IOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE	FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):
OTION/CAS	

PRESENT:EMILY JANE GOODMAN	
WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al    MOTION SEQ. NO. 03	
WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al    MOTION SEQ. NO. 03	
WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al    MOTION SEQ. NO. 03	<u> </u>
WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al    MOTION SEQ. NO.   03	
WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al  MOTION CAL. NO.  The following papers, numbered 1 to were read on this motion to/for  Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits Answering Affidavits — Exhibits Replying Affidavits: Not accepted as it was not filed.  Cross-Motion: Yes NO Upon the foregoling page is, the motion for an order permitting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdra as attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby  ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D.  Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al  MOTION CAL. NO.  The following papers, numbered 1 to were read on this motion to/for  Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits  Answering Affidavits — Exhibits  Replying Affidavits: Not accepted as it was not filed.  Cross-Motion: Yes NO Upon the foregoing papers, the motion for an COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE as attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is ilmited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
The following papers, numbered 1 to were read on this motion to/for	_
Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits	
Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits	
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits  Replying Affidavits: Not accepted as it was not filed.  Cross-Motion:  Yes  NO Upon the foregoling page 18, the motion for an COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE as attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby  ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D.  Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
Replying Affidavits: Not accepted as it was not filed.  Cross-Motion:  Yes  NO Upon the foregoing poecie, the motion for an COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE or attempting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdrawas attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
Cross-Motion: Yes NO Upon the foregoing page R, the motion for an COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE order permitting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdrawa as attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
Cross-Motion: Yes NO Upon the foregology pages, the motion for an COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE order permitting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdrawas attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
Cross-Motion: Yes NO Upon the foregoing page, the motion for an order permitting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdrawas attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
order permitting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdrawas attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
as attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	W
accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby  ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D.  Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D.  Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., Is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon	
service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upo	
all appearing parties, with proof of service faxed to the court at 212-401-9045, and it is further	n
ORDERED that this action is stayed until September 14, 2011 for Plaintiffs to locate new	,
counsel. Argument will be heard on the motion to admit pro hac vice on September 15, 2044 at	,
3PM.	
This constitutes the Decision and Order of the Court.	
Dated: July 22, 2011	
Check one:   FINAL DISPOSITION NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
Check if appropriate:  DO NOT POST  REFERENCE	