SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

SUSAN WALKER, an individual; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF; (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Additional Parties Attachment form is attached.

CONFORMED COPY
OF OPPORTAGE FOR COPY
Los Angeles Superior Court

MAY 3 1 2011

John A. Clarke Executive Officer/Clerk By ______, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have so CALENDAR DAYS after this summons end legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear-your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the Casifornia Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.or.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referred services. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhalpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinito.oe.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lian for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lian must be paid before the court will dismise the case. AVISO/ Lo han demandedo. Si no responde dentro de 30 dlas, la corte puede decklir en su contra sin escuchar au version. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 D/AS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen este citéción y papeles legates para presentar una respuesta por escrito en este corte y hacer que se entregue una copia el demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que ester en formato legal correcto el desea que procesan su caso en le corte, Es posible que haya un formulario que ustad pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.c.gov.), en fe biblioteca de layes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerce. Si no puede pagar la cuata de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exanción de pago de cuatas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puedo perder al caso por incumplimiento y la corte de podré quiter su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más edvertencia.

Hay citros requieitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatemente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede ilamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener aerócios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el atito web de California Legal Services. (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados tocales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a recismar les cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de velor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitreje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte entes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the (El nombre y direction de la Los Angeles County S 111 North Hill Street,	<i>a corte es):</i> Superior Court - Central :	District	CASE NUMBER: (Namero del Caso): B C 4 6	2605
(El nombre, la dirección y e		rado del demandante, o de	an attomey, is: I demandante que no liene abog stin, CA 92780; Tel: (714) Shaunya Wealey	
	as an individua as the person s on behalf of (so	p Proof of Service Summ ON SERVED: You are defendent, sued under the fictitious na pecify): 16.10 (corporation)	me of (specify); CCP 416.60 (min	
	CCP 4	16.20 (defunct corporation) 16.40 (association or partn specify): very on <i>(date</i>);	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•

	· 特別的政治教育的政治教育。	1 1 4 Km 1	SUM-200(A
SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:	
_ FILLER, et al. v. WALKER, et al.			
INSTRUCTIONS FO	R USE		
 This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space do If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff Attachment form is attached." 	•	• •	
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for ea	ach type of party	⁄.) :	
✓ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-Complainant ☐	Cross-Defer	dant	
AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, an individual; AARON FILL Corporation; IMAGE BASED SURGICENTER CORPORANEUROGRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL ASSOCIATES	ATION, a Cal	ifornia Corporation; a	nd

Page of

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE Case Number

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

BC462605

case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below (Local Rule 7.30). There is additional information on the reverse side of this form.

	···	<u>. </u>	- Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Line	T		
ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	<u></u>
Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl	, 1	534 .,.	Hon. Holly E. Kendig	42	416	ļ.
Hon. J. Stephen Czuleger >	3	224	Hon. Mel Red Recana	45	529	
Hon, Luis A. Lavin	13	630	Hon. Debre Katz Weintraub	47	507	
Hon, Terry A. Green	14	300	Hon. Elizabeth Allen White	48	506	
Hon. Richard Fruin	15	307	Hon. Deirdre Hill	49	509	1
Hon, Rita Miller	16	306	Hon. John Shepard Wiley Jr.	50	508	T
Hon, Richard E. Rico	. 17	309	Hon. Abraham Khan	51	511	
Hon. Rex Heeseman	19	311	Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510	
Hon, Kevin C. Brazile	20	310	Hon, John P. Shook	53	513	
Hon. Zaven V. Sinanian	23	315	Hon. Ernest M. Hiroshige	54	512	T
Hon. Robert L. Hess	. 24	314	Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	515	T
Hon. Mary Ann Murphy	25	317	Hon, Michael Johnson	56	514	†
Hon, James R. Dunn	26	316	Hon. Ralph W. Dau	57	517	1
Hon, Yvette M. Palazuelos	28	318	Hon. Rolf M. Treu	58	516	T
Pending Assignment	30	400	Hon. David L. Minning	,61	632	1
Hon. Alan S. Rosenfield	31	407	Hon, Michael L. Stern	62	600	\uparrow
Hon. Mary H. Strobel	32	406	Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman	64	601	
Hon, Charles F. Palmer	33	409	Hon, Mark Mooney	68	617	T
Hon, Amy D. Hogue	34	408	Hon. Ramona See	69	621	1
Hon, Daniel Buckley	35	411	Hon. Soussan G. Bruguera	71	729	†
Hon, Gregory Alarcon	36	410	Hon. Ruth Ann Kwan	72	731	1
Hon. Joanne O'Donnell	37	413	Hon. Teresa Sanchez-Gordon	74	735	†
Hon. Maurcen Duffy-Lewis	. 38	412	Hon, Willliam F. Fahey	78	730	t
Hon. Michael C. Solner	39	415	Hon. Emilie H. Elias*	324	CCW	1
Hon. Michelle R. Rosenblatt	40	414	other	1		+
Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian	41	417		 		+

*Class Actions All class actions are initially assigned to Judge Brailie H. Blias in Department 324 of the Central Civil West Courthouse (600 S.Commonwealth Ave., Los Angeles 90005), This assignment is for the purpose of assessing whether or not the case is complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court, rule 3.400. Depending on the Outcome of that assessment, the class action case may be reassigned to one of the judges of the Complex Litigation Program or reassigned mindomly to a court in the Central District.

Communication and the		•	· •
Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainan	t/Attorney of Record on	JOHN A.	CLARKE, Executive Officer/Clerk
*		By	, Deputy Cleri
LACIV CCH 190 (Rev. 04/10)	NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNM	ENT -	Page 1 of 2
LASC Approved 05-06	UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	\$	the state of the s

		CM-010
Agree Morris, Esq. (SBN 130727); Deanna	nymber, end eddess); Stone Killeen (252053)	OF ORIGINAL FILED
MORRIS & STONE; LLP		os Angeles Superior Court
17852 E. 17th Street, Suite 201, Tustin, CA	. 92780	Color Capanol Court
Tustin, CA 92780 телерноме мо.: (714) 954-0700	FAX NO.:	. 1444 0 4 2044
ATTORNEY FOR (Mame): Plaintiffs Aaron Fille	r, MD. PHD, et al.	MAY 3 1 2011
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES	
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street		John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	•	BV. Denuty
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA		SHAUNYA-WESLEY DOPULY
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL DISTRIC	I .	
CASE NAME:		
FILLER, et al. v. WALKER, et al.		CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	BC462605
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defenda	1 3 37 25
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	ow must be completed (see instructions or	page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tost	Contract Pr	rovisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	al. Rules of Court, rules 3.406-3.402)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Andirust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PIPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defact (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PVPDAVD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	закача вякей разуньющих саке урас (41)
Non-Pi/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other and were and com	nforcement of Judgment
Business tor/unfair husiness practice (07)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08) Defemation (13)	T 1	iscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	
 	Drugs (38)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19) Professional regligence (25)	Bound Turk and Address	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	acollansous Civil Petition
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other patition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is not comp	lex under rule 3.400 of the California Rule	s of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factore requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	
a. Large number of separately repres	ented parties d. 🔲 Large number d	f witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising of		th related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		s, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f. L Substantial pos	ljudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	V monetary b. V nonmonetery des	claratory or injunctive relief o. 🔽 punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 2		encount of a sharman round of a hattings
5. This case is vis not a class	s action sult.	\cap
6. If there are any known related cases, file at		y usa-tom CM-015.)
Date: May 31, 2011		N
Aaron Morris, Esq. (SBN 130727)	A	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	. (5)(3)	VATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fi		
in sanctions.	манана яни инапиллова Code), (CSL KNIOS	of Court, rule 3.220.) Fallure to file may result
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover 	r sheet required by local court rule,	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s other parties to the action or proceeding.	req. of the California Rules of Court, you n	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 	3,740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes note
		Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, asias 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740;

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

	This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
i	tem I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 4 HOURS/ DAYS
Í	tem II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4)
	Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A , the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
	Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
	Step 3: In Column C , circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.
	Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)
	 Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). Location where cause of action arose. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. Location where performance required or defendant resides. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. Location where petitioner resides. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. Location where one or more of the parties reside. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
rty rt	Asbestos (04)	sbestos (04)	
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Person Damage/ Wr	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No;	ř		B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	_ /	A6029	Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	0 /	A6005	Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	2 /	A6010	Defamation (slander/libel)	1.(2), 3.
Fraud (16)	0 /	A6013	Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	ł		Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	_ /	A6025	Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	0 /	A6037	Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	1		Other Employment Complaint Case Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)		A6008 A6019	Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	1		Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	П <i>А</i>	A6015	Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	_ A	A6031	Contractual Fraud Tortious Interference Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	_ A	A7300	Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)		46023	Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)		A6032	Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)		A6021	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	□ <i>A</i>	46020	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	A	46020F	Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	□ <i>A</i>	A6022	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

Aaron Filler, et al. v. Susan H. Walker

CASE NUMBER

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		22.0	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Asset Forfeiture (05)		A6108	Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)		A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration 2		2., 5.
Writ of Mandate (02)		A6152	Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2., 8. 2. 2.
Other Judicial Review (39)		A6150	Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	0	A6003	Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Construction Defect (10)		A6007	Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)		A6006	Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
Securities Litigation (28) A6035 Securities Litigation Case		Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.	
Toxic Tort		Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.	
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)		A6014	Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment (20)		A6160 A6107 A6140 A6114	Abstract of Judgment Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
RICO (27)		A6033	Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)		A6040 A6011	Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21)		A6113	Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)		A6123 A6124 A6190 A6110 A6170	Workplace Harassment Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case Election Contest Petition for Change of Name Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort Environmental (30) Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) Enforcement of Judgment (20) RICO (27) Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	Clvif Case Cover Sheet Category No. Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort Environmental (30) Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) Enforcement of Judgment (20) RICO (27) Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	Civil Case Cover Sheet	Civic Case Cover Sheet Category No. A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case Petition re Arbitration (11) A6115 Petition to Compet/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration

Aaron Filler, et al	. v. Susan H. Wal	CASE NUMBER		
				ence or place of business, performance, or other for filing in the court location you selected.
REASON: Check the appropriunder Column C for the type of this case.			ADDRESS: 2716 Ocean Park Blv	/d. Suite 1007
□1. ☑2. □3. □4. □5	5. □6. □7. □8. [□9. □10.		
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		
Santa Monica	CA	90405		
and correct and that the abo	ove-entitled matter	is properly file	d for assignment to	of the State of California that the foregoing is true the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the ngeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].		•	
Dated: May 31, 2011				Phi

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

CONFORMED COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
Los Angeles Superior Court

MAY 3 1 2011

Aaron P. Morris, Esq. (SBN 130727)
Deanna Stone Killeen, Esq. (SBN 252053)
MORRIS & STONE, LLP
17852 E. 17th St., Suite 201
Tustin, CA 92780
Tel: (714) 954-0700
Morris@TopLawFirm.com
Stone@TopLawFirm.com

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
By______SHAUNYA-WESLEY
Deputy

5

6

1

2

3

4

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Aaron Filler, MD, PhD; Aaron Filler, MD, PhD, APC;
Image Based Surgicenter Corporation; Neurography
Institute Medical Associates

8

9

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10

AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, an individual; AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, APC, a California Professional Corporation; IMAGE BASED SURGICENTER CORPORATION, a California Corporation; and NEUROGRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, a California Professional Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

SUSAN H. WALKER, an individual; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.

BC462605

erie, atau gelektrika kara

Assigned for all purposes to:

COMPLAINT FOR:

1. DEFAMATION

2. INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE

22 23

24

25

26

Plaintiffs AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, an individual; AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, APC, a California professional corporation; IMAGE BASED SURGICENTER CORPORATION, a California corporation; and NEUROGRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, a California professional corporation, allege as follows against Defendants SUSAN H. WALKER, an individual, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive:

27

28

COMPLAINT

ì	Aaron P. Morris, Esq. (SBN 130727) Deanna Stone Killeen, Esq. (SBN 252053)							
2	MORRIS & STONE, LLP 17852 E. 17th St., Suite 201							
3	Tustin, CA 92780 Tel: (714) 954-0700							
4	Morris@TopLawFirm.com Stone@TopLawFirm.com							
5	Stonew Topizawi i i incom							
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	A DC.						
7	Aaron Filler, MD, PhD; Aaron Filler, MD, PhD Image Based Surgicenter Corporation; Neurogr Institute Medical Associates	aphy						
8	Histitute Medical Associates							
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	oor caraar	E OE CALIEODNIA					
10	IN AND FOR THE CO							
11	IN AND FOR THE CO	JNIYOF	LOS ANGELES					
12								
13	AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, an individual; AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, APC, a	CASI	E NO.					
14	California Professional Corporation; IMAGE Assigned for all purposes to: BASED SURGICENTER CORPORATION,							
15	a California Corporation; and NEUROGRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL		·					
16	ASSOCIATES, a California Professional Corporation,	COM	IPLAINT FOR:					
17	Plaintiffs,	1. 2.	DEFAMATION INTERFERENCE WITH					
18	vs.	ı	PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE					
19		ı						
20	SUSAN H. WALKER, an individual; DOES { 1 through 25, inclusive,	ı						
21	Defendants.	ı I						
22	,							
23	Plaintiffs AARON FILLER, MD, PHD,	an individ	ual; AARON FILLER, MD, PHD,					
24	APC, a California professional corporation; IM	AGE BAS	ED SURGICENTER					
25	CORPORATION, a California corporation; and	I NEURO	GRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL					
26	ASSOCIATES, a California professional corpo	ration, alle	ge as follows against Defendants					
27	SUSAN H. WALKER, an individual, and DOE	S 1 throug	h 25, inclusive:					
28								

1	Aaron P. Morris, Esq. (SBN 130727) Deanna Stone Killeen, Esq. (SBN 252053)				
2	MORRIS & STONE, LLP 17852 E. 17th St., Suite 201				
3	Tustin, CA 92780 Tel: (714) 954-0700				
4	Morris@TopLawFirm.com Stone@TopLawFirm.com				
5	Stone W. P. Open Francom		,		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Aaron Filler, MD, PhD; Aaron Filler, MD, PhI) A DC			
7	Image Based Surgicenter Corporation; Neurogo	raphy			
8	Institute Medical Associates				
9					
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
11					
12					
13	AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, an individual;	CAS	E NO.		
14	AARON FILLER, MD, PHD, APC, a California Professional Corporation; IMAGE	Assis	gned for all purposes to:		
15	BASED SURGICENTER CORPORATION, a California Corporation; and				
l	NEUROGRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, a California Professional	CON	IPLAINT FOR:		
16	Corporation,				
17	Plaintiffs,	1. 2.	DEFAMATION INTERFERENCE WITH		
18	vs.	•	PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE		
19	<u> </u>	· •			
20	SUSAN H. WALKER, an individual; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,				
21	Defendants.				
22	,				
23	Plaintiffs AARON FILLER, MD, PHD,	an individ	ual; AARON FILLER, MD, PHD,		
24	APC, a California professional corporation; IMAGE BASED SURGICENTER				
25	CORPORATION, a California corporation; and				
26	ASSOCIATES, a California professional corporation, allege as follows against Defendants				
27	SUSAN H. WALKER, an individual, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive:				
28	DODIET III TELLETING MI MARTAGAM, AND DOD	~ I unoug	,		

///

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. At all times alleged herein, Plaintiff AARON FILLER, M.D., PhD (hereinafter, "DR. FILLER"), was an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles.
- At all times alleged herein Plaintiff AARON FILLER, MD, PhD, APC, doing business as Institute for Nerve Medicine (hereinafter, "INM") was a professional corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is and was qualified to do business in California, with its principal place of business at 2716 Ocean Park Blvd. Suite 3082, Santa Monica, CA 90405.
- 3. At all times alleged herein Plaintiff IMAGE BASED SURGICENTER CORPORATION ("IBSC") was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is and was qualified to do business in California, with its principal place of business at 2716 Ocean Park Blvd. Suite 1007, Santa Monica, CA 90405.
- 4. At all times alleged herein Plaintiff NEUROGRAPHY INSTITUTE MEDICAL ASSOCIATES ("NIMA"), was a California professional corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is and was qualified to do business in California, with its principal place of business at 2716 Ocean Park Blvd. Suite 3075, Santa Monica, CA 90405.
- 5. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 25 inclusive, and therefore, sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs allege that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and proximately caused Plaintiffs' damages. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of DOES 1-25 when the same have been ascertained.
- 6. Moreover, at all times herein mentioned, Defendants confirm, conspire to, consent to, affirm, direct, authorize, acknowledge, and ratify the acts of each and every of the Defendants herein as to each of the acts hereinafter alleged.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Defamation – All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)

- 7. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as though set forth in full Paragraphs 1 through 6 of the General Allegations.
- 8. Plaintiff DR. FILLER, operating professionally in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, has enjoyed a good reputation both generally and in his profession as a neurosurgeon.
- 9. Plaintiff INM, with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, has enjoyed a good reputation both generally and as a professional medical corporation providing neurosurgical services.
- 10. Plaintiff IBSC, with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, has enjoyed a good reputation both generally and as a provider of surgicenter facility services.
- 11. Plaintiff NIMA, with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, has enjoyed a good reputation both generally and as a provider of radiology services.
- Defendant SUSAN H. WALKER ("WALKER") and DOES 1 to 25 have published on the Internet defamatory and untruthful statements about the Plaintiffs, apparently attempting to use this campaign of defamation to damage the reputation of the Plaintiffs. The defamatory statements were directed at and concern Plaintiffs, all of whom reside and are doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, making venue and jurisdiction proper before this court. WALKER, individually or with the assistance of DOES 1 to 25, published her false, defamatory and damaging statements on a number of websites, including but not limited to the following:
- (1) Stating falsely that information she has seen suggested Dr Filler posed an unusually high risk of death to patients: "Now imagine how many more cases went to arbitration.

Malpractice 8/12/2003, Malpractice 5/16/2005, Malpractice 4/3/1998, Malpractice 3/10/1997, Malpractice 11/14/1996, ... Again, my purpose in writing this is to attempt to save another patient from what I am going through. You would not wish this on your worst enemy. If Dr. Filler reads this, I would hope it would cause him to reflect on his practice, and change his incredibly arrogant attitude before he kills someone (assuming he hasn't already)." (9/20/2010 – RunningForums.com).

Imagine how many more cases are located at other court houses (this list is two years dated).

- (2) Asserting fraud: "I was billed for one procedure that was never conducted." (4/3/11 RateMDs.com);
- (3) Suggesting fraud: "... their fraud unit is investigating. State Farm, Sue Walker, check it out. Louise has had direct communication from them (Sam Gonzales, SF) regarding their ongoing review." (10/19/10 RateMDs.com);
- (4) Asserting fraud: "There is a current fraud investigation underway, Louise was informed of this in early September and I confirmed it with State Farm yesterday. (2/18/11 RateMDs.com)"
- (5) "Dr. Filler and his staff are the aggressive, irresponsible, libelous thieves not me. (10/13/10 RateMDS.com)"
- (6) Stating falsely that Dr. Filler is intentionally injecting patients with a material known to cause a slow painful unpreventable death in every patient so exposed. "Wydase is no longer manufactured and has not been manufactured in at least seven years, so I'm not sure why Filler refers to the use of Wydase, and given the remote risk of CSE transmission that it poses, injecting it directly adjacent to a nerve does not seem advised. (1/31/11 RunningForums)"
- (7) Stating falsely that she has seen information documenting that Dr. Filler's surgery has resulted in severing nerves which causes immediate permanent paralysis even though Dr. Filler has never severed a nerve: "Look at the doctor-rating sites and see how Dr. Filler is rated by patients. You will see a lot of what is on this board, glowing reviews, and glowering criticism, mostly based on money and bad office staff experiences, many also though on failed surgeries

and cases where Filler's treatment resulted in severing nerves and worse outcomes. (9/18/10 RunningForums)"

- (8) Stating falsely that the IBSC offers procedures that don't work even though there is a greater than 95% diagnostic success rate and even though her procedures were a success: "1.5 hours in the "Image-based Surgi-Center." Not bad. Too bad it didn't work" (3/28/11 RateMDs.com 673 thread)
- (9) Stating falsely that INM and IBSC have unconscionable fees, incompetent staff and inaccurate billing: "I expect to be similarly "taken care of" for the balances for the "Institute of Nerve Medicine" and the "Image Based Surgery Center." But I will continue to get the word out about his unconscionable fees and incompetent and rude billing staff. I have YET to get an accurate billing that I can use to appeal to insurance" (10/15/10 RunningForums.com, thread 157)
- (10) Making statements on a page about the Neurography Institute suggesting that this facility will charge tens of thousands of dollars for an MR Neurography scan and that it is disreputable: "Be forewarned, Dr. Filler is outrageously expensive. I saw him for an exam, two MRNs, and MRI injections in my neck and hip. Total time: less than three hours. Total cost: \$53,000. I believe he is a very skilled neurosurgeon, but I see no need for him to charge such outrageous fees. And his office staff made serious errors in billing, do not return multiple phone messages but they are very quick to send out their erroneous bills, repeatedly, and threaten collections actions." (11/6/2010 on "nervepain.tv/ Magnetic Resonance Neurography: Dr. Aaron Filler")
 - 13. Each of the statements were false as they pertain to Plaintiffs.
- 14. The statements published by Defendants, and each of them, are defamatory on their face because they (1) charge Plaintiffs with improper, illegal, and immoral conduct; (2) subject Plaintiffs to hatred, contempt, ridicule and obloquy, and; (3) injure Plaintiffs in their trade and business by imputing to them a lack of integrity, professionalism and honesty, and have a natural tendency to lessen their ability to conduct business in the medical field.

- 15. As a proximate result of the above-described publications, Plaintiffs have suffered a loss of reputation, and have or will be exposed to hatred, contempt, ridicule or obloquy, all to their general damage, in an amount that is not presently know with precision, but which exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this court. The defamatory statements have injured Plaintiffs in their business and/or occupation.
- 16. As a further proximate result, it is also alleged that Plaintiffs have suffered special damages from loss of business in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 17. The above-described publications were published by Defendant, and each of them, with malice in that they knew said misstatements of fact were false and they made said publications with the specific intent to injure Plaintiffs' positions as medical practitioners. Such conduct justifies the award of punitive damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage – All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)

- 18. Plaintiffs refer to paragraphs 1 through 17 of this complaint and incorporates them by this reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Plaintiffs have each enjoyed a profitable business and goodwill. Defendants, and each of them, conspired with one another to interfere with Plaintiffs' prospective economic advantage by sullying their names and consequently their business reputations in the medical field.
- 20. In furtherance of this conspiracy, Defendants, and each of them, committed wrongful acts, including without limitation, making the aforesaid false and defamatory statements attributing unethical, illegal, immoral, unprofessional and dishonest conduct to Plaintiffs.
- 21. By virtue of the false statements, Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of them have been deprived of business opportunities and additional income.

- 22. The foregoing acts by Defendants were done for the purpose and had the effect of damaging Plaintiffs' reputation and goodwill and preventing Plaintiffs from realizing additional income.
- As a direct result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, and each of theirs as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount which is presently unknown, but which is believed to exceed the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, together with interest thereon. The exact amount of Plaintiffs' damages have not yet been fully ascertained and Plaintiffs will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the exact amount of damages when ascertained, or according to proof at time of trial.
- 24. In doing the things herein alleged, the Defendants, and each of them, acted with fraud, malice, oppression and with conscious disregard for the interests of Plaintiffs such that Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages according to proof at the time of trial;
- 2. For general damages according to proof;
- 3. For punitive damages according to proof;
- 4. For injunctive relief, prohibiting Defendants, and each of them, from repeating statements judicially determined to be defamatory (Balboa Island Village Inn, Inc. v. Lemen (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 1141);
- 5. For costs of suit; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DATED: May 31, 2011

MORRIS & STONE

Aaron Morris

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

NOTICE

All Civil Complaints and Civil Petitions are assigned to a

Judicial Officer for <u>ALL PURPOSES</u>. The letter <u>CASE</u>

<u>NUMBER</u> <u>DISIGNATION</u> at the <u>END</u> of the

<u>INDICATES</u> the <u>DEPARTMENT</u> to which the case has been <u>ASSIGNED</u>.

For OUICK & PROPER ROUTING, of your documents,

please, place the <u>DEPARTMENT LETTER</u>

DESIGNATION at the <u>END</u> of the <u>CASE NUMBER</u> on

ALL SUBSEQUENT documents filings.

Thank You.

Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

JOHN A. CLARKE EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

INFORMATION RE: CASES REFERRED TO ADR

The Los Angeles Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Program is governed by Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, Chapter Twelve, California Rules of Court, rules 1600-1639 and Code of Civil Procedure sections 1141.10-1141.31 and 1775-1775.15.

ADR Clerk

This referral has been assigned to the ADR Clerk located at the courthouse indicated at right,

Initiating The ADR Process

Upon referral to ADR, the parties must immediately:

- 1. Complete the ADR intake forms
 - a. ADR Case Referral Intake (ADR 039) To be fully completed by plaintiff.
 - ADR Demographic Information (ADR 011) One sheet must be completed for each litigant named in the action.
- 2. Submit completed forms to the ADR Clerk either in person or by fax filing (LASC Rules Ch. 18). ITHEYADRIREFERRAL WILLIAM THE PROCESSED UNITES STATUTED THE PROPERTY COMPLETED AND SUBMIDITED TO THE ADRICLERY WITHINGTO DAYS OF THE DATE ON THE NOTICE OF REFERRAL.

Extra forms may be obtained from the Court's website (www.lasuperiorcourt.org, click on ADR then Forms) or the ADR Clerk.

Neutral Selection

General Jurisdiction -- The parties may select a Neutral from the Court's website and indicate their choice on the ADR Case Referral Intake. If the Neutral is not available at the time the ADR Clerk receives the Intake, the ADR Clerk will randomly select another Neutral.

Limited Jurisdiction - The ADR Clerk randomly selects the Neutral.

Should the parties decide to contract a private ADR Neutral, plaintiff should immediately notify the ADR Clerk and assigned Neutral (if any).

ADR Completion Date

Unless the Court has set a completion date, the ADR Clerk will set a completion date at the 60th day from the date of assignment in mediation cases and at the 90th day in arbitration cases.

Where To File Documents

Filed directly with the ADR Clerk:

- o Disqualification of ADR Neutral (LASC Rules 12.6)
- Notice of ADR Hearing (including amended notices)
- Statement of Agreement or Nonagreement (mediation only)
- o Award of Arbitrator (arbitration only)
- o Request for Trial de Novo (arbitration only)
- Notice of Settlement

NOTE: The ADR Clerk processes documents related to cases going through the Coun's ADR program only. Documents related to cases going through private ADR, binding arbitration, contractual arbitration, mandatory fee arbitration, etc., are to be filed directly with the Civil Clerk or assigned Courtroom.

Filed directly with the Civil Clerk or Referring Courtroom:

- o Motion to Extend ADR Completion Date
- Notice or Order Extending ADR Completion Date**
- Notice or Order Removing Case from ADR**
- o Stipulation Re Settlement
- o Request for Dismissal**
- All other papers (i.e., motions, orders to show cause, etc.) related to the case
 **With a copy to the ADR Clerk.

IF THIS CASE HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY SENT TO THE COURT'S ADR PROGRAM, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE ADR CLERK.

ADR 044 04-04 LASC Approved (Rev. 06-05) INFORMATION RE: CASES REFERRED TO ADR

COURTHOUSE:

- TAlhambre
 150 W. Commonwealth Ave., 91801
 (626) 308-5521
- ☐Beverly Hills 9355 Burton Way, 90210 (310) 288-1300
- (310) 288-1300 DBurbank 300 E. Olivo Ave., Rm. 225, 91502
- (818) 557-3452 []Chalaworth 9425 Penfield St., Rm. 1200, 91311
- (818) 576-8565 Compton 200 W. Compton 81., Rm. 1002, 90220 (310) 603-3072
- ☐El Monte (Rio Hondo) 11234 E. Valley Bl., Rm. 100, 91731 (628) 575-4268
- Glendate 600 E. Broadway, Rm. 273, 91206 (818) 500-3150
- [inglewood]
 One Regent St., Rm. 630, 90301
 (310) 419-5701
- ULancaster (Antelope Valley) 42011 4th 6t. West, 93534 (661) 974-7247
- □Long Beach 415 W. Ocean B)., Rm. 316, 90802 (652) 481-6272
- ☐Los Angeles 111 N. Hm 51, Rm. 113, 90012 (213) 974-5425
- Malibu 23525 Civic Center Way, 90265 (310) 456-0595
- Norwalk 12720 Norwalk Bl., Rm. 308, 90650 (562) 807-7243
- Pasadens 300 E. Walnut St., Rm., 109, 91101 (628) 356-5685
- | Pomona | 400 Chic Center Plaza, Rm. 106, 91766 | (000) 620-3163 |
- Redondo Beach (Beach Cilies)
 117 W. Tomance Bl., Rm. 100, 90277
 (310) 798-6676
- ☐San Padro 505 S. Centro St., Rm. 200, 90731 (310) 519-6151
- ☐Senia Monica 1725 Mein Bl., Rm. 203, 90401 (310) 250-1829
- Torrance 825 Maple Ave., Rm. 100, 90503 (310) 222-1701
- ☐Van Nuys 6230 Bylmor Ave., Rm. 331, 81401 (818) 374-2337
- West Covina (Citrus)
 1427 West Covina Pkwy., 91790
 15261 813-3270

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAMS ACT (DRPA) CONTRACTORS

The following organizations provide mediation services under contract with the Los Angeles County Department of Community & Senior Services. Services are provided to parties in any civil case filed in the Los Angeles County Superior Court. Services are not provided under this program to family, probate, traffic, criminal, appellate, mental health, unlawful detainer/eviction or juvenile court cases.

Asian-Pacific American Dispute Resolution Center (213) 250-8190

(Spanish & Asian languages capability)

California Academy of Mediation Professionals (818) 377-7250

Center for Conflict Resolution (818) 380-1840

Inland Valleys Justice Center (909) 397-5780 (Spanish language capability)

Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney Dispute Resolution Program (213) 485-8324

(Spanish language capability)

Los Angeles County Bar Association Dispute Resolution Services toll free number 1-877-4Resolve (737-6583) or (213) 896-6533 (Spanish language capability)

Los Angeles County Department of Consumer Affairs (213) 974-0825

(Spanish language capability)

The Loyola Law School Center for Conflict Resolution (213) 736-1145

(Spanish language capability)

Martin Luther King Legacy Association Dispute Resolution Center (323) 290-4132

(Spanish language capability)

City of Norwalk (562) 929-5603

DRPA Contractors do not provide legal advice or assistance, including help with responding to summonses. Accessing these services does not negate any responsibility you have to respond to a summons or appear at any set court date. See the reverse side of this sheet for information on the mediation process and obtaining legal advice.

THIS IS A TWO-SIDED DOCUMENT.

ADR 007 07-04 LASC Approved Page 1 of 2

What is the goal of mediation?

The goal is to assist the parties in reaching a mulually acceptable agreement or understanding on some or all of the issues. The parties jointly become the primary decision maker in how to resolve the issues as opposed to the traditional judge and/or jury system.

Do I need an attorney for this?

While it is recommended to have an attorney and/or receive legal advice before the mediation starts, you are not required to have representation. If you do have an attorney, they may participate in the mediation with you.

How long does it take?

Face-to-face mediations generally last one to three hours. Telephone conciliations, in which the parties do not meet face to face, vary from a few days to several weeks. Much depends on the number of parties involved and the complexities of the issues. When the mediation takes place depends on parties scheduling availability.

A Mediator helps parties...

- ♦ Have productive discussions
- Avoid or break impasses
- ♦ Defuse controversy
- Generate options that have potential for mutual gain
- ♦ Better understand each other's concerns and goals
- ♦ Focus on their interests rather than their positions

A Mediator does not...

- ♦Provide advice or opinions
- ♦ Offer legal information
- ◆Make decisions for parties
- Represent or advocate for either side
- ♦ Judge or evaluate anyone or anything
- +Conduct research
- ♦ "Take Sides"

What does it cost?

The first three hours of any mediation are free. Thereafter, charges are based on income or revenue. All fees are waived for low-income individuals.

What is the difference between the contractors listed and the Superior Court ADR Office?

The services offered by the contractors listed may be accessed immediately. Those offered by the Superior Court ADR Office, also a DRPA contractor, may not be accessed by parties until a court appearance, or at the directive of the judge assigned to the case.

Legal Advice/Information

If you want to retain an attorney, a list of state certified referral services is at <u>courtinfo.ga.gov</u> which also has an on-line self help legal center.

Self-Heip Legal Access Centers are at the inglewood, Palmdale, Pomona, and Van Nuys courthouses. nis-la.org and latte.org

Court Personnel can answer non-legal questions (forms, fees, fee waivers). lasuperiorcourt.org

Low-income individuals may qualify for help from non-profit legal organizations. Court Personnel and DRPA contractors have such listings.

Dispute Resolution Programs Act (DRPA) Grants Administration Office (213) 738-2621

(The DRP Office is not a Superior Court Office. Consult your phone directory to locate the number of the Court Office on your summons.)

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY W	THOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
·		
	•	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	COUNTY OF LOS ANG	ELES
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
_		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION TO PAI	RTICIPATE IN	CASE NUMBER;
· ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE R		
The undersigned parties stipulate to participate	In Alternative Dienute Receivite	on (ADR) in the above-entitled action as
follows:	ut Vitetuativa Diebate Vesoiani	on (MDIC) in the above-circled action, as
4 At TERM THE DIGHT BE BEAUTIFUL DROAD	roo.	
1. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROC	,E33;	
Non-Binding Arbitration		
Binding Arbitration Settlement Conference		
Other ADR Process (describe):		
2. NEUTRAL:		•
2. NEUTRAL: Court Panel: The parties request the assi	gnment of one of the following n	eutrals from the Court's
Pro Bono Panel (no charge to the partie	or for the first 3 hours of hearing t	ima)
The parties request that the ADR	Clerk select the neutral.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
If neither choice of neutral is availab	le, the Court's ADR Office will :	select the neutral.
Party Pay Panel (\$150.00 per hour char	ge to the parties for the first 3 no	nts of degrad and
		•
First choice:	Allemate:	
Dated:		
Name of Stipulating Party Name of P	arty or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Altorney
The second Character Chara		
		And the state of t
Name of Stipulating Party Name of P	arty or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Altorney
The entries Characteristic Characteristics		

Additional signature(s) on reverse

STIPULATION TO PARTICIPATE IN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

Short Title		Case Number	
			River
Name of Slipulating Party	Name of Party or Allomey Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attorney	
☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defend	ant		
	•		
Name of Slipulating Party Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defend	Name of Party or Allorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attorney	
C. White Continue Colors delete			
•	•		
Name of Slipulating Party Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defend	Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attorney	
C. William C. Desettdatt. C. Closs-detesta	arii .		
Name of Stipulating Party Plaintiff Defendant Coross-defend	Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Parly or Attorney	
Cleaning Close-defeut	ent .		
		·	
Name of Stipulating Party	Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attorney	
☐ PlainUff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defend	anı		
Name of Stipulating Party	Name of Party or Altorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attomay	
Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defende	ani		
Name of Stipulating Party	Name of Party or Altorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Altorney	
Plaineff Defendant Cross-defend	ant		
Name of Stipulating Party	Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attorney	
☐ Pashalf ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defenda	ant		
- 100 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12			
Name of Sepulating Party	Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation	Signature of Party or Attorney	**************************************
☐ Paint ☐ Defendent ☐ Cross-defenda	anl ·		

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

[CRC 3.221 Information about Alternative Dispute Resolution]

For additional ADR information and forms visit the Court ADR web application at www.lasuperiorcourt.org (click on ADR).

The plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint (Civil only).

What is ADR:

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is the term used to describe all the other options available for settling a dispute which once had to be settled in court. ADR processes, such as arbitration, mediation, neutral evaluation (NE), and settlement conferences, are less formal than a court process and provide opportunities for parties to reach an agreement using a problem-solving approach.

There are many different kinds of ADR. All of them utilize a "neutral", an impartial person, to decide the case or help the parties reach an agreement.

Mediation:

In mediation, a neutral person called a "mediator" helps the parties try to reach a mutually acceptable resolution of the dispute. The mediator does not decide the dispute but helps the parties communicate so they can try to settle the dispute themselves. Mediation leaves control of the outcome with the parties.

Cases for Which Mediation May Be Appropriate

Mediation may be particularly useful when parties have a dispute between or among family members, neighbors, or business partners. Mediation is also effective when emotions are getting in the way of resolution. An effective mediator can hear the parties out and help them communicate with each other in an effective and nondestructive manner.

Cases for Which Mediation May Not Be Appropriate

Mediation may not be effective if one of the parties is unwilling to cooperate or compromise. Mediation also may not be effective if one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Arbitration:

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are often relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "nonbinding." Binding arbitration means that the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Nonbinding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they do not accept the arbitrator's decision.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Be Appropriate

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Not Be Appropriate

If parties want to retain control over how their dispute is resolved, arbitration, particularly binding arbitration, is not appropriate. In binding arbitration, the parties generally cannot appeal the arbitrator's award, even if it is not supported by the evidence or the law. Even in nonbinding arbitration, if a party requests a trial and does not receive a more favorable result at trial than in arbitration, there may be penalties.

Neutral Evaluation:

In neutral evaluation, each party gets a chance to present the case to a neutral person called an "evaluator." The evaluator then gives an opinion on the strengths and weaknesses of each party's evidence and arguments and about how the dispute could be resolved. The evaluator is often an expert in the subject matter of the dispute. Although the evaluator's opinion is not binding, the parties typically use it as a basis for trying to negotiate a resolution of the dispute.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Be Appropriate

Neutral evaluation may be most appropriate in cases in which there are technical issues that require special expertise to resolve or the only significant issue in the case is the amount of damages.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Not Be Appropriate

Neutral evaluation may not be appropriate when there are significant personal or emotional barriers to resolving the dispute.

Settlement Conferences:

Settlement conferences may be either mandatory or voluntary. In both types of settlement conferences, the parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or a neutral person called a "settlement officer" to discuss possible settlement of their dispute. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. Settlement conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory settlement conferences are often held close to the date a case is set for trial.

LAADR 005 (05-09) LASC Approved

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT ADR PROGRAMS

CIVIL:

- Civil Action Mediation (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) sections 1775-1775.15, California Rules of Court, rules 3.850-3.868 and 3.870-3.878, Evidence Code sections 1115-1128, and Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Retired Judge Settlement Conference
- Neutral Evaluation (Governed by Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Judicial Arbitration (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure sections 1141.40-1141.31, California Rules of Court, rules 3.810-3.830, and Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Eminent Domain Mediation (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure section 1250.420.)
- Civil Harassment Mediation
- Small Claims Mediation

FAMILY LAW (non-custody):

- Mediation
- Forensic Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Settlement Conference
- Settlement Conference
- Nonbinding Arbitration (Governed by Family Code section 2854.)

PROBATE:

- Mediation
- Settlement Conference

NEUTRAL SELECTION

Parties may select a mediator, neutral evaluator, or arbitrator from the Court Party Select Panel or may hire someone privately, at their discretion. If the parties utilize the Random Select Mediation or Arbitration Panel, the parties will be assigned on a random basis the name of one neutral who meets the case criteria entered on the court's website.

COURT ADR PANELS

Party Select Panel The Party Select Panel consists of mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators who have achieved a specified level of experience in court-connected cases. The parties (collectively) may be charged \$150.00 per hour for the first three hours of hearing time. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the neutral if the parties consent in writing.

Random Select Panel The Random Select Panel consists of trained mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators who have not yet gained the experience to qualify for the Party Select Panel, as well as experienced neutrals who make themselves available pro bono as a way of supporting the judicial system. It is the policy of the Court that all Random Select Panel volunteer mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators provide three hours hearing time per case. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the neutral if the parties consent in writing.

Private Neutral

The market rate for private neutrals can range from \$300-\$1,000 per hour.

ADR ASSISTANCE

For assistance regarding ADR, please contact the ADR clerk at the courthouse in which your case was filed.

very process of the design of the second second second second	and the state was considerable	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	id en escapezhoù d'historia	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
	r Freelin			FAX
42011.4th St. West	None :	Lancaster, CA 93534		(661)974-7060
9425 Penfield Ave.	1200	Chatsworth, CA 91311	(818)576-8565	(818)576-8687
200 W. Compton Blvd.	1002	Compton, CA: 90220	(310)603-3072	(310)223-0337
600 E. Broadway	273	Glendale, CA 91206	(818)500-3160	(818)548-5470
415 W. Ocean Blvd.	316	Long Beach, CA 90802	(562)491-6272	(562)437-3802
12720 Norwalk Blvd.	308	Norwalk, CA 90680	(662)807-7243	(562)462-9019
300 E. Walnut St.	109	Pasadena, CA 91101	(626)356-5685	(626)666-1774
400 Civic Center Plaza	106	Pomoria, CA 91766	(909)620-3183	(909)629-6283
505 S. Centre	209	San Redro, CA 90731	(310)619-6151	(310)514-0314
1725 Main St.	203	Santa Moniba, CA 90401	(310)260-1829	(310)319-6130
111 N. Hill St.	113	Los Angeles, CA 90012	(213)974-5425	(213)633-5115
825 Maple Ave.	100	Torrance, CA 90503	(310)222-1701	(310)782-7326
6230 Sylmar Ave.	418	Van Nuys, CA 91401	(818)374-2337	(818)902-2440
	9426 Penfield Ave, 200 W. Compton Blvd. 600 E. Broadway 415 W. Ocean Blvd. 12720 Norwalk Blvd. 300 E. Walnut St. 400 Civic Center Plaza 505 S. Centre 1726 Main St. 111 N. Hill St. 825 Maple Ave,	42011 4in St. West None 9426 Penfield Ave. 1200 200 W. Compton Blvd. 1002 600 E. Broadway 273 415 W. Ocean Blvd. 316 12720 Norwalk Blvd. 308 300 E. Walnut St. 109 400 Clvic Center Plaza 106 505 S. Centre 209 1726 Main St. 203 111 N. Hill St. 113 825 Maple Ave. 100	42011 4th St. West None Lancaster, CA 93534 9426 Penfield Ave. 1200 Chatsworth, CA 91311 200 W. Compton Blvd. 1002 Compton, CA 90220 600 E. Broadway 273 Glendale, CA 91206 415 W. Ocean Blvd. 316 Long Beach, CA 90802 12720 Norwalk Blvd. 308 Norwalk, CA 90850 300 E. Walnut St. 109 Pasadena, CA 91101 400 Civic Center Plaza 106 Pomoria, CA 91766 505 S. Centre 209 San Redro, CA 90731 1725 Main St. 203 Santa Monica, CA 90401 111 N. Hill St. 113 Los Angeles, CA 90012 825 Maple Ave. 100 Torrance, CA 90503	42011 4th St. West None Lancaster, CA 93534 (651)974-7275 9426 Penfield Ave. 1200 Chatsworth, CA 91311 (848)676-8565 200 W. Compton Blvd. 1002 Compton, CA 90220 (310)803-3072 600 E. Broadway 273 Glendals, CA 91208 (818)800-3160 415 W. Ocean Blvd. 316 Long Beach, CA 90802 (562)491/8272 12720 Norwalk Blvd. 508 Norwalk, CA 90850 (862)807-7243 300 E. Walnut St. 109 Pasadens, CA 91101 (628)356-5685 400 Civic Center Plaza 106 Pomorta, CA 91766 (909)820-3483 506 S. Centre 209 San Redro, CA 90731 (310)819-6151 1726 Main St. 203 Santa Wonlea, CA 90401 (310)260-1829 111 N. Hill St. 113 Los Angelles, CA 90012 (213)974-5425 825 Maple Ave. 100 Torrance, CA 90503 (310)222-1701

Partially Funded by the Los Angeles County Dispute Resolution Program
A complete list of the County Dispute Resolution Programs is available online and upon request in the Clerk's Office

LAADR 005 (Rev. 05/09) LASC Approved 10-03



from the LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT ADR DEPARTMENT

If you have a general jurisdiction case involving one of these 6 subject matter areas:

- commercial
- employment
- medical malpractice
- · real estate
- trade secrets
- · unfair competition

Your case may be eligible for the court's pilot Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) program.

- ENE can reduce litigation time and costs and promote settlement.
- ENE is an informal process that offers a non-binding evaluation by an experienced neutral lawyer with expertise in the subject matter of the case. After counsel present their claims and defenses, the neutral evaluates the case based on the law and the evidence.
- ENE is voluntary and confidential.
- The benefits of ENE include helping to clarify, narrow or eliminate issues, identify areas of agreement, offer case-planning suggestions and, if requested by the parties, assist in settlement.
- The first three (3) hours of the ENE session are free of charge.

See back for a list of participating pilot courthouses and departments.

For additional ENE information, visit the Court's web site at www.lasuperiorcourt.org/adr

Early Neutral Evaluation

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) is a new court program that will provide the parties and their counsel, on a voluntary basis and in a confidential session, the opportunity to make summary presentations of their claims and defenses and receive a non-binding evaluation by an experienced neutral lawyer with subject matter expertise.

The pilot ENE program is available in general jurisdiction cases in five designated courthouses: Santa Monica, Pomona, Chatsworth, Van Nuys, and the Stanley Mosk Courthouse departments listed below.

Department 15	Department 31	Department 47	Department 56
Department 16	Department 32	Department 50	Department 68
Department 28	Department 38	Department 52	Department 71
Department 30	Department 42	Department 55	Department 89

The program offers evaluators with subject matter expertise in the following areas: commercial disputes, employment, medical malpractice, real estate, trade secrets, and unfair competition.

Goals

The goals of ENE are to:

- Enhance direct communication between the parties about their claims and supporting evidence
- Provide an assessment of the merits of the case by a neutral expert
- Provide a "reality check" for lawyers and their clients
- Identify and clarify the central issues in dispute
- Assist with discovery and motion planning or with an informal exchange of key information
- Facilitate settlement discussions, only when requested by the parties

Process

The evaluator, an experienced attorney with expertise in the subject matter of the case, will convene an informal meeting of clients and counsel. At the informal meeting, each side - through counsel, clients or witnesses - will present evidence and argument supporting its case without regard to Rules of Evidence and without direct or cross-examination of witnesses.

The evaluator will ask questions after the initial presentations, and then each side may present a responsive presentation. Following the presentations, the evaluator will identify areas of agreement and disagreement, clarify and focus the issues, and encourage the parties to enter procedural and substantive stipulations.

The evaluator will then prepare an evaluation, outside the presence of the parties, which may include an estimate, where feasible, of the likelihood of liability and the dollar range of damages, and an assessment of the relative strengths and weaknesses of each party's case.

If requested by the parties, the evaluator will also facilitate settlement discussions.

Voluntary and Confidential

ENE may not be ordered; it is available on a voluntary basis only. Communications made in connection with an ENE session ordinarily may not be disclosed to the assigned judge or to

anyone else not involved in the litigation, unless otherwise agreed. The confidential evaluation is non-binding and is not shared with the trial judge.

Written Statements

Counsel exchange and submit written statements to the evaluator at least 7 days before the ENE session. These statements are not filed with the court.

The Neutral Evaluator

The evaluators on the court's ENE panel were selected for their litigation experience and subject matter expertise. The parties select the evaluator for their case.

How to Start the ENE Process

The parties agree to participate in ENE by completing the form Stipulation to Participate in ADR. Upon receipt of the Stipulation, the Court or ADR Department may refer the parties to ENE (the "ENE Referral").

When a case is referred to ENE, counsel will complete and submit immediately to the ADR Department the required ADR forms. Counsel will then choose from the court's ENE Panel an available neutral evaluator with expertise in the substantive legal area of the lawsuit and no apparent conflict of interest.

Appropriate Cases for ENE

Cases with the following characteristics may be particularly appropriate for ENE:

- · Counsel or the parties are far apart on their views of the law and/or value of the case;
- The case involves technical or specialized subject matter, and it is important to have a neutral with expertise in that subject;
- Communication across party lines (about merits or procedure) could be improved;
- Equitable relief is sought, if parties, with the aid of a neutral expert, might agree on the terms of an injunction or consent decree; and/or
- The parties wish to communicate with each other about the case, but are not ready specifically to discuss settlement.

Cost

The evaluators on the court's ENE panel provide time for a pre-session telephone conference, preparation time, and the first three (3) hours of the session free of charge. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the evaluator if the parties so consent in writing

Different from Mediation and Arbitration

ENE is different from arbitration and mediation. Arbitration involves an evidentiary hearing and actual determination on the merits of a case. ENE is less formal; counsel present their case in a narrative form. The evaluator assesses the relative strengths and weaknesses of each party's case, based on the law and the evidence.

Mediation does not involve an evidentiary hearing nor is it based solely upon the law. Instead, the goal of mediation is to resolve a case based upon the parties' respective interests and may involve the mediator learning confidential information from each party.

Choosing ENE does not mean that the parties cannot later choose to mediate or arbitrate their case.