO UNSEAL 2 CASE NO. 2:10-CV-01356-RLH (GWF)				
1	1				

	Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH -GWF Document 85-1 Filec	03/29/11 Page 1 of 2			
1	LAURENCE F. PULGRAM (CA State Bar No. 115163) ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) lpulgram@fenwick.com				
2					
3	FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor				
4	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300				
5	Facsimile: (415) 281-1350				
6	KURT OPSAHL (CA State Bar No. 191303) ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) <u>kurt@eff.org</u>				
7	CORYNNE MCSHERRY (CA State Bar No. 221504) ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) corynne@eff.org				
8	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 454 Shotwell Street				
9	San Francisco, California 94110 Telephone: (415) 436-9333				
10	Facsimile: (415) 436-9993				
11	CHAD BOWERS (NV State Bar No. 7283) bowers@lawyer.com				
12	CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD 3202 West Charleston Boulevard				
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 457-1001				
14 15	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, and Defendant DAVID ALLEN				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT				
17	FOR THE DISTRICT OF N	EVADA			
18	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	Case No. 10-01356-RLH (GWF)			
19	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER			
20	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of	GRANTING REQUEST TO UNSEAL EXHIBIT A TO			
21	Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual,	PULGRAM DECLARATION AND RELATED FILINGS			
22	Defendants.	[DKT NOS. 74, 79]			
23	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company,				
24	Counterclaimant,				
25	v.				
26	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, and STEPHENS MEDIA LLC, a Nevada limited-liability				
27	company, Counterdefendants.				
28					
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING REQUEST TO UNSEAL	CASE NO. 2:10-CV-01356-RLH (GWF)			

1	On March 8, 2011, this Court granted Defendants' Conditional Motion to File Documents		
2	Under Seal (Dkt. 75) and ordered that, "within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order, (i) the		
3	parties shall file a stipulation as to which portions of said Exhibit A and the Supplemental		
4	Memorandum shall remain under seal or, (ii) if no stipulation is reached by the parties,		
5	Counterdefendants Stephens Media, LLC and Righthaven, LLC shall file with the Court their		
6	justification for retaining Exhibit A under seal." As no such stipulation was reached and filed		
7	within the time provided by the Order, and no justification was filed by either Counterdefendants		
8	Stephens Media, LLC or Righthaven, LLC,		
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
10	That Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Memorandum Addressing		
11	Recently Produced Evidence Relevant to Pending Motions (Dkt. 74); (ii) Defendants'		
12	Supplemental Memorandum Addressing Recently Produced Evidence Relevant To Pending		
13	Motions (Dkts. 74 & 79); (iii) Declaration of Laurence Pulgram (Dkts. 74 & 79); and (iv) Exhibit		
14	A to Declaration of Laurence Pulgram (Dkts. 74 & 79) are hereby unsealed and shall be made		
15	publicly available on this Court's docket.		
16			
17	Dated:		
18	The Honorable ROGER L. HUNT United States District Judge		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING REQUEST TO UNSEAL CASE NO. 2:10-cv-01356-RLH (GWF)		